New Theory

ISSN: 2149-1402

30 (2020) 45-52 Journal of New Theory http://www.newtheory.org Open Access



A New Subclass of Meromorphic Starlike Functions Defined by Certain Integral Operator

Bolineni Venkateswarlu¹, Pinnintti Thirupathi Reddy², Rompilli Madhuri Shilpa³

Article History Received: 04.05.2019 Accepted: 24.02.2020 Published: 23.03.2020 Original Article **Abstract** – The aim of this paper is to introduce a new class $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ of meromorphically starlike functions defined by certain integral operator in the unit disc $E = \{z \mid 0 < |z| < 1\}$ and investigate coefficients, distortion properties and radius of convexity for the class. Furthermore it is shown that the class $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ is closed under convex linear combinations and integral transforms.

Keywords - Meromorphic, distortion, radius of convexity, integral transforms

1. Introduction

Let Σ be denote the class of all functions f(z) of the form

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \tag{1}$$

which are regular in $E = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$, with a simple pole at the origin. Let \sum_{s} , $\sum_{s}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\sum_{k}(\alpha), (0 \le \alpha < 1)$ denote the subclasses of \sum that are univalent, more morphically starlike of order

 α and meromorphically convex of order α respectively. Analytically f(z) of the form (1) is in $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha)$ if and only if

$$Re\left\{-\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \alpha, (z \in E)$$
(2)

Similarly, $f \in \sum_{k} (\alpha)$ if and only if f(z) is of the form (1) and satisfies

$$Re\left\{-\left(1+\frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right)\right\} > \alpha, (z \in E)$$
(3)

It being understood that if $\alpha = 1$ then $f(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ is the only function which is $\sum_{k=1}^{k} (1)$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{k} (1)$.

 $^{^{1}} bvlmaths@gmail.com \ (Corresponding \ Author); \ ^{2}reddypt2@gmail.com; \ ^{3}madhuri.4073@gmail.com$

^{1,3} Department of Mathematics, GSS, GITAM University, Doddaballapur- 562 163, Bengaluru Rural, India

²Department of Mathematics, Kakatiya University, Warangal- 506 009, Telangana, India

The classes $\sum_{k}^{*}(\alpha)$ and $\sum_{k}(\alpha)$ have been extensively studied by Pommerenke [1], Clunie [2], Royster [3] and others. Recently the integral operator of f(z) in \sum_{s} for $\sigma > 0$ is denoted by I^{σ} and defined as following

$$I^{\sigma}f(z) = \frac{1}{z^{2}\Gamma(\sigma)} \int_{0}^{z} \left(\log\frac{z}{t}\right)^{\sigma-1} tf(t)dt$$
(4)

That is defined by Jung et al. [4]. It is easy to verify that if f(z) is of the form (1), then

$$I^{\sigma}f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{n+2}\right)^{\sigma} a_n z^n \tag{5}$$

The aim of the present paper is to introduce the class of meromorphically starlike functions which we denote by $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ for some $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$, $\beta(0 < \beta \leq 1)$ and $\sigma > 0$. We then consider the class $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma) = \sum_{p} \cap \sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ and extend some of the results of Juneja et al. [5] to this class. We obtain coefficient estimates, distortion properties and radius of convexity for the class. Furthermore it is shown that the class $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ is closed under convex linear combinations and integral transforms.

Definition 1.1. Let the function f(z) be defined by (1). Then $f(z) \in \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ if and only if

$$\left|\frac{z[I^{\sigma}f(z)]'}{I^{\sigma}f(z)} + 1\right| < \beta \left|\frac{z[I^{\sigma}f(z)]'}{I^{\sigma}f(z)} + 2\alpha - 1\right|,$$

for some $\alpha(0 \le \alpha < 1)$, $\beta(0 < \beta \le 1)$, $\sigma > 0$ and for all $z \in E$.

2. Coefficient estimates

In this section we obtain a sufficient condition for a function to be in $\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\sigma}^{*}(\alpha,\beta,\sigma)$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be regular in E. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha - 1)\beta + 1] \left[\frac{1}{n+2}\right]^{\sigma} |a_n| \le 2\beta(1-\alpha)$

for some $0 \le \alpha < 1, 0 < \beta \le 1$ and $\sigma > 0$ then $f(z) \in \sum_{\alpha < \beta}^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$.

