



Received: 26.05.2014 Editors-in-Chief: Naim Çağman Accepted: 06.08.2014 Area Editor: Oktay Muhtaroğlu

# Generalized $\omega\alpha$ -Closed Sets in Topological Spaces

S. S. Benchalli (benchalliss@gmail.com) P. G. Patil<sup>1</sup> (pgpatil01@gmail.com) P. M. Nalwad (pushpanalwad@gmail.com)

Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad-580 003, Karnataka State, India.

**Abstract** - The aim of this paper is to introduce a new class of closed sets called  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed sets using  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces. This class is independent of  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets. This new class of set lies between the class of  $\alpha$ -closed sets and the class of  $\alpha$ g-closed sets. Some of their properties are investigated. We also define and study the  $g\omega\alpha$ -closure and  $g\omega\alpha$ -interior in topological spaces.

*Keywords* **Topological** spaces, generalized closed sets,  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets,  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed sets and  $g\omega\alpha$ -open sets.

#### 1 Introduction

In 1969 Levine [9] gives the concept and properties of generalized closed (briefly g-closed) sets and the complement of g-closed set is said to be g-open set. In 1982 Mashhour et.al [13] introduced and studied the concept of pre-open set. Later Maki et.al [12], Dontechev [6], Gyanambal [7], Arya and Nour [3] and Bhattacharya and Lahiri [4] introduced and studied the concepts of gp-closed, gsp-closed, gpr-closed, gsclosed, sg-closed and  $\alpha$ g-closed and their compliments are respective open sets.

N Jasted [16] introduced and studied the concept of  $\alpha$ -sets. Later these sets are called as  $\alpha$ -open sets in 1983. Mashhours et.al [14] introduced and studied the concept of  $\alpha$ -closed sets,  $\alpha$ -closure of set,  $\alpha$ -continuous functions,  $\alpha$ -open functions and  $\alpha$ -closed functions in topological spaces. Maki et.al [10] [11] introduced and studied generalized  $\alpha$ -closed sets and  $\alpha$ -generalized closed sets. Sundarm and Sheik John [20] defined and studied  $\omega$ -closed sets in topological spaces and recently S.S.Benchalli et.al [5] studied  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Corresponding Author

### 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper space  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  (or simply X and Y) always denote topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. For a subset A of a space  $(X, \tau)$  Cl(A), Int(A) and  $A^c$  denote the Closure of A, Interior of A and Compliment of A respectively.

**Definition 2.1.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called,

- (i) Semi-open set [8] if  $A \subseteq Cl(Int(A))$  and Semi-closed set if  $Int(Cl(A)) \subseteq A$ .
- (ii) Pre-open set [13] if  $A \subseteq Int(Cl(A))$  and Pre-closed set if  $Cl(Int(A)) \subseteq A$ .
- (iii)  $\alpha$ -open set [16] if  $A \subset Int(Cl(Int(A)))$  and  $\alpha$ -closed set if  $Cl(Int(Cl(A))) \subset A$ .
- (iv) Semi-pre-open set [2] (= $\beta$ -open set [1]) if  $A \subseteq Cl(Int(Cl(A)))$  and semi-pre-closed (= $\beta$ -closed set [1])) if  $(Cl(Int(Cl(A))) \subseteq A$ .
- (v) Regular-open [7] if A=Int(Cl(A)) and Regular-closed if A=Cl(Int(A)).

The  $\alpha$ -closure of A is the smallest  $\alpha$ -closed set containing A, and this is denoted by  $\alpha Cl(A)$ . Similarly the semi-closure (resp pre-closure and semi-pre-closure) of a set A in a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is the intersection of all semi-closed (resp pre-closed and semi-pre-closed) sets containing A and is denoted by scl(A) (resp pcl(A) and spcl(A)).

