



Received: 12.01.2014

Accepted: 15.05.2014

Editors-in-Chief: Naim Çağman

Area Editor: Oktay Muhtaroglu

\mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal and \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular spaces

O. Ravi^{a,1} (siingam@yahoo.com)J. A. R. Rodrigo^b (antonyrexrodrigo@yahoo.co.in)M. Sangeetha^c (sangeethaabi10@gmail.com)^aDepartment of Mathematics, P. M. Thevar College, Usilampatti, Madurai Dt, Tamil Nadu, India.^bDepartment of Mathematics, V. O. Chidambaram College, Thoothukudi, Tamil Nadu, India.^cDepartment of Mathematics, Yadava College, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract - \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal and \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular spaces are introduced and various characterizations and properties are given. Characterizations of normal, mildly normal, $*g$ -normal and regular spaces are also given.

Keywords - \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed sets, \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets, $*g$ -closed sets, $*g$ -open sets, \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal spaces, \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular spaces.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

By a space, we always mean a topological space (X, τ) with no separation properties assumed. If $A \subset X$, $\text{cl}(A)$ and $\text{int}(A)$ will, respectively, denote the closure and interior of A in (X, τ) . A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be regular open if $A = \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$ and A is said to be regular closed if $A = \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be semi-open [7] if $A \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(A))$. The complement of semi-open set is semi-closed. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is an α -open [15] (resp. preopen [12]) if $A \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(A)))$ (resp. $A \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(A))$). The complement of α -open set is α -closed. The α -closure [15] of a subset A of X , denoted by $\alpha\text{cl}(A)$, is defined to be the intersection of all α -closed sets containing A . The α -interior [15] of a subset A of X , denoted by $\alpha\text{int}(A)$, is defined to be the union of all α -open sets contained in A . The family of all α -open sets in (X, τ) , denoted by τ^α , is a topology on X finer than τ . The interior of a subset A in (X, τ^α) is denoted by $\text{int}_\alpha(A)$. The closure of a subset A in (X, τ^α) is denoted by $\text{cl}_\alpha(A)$. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be ω -closed [21] if $\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is semi-open. The complement of ω -closed set is ω -open. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be $\alpha\hat{g}$ -closed [1] (resp. rag -closed [17]) if $\text{cl}_\alpha(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and

¹Corresponding Author

U is ω -open (resp. regular open). A is said to be $\alpha\hat{g}$ -open (resp. $\text{r}\alpha\hat{g}$ -open) if $X-A$ is $\alpha\hat{g}$ -closed (resp. $\text{r}\alpha\hat{g}$ -closed). A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be $*g$ -closed [19] if $\text{cl}(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is ω -open. A space (X, τ) is said to be $*g$ -normal, if for every disjoint $*g$ -closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$.

An ideal \mathcal{I} on a topological space (X, τ) is a nonempty collection of subsets of X which satisfies (i) $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subset A$ imply $B \in \mathcal{I}$ and (ii) $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \in \mathcal{I}$ imply $A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$. Given a topological space (X, τ) with an ideal \mathcal{I} on X and if $\wp(X)$ is the set of all subsets of X , a set operator $(.)^* : \wp(X) \rightarrow \wp(X)$, called a local function [6] of A with respect to τ and \mathcal{I} is defined as follows: for $A \subseteq X, A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin \mathcal{I} \text{ for every } U \in \tau(x)\}$ where $\tau(x) = \{U \in \tau : x \in U\}$. We will make use of the basic facts about the local functions [5, Theorem 2.3] without mentioning it explicitly. A Kuratowski closure operator $\text{cl}^*(.)$ for a topology $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$, called the \star -topology, finer than τ is defined by $\text{cl}^*(A) = A \cup A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ [23]. When there is no chance for confusion, we will simply write A^* for $A^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$ and τ^* for $\tau^*(\mathcal{I}, \tau)$. $\text{int}^*(A)$ will denote the interior of A in (X, τ^*) . If \mathcal{I} is an ideal on X , then (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is called an ideal space. N is the ideal of all nowhere dense subsets in (X, τ) . A subset A of an ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is τ^* -closed [5] or $*$ -closed (resp. $*$ -dense in itself [4]) if $A^* \subseteq A$ (resp. $A \subseteq A^*$). A subset A of an ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed [19] if $A^* \subseteq U$ whenever U is ω -open and $A \subseteq U$. By Theorem 2.3 of [19], every $*$ -closed and hence every closed set is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed. A subset A of an ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open [19] if $X-A$ is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed. In this paper, we define \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal, $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal and \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular spaces using \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets and give characterizations and properties of such spaces. Also, characterizations of normal, mildly normal, $*g$ -normal and regular spaces are given.