PROOF. Suppose (6) holds for all admissible values of α and β . Consider the expression

$$H(f, f') = \left| z[I^{\sigma} f(z)]' + [I^{\sigma} f(z)] \right| - \beta \left| z[I^{\sigma} f(z)]' + (2\alpha - 1)[I^{\sigma} f(z)] \right|$$
(7)

The we have

$$\begin{split} H(f,f') &\leq \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \left[\frac{1}{n+2} \right]^{\sigma} a_n z^n \right| - \beta \left| 2(\alpha-1) \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2\alpha-1) \left[\frac{1}{n+2} \right]^{\sigma} a_n z^n \right| \\ \Rightarrow rH(f,f') &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \left[\frac{1}{n+2} \right]^{\sigma} |a_n| r^{n+1} - \beta \left\{ 2(\alpha-1) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2\alpha-1) \left[\frac{1}{n+2} \right]^{\sigma} |a_n| r^{n+1} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1] \left[\frac{1}{n+2} \right]^{\sigma} |a_n| r^{n+1} - 2\beta(1-\alpha). \end{split}$$

Since the above inequality holds for all r, 0 < r < 1, letting $r \to 1$, we have

$$H(f, f') \le \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha - 1)\beta + 1] \left[\frac{1}{n+2}\right]^{\sigma} |a_n| - 2\beta(1-\alpha) \le 0, \text{ by (6).}$$

(6)

Hence it follows that $\left|\frac{z[I^{\sigma}f(z)]'}{I^{\sigma}f(z)} + 1\right| < \beta \left|\frac{z[I^{\sigma}f(z)]'}{I^{\sigma}f(z)} + 2\alpha - 1\right|.$ So that $f(z) \in \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. Hence the theorem.

Theorem 2.2. Let the function $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $a_n \ge 0$ be regular in *E*. Then $f(z) \in \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ if and only if (6) is satisfied.

PROOF. In view of Theorem 2.1, it is sufficient to show that only if part. Let us assume that $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \ a_n \ge 0$ is in $\sum_{p=1}^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. $|\underline{z}[I^{\sigma}f(z)]' + 1 \quad | \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)[\underline{-1}]^{\sigma}a_n z^n \quad |$

Then
$$\left|\frac{\frac{z[I^{\sigma}f(z)]}{I^{\sigma}f(z)}+1}{\frac{z[I^{\sigma}f(z)]'}{I^{\sigma}f(z)}+2\alpha-1}\right| = \left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)\left[\frac{1}{n+2}\right]^{\alpha} a_n z^n}{2(1-\alpha)\frac{1}{z}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2\alpha-1)\left[\frac{1}{n+2}\right]^{\sigma} a_n z^n}\right| < \beta, \text{ for all } z \in E.$$
Using the fact that $Re(z) < |z|$, if follows that

Using the fact that $Re(z) \leq |z|$,

$$Re\left\{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+1)\left[\frac{1}{n+2}\right]^{\sigma}a_{n}z^{n}}{2(1-\alpha)\frac{1}{z}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(n+2\alpha-1)\left[\frac{1}{n+2}\right]^{\sigma}a_{n}z^{n}}\right\} < \beta, \ z \in E$$

$$\tag{8}$$

Now choose the values of z on the real axis so that $\frac{z[I^{\sigma}f(z)]'}{I^{\sigma}f(z)}$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator in (8) and letting $z \to 1$ through positive values, we obtain $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1) \left[\frac{1}{n+2}\right]^{\sigma} a_n \leq \beta \left\{ 2(1-\alpha) - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+2\alpha-1) \left[\frac{1}{n+2}\right]^{\sigma} a_n \right\}$ $\Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1 \right] \left[\frac{1}{n+2} \right]^{\sigma} |a_n| \le 2\beta(1-\alpha).$ Hence the theorem.

Corollary 2.3. If $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$, $a_n \ge 0$ is in $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ then $a_n \le \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{(1+\beta)n+(2\alpha-1)\beta+1}, \ n = 1, 2, \cdots$ (9)

with equality for each n, for function of the form

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1} z^n, \ n = 1, 2, \cdots$$
(10)

If $\beta = 1$ in the above theorem, we get the following result of Atshan et al. [6].