#### **Definition 2.2.** A subset of a topological space $(X, \tau)$ is called a,

- (i) Generalized closed (briefly g-closed) set [9] if  $cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- (ii) Semi-generalized closed (briefly sg-closed) set [4] if  $scl(A)\subseteq U$  whenever  $A\subseteq U$  and U is Semi-open in X.
- (iii) Generalized semi-closed (briefly gs-closed) set [3] if  $scl(A)\subseteq U$  whenever  $A\subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- (iv) Generalized  $\alpha$ -closed (briefly  $g\alpha$ -closed) set [10] if  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\alpha$ -open in X.
- (v)  $\alpha$ -generalized closed (briefly  $\alpha g$ -closed) set [11] if  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- (vi) Generalized pre-closed (briefly gp-closed) set [12] if  $pcl(A)\subseteq U$  whenever  $A\subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- (vii) Generalized semi-pre-closed (briefly gsp-closed) set [6] if  $\operatorname{spcl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- (viii) Generalized pre-regular-closed (briefly gpr-closed) set [7] if  $pcl(A)\subseteq U$  whenever  $A\subseteq U$  and U is regular-open in X.
- (ix) Weakly closed (briefly  $\omega$ -closed) set [21] if  $cl(A)\subseteq U$  whenever  $A\subseteq U$  and U is semi-open in X.
- (x) Weakly generalized closed (briefly  $\omega g$ -closed) set [20] if  $cl(int(A)) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X.
- (xi) Strongly generalized closed (briefly  $g^*$ -closed) set [18] if  $cl(A)\subseteq U$  whenever  $A\subseteq U$  and U is g-open in X.
- (xii) Regular generalized closed (briefly rg-closed) set [17] if  $cl(A)\subseteq U$  whenever  $A\subseteq U$  and U is regular-open in X.
- (xiii)  $\alpha$ -generalized regular closed (briefly  $\alpha$ gr-closed) set [23] if  $\alpha$ cl(A) $\subseteq$ U whenever  $A\subseteq U$  and U is regular-open in X.
- (xv)  $g^*$ -preclosed (briefly  $g^*$ p-closed) [22] if  $pcl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is g-open

in X.

(xiv)  $\omega \alpha$  closed set [5] if  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\omega$ -open in X.

The compliment of the above mentioned closed sets are their open sets respectively.

## 3 $\mathbf{g}\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in Topological spaces.

In this section we introduce  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in topological space and study some of their properties.

**Definition 3.1.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a generalized  $\omega \alpha$ -closed  $(g\omega \alpha$ -closed) set if  $\alpha \operatorname{cl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is  $\omega \alpha$ -open in X.

**Theorem 3.2.** Every closed set in X is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set.

**Proof:** Let A be a closed set in a topological space X, let G be any  $\omega \alpha$ -open sets in X such that  $A \subseteq G$ , Since A is closed, we have cl(A) = A, but  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq cl(A)$  is always true. So  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq cl(A) \subseteq G$ . Therefore  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq G$ . Hence A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed set.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$  then the set  $A = \{a, c\}$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed but not closed.

**Theorem 3.4.** Every  $\alpha$ -closed set in X is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set.

**Proof:** Let A be  $\alpha$ -closed set in a topological space X. Let U be  $\omega \alpha$ -open set in X such that  $A \subseteq U$ . Since A is  $\alpha$ -closed we have  $\alpha cl(A) = A \subseteq U$ . Therefore  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ . Hence A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed set.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$  then the set  $A = \{b\}$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed but not  $\alpha$ -closed in X.

**Theorem 3.6.** Every  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X is  $\alpha g$ -closed set in X.

**Proof:** Let A be  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X. Let U be any open set in X, such that  $A \subseteq U$ . Since every open set is  $\omega\alpha$ -open set and A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed, we have  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$  and hence A is  $\alpha g$ -closed set in X.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}\}$  then the set  $A = \{a, b\}$  is  $\alpha g$ -closed but not  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed in X.

**Remark 3.8.** From the theorem 3.4 and 3.6 it follows that  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set properly lies between  $\alpha$ -closed set and  $\alpha g$ -closed set.

