On M-injective and M-projective Modules

Yusuf Alagöz*

Abstract

A left *R*-module *M* is called max-injective (or m-injective for short) if for any maximal left ideal *I*, any homomorphism $f : I \to M$ can be extended to $g : R \to M$, if and only if $Ext_R^1(R/I, M) = 0$ for any maximal left ideal *I*. A left *R*-module *M* is called max-projective (or m-projective for short) if $Ext_R^1(M, N) = 0$ for any max-injective left *R*-module *N*. We prove that every left *R*-module has a special m-projective precover and a special m-injective preenvelope. We characterize C-rings, SF rings and max-hereditary rings using m-projective and m-injective modules.

Keywords: M-injective modules; m-projective modules; max-hereditary rings.

AMS Subject Classification (2020): Primary: 16D40 ; Secondary: 18G25.

^tCorresponding author

Throughout, *R* will denote an associative ring with identity, and modules will be unital *R*-modules, unless otherwise stated. As usual, we denote by Mod-R the category of right *R*-modules. For a module *M*, E(M), M^+ denote the injective hull and the character module $Hom_{\mathbb{Z}}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ of *M*, respectively.

Given a class \mathfrak{C} of right R-modules, we will denote by $\mathfrak{C}^{\perp} = \{X : Ext_R^1(C, X) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathfrak{C}\}$ the right orthogonal class of \mathfrak{C} , and by ${}^{\perp}\mathfrak{C} = \{X : Ext_R^1(X, C) = 0 \text{ for all } C \in \mathfrak{C}\}$ the left orthogonal class of \mathfrak{C} . Let M be a right R-module. A homomorphism $\phi : M \to F$ with $F \in \mathfrak{C}$ is called a \mathfrak{C} -preenvelope of M ([2]) if for any homomorphism $f : M \to G$ with $G \in \mathfrak{C}$, there is a homomorphism $g : F \to G$ such that $g\phi = f$. Moreover, if the only such g are automorphisms of F when F = G and $f = \phi$, the \mathfrak{C} -preenvelope is called a \mathfrak{C} -envelope of M. Following [2], a monomorphism $\alpha : M \to C$ with $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ is said to be a special \mathfrak{C} -preenvelope of M if $coker(\alpha) \in {}^{\perp}\mathfrak{C}$. Dually, we have the definitions of a (special) \mathfrak{C} -precover and a \mathfrak{C} -cover. \mathfrak{C} -envelopes (\mathfrak{C} -covers) may not exist in general, but if they exist, they are unique up to isomorphism. A pair ($\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}$) of classes of left R-modules is called a cotorsion theory ([2]) if $\mathfrak{F}^{\perp} = \mathfrak{C}$ and ${}^{\perp}\mathfrak{C} = \mathfrak{F}$. A cotorsion theory ($\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}$) is called perfect (complete) if every left R-module has a \mathfrak{C} -envelope and an \mathfrak{F} -cover (a special \mathfrak{C} -preenvelope and a special \mathfrak{F} -precover). A cotorsion theory ($\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}$) is exact with $L, L'' \in \mathfrak{F}$, then L' is also in \mathfrak{F} . By [3], ($\mathfrak{F}, \mathfrak{C}$) is hereditary if and only if $0 \to C' \to C \to C'' \to 0$ is exact with $C, C' \in \mathfrak{C}$, then C'' is also in \mathfrak{C} .

A left *R*-module *M* is called max-injective (or m-injective for short) if for any maximal left ideal *I*, any homomorphism $f: I \to M$ can be extended to $g: R \to M$, if and only if $Ext_R^1(R/I, M) = 0$ for any maximal left ideal *I*. A ring *R* is said to be left m-injective if R is m-injective as a left *R*-module [10]. m-injective modules have been studied further in [11]. In this paper, the concept of max-projective (or m-projective for short) modules is introduced. A left *R*-module *M* is said to be m-projective if $Ext_R^1(M, N) = 0$ for any m-injective left *R*-module *N*. In what follows, $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in}$) stands for the class of all m-projective (resp. all m-injective) left *R*-modules. We prove that $(\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr}, \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in})$ is a complete cotorsion theory. We prove that *R* is a left C-ring (i.e. for every essential left ideal *I* of *R*, *R*/*I* has a simple submodule) if and only if every cyclic left *R*-module is m-projective left R-module is m-projective if and only if ($\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr}, \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in}$) is hereditary, and every m-injective left R-module is m-projective left R-module is m-projective if and only if ($\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr}, \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in}$) is hereditary (i.e. if every maximal left ideal is projective) if and only if every duotient of an m-injective left R-module is m-injective if and only if every m-projective left R-module is m-projective left R-module is m-injective left R-module is m-projective left R-module is m-injective left R-module is m-projective left R-module is m-projective left R-module is m-projective left R-module is m-projective if and only if ($\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr}, \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in}$) is hereditary, and every m-projective left R-module is m-projective left R-module is m-injective l