An ideal \mathcal{I} is said to be codense [3] if $\tau \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$. \mathcal{I} is said to be completely codense [3] if $\text{PO}(X) \cap \mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$, where $\text{PO}(X)$ is the family of all preopen sets in (X, τ) . Every completely codense ideal is codense but not conversely [3]. The following lemmas will be useful in the sequel.

Lemma 1.1 ([20], Theorem 6). *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. If \mathcal{I} is completely codense, then $\tau^* \subset \tau^\alpha$.*

Lemma 1.2 ([19], Theorem 2.16). *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space where \mathcal{I} is completely codense. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. X is normal.
2. For any disjoint closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $A \subseteq U, B \subseteq V$.
3. For a closed set A and an open set V containing A , there exists an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set U such that $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{cl}^*(U) \subseteq V$.

Lemma 1.3. *If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is an ideal space and $A \subseteq X$, then the following hold.*

1. If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$, then A is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed if and only if A is $*g$ -closed [[19], Corollary 2.3].
2. If $\mathcal{I} = N$, then A is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed if and only if A is $\alpha\hat{g}$ -closed [19].

Lemma 1.4 ([19], Theorem 2.2). *If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is an ideal space and $A \subseteq X$, then the following are equivalent.*

1. A is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed.
2. $cl^*(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is ω -open in X .

Lemma 1.5 ([19], Theorem 2.12). *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space and $A \subset X$. Then A is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open if and only if $F \subset int^*(A)$ whenever F is ω -closed and $F \subset A$.*

Lemma 1.6 ([19], Theorem 2.15). *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. Then every subset of X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed if and only if every ω -open set is $*$ -closed.*

Proposition 1.7. [21] *Every open set is ω -open but not conversely.*

2 \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal and $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal Spaces

An ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal space if for every pair of disjoint closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$. Since every open set is an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set, every normal space is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal. The following Example 2.1 shows that an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal space is not necessarily a normal space. Theorem 2.2 below gives characterizations of \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal spaces. Theorem 2.3 below shows that the two concepts coincide for completely codense ideal spaces.

Example 2.1. *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{b\}\}$. Then $\emptyset^* = \emptyset$, $(\{a, b\})^* = \{a\}$, $(\{b, c\})^* = \{c\}$, $(\{b\})^* = \emptyset$ and $X^* = \{a, c\}$. Here every ω -open set is $*$ -closed and so, by Lemma 1.6, every subset of X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed and hence every subset of X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open. This implies that (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal. Now $\{a\}$ and $\{c\}$ are disjoint closed subsets of X which are not separated by disjoint open sets and so (X, τ) is not normal.*

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal.
2. For every pair of disjoint closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
3. For every closed set A and an open set V containing A , there exists an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set U such that $A \subset U \subset cl^*(U) \subset V$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). The proof follows from the definition of \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal spaces.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let A be a closed set and V be an open set containing A . Since A and $X - V$ are disjoint closed sets, there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and W such that $A \subset U$ and $X - V \subset W$. Again, $U \cap W = \emptyset$ implies that $U \cap int^*(W) = \emptyset$ and so $cl^*(U) \subset X - int^*(W)$. Since $X - V$ is ω -closed and W is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open, $X - V \subset W$ implies that $X - V \subset int^*(W)$ and so $X - int^*(W) \subset V$. Thus, we have $A \subset U \subset cl^*(U) \subset X - int^*(W) \subset V$ which proves (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let A and B be two disjoint closed subsets of X . By hypothesis, there exists an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set U such that $A \subset U \subset cl^*(U) \subset X - B$. If $W = X - cl^*(U)$, then U and W are the required disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets containing A and B respectively. So, (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal.

Theorem 2.3. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space where \mathcal{I} is completely codense. If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal, then it is a normal space.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{I} is completely codense. By Theorem 2.2, (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal if and only if for each pair of disjoint closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$ if and only if X is normal, by Lemma 1.2.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal space. If F is closed and A is a $*g$ -closed set such that $A \cap F = \emptyset$, then there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $F \subset V$.*

Proof. Since $A \cap F = \emptyset$, $A \subset X - F$ where $X - F$ is ω -open. Therefore, by hypothesis, $\text{cl}(A) \subset X - F$. Since $\text{cl}(A) \cap F = \emptyset$ and X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal, there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ and $F \subset V$.