**Theorem 3.9.** Every regular-closed (resp  $\omega$ -closed,  $g\alpha$ -closed) set is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set.

**Proof:** The proof is obvious from theorem 3.2.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.10.** In Example 3.3 the set  $A = \{a, c\}$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed but not regular-closed  $(\omega$ -closed,  $g\alpha$ -closed) set in X.

**Theorem 3.11.** Every  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X is gs-closed (resp gp-closed, gsp-closed, gpr-closed,  $\alpha$ gr-closed,  $\alpha$ gr-closed,  $\alpha$ gr-closed,  $\alpha$ gr-closed) set in X.

**Proof:** Since every open set is  $\omega \alpha$ -open [5], the proof follows.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.12.** In Example 3.7, the set  $A = \{a, b\}$  is gs-closed (gp-closed, gsp-closed, gpr-closed,  $\alpha$ gr-closed,  $\alpha$ gr-closed but not  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed in X.

**Example 3.13.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{a, c\}\}$  then the set  $A = \{a, b\}$  is  $g^*p$ -closed but not  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X.

**Remark 3.14.** The concept of  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set is independent of the concept of sets namely p-closed, sp-closed, semi-closed, g-closed, sg-closed,  $g^*$ -closed,  $g^*$ -closed,  $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.15.** In Example 3.10, the set  $A = \{a, c\}$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed but not p-closed, sp-closed, semi-closed, sg-closed, and the set  $B=\{b\}$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed but not g-closed and  $g^*$ -closed in X.

**Example 3.16.** In Example 3.5, the set  $A = \{b\}$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed but not  $\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X.

**Example 3.17.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{b, c\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$  then the set  $A = \{b\}$  is p-closed and sp-closed but not  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X.

**Example 3.18.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{X, \phi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$  then the set  $A = \{a\}$  is semi-closed, sg-closed and  $g^*s$ -closed but not  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X.

**Example 3.19.** In Example 3.13, the set  $A = \{a, b\}$  is g-closed,  $g^*$ -closed, and  $\omega \alpha$ -closed but not  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed set in X.

**Theorem 3.20.** Union of two  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed sets are a  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set.

**Proof:** Let A and B be two  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in  $(X, \tau)$ , let G be any  $\omega\alpha$ -open set in  $(X, \tau)$ , such that  $A \cup B \subseteq G$ . Then  $A \subseteq G$  and  $B \subseteq G$ . Since A and B are  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed sets,  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq G$  and  $\alpha cl(B) \subseteq G$ . Therefore  $\alpha cl(A) \cup \alpha cl(B) = \alpha cl(A \cup B) \subseteq G$ . Hence  $A \cup B$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set.

**Theorem 3.21.** If a subset A of X is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  then  $\alpha cl(A)$ -A does not contain any non empty  $\omega\alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Proof:** Suppose A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed and F be a non empty  $\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of  $\alpha cl(A)$ -A. Then  $F \subseteq \alpha cl(A) \cap (X-A)$ . Since (X-A) is  $\omega\alpha$ -open and A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed.  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq (X-A)$ , therefore  $F \subseteq (X-\alpha cl(A))$ . Thus  $F \subseteq \alpha cl(A) \cap (X-\alpha cl(A)) = \phi$ . That is  $F = \phi$ . Thus  $\alpha cl(A)$ -A does not contain any non-empty  $\omega\alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

However the converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.22.** In Example 3.17, the set  $A = \{a, b\}$  then  $\alpha cl(A) - A = \{c, d\}$  does not contain non empty  $\omega \alpha$ -closed set. But A is not  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.23.** If A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X and  $A \subseteq B \subseteq \alpha cl(A)$  then B is also  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X.

