

# Pseudo-UP Ideals and Pseudo-UP Filters in Pseudo-UP Algebras

Daniel A. Romano\*

## Abstract

The notion of pseudo-UP algebras is introduced and analyzed in our forthcoming article as a generalization of UP-algebras. In this article, as a continuation of the foregoing, we introduce and analyze concepts of pseudo-UP ideals and pseudo-UP filters in pseudo-UP algebras.

*Keywords:* UP-algebra, Pseudo-UP algebra, Pseudo-UP ideal, Pseudo-UP filter.

*AMS Subject Classification (2020):* 03G25.

\*Corresponding author

## 1. Introduction

The concept of pseudo-BCK algebras was introduced in [3] by G. Georgescu and A. Iorgulescu as an extension of BCK algebra. The pseudo-ideals of pseudo-BCK algebras were introduced in 2003 by Y. B. Jun, M. Kondo and K. H. Kim in article [8]. The notion of pseudo-BCI algebras was introduced and analyzed in [1] by W. A. Dudek and Y. B. Jun as a generalization of BCI-algebras. The notion of pseudo-BCI ideals in pseudo-BCI algebras is introduced 2006 in [9] by Y. B. Jun, H. S. Kim and J. Neggers. These substructures in pseudo-BCK and pseudo-BCI algebras have been studied by several authors such as, for example, K. J. Lee and C. H. Park. [11] and G. Dymek [2]. These algebraic structures has been in the focus of many authors (for example, see [4, 6, 7, 19]).

Iampan [5] introduced a new algebraic structure which is called UP-algebras as a generalization of KU-algebras. Somjanta et al. [18] introduced the notion of UP-filters of UP-algebras. The concept of proper UP-filters in such algebras this author introduced 2018 in [12]. In addition, some new types of UP-filters in UP-algebras were introduced by Y. B. Jun and A. Iampan in [10].

In our forthcoming article [17], we introduced the concept of pseudo-UP algebras and some properties of pseudo-UP algebras are studied. In this article, as a continuation of the foregoing, we introduce and analyze the concepts of pseudo-UP ideals and pseudo-UP filters in pseudo-UP algebras.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section we will describe some elements of UP-algebras from the literature [5] necessary for our intentions in this text.

**Definition 2.1.** ([5]) An algebra  $A = (A, \cdot, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called a UP-algebra where  $A$  is a nonempty set,  $\cdot$  is a binary operation on  $A$ , and  $0$  is a fixed element of  $A$  (i.e. a nullary operation) if it satisfies the following axioms:

$$(UP-1) \quad (\forall x, y \in A)((y \cdot z) \cdot ((x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot z)) = 0),$$

$$(UP-2) \quad (\forall x \in A)(0 \cdot x = x),$$

$$(UP-3) \quad (\forall x \in A)(x \cdot 0 = 0), \text{ and}$$

$$(UP-4) \quad (\forall x, y \in A)((x \cdot y = 0 \wedge y \cdot x = 0) \implies x = y).$$

**Definition 2.2.** ([17]) A pseudo-UP algebra is a structure  $\mathfrak{A} = ((A, \leq), \cdot, *, 0)$ , where ' $\leq$ ' is a binary relation on a set  $A$ , ' $\cdot$ ' and ' $*$ ' are internal binary operations on  $A$  and ' $0$ ' is an element of  $A$ , verifying the following axioms:

- (pUP-1)  $(\forall x, y, z \in A)(y \cdot z \leq (x \cdot y) * (x \cdot z) \wedge y * z \leq (x * y) \cdot (x * z))$ ;
- (pUP-4)  $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \leq y \wedge y \leq x) \implies x = y)$ ;
- (pUP-5)  $(\forall x, y \in A)((y \cdot 0) * x = x \wedge (y * 0) \cdot x = x)$  and
- (pUP-6)  $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \leq y \iff x \cdot y = 0) \wedge (x \leq y \iff x * y = 0))$ .

### 3. Pseudo-UP ideals and pseudo-UP filters in a pseudo-UP algebra

In the following definition, we introduce the concept of pseudo-UP ideals in pseudo-UP algebras

**Definition 3.1.** A nonempty subset  $J$  of a pseudo-UP algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a pseudo-UP ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$  if it satisfies

- (pJ1)  $0 \in J$ ;
- (pJ2)  $(\forall x, y, z \in A)((x \cdot (y * z) \in J \wedge y \in J) \implies x \cdot z \in J)$  and
- (pJ3)  $(\forall x, y, z \in A)((x * (y \cdot z) \in J \wedge y \in J) \implies x * z \in J)$ .