The following Corollaries 2.5 and 2.6 give properties of normal spaces. If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ in Theorem 2.4, then we have the following Corollary 2.5, the proof of which follows from Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 1.3, since $\{\emptyset\}$ is a completely codense ideal. If $\mathcal{I} = N$ in Theorem 2.4, then we have the Corollary 2.6 below, since $\tau^*(N) = \tau^\alpha$ and \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets coincide with $\alpha\hat{g}$ -open sets.

Corollary 2.5. *Let (X, τ) be a normal space. If F is a closed set and A is a $*g$ -closed set disjoint from F , then there exist disjoint $*g$ -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $F \subset V$.*

Corollary 2.6. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be a normal ideal space where $\mathcal{I} = N$. If F is a closed set and A is a $*g$ -closed set disjoint from F , then there exist disjoint $\alpha\hat{g}$ -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $F \subset V$.*

Theorem 2.7. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space which is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -normal. Then the following hold.*

1. *For every closed set A and every $*g$ -open set B containing A , there exists an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set U such that $A \subset \text{int}^*(U) \subset U \subset B$.*
2. *For every $*g$ -closed set A and every open set B containing A , there exists an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed set U such that $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset B$.*

Proof. (1) Let A be a closed set and B be a $*g$ -open set containing A . Then $A \cap (X - B) = \emptyset$, where A is closed and $X - B$ is $*g$ -closed. By Theorem 2.4, there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $X - B \subset V$. Since $U \cap V = \emptyset$, we have $U \subset X - V$. By Lemma 1.5, $A \subset \text{int}^*(U)$. Therefore, $A \subset \text{int}^*(U) \subset U \subset X - V \subset B$. This proves (1).

(2) Let A be a $*g$ -closed set and B be an open set containing A . Then $X - B$ is a closed set contained in the $*g$ -open set $X - A$. By (1), there exists an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set V such that $X - B \subset \text{int}^*(V) \subset V \subset X - A$. Therefore, $A \subset X - V \subset \text{cl}^*(X - V) \subset B$. If $U = X - V$, then $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset B$ and so U is the required \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed set.

The following Corollaries 2.8 and 2.9 give some properties of normal spaces. If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ in Theorem 2.7, then we have the following Corollary 2.8. If $\mathcal{I} = N$ in Theorem 2.7, then we have the Corollary 2.9 below.

Corollary 2.8. *Let (X, τ) be a normal space. Then the following hold.*

1. *For every closed set A and every $*g$ -open set B containing A , there exists a $*g$ -open set U such that $A \subset \text{int}(U) \subset U \subset B$.*

2. For every $*g$ -closed set A and every open set B containing A , there exists a $*g$ -closed set U such that $A \subset U \subset cl(U) \subset B$.

Corollary 2.9. *Let (X, τ) be a normal space. Then the following hold.*

1. For every closed set A and every $*g$ -open set B containing A , there exists an $\alpha\hat{g}$ -open set U such that $A \subset int_\alpha(U) \subset U \subset B$.
2. For every $*g$ -closed set A and every open set B containing A , there exists an $\alpha\hat{g}$ -closed set U such that $A \subset U \subset cl_\alpha(U) \subset B$.

An ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal if for each pair of disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint open sets U and V in X such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$. Since every closed set is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed, every $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal space is normal. But a normal space need not be $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal as the following Example 2.10 shows. Theorems 2.11 and 2.13 below give characterizations of $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal spaces.

Example 2.10. *Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$. Every ω -open set is $*$ -closed and so every subset of X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed. Now $A = \{a, b\}$ and $B = \{c\}$ are disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed sets, but they are not separated by disjoint open sets. So (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is not $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal. But (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is normal.*

Theorem 2.11. *In an ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) , the following are equivalent.*

1. X is $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal.
2. For every \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed set A and every \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set B containing A , there exists an open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset cl(U) \subset B$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Let A be an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed set and B be an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set containing A . Since A and $X - B$ are disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed sets, there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $X - B \subset V$. Now $U \cap V = \emptyset$ implies that $cl(U) \subset X - V$. Therefore, $A \subset U \subset cl(U) \subset X - V \subset B$. This proves (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Suppose A and B are disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed sets, then the \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed set A is contained in the \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set $X - B$. By hypothesis, there exists an open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset cl(U) \subset X - B$. If $V = X - cl(U)$, then U and V are disjoint open sets containing A and B respectively. Therefore, (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal.