**Proof:** It is given that A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X. To prove B is also  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set of X. Let U be an  $\omega\alpha$ -open set of X, such that  $B \subseteq U$ . Since  $A \subseteq B$ , we have  $A \subseteq U$ . Since A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed, and  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ . Now  $\alpha cl(B) \subseteq \alpha cl(\alpha cl(A)) = \alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ . So  $\alpha cl(B) \subseteq U$ . Hence B is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X.

However the converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 3.24.** In Example 3.5, the set  $A = \{a\}$  and  $B = \{a, b\}$  such that A and B are  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed sets but  $A \subseteq B \nsubseteq \alpha cl(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.25.** For each  $x \in X$  either x is  $\omega \alpha$ -closed or  $x^c$  is  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed in X.

**Proof:** Suppose  $\{x\}$  is not  $\omega\alpha$ -closed in X, then  $\{x\}^c$  is not  $\omega\alpha$ -open and the only  $\omega\alpha$ -open set containing  $\{x\}^c$  is the space X itself. Therefore  $\alpha cl(\{x\}^c)\subseteq X$ . and hence  $\{x\}^c$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.26.** Let A be  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then A is  $\alpha$ -closed if and only if  $\alpha cl(A)$ -A is  $\omega\alpha$ -closed.

**Proof:** Necessity: Suppose A be  $\alpha$ -closed. Then  $\alpha cl(A) = A$  and so  $\alpha cl(A) - A = \phi$ , which is  $\omega \alpha$ -closed.

Sufficiency: Suppose  $\alpha cl(A)$ -A is  $\omega \alpha$ -closed. Then  $\alpha cl(A)$ -A =  $\phi$ , since A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed. That is  $\alpha cl(A)$ -A or A is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.27.** Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ , and suppose that A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X. Then A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed relative to Y.

**Proof:** Let  $A \subseteq Y \cap G$  where G is  $\omega \alpha$ -open. Then  $A \subseteq G$  and hence  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq G$ . This implies that  $Y \cap \alpha cl(A) \subseteq Y \cap G$ . Thus A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed relative to Y.

Now we introduce the following.

**Definition 3.28.** A subset A of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set if its compliment  $A^c$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed.

**Theorem 3.29.** A subset A of  $(X, \tau)$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set if and only if  $U \subseteq \alpha$  int(A) whenever U is  $\omega\alpha$ -closed and  $U \subseteq A$ .

**Proof:** Assume that A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open in X and U is  $\omega\alpha$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $U \subseteq A$ . Then X-A is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Also X-A  $\subseteq$  X-U and X-U is  $\omega\alpha$ -open set of  $(X, \tau)$ . This implies that  $\alpha cl(X-A) \subseteq X$ -U. But  $\alpha cl(X-A) = X$ - $\alpha int(A)$ . Thus X- $\alpha int(A) \subseteq X$ -U. So  $U \subseteq \alpha int(A)$ .

Conversely: Suppose  $U \subseteq \alpha int(A)$  whenever U is  $\omega \alpha$ -closed and  $U \subseteq A$ , To prove that A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -open. Let G be  $\omega \alpha$ -open set of  $(X, \tau)$  such that X- $A \subseteq G$ . Then X- $G \subseteq A$ . Now X-G is  $\omega \alpha$ -closed set containing A. So X- $G \subseteq \alpha int(A)$ , X- $\alpha int(A) \subseteq G$ , But  $\alpha cl(X$ -A) = X- $\alpha int(A)$ . Thus  $\alpha cl(X$ - $A) \subseteq G$ . That is X-A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed set and hence A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -open.

**Theorem 3.30.** If A is  $\omega \alpha$ -open and  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed set then A is  $\alpha$ -closed.

**Proof:** Since  $A \subseteq A$  and A is  $\omega \alpha$ -open and  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed, we have  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq A$ . Thus  $\alpha cl(A) = A$ . Hence A is  $\alpha$ -closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Theorem 3.31.** A regular open  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set is preclosed and hence clopen.

**Proof:** Let A be regular open  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed. Since regular open set is  $\omega\alpha$ -open,  $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq A$ . This implies A is  $\alpha$ -closed. Since every  $\alpha$ -closed (regular) open set is (regular) closed, A is clopen.