The following theorem describes the characteristic features of these substructures

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $J$  be a pseudo-UP ideal in a pseudo-UP algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then:

- (1)  $(\forall y, z \in A)((y * z \in J \wedge y \in J) \implies z \in J)$ ;
- (2)  $(\forall x, y \in A)(y \in J \implies x * y \in J)$ ;
- (3)  $(\forall y, z \in A)((y \cdot z \in J \wedge y \in J) \implies z \in J)$  and
- (4)  $(\forall x, y \in A)(y \in J \implies x \cdot y \in J)$ .

*Proof.* Since Equations (3) and (4) can be proved in a similar way to the proofs of equality (1) and (2), we will only show the last two mentioned.

Putting  $x = 0$  in (pJ2), we obtain (1) with respect to equality (9) in the article [17].

Putting  $z = y$  in (pJ3), we obtain (2) with respect to (pJ1) and to equalities (10) and (8) in the article [17].  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $J$  be a pseudo-UP ideal in a pseudo-UP algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then:

- (5)  $(\forall y, z \in A)((y \leq z \wedge y \in J) \implies z \in J)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y, z \in A$  be arbitrary elements such that  $y \leq z$  and  $y \in J$ . Then  $y \cdot z = 0 \in J$  (and  $y * z = 0 \in J$ ). Thus  $z \in J$  by (3) (by (1) respectively).  $\square$

If we use the labels

$$\cdot(z, J) = \{y \in A : y \cdot z \in J\} \quad \text{and} \quad *(z, J) = \{y \in A : y * z \in J\},$$

introduced and used in [8] and [9], we can conclude that as a consequence of the preceding theorem, the following is valid

**Corollary 3.2.**  $J$  be a pseudo-UP ideal in a pseudo-UP algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then

- (6)  $(\forall z \in A)(z \in J \implies (\cdot(z, J) \subseteq J \wedge *(z, J) \subseteq J))$ .

Based on the orientation expressed in article [18], we introduce the concept of pseudo-UP filters as follows

**Definition 3.2.** A nonempty subset  $F$  of a pseudo-UP algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  is called a pseudo-UP filter of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , if it satisfies the following properties:

- (pF1)  $0 \in F$ ;
- (pF2)  $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \in F \wedge x \cdot y \in F) \implies y \in F)$ ; and
- (pF3)  $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \in F \wedge x * y \in F) \implies y \in F)$ .

From this determination, immediately follows:

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $F$  be a pseudo-UP filter in a pseudo-UP algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then

- $(\forall x, y \in A)((x \in F \wedge x \leq y) \implies y \in F)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** A nonempty subset  $F$  of a pseudo-UP algebra  $A$  is a pseudo-UP filter in  $\mathfrak{A}$  if and only if  $0 \in F$  and holds

- (F4)  $(\forall z \in A)(z \in F \implies (\cdot(z, F) \subseteq F \wedge *(z, F) \subseteq F))$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $F$  is a pseudo-UP filter of a pseudo-UP algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , then*

$$(7) (\forall x, y, z \in A)((x \in F \wedge y \in F \wedge z * y \leq x) \implies z \in F) \text{ and,}$$

$$(8) (\forall x, y, z \in A)((x \in F \wedge y \in F \wedge z \cdot y \leq x) \implies z \in F).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F$  is a pseudo-UP filter of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and let  $x, y, z \in A$  be arbitrary elements.

Suppose that  $z * y \leq x$ . Then  $(z * y) \cdot x = 0 \in F$ . Thus  $z * y \in \cdot(x, F) \subseteq F$ . It follows that  $z \in *(y, F) \subseteq F$ . Therefore, the condition (8) is proved.

Now let  $x \in F, y \in F$  and  $z \cdot y \leq x$  be hold. Then  $(z \cdot y) * x = 0 \in F$ , and thus  $z \cdot y \in *(x, F) \subseteq F$ . Hence  $z \in \cdot(y, F) \subseteq F$ , which shows the condition (8).  $\square$

#### 4. Final observation

In the study of algebraic substructures of UP-algebras, this author took part with his texts ([12–16]). And this text should be seen as a continuation of these his efforts.