If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$, then $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal spaces coincide with $*g$ -normal spaces and so if we take $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$, in Theorem 2.11, then we have the following characterization for $*g$ -normal spaces.

Corollary 2.12. *In a space (X, τ) , the following are equivalent.*

1. X is $*g$ -normal.
2. For every $*g$ -closed set A and every $*g$ -open set B containing A , there exists an open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset cl(U) \subset B$.

Theorem 2.13. *In an ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) , the following are equivalent.*

1. X is $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal.

2. For each pair of disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed subsets A and B of X , there exists an open set U of X containing A such that $cl(U) \cap B = \emptyset$.
3. For each pair of disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed subsets A and B of X , there exist an open set U containing A and an open set V containing B such that $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \emptyset$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that A and B are disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed subsets of X . Then the \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed set A is contained in the \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set $X - B$. By Theorem 2.11, there exists an open set U such that $A \subset U \subset cl(U) \subset X - B$. Therefore, U is the required open set containing A such that $cl(U) \cap B = \emptyset$.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let A and B be two disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed subsets of X . By hypothesis, there exists an open set U of X containing A such that $cl(U) \cap B = \emptyset$. Also, $cl(U)$ and B are disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed sets of X . By hypothesis, there exists an open set V of X containing B such that $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \emptyset$.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). The proof is clear.

If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$, in Theorem 2.13, then we have the following characterizations for $*g$ -normal spaces.

Corollary 2.14. *Let (X, τ) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. X is $*g$ -normal.
2. For each pair of disjoint $*g$ -closed subsets A and B of X , there exists an open set U of X containing A such that $cl(U) \cap B = \emptyset$.
3. For each pair of disjoint $*g$ -closed subsets A and B of X , there exist an open set U containing A and an open set V containing B such that $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 2.15. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal space. If A and B are disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed subsets of X , then there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $cl^*(A) \subset U$ and $cl^*(B) \subset V$.*

Proof. Suppose that A and B are disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed sets. By Theorem 2.13(3), there exist an open set U containing A and an open set V containing B such that $cl(U) \cap cl(V) = \emptyset$. Since A is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed, $A \subset U$ implies that $cl^*(A) \subset U$. Similarly $cl^*(B) \subset V$.

If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$, in Theorem 2.15, then we have the following property of disjoint $*g$ -closed sets in $*g$ -normal spaces.

Corollary 2.16. *Let (X, τ) be a $*g$ -normal space. If A and B are disjoint $*g$ -closed subsets of X , then there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $cl(A) \subset U$ and $cl(B) \subset V$.*

Theorem 2.17. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an $*g\mathcal{I}$ -normal space. If A is an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed set and B is an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set containing A , then there exists an open set U such that $A \subset cl^*(A) \subset U \subset int^*(B) \subset B$.*

Proof. Suppose A is an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed set and B is an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set containing A . Since A and $X - B$ are disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed sets, by Theorem 2.15, there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $cl^*(A) \subset U$ and $cl^*(X - B) \subset V$. Now, $X - int^*(B) = cl^*(X - B) \subset V$ implies that $X - V \subset int^*(B)$. Again, $U \cap V = \emptyset$ implies $U \subset X - V$ and so $A \subset cl^*(A) \subset U \subset X - V \subset int^*(B) \subset B$.

If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$, in Theorem 2.17, then we have the following Corollary 2.18.

Corollary 2.18. *Let (X, τ) be a $*g$ -normal space. If A is a $*g$ -closed set and B is a $*g$ -open set containing A , then there exists an open set U such that $A \subset \text{cl}(A) \subset U \subset \text{int}(B) \subset B$.*

The following Theorem 2.19 gives a characterization of normal spaces in terms of $*g$ -open sets which follows from Lemma 1.2 if $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$.

Theorem 2.19. *Let (X, τ) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. X is normal.
2. For any disjoint closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint $*g$ -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
3. For any closed set A and open set V containing A , there exists a $*g$ -open set U such that $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}(U) \subset V$.