Corollary 2.4. If $f(z) \in \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ then

$$a_n \le \frac{(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{n+\alpha}, \ n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

The result is sharp for the functions $f_n(z)$ is given by

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{n+\alpha} z^n, \ n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

3. Distortion properties and radius of convexity estimates

In this section we prove the Distortion Theorem and radius of convexity estimates for the class $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma).$

Theorem 3.1. Let $f(z) \in \sum_{p=1}^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. Then for 0 < |z| = r < 1,

$$\frac{1}{r} - \frac{3^{\sigma}\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha\beta}r \le |f(z)| \le \frac{1}{r} + \frac{3^{\sigma}\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha\beta}r$$
(11)

with equality for the function

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{3^{\sigma}\beta(1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha\beta}z, \text{ at } z = r, ir$$
(12)

PROOF. Suppose $f(z) \in \sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. In view of Theorem 2.2, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \le \frac{3^{\sigma} \beta (1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha \beta} \tag{13}$$

Thus for 0 < |z| = r < 1,

$$|f(z)| = \left| \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|z|} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{r} + r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{r} + \frac{3^{\sigma} \beta (1 - \alpha)}{1 + \alpha \beta}, \text{ by (13)}$$

This gives the right hand side of (11). Also,

$$|f(z)| = \left|\frac{1}{z} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n\right|$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{|z|} - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n |z|^n$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{r} - r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$$
$$\geq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{3^{\sigma} \beta (1-\alpha)}{1+\alpha\beta}$$

which gives the left hand side of (11).

Theorem 3.2. Let the function f(z) be in $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. Then for f(z) is meromorphically convex of order $\delta(0 \le \delta < 1)$ in $|z| < r = r(\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \delta)$, where

$$r(\alpha,\beta,\sigma,\delta) = \inf_{n} \left\{ \frac{(1-\delta)[(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1]}{2\beta(1-\alpha)n(n+2-\delta)(n+2)^{\sigma}} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots$$
(14)

The bound for |z| is sharp for each n with the extremal function being of the form (10).

PROOF. Let $f(z) \in \sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. Then by Theorem 2.2

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha - 1)\beta + 1}{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}} a_n \le 1$$
(15)

In view of (3), it is sufficient to show that

$$\left| 2 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \le 1 - \delta, \text{ for } |z| < r(\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \delta)$$

or equivalently to show that

$$\left|\frac{f'(z) + (zf'(z))'}{f'(z)}\right| \le 1 - \delta, \quad \text{for } |z| < r(\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \delta)$$
(16)

Substituting the series expansions for f'(z) and (zf'(z))' in the left hand side of (16) then we get

$$\left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1)a_n z^{n-1}}{-\frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1}}\right| \le \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n(n+1)a_n |z|^{n+1}}{1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n+1}}$$

This will be bounded by $(1 - \delta)$ if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n(n+2-\delta)}{1-\delta} a_n |z|^{n+1} \le 1$$
(17)

In view of (15), it follows that (17) is true if

$$\frac{n(n+2-\delta)}{1-\delta}|z|^{n+1} \le \frac{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1}{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}, \ n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

$$\Rightarrow \ |z| \le \left\{\frac{(1-\delta)[(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1]}{2\beta(1-\alpha)n(n+2-\delta)(n+2)^{\sigma}}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}, \ n = 1, 2, \cdots$$
(18)

Setting $|z| = r(\alpha, \beta, \sigma, \delta)$ in (18), the result follows.

The result is sharp, the extremal function being of the form

$$f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1} z^n, \ n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

4. Convex linear combinations

In this section we prove that the class $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ is closed under convex linear combinations.

Theorem 4.1. Let $f_0(z) = \frac{1}{z}$ and $f_n(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{(1+\beta)n+(2\alpha-1)\beta+1}z^n$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Then $f(z) \in \sum_{p=0}^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$, where $\lambda_n \ge 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$.

PROOF. Let $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$, where $\lambda_n \ge 0$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1$. Then $\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) = \lambda_0 f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \\ &= \left[1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n\right] f_0(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z) \\ &= \left[1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n\right] \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left[\frac{1}{z} + \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1} z^n\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1} z^n \end{aligned}$ Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1}{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}} \right\} \lambda_n \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha-1)\beta + 1} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = 1 - \lambda_0 \le 1 \end{aligned}$

Therefore $f(z) \in \sum_{p=1}^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$.