Looking at the [8–10], some types of pseudo-UP filters one can be introduced in pseudo-UP algebras. For example: one type of pseudo-UP filters can be introduced by requiring that the set  $F$  satisfies  $0 \in F$  and the following conditions

$$(\forall x, y, z \in A)((x \cdot y) * y \cdot z \in F \wedge z \in F) \implies x * y \in F) \text{ and}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in A)((x * y) \cdot y * z \in F \wedge z \in F) \implies x \cdot y \in F).$$

Another type of pseudo-UP filters could be a subset of  $F$  of a pseudo-UP algebra  $A$  if  $0 \in F$  and the following holds

$$(\forall y \in A)(y \in F \implies \cdot(y, F) \cap *(y, F) \subseteq F).$$

Further, drawing on the ideas in article [10], some other similar conditions could be analyzed.

#### References

- [1] Dudek, W. A., Jun, Y. B.: *Pseudo-BCI algebras*. East Asian Math. J., **24**(2), 187–190 (2008).
- [2] Dymek, G.: *Atoms and ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras*. Comment. Math., **52**(1), 73–90 (2012).
- [3] Georgescu, G., Iorgulescu, A.: *Pseudo-BCK algebras: An extension of BCK-algebras*. Combinatorics, computability and logic (Constanta, 2001), (pp. 97–114), Springer Ser. Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. Springer, London, 2001.
- [4] Emanovský, P., Kühr, J.: *Some properties of pseudo-BCK- and pseudo-BCI-algebras*. Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **339**, 1–16 (2018).
- [5] Iampan, A.: *A new branch of the logical algebra: UP-algebras*. J. Algebra Relat Top., **5**(1), 35–54 (2017).
- [6] Iorgulescu, A.: *On pseudo-BCK algebras and porims*. Sci. Math. Japonicae Online, **10**, 293–305 (2004).
- [7] Iorgulescu, A.: *Classes of pseudo-BCK algebras – Part I*. J. of Mult.-Valued Logic Soft Comput., **12**, 71–130 (2006).
- [8] Jun, Y. B., Kondo, M., Kim, K. H.: *Pseudo-ideals of pseudo-BCK algebras*. Sci. Math. Japonicae Online, **8**, 87–91 (2003).
- [9] Jun, Y. B., Kim, H. S., Neggers, J.: *On pseudo-BCI ideals of pseudo-BCI algebras*. Mat. Vesnik, **58**(1), 39–46 (2006).
- [10] Jun, Y. B., Iampan, A.: *Shift UP-filters and decompositions of UP-filters in UP-Algebras*. Missouri J. Math. Sci., **31**(1), 36–45 (2019).
- [11] Lee, K. J., Park, C. H.: *Some ideals of pseudo-BCI-algebras*. J. Appl. Math. Inform., **27**(1-2), 217–231 (2009).
- [12] Romano, D. A.: *Proper UP-filters in UP-algebra*. Universal J. Math. Appl., **1**(2), 98–100 (2018).
- [13] Romano, D. A.: *Notes on UP-ideals in UP-algebras*. Comm. Advan. Math. Sci., **1**(1), 35–38 (2018).
- [14] Romano, D. A.: *Some properties of proper UP-filters of UP-algebras*. Fund. J. Math. Appl., **1**(2), 109–111 (2018).
- [15] Romano, D. A.: *Some decompositions of UP-ideals and proper UP-filters*. Math. Advan. Pure Appl. Sci., **2**(1), 16–18 (2019).

- [16] Romano, D. A.: *Pseudo-valuations on UP-algebras*. Universal J. Math. Appl., **2**(3), 138–140 (2019).
- [17] Romano, D. A.: *Pseudo-UP algebras, An introduction*. Bull. Int. Math. Virtual Inst., **10**(2), 349–355 (2020).
- [18] Somjanta, J., Thuekaew, N. Kumpeangkeaw, P., Iampan, A.: *Fuzzy sets in UP-algebras*. Ann. Fuzzy Math. Inform., **12**(6), 739–756 (2016).
- [19] Walendziak, A.: *On axiom systems of pseudo-BCK algebras*. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2), **34**(2), 287–293 (2011).

## Affiliations

DANIEL A. ROMANO

**ADDRESS:** International Mathematical Virtual Institute 6,  
Kordunaška Street, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

**E-MAIL:** bato49@hotmail.com

**ORCID ID:** 0000-0003-1148-3258