Received : 07–10–2019, *Accepted* : 14–02–2020

every m-projective left R-module is m-injective.

1. M-projective Modules

In this section several properties and characterization of m-projective modules are given. First we recall the definition.

Definition 1.1. A left *R*-module *M* is said to be *m*-projective if $Ext_R^1(M, N) = 0$ for any m-injective left *R*-module *N*. The right version can be defined similarly.

Recall that a ring *R* is called a *left coherent* ring if every finitely generated left ideal of R is finitely presented. Following [11], a ring R is said to be *left max-coherent* if every maximal left ideal is finitely presented. Obviously, Noetherian rings are max-coherent. But, left coherent rings need not be max-coherent.

Example 1.1. Obviously, any projective module is m-projective. By the definition, any simple left *R*-module is m-projective. Since over a left max-coherent ring, FP-injective modules are m-injective, m-projective modules are FP-projective. (a left *R*-module *M* is called FP-injective (resp. FP-projective) provided that $Ext_R^1(F, M) = 0$ (resp. $Ext_R^1(M, F) = 0$) for any finitely presented (resp. FP-injective) left *R*-module *F*).

Proposition 1.1. The following are equivalent for a left m-injective left max-coherent ring R and a left R-module M.

- 1. M is m-projective.
- 2. *M* is projective with respect to every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow T \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with K is m-injective.
- 3. For every exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$, where *E* is *m*-projective, $A \rightarrow E$ is an *m*-injective preenvelope of *A*.
- 4. *M* is a cokernel of an *m*-injective preenvelope $A \rightarrow E$ with *E* projective.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ and $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ are trivial.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let N be an m-injective left R-module. There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with E injective. This induces an exact sequence $Hom(M, E) \rightarrow Hom(M, L) \rightarrow Ext^{1}_{R}(M, N) \rightarrow 0$. Since $Hom(M, E) \rightarrow Hom(M, L)$ is exact by (2), $Ext^{1}_{R}(M, N)$. So M is m-projective.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$ Let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow E \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with *E* projective. Since *R* is left max-coherent left m-injective ring, *E* is m-injective by [11, Proposition 2.4(2)]. Thus, $A \rightarrow E$ is an m-injective preenvelope.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let M be a cokernel of an m-injective preenvelope $A \to E$ with E projective. Then, there is an exact $0 \to A \to E \to M \to 0$. For each m-injective left R-module N, $Hom(E, N) \to Hom(A, N) \to Ext^1_R(M, N) \to 0$. Note that $Hom(E, N) \to Hom(A, N)$ is epic by (4). Thus $Ext^1_R(M, N)$, and so M is m-projective. \Box

Now we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 1.1. Let R be a ring. $(\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr}, \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in})$ is a complete cotorsion theory.

Proof. (3) Let \mathfrak{C} be the set of representatives of simple left *R*-modules. Thus $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in} = \mathfrak{C}^{\perp}$. Since $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr} = {}^{\perp}(\mathfrak{C}^{\perp})$, the result follows from [2, Definition 7.1.5] and [4, Theorem 10].

A ring *R* is said to be a left *C*-ring if for every essential left ideal *I* of *R*, R/I has a simple submodule. Right perfect rings, left semiartinian rings are well known examples of left *C*-rings ([1, 10.10]).

Corollary 1.1. Let R be a ring. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1. *R* is a left *C*-ring.
- 2. Every left *R*-module is *m*-projective.
- 3. Every cyclic left *R*-module is *m*-projective.
- 4. Every *m*-injective left *R*-module is injective.
- 5. $(\mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{pr}, \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{in})$ is hereditary, and every *m*-injective left *R*-module is *m*-projective.