Conversely suppose that $f(z) \in \sum_{p}^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. Since $a_n \leq \frac{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}{(1+\beta)n+(2\alpha-1)\beta+1}, n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Setting $\lambda_n = \frac{(1+\beta)n+(2\alpha-1)\beta+1}{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}a_n, n = 1, 2, \cdots$ and $\lambda_0 = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n$. It follows that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n(z)$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 4.2. The class $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ is closed under convex linear combination. PROOF. Let the function $F_k(z)$ be given by

 $F_k(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} z^n, \ k = 1, 2 \cdots, m$ be in the class $\sum_{p=1}^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. Then it is enough to show that the function

$$H(z) = \lambda F_1(z) + (1 - \lambda)F_2(z), \ (0 \le \lambda \le 1)$$

tt is also in the class $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. Since for $0 \le \lambda \le 1$,

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\lambda f_{n,1} + (1-\lambda)f_{n,2}\right] z^n$$

We observe that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha - 1)\beta + 1 \right] \frac{1}{(n+2)^{\sigma}} \left[\lambda f_{n,1} + (1-\lambda)f_{n,2} \right]$$

= $\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha - 1)\beta + 1 \right] \frac{1}{(n+2)^{\sigma}} f_{n,1}$
+ $(1-\lambda) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha - 1)\beta + 1 \right] \frac{1}{(n+2)^{\sigma}} f_{n,2}$
 $\leq 2\beta\lambda(1-\alpha) + (1-\lambda)2\beta(1-\alpha) = 2\beta(1-\alpha)$

By Theorem 2.2, we have $H(z) \in \sum_{p=1}^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$.

5. Integral transforms

In this section, we consider integral transforms of functions in $\sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$.

Theorem 5.1. If f(z) is in $\sum_{p=1}^{*} (\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$ then the integral transforms

$$F_c(z) = c \int_0^1 u^c f(uz) du, \ 0 < c < \infty$$
(19)

are in
$$\sum_{p}^{*}(\delta)$$
, where $\delta = \delta(\alpha, \beta, \sigma, c) = \frac{(1+\alpha\beta)(c+2) - 3^{\sigma}\beta c(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\beta)(c+2) + 3^{\sigma}\beta c(1-\alpha)}$ (20)

The result is best possible for the function $f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{3^{\sigma}\beta(1-\alpha)}{(1+\alpha\beta)}z$.

PROOF. Suppose $f(z) \in \sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$. We have

$$F_{c}(z) = c \int_{0}^{1} u^{c} f(uz) du = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{ca_{n}}{n+c+1} z^{n}$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(n+\delta)}{(1-\delta)} \frac{ca_n}{(n+c+1)} \le 1$$
(21)

Since $f(z) \in \sum_{p}^{*}(\alpha, \beta, \sigma)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha - 1)\beta + 1}{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}} a_n \le 1$$
(22)

Thus (21) will be satisfied if $\frac{(n+\delta)}{(1-\delta)}\frac{c}{(n+c+1)} \leq \frac{(1+\beta)n+(2\alpha-1)\beta+1}{2\beta(1-\alpha)(n+2)^{\sigma}}$, for each n

$$\Rightarrow \delta \leq \frac{[(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha - 1)\beta + 1][n + c + 1] - 2\beta(1-\alpha)nc(n+2)^{\sigma}}{[(1+\beta)n + (2\alpha - 1)\beta + 1][n + c + 1] + 2\beta(1-\alpha)nc(n+2)^{\sigma}}$$
(23)

Since the right hand side of (23) is an increasing function of n, putting n = 1 in (23), we get

$$\delta \leq \frac{(1+\alpha\beta)(c+2) - 3^{\sigma}\beta(1-\alpha)c}{(1+\alpha\beta)(c+2) + 3^{\sigma}\beta(1-\alpha)c}$$

Hence the theorem.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the reviewers for their valuable comments and helpful suggestions for improvement of the original manuscript.

References

- Ch. Pommarenke, On Meromorphic Starlike Functions, Pacific Journal of Mathematics 13 (1963) 221–235.
- [2] J. Clunie, On Meromorphic Schlicht Functions, Journal of the London Mathematical Society 34 (1959) 215–216.

51

- [3] W. C. Royster, Meromorphic Starlike Multivalent Functions, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 107 (1963) 300–308.
- [4] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim, H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy Space of Analytic Functions Associated with Certain One-Parameter Families of Integral Operators, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 176 (1993) 138–147.
- [5] O. P. Juneja, T. R. Reddy, Meromorphic Starlike Univalent Functions with Positive Coefficients, Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska, sectio A 39 (1985) 65–76.
- [6] W. G. Atshan, S. R. Kulakarni, Meromorphic p-Valent Functions with Positive Coefficients Defined by Convolution and Integral Operator, The Journal of the Indian Academy of Mathematics 29 (2007) 409–423.