**Theorem 3.32.** A set A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $F \subseteq \alpha int(A)$  whenever F is  $\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $F \subseteq A$ .

**Proof:** Suppose  $F \subseteq \alpha int(A)$  where F is  $\omega \alpha$ -closed and  $F \subseteq A$ . Let X- $A \subseteq G$  where G is  $\omega \alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $G \subseteq X$ -G and X- $G \subseteq \alpha int(A)$ . Thus X-A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Hence A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Conversely: Suppose that A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open.  $F \subseteq A$  and F is  $\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then X-F is  $\omega\alpha$ -open and X-A  $\subseteq$  X-F. Therefore  $\alpha cl(X-A) \subseteq X$ -F. But  $\alpha cl(X-A) = X-\alpha int(A)$ . Hence  $F \subseteq \alpha int(A)$ .

**Theorem 3.33.** A subset A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if G = X whenever G is  $\omega\alpha$ -open and  $\alpha$  int $(A) \cup (X-G) \subseteq G$ .

**Proof:** Let A be  $g\omega\alpha$ -open. G be  $\omega\alpha$ -open and  $\alpha int(A) \cup (X-A) \subseteq G$ . This gives  $X-G \subseteq (X-\alpha int(A)) \cap (X-(X-A)) = X-\alpha int(A)-(X-A) = \alpha cl(X-A)-(X-A)$ . Since X-A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed and X-G is  $\omega\alpha$ -closed. Then by theorem 3.32 it follows that  $X-G = \phi$ . Therefore X = G.

Conversely: Suppose F is  $\omega \alpha$ -closed and  $F \subseteq A$ . Then  $\alpha int(A) \cup (X-A) \subseteq \alpha int(A) \cup (X-F)$ . It follows that  $\alpha int(A) \cup (X-F) = X$  and hence  $F \subseteq \alpha int(A)$ . Therefore A is  $g\omega \alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

# 4 $\mathbf{g}\omega\alpha$ -Closure and $\mathbf{g}\omega\alpha$ -Interior

In this section the notion of  $g\omega\alpha$ -closure and  $g\omega\alpha$ -interior is defined and some of its basic properties are studied.

**Definition 4.1.** For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$   $g\omega\alpha$ -closure of A is denoted by  $g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  and is defined as  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = \bigcap \{G; A \subseteq G, G \text{ is } g\omega\alpha\text{-closed in } (X, \tau)\}.$ 

**Theorem 4.2.** For an  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  if and only if  $A \cap V \neq \phi$  for every  $g\omega\alpha$ open set V containing x.

**Proof:** Let  $x \in g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ . Suppose there exists a  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set V containing x such that  $V \cap A = \phi$ . Then  $A \subseteq X$ -V,  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \subseteq X$ -V. This implies  $x \notin g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $A \cap V \neq \phi$ .

Conversely, Suppose  $x \notin g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  then there exists  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set G containing A such that  $x \notin G$ . Then  $x \in X$ -G and X-G is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open. Also (X- $G) \cap A = \phi$  which is a contradiction to the hypothesis, Hence  $x \in g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** If  $A \subseteq X$ , then  $A \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A) \subseteq cl(A)$ .

**Proof:** Since every closed set is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed, the proof follows.

**Remark 4.4.** Both containment relations in the theorem 4.3 may be proper as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.5.** In Example 3.10, the set  $A = \{a\}$  then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = \{a, c\}$  and cl(A) = X, and so  $A \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A) \subseteq cl(A)$ .

**Theorem 4.6.** If A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed, then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = A$ .

**Proof:** Let A be  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $A \subseteq A$  and A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set,  $A \in \{G; A \subseteq G, G \text{ is } g\omega\alpha\text{-closed set }\}$  which implies that  $A = \cap \{G; A \subseteq G, G \text{ is } g\omega\alpha\text{-closed set }\} \subseteq A$ , that is  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \subseteq A$ . But  $A \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  is always true. Hence  $A = g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** If  $A \subseteq X$  and A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed, then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  is the smallest  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of X containing A.