The rest of the section is devoted to the study of mildly normal spaces in terms of \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets, \mathcal{I}_g -open sets and \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open sets. A space (X, τ) is said to be a mildly normal space [22] if disjoint regular closed sets are separated by disjoint open sets. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be αg -closed [11] if $\text{cl}_\alpha(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is open. A subset A of a space (X, τ) is said to be g -closed [8] (resp. rg -closed [18]) if $\text{cl}(A) \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is open (resp. regular open) in X . The complements of the above closed sets are called their respective open sets.

A subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be \mathcal{I}_g -closed [14] if $A^* \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is open. A subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be a regular generalized closed set with respect to an ideal \mathcal{I} (\mathcal{I}_{rg} -closed) [14] if $A^* \subset U$ whenever $A \subset U$ and U is regular open. A is called \mathcal{I}_g -open (resp. \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open) if $X - A$ is \mathcal{I}_g -closed (resp. \mathcal{I}_{rg} -closed). Clearly, every \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed set is \mathcal{I}_g -closed and every \mathcal{I}_g -closed set is \mathcal{I}_{rg} -closed but the separate converses are not true. Theorem 2.21 below gives characterizations of mildly normal spaces. Corollary 2.22 below gives characterizations of mildly normal spaces in terms of $\alpha \hat{g}$ -open, αg -open and $r\alpha g$ -open sets. Corollary 2.23 below gives characterizations of mildly normal spaces in terms of $*g$ -open, g -open and rg -open sets. The following Lemma 2.20 is essential to prove Theorem 2.21.

Lemma 2.20. [14] *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space. A subset $A \subset X$ is \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open if and only if $F \subset \text{int}^*(A)$ whenever F is regular closed and $F \subset A$.*

Theorem 2.21. *Let (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) be an ideal space where \mathcal{I} is completely codense. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. X is mildly normal.
2. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
3. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_g -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
4. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.

5. For a regular closed set A and a regular open set V containing A , there exists an \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset V$.
6. For a regular closed set A and a regular open set V containing A , there exists an $*$ -open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset V$.
7. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint $*$ -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Suppose that A and B are disjoint regular closed sets. Since X is mildly normal, there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$. But every open set is an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set. This proves (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3). The proof follows from the fact that every \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set is an \mathcal{I}_g -open set.

(3) \Rightarrow (4). The proof follows from the fact that every \mathcal{I}_g -open set is an \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open set.

(4) \Rightarrow (5). Suppose A is a regular closed and B is a regular open set containing A . Then A and $X-B$ are disjoint regular closed sets. By hypothesis, there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $X-B \subset V$. Since $X-B$ is regular closed and V is \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open, by Lemma 2.20, $X-B \subset \text{int}^*(V)$ and so $X-\text{int}^*(V) \subset B$. Again, $U \cap V = \emptyset$ implies that $U \cap \text{int}^*(V) = \emptyset$ and so $\text{cl}^*(U) \subset X-\text{int}^*(V) \subset B$. Hence U is the required \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open set such that $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset B$.

(5) \Rightarrow (6). Let A be a regular closed set and V be a regular open set containing A . Then there exists an \mathcal{I}_{rg} -open set G of X such that $A \subset G \subset \text{cl}^*(G) \subset V$. By Lemma 2.20, $A \subset \text{int}^*(G)$. If $U = \text{int}^*(G)$, then U is an $*$ -open set and $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset \text{cl}^*(G) \subset V$. Therefore, $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset V$.

(6) \Rightarrow (7). Let A and B be disjoint regular closed subsets of X . Then $X-B$ is a regular open set containing A . By hypothesis, there exists an $*$ -open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset X-B$. If $V = X-\text{cl}^*(U)$, then U and V are disjoint $*$ -open sets of X such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.

(7) \Rightarrow (1). Let A and B be disjoint regular closed sets of X . Then there exist disjoint $*$ -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$. Since \mathcal{I} is completely co-dense, by Lemma 1.1, $\tau^* \subset \tau^\alpha$ and so $U, V \in \tau^\alpha$. Hence $A \subset U \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(U))) = G$ and $B \subset V \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(V))) = H$. G and H are the required disjoint open sets containing A and B respectively. This proves (1).

If $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$, in the above Theorem 2.21, then \mathcal{I}_{rg} -closed sets coincide with αg -closed sets and so we have the following Corollary 2.22.