In this case, if R is left max-coherent, R is left Noetherian.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (4) follows from [9, Lemma 4].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ and $(2) \Rightarrow (5)$ are clear. $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$ holds by Lemma 1.1.

(3) \Rightarrow (4) Let *M* be any m-injective left *R*-module and *I* any left ideal of *R*. Then $Ext_R^1(R/I, M) = 0$ by (3). Thus *M* is injective, as desired.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (2)$ By Lemma 1.1, for any left *R*-module *M*, there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow F \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$, where *F* is m-injective and *L* is m-projective. So (2) follows from (5).

In this case, if *R* is a left max-coherent ring, every FP-injective left *R*-module is m-injective, and so injective. This means *R* is left Noetherian by [6, Theorem 3]. \Box

Corollary 1.2. Let *R* be a left max-coherent ring. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1. Every *m*-injective left *R*-module is *FP*-injective.
- 2. Every finitely presented left *R*-module is *m*-projective.

In this case, R is a left coherent ring.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) follow from Lemma 1.1, since every module has a special $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr}$ -precover and a special $\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in}$ -preenvelope.

To prove the last statement, let *M* be an FP-injective left *R*-module with *N* a pure submodule, then M/N is m-injective by [11, Proposition 2.6] since *R* is left max-coherent. Therefore M/N is FP-injective by (1), and hence *R* is a left coherent ring by [7, Theorem 3.7].

A ring R will be called left max-hereditary if every maximal left ideal is projective. Recall that a ring R is said to be left PP if every principal left ideal of R is projective. Then any left PP-ring with every maximal left ideal principal is left max-hereditary. Now we have the following characterizations of left max-hereditary rings.

Proposition 1.2. Let R be a ring. The following are equivalent.

- 1. *R* is left max-hereditary.
- 2. Every quotient of an m-injective left R-module is m-injective.
- 3. Every *m*-projective left *R*-module has projective dimension at most 1.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) Let M be an m-injective left R-module and N a submodule of M. We shall show that M/N is m-injective. To this end, let I be a maximal left ideal of R and $i : I \to R$ the inclusion and $\pi : M \to M/N$ the canonical map. For any $f : I \to M/N$, then there exists $g : I \to M$ such that $\pi g = f$ since I is projective by (1). Hence there is $h : R \to M$ such that hi = g since M is m-injective. It follows that $(\pi h)i = f$, and so M/N is m-injective.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Let M be an m-projective left R-module and N a left R-module, then there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ with E injective. Note that L is m-injective by (2), and so we have the exact sequence $0 = Ext_R^1(M, L) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(M, N) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(M, E) = 0$. Thus $Ext_R^2(M, N) = 0$ and hence M has projective dimension at most 1.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ holds since every simple left *R*-module is m-projective.

A left *R*-module *M* is said to be MI-injective [11] if $Ext_R^1(N, M) = 0$ for any m-injective left *R*-module *N*. Next we characterize left max-hereditary rings over a left max-coherent ring.

Theorem 1.1. Let R be a left max-coherent ring. The following are equivalent.

- 1. *R* is left max-hereditary.
- 2. Every MI-injective left *R*-module is injective.
- 3. $(\mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{pr}, \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{in})$ is hereditary, and every *m*-projective left *R*-module has a monic *m*-injective cover.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ is clear by [11, Proposition 3.4].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let *N* be a quotient of an m-injective left *R*-module *M*. Suppose $f : F \to N$ is a m-injective cover of *N* by [11, Remark 2.10(1)]. Then there exists a homomorphism $h : M \to F$ such that $fh = \pi$, where $\pi : M \to N$. Hence *f* is an epimorphism. By [11, Remark 3.2(1)], ker(*f*) is MI-injective, and so it is injective by (2). So, *N* is m-injective.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ holds by [5, Proposition 4] since the class of m-injective left *R*-modules is closed under direct sums over a left max-coherent ring by [11, Proposition 2.4(2)].