**Proof:** Let A be  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = \bigcap \{G; A \subseteq G, G \text{ is } g\omega\alpha\text{-closed in } (X, \tau)\}$  Since  $A \subseteq A$  and A is  $g\omega\alpha\text{-closed set, } g\omega\alpha cl(A) = A$  is the smallest  $g\omega\alpha\text{-closed subset of } X$  containing A.

However the converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.8.** In Example 3.13, the set  $A = \{a, c\}$  then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = X$ , which is the smallest  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in X containing A but A is not  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Remark 4.9.** The following example shows that for any two subsets A and B of X,  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \neq g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ .

**Example 4.10.** In example 3.13, the set  $A = \{c\}$  and  $B = \{a, c\}$  then  $A \subseteq B$ . Now  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = \{c\}$  and  $g\omega\alpha cl(B) = X$ . Hence  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \neq g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ .

**Remark 4.11.** For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$   $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \neq cl(A)$  as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.12.** In Example 3.13, the set  $A = \{c\} \subseteq X$ ,  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = \{c\}$  and  $cl(A) = \{b, c\}$  Therefore  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \neq cl(A)$ .

**Remark 4.13.** For any two subsets A and B of  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = g\omega\alpha cl(B)$  does not imply that A = B. This is shown by the following example.

**Example 4.14.** In Example 3.7, the set  $A = \{a\}$  and  $B = \{a, c\}$  then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ . But  $A \neq B$ .

**Theorem 4.15.** Let A and B be the subsets of  $(X, \tau)$ , Then,

- 1.  $g\omega\alpha cl(\phi) = \phi$ .
- 2.  $g\omega\alpha cl(X) = X$ .
- 3.  $g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ .
- 4. If  $A \subseteq B$  then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ .
- 5.  $g\omega\alpha cl(A \cup B) = g\omega\alpha cl(A) \cup g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ .
- 6.  $g\omega\alpha cl(g\omega\alpha cl(A)) = g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ .

**Proof:** Proof of (1), (2), (3) and (4) are obvious from definition 4.1.

- (5). We know that  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A \cup B)$  and  $g\omega\alpha cl(B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A \cup B) \Rightarrow g\omega\alpha cl(A) \cup g\omega\alpha cl(B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A \cup B) (i)$ . Now we prove  $g\omega\alpha cl(A \cup B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A) \cup g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ . let x be any point such that  $x \notin g\omega\alpha(A) \cup g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ , then there exists  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed sets P and Q such that  $A \subseteq P$  and  $B \subseteq Q$ ,  $x \notin P$  and Q, then  $x \notin P \cup Q$ ,  $A \cup B \subseteq P \cup Q$  and  $P \cup Q$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set by Theorem 3.20, thus  $x \notin g\omega\alpha cl(A \cup B) \Rightarrow g\omega\alpha cl(A \cup B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A) \cup g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ -(ii). From (i) and (ii)  $g\omega\alpha cl(A \cup B) = g\omega\alpha cl(A) \cup g\omega\alpha(B)$ .
- (6). Let P be  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set containing A. Then by definition 4.1  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \subseteq P$ . Since P is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set and contains  $g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  and is contained in every  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set containing A, it follows  $g\omega\alpha cl(g\omega\alpha cl(A)) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ . Therefore  $g\omega\alpha cl(g\omega\alpha cl(A)) = g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ .

**Theorem 4.16.** Let A and B be subset of  $(X, \tau)$  then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A \cap B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A) \cap g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ .

**Proof:** Since  $A \cap B \subseteq A$  and  $A \cap B \subseteq B$ , by theorem 4.15 (4),  $g\omega\alpha cl(A \cap B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  and  $g\omega\alpha cl(A \cap B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ . Thus  $g\omega\alpha cl(A \cap B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A) \cap g\omega\alpha cl(B)$ .