Corollary 2.22. *Let (X, τ) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. X is mildly normal.
2. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint $\alpha \hat{g}$ -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
3. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint αg -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
4. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint αg -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
5. For a regular closed set A and a regular open set V containing A , there exists an αg -open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset \text{cl}_\alpha(U) \subset V$.

6. For a regular closed set A and a regular open set V containing A , there exists an α -open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset cl_\alpha(U) \subset V$.
7. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint α -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.

If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ in the above Theorem 2.21, we get the following Corollary 2.23.

Corollary 2.23. *Let (X, τ) be a space. Then the following are equivalent.*

1. X is mildly normal.
2. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint $*g$ -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
3. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint g -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
4. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint rg -open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
5. For a regular closed set A and a regular open set V containing A , there exists an rg -open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset cl(U) \subset V$.
6. For a regular closed set A and a regular open set V containing A , there exists an open set U of X such that $A \subset U \subset cl(U) \subset V$.
7. For disjoint regular closed sets A and B , there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.

3 \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular Spaces

An ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is said to be an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular space if for each pair consisting of a point x and a closed set B not containing x , there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $B \subset V$. Every regular space is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular, since every open set is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open. The following Example 3.1 shows that an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular space need not be regular. Theorem 3.2 gives a characterization of \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular spaces.

Example 3.1. *Consider the ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) of Example 2.1. Then $\emptyset^* = \emptyset$, $(\{b\})^* = \emptyset$, $(\{a, b\})^* = \{a\}$, $(\{b, c\})^* = \{c\}$ and $X^* = \{a, c\}$. Since every ω -open set is $*$ -closed, every subset of X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed and so every subset of X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open. This implies that (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular. Now, $\{c\}$ is a closed set not containing $a \in X$, $\{c\}$ and a are not separated by disjoint open sets. So (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is not regular.*

Theorem 3.2. *In an ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) , the following are equivalent.*

1. X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular.
2. For every closed set B not containing $x \in X$, there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $B \subset V$.

3. For every open set V containing $x \in X$, there exists an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set U of X such that $x \in U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset V$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are equivalent by the definition.

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let V be an open subset such that $x \in V$. Then $X - V$ is a closed set not containing x . Therefore, there exist disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets U and W such that $x \in U$ and $X - V \subset W$. Now, $X - V \subset W$ implies that $X - V \subset \text{int}^*(W)$ and so $X - \text{int}^*(W) \subset V$. Again, $U \cap W = \emptyset$ implies that $U \cap \text{int}^*(W) = \emptyset$ and so $\text{cl}^*(U) \subset X - \text{int}^*(W)$. Therefore, $x \in U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset V$. This proves (3).

(3) \Rightarrow (1). Let B be a closed set not containing x . By hypothesis, there exists an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set U such that $x \in U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset X - B$. If $W = X - \text{cl}^*(U)$, then U and W are disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets such that $x \in U$ and $B \subset W$. This proves (1).

Theorem 3.3. *If (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular, T_1 -space where \mathcal{I} is completely codense, then X is regular.*

Proof. Let B be a closed set not containing $x \in X$. By Theorem 3.2, there exists an \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open set U of X such that $x \in U \subset \text{cl}^*(U) \subset X - B$. Since X is a T_1 -space, $\{x\}$ is ω -closed and so $\{x\} \subset \text{int}^*(U)$, by Lemma 1.5. Since \mathcal{I} is completely codense, $\tau^* \subset \tau^\alpha$ and so $\text{int}^*(U)$ and $X - \text{cl}^*(U)$ are τ^α -open sets. Now, $x \in \text{int}^*(U) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{int}^*(U)))) = G$ and $B \subset X - \text{cl}^*(U) \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int}(X - \text{cl}^*(U)))) = H$. Then G and H are disjoint open sets containing x and B respectively. Therefore, X is regular.

If $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{N}$ in Theorem 3.2, then we have the following Corollary 3.4 which gives characterizations of regular spaces, the proof of which follows from Theorem 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *If (X, τ) is a T_1 -space, then the following are equivalent.*

1. X is regular.
2. For every closed set B not containing $x \in X$, there exist disjoint $\alpha\hat{g}$ -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $B \subset V$.
3. For every open set V containing $x \in X$, there exists an $\alpha\hat{g}$ -open set U of X such that $x \in U \subset \text{cl}_\alpha(U) \subset V$.