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let M be any m-injective left *R*-module and *N* any submodule of *M*. We have to prove that M/N is m-injective. In fact, there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} L \rightarrow 0$ with *E* is m-injective and *L* is m-projective by Lemma 1.1. Since *L* has a monic m-injective cover $\phi : F \rightarrow L$ by (3), there is $\alpha : E \rightarrow F$ such that $\pi = \phi \alpha$. Thus ϕ is epic, and hence it is an isomorphism. So L is m-injective. For any simple left *R*-module *S*, we have the exact sequence $0 = Ext_R^1(S, L) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(S, N) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(S, E)$. Note that $Ext_R^2(S, E) = 0$ by [3, Proposition 1.2] since $(\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr}, \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in})$ is hereditary, and hence $Ext_R^2(S, N) = 0$. On the other hand, the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/N \rightarrow 0$ induces the exactness of the sequence $0 = Ext_R^1(S, M/N) \rightarrow Ext_R^2(S, N) = 0$. Therefore $Ext_R^1(S, M/N) = 0$, as desired.

Finally, we give some new characterizations of left SF-rings. Recall that a ring *R* is called a left SF-ring [8] if each simple left *R*-module is flat.

Theorem 1.2. Let *R* be a ring. The following are equivalent.

- 1. *R* is a left SF-ring.
- 2. Every left *R*-module is *m*-injective.
- 3. Every *m*-projective left *R*-module is projective.
- 4. Every cotorsion left R-module is m-injective.

5. $(\mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{pr}, \mathfrak{m} - \mathfrak{in})$ is hereditary and every *m*-projective left *R*-module is *m*-injective.

Proof. $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ and $(2) \Rightarrow (5)$ are trivial.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$ Let *S* be any simple left *R*-module and *M* be a right *R*-module. Since M^+ is pure-injective and hence cotorsion, M^+ is m-injective by (4). So $0 = Ext_R^1(S, M^+) \cong Tor_1^R(M, S)^+$. Thus, $Tor_1^R(M, S) = 0$ for any right *R*-module *M*. Hence *S* is flat, and so *R* is a left SF-ring.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ Let M be a left R-module. Then for any simple left R-module S, $0 = Tor_R^1(M^+, S) \cong Ext_1^R(S, M)^+$. So $Ext_1^R(S, M) = 0$. Hence M is m-injective.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ Let *M* be any m-projective left *R*-module. Since every left *R*-module is m-injective by (2), $Ext_R^1(M, N) = 0$ for any left *R*-module *N*. Hence *M* is projective.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ Let *M* be a left *R*-module. There exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ with *E* m-injective and *P* m-projective by Lemma 1.1. By (3), *P* is projective, and so *M* is m-injective.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (2)$ Let *M* be any left *R*-module. By Lemma 1.1, there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ with *F* m-projective and *K* m-injective. Then *F* is m-injective and hence *M* is m-injective by (5).

References

- Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vanaja, N., Wisbauer, R.: Lifting modules. Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhaauser Verlag, Basel (2006).
- [2] Enochs, E.E., Jenda, O.M.G.: Relative homological algebra. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter (2000).
- [3] Enochs, E.E., Jenda, O.M.G., Lopez-Ramos, J.A.: The existence of Gorenstein flat covers. Math. Scand. 94(1), 46-62 (2004).
- [4] Eklof, P.C., Trlifaj, J.: How to make Ext vanish, Bull. London Math. Soc. 33(12), 41-51 (2001).
- [5] Garcia Rozas, J.R., Torrecillas, B.: Relative injective covers, Comm. Algebra, 22(8), 2925-2940 (1994).
- [6] Megibben, C.: Absolutely pure modules. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 18, 155-158 (1967).
- [7] Moradzadeh-Dehkordi, A., Shojaee, S.H.: *Rings in which every ideal is pure-projective or FP-projective*. J. Algebra, 478, 419-436 (2017).
- [8] Ramamurthi, V.S.: On the injectivity and flatness of certain cyclic modules. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 48, 21-25 (1975).

- [9] Smith, P.F.: Injective modules and prime ideals. Comm. Algebra, 9(9), 989-999 (1981).
- [10] Wang, M.Y., Zhao, G.: On maximal injectivity. Acta Math. Sin. 21(6), 1451-1458 (2005).
- [11] Xiang, Y.: Max-injective, max-flat modules and max-coherent rings. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 47(3), 611-622 (2010).

Affiliations

YUSUF ALAGÖZ ADDRESS: Siirt University, Dept. of Mathematics, Siirt, Turkey. E-MAIL: yusuf.alagoz@siirt.edu.tr ORCID ID: 0000-0002-2535-4679