In general  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \cap g\omega\alpha cl(B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A \cap B)$  as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.17.** In Example 3.18, the set  $A = \{a\}$  and  $B = \{b\}$  then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) = \{a, c\}$  and  $g\omega\alpha cl(B) = \{b, c\}$  and  $g\omega\alpha cl(A \cap B) = \phi$ . Hence  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \cap g\omega\alpha cl(B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha cl(A \cap B)$ .

Now we introduce the following.

**Definition 4.18.** For a subset A of  $(X, \tau)$   $g\omega\alpha$ -interior of A is denoted by  $g\omega\alpha$ int(A) and is defined as  $g\omega\alpha$ int $(A) = \bigcup \{ G; G \subseteq A \text{ and } G \text{ is } g\omega\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau) \}$ . that is  $g\omega\alpha$ int(A) is the union of all  $g\omega\alpha$ -open sets contained in A.

**Theorem 4.19.** Let A be subset of  $(X, \tau)$  then  $g\omega\alpha int(A)$  is the largest  $g\omega\alpha$ -open subset of X contained in A if A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open.

**Proof:** Let  $A \subseteq X$  be  $g\omega\alpha$ -open, then  $g\omega\alpha int(A) = \bigcup \{G; G \subseteq A \text{ and } G \text{ is } g\omega\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau) \}$  Since  $A \subseteq A$  and A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open,  $A = g\omega\alpha int(A)$  is the largest  $g\omega\alpha$ -open subset of X contained in A.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.20.** In Example 3.18, the set  $A = \{b, c\}$ , then  $g\omega\alpha int(A) = \{b\}$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ , but A is not  $g\omega\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Remark 4.21.** For any subset A of X,  $int(A) \subseteq g\omega\alpha int(A) \subseteq A$ .

**Remark 4.22.** For a subset A of X,  $g\omega\alpha int(A) \neq int(A)$  as seen from the following example.

**Example 4.23.** In Example 3.5, the set  $A = \{b\}$ , then  $g\omega\alpha int(A) = \{b\}$  and  $int(A) = \phi$  hence  $g\omega\alpha int(A) \neq int(A)$ .

**Remark 4.24.** For any two subsets A and B of X  $g\omega\alpha int(A) = g\omega\alpha int(B)$  does not imply that A = B. That is shown by the following example.

**Example 4.25.** In Example 3.7, the set  $A = \{b\}$  and  $B = \{c\}$  then  $g\omega\alpha int(A) = \phi = g\omega\alpha int(B)$ . But  $A \neq B$ .

**Remark 4.26.** For any two subsets A and B of X,  $g\omega\alpha int(A) \cup g\omega\alpha int(B) \neq g\omega\alpha int(A \cup B)$ .

**Example 4.27.** In Example 3.18 the set  $A = \{b, c\}$  and  $B = \{a, c\}$  now  $g\omega\alpha int(A) = \{b\}$  and  $g\omega\alpha int(B) = \{a\}$  and  $g\omega\alpha int(A \cup B) = g\omega\alpha intX = X$ . Hence  $g\omega\alpha int(A) \cup g\omega\alpha int(B) \neq g\omega\alpha int(A \cup B)$ .

**Theorem 4.28.** For any subset A of  $X[X-g\omega\alpha int(A)]=[g\omega\alpha cl(X-A)].$ 

**Proof:** Let  $X \in X$ - $g\omega\alpha int(A)$ , then X is not in  $g\omega\alpha int(A)$ , that is every  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G containing x is such that  $G \subseteq A$ . This implies every  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G containing x intersects X-A. That is  $G \cap (X$ - $A) \neq \phi$ . Then by theorem 4.2  $x \in g\omega\alpha cl(X$ -A) and therefore [X- $g\omega\alpha int(A)] \subseteq [g\omega\alpha cl(X$ -A)].