If $\mathcal{I} = \{\emptyset\}$ in Theorem 3.2, then we have the following Corollary 3.5 which gives characterizations of regular spaces.

Corollary 3.5. *If (X, τ) is a T_1 -space, then the following are equivalent.*

1. X is regular.
2. For every closed set B not containing $x \in X$, there exist disjoint $*g$ -open sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $B \subset V$.
3. For every open set V containing $x \in X$, there exists a $*g$ -open set U of X such that $x \in U \subset \text{cl}_\alpha(U) \subset V$.

Theorem 3.6. *If every ω -open subset of an ideal space (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is $*$ -closed, then (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular.*

Proof. Suppose every ω -open subset of X is $*$ -closed. Then by Lemma 1.6, every subset of X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -closed and hence every subset of X is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open. If B is a closed set not containing x , then $\{x\}$ and B are the required disjoint \mathcal{I}_{*g} -open sets containing x and B respectively. Therefore, (X, τ, \mathcal{I}) is \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular.

The following Example 3.7 shows that the reverse direction of the above Theorem 3.6 is not true.

Example 3.7. Consider the real line \mathcal{R} with the usual topology. Let $\mathcal{I}=\{\emptyset\}$. Then \mathcal{R} is regular and hence \mathcal{I}_{*g} -regular. But open sets are not closed and hence open sets are not $*$ -closed. Thus ω -open sets are not $*$ -closed.

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful for the referees for their valuable comments.

References

- [1] M. E. Abd EL. Monsef, M. Lellis Thivagar and S. Rosemary, $\alpha\hat{g}$ -closed sets in topological spaces, Assiut Univ. J. of Math and Comp. sci., 36(1)(2007), 43-51.
- [2] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and T. Noiri, Unified approach of generalized closed sets via topological ideals, Math. Japonica., 49(1999), 395-401.
- [3] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and D. Rose, Ideal resolvability, Topology and its Applications, 93(1999), 1-16.
- [4] E. Hayashi, Topologies defined by local properties, Math. Ann., 156(1964), 205-215.
- [5] D. Jankovic and T. R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97(1990), no. 4, 295-310.
- [6] K. Kuratowski, Topology, Vol. I, Academic Press (New York, 1966).
- [7] N. Levine, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70(1963), 36-41.
- [8] N. Levine, Generalized closed sets in topology, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), 19(1970), 89-96.
- [9] S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, Some new separation axioms, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, 89(1975), 395-402.
- [10] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Generalized α -closed sets in topology, Bull. Fukuoka Univ. Ed. III, 42(1993), 13-21.
- [11] H. Maki, R. Devi and K. Balachandran, Associated topologies of generalized α -closed sets and α -generalized closed sets, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math., 15(1994), 51-63.

- [12] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings, Proc. Math. Phy. Soc. Egypt, 53(1982), 47-53.
- [13] B. M. Munshi, Separation Axioms, Acta Ciencia Indica, 12(1986), 140-144.
- [14] M. Navaneethakrishnan and J. Paulraj Joseph, g-closed sets in ideal topological spaces, Acta Math. Hungar., 119(2008), no. 4, 365-371.
- [15] O. Njastad, On some classes of nearly open sets, Pacific J. Math., 15(1965), no. 3, 961-970.
- [16] T. Noiri and V. Popa, On g-regular spaces and some functions, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math., 20(1999), 67-74.
- [17] T. Noiri, Almost α g-closed functions and separation axioms, Acta Math. Hungar., 82(1999), no.3, 193-205.
- [18] N. Palaniappan and K. Chandrasekra Rao, Regular generalized closed sets, Kyungpook Math. J., 33(1993), no. 2, 211-219.
- [19] O. Ravi, S. Tharmar, M. Sangeetha and J. Antony Rex Rodrigo, *g-closed sets in ideal topological spaces, Jordan Journal of Mathematics and Statistics, 6(1)(2013), 1-13.
- [20] V. Renuka Devi, D. Sivaraj and T. Tamizh Chelvam, Codense and completely codense ideals, Acta Math. Hungar., 108(2005), no. 3, 197-205.
- [21] M. Sheik John, A study on generalizations of closed sets and continuous maps in topological and bitopological spaces, Ph. D, Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, (2002).
- [22] M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal, Mildly normal spaces, Kyungpook Math. J., 13(1973), 27-31.
- [23] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, Set Topology, Chelsea Publishing Company (1946).