Conversely; Let  $x \in g\omega\alpha cl(X-A)$ , then every  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G containing x intersects X-A, That is,  $G \cap (X-A) \neq \phi$ . That is every  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G containing x is such that  $G \subseteq A$ . Then by definition 4.18, x not in  $g\omega\alpha int(A)$ , that is  $x \in [X-g\omega\alpha int(A)]$ ; and so  $[g\omega\alpha cl(X-A)] \subseteq [X-g\omega\alpha int(A)]$ . Thus  $[X-g\omega\alpha int(A)] = [g\omega\alpha cl(X-A)]$ .

# 5 $\mathbf{g}\omega\alpha$ -Neighborhoods and $\mathbf{g}\omega\alpha$ -Limit points

In this section we define the notion of  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood,  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit point and  $g\omega\alpha$ -derived set of a set and show some of their basic properties and analogous to those for open sets.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and let  $x \in X$ . A subset N of X is said to be  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of a point  $x \in X$  if there exists an  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G such that  $x \in G \subseteq N$ .

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and A be a subset of X, A subset N of X is said to be  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of A if there exists an  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G such that  $A \in G \subset N$ .

The collection of all  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of  $x\in X$  is called the  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood system at x and shall be denoted by  $g\omega\alpha N(x)$ .

**Theorem 5.3.** A subset A of a topological space is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open if it is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of each of its points.

**Proof:** Let a subset G of a topological space be  $g\omega\alpha$ -open. Then for every  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in G \subseteq G$ , and therefore G is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of each of its points.

The converse of the above theorem need not be true as seen from the following example.

**Example 5.4.** In Example 3.7 the set  $A = \{b, c\}$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of each of its points b and c but A is not  $g\omega\alpha$ -open.

**Theorem 5.5.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. If A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed subset of X and  $x \in g\omega\alpha cl(A)$  if and only if for any  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood N of x in  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $N \cap A \neq \phi$ .

**Proof:** Let us assume that there is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood N of the point x in  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $N \cap A = \phi$ . There exist an  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G of X such that  $X \in G \subseteq N$ . Therefore we have  $G \cap A = \phi$  and so  $x \in X$ -G. Then  $g\omega\alpha cl(A) \in X$ -G and therefore  $x \notin g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ , which is the contradiction to the hypothesis  $x \in g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ . Therefore  $N \cap A \neq \phi$ .

Conversely: Suppose that  $x \notin g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ . Then there exists a  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set G of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $A \subseteq G$  and  $x \notin G$ . Thus  $x \in X$ -G and X-G is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  and hence X-G is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of x in  $(X, \tau)$ . But  $A \cap (X$ - $G) = \phi$  which is a contradiction. Hence  $x \in g\omega\alpha cl(A)$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $x \in X$ . Let  $g\omega \alpha N(x)$  be the collection of all  $g\omega \alpha$ -neighborhood of x. Then,

- 1.  $g\omega\alpha N(x) \neq \phi$  and  $x \in each member of <math>g\omega\alpha N(x)$ .
- 2. The intersection of the any two members of  $q\omega\alpha N(x)$  is again a member of  $q\omega\alpha N(x)$ .
- 3. If  $N \in g\omega\alpha N(x)$  and  $M \subseteq N$ , then  $M \in g\omega\alpha N(x)$ .
- 4. Each member  $N \in g\omega\alpha N(x)$  is a superset of a member  $G \in g\omega\alpha N(x)$  where G is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set.

- **Proof:** (1). Since X is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set containing p, it is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of every  $p \in X$ . Hence there exists at least one  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood namely X for each  $p \in X$  there is  $g\omega\alpha N(p) \neq \phi$ . Let  $N \in g\omega\alpha N(p)$ , N is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of p, then there exists a  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G such that  $p \in G \subseteq N$  so  $p \in N$ . Therefore  $p \in every$  member N of  $g\omega\alpha N(p)$ .
- (2). Let  $N \in g\omega\alpha N(p)$  and  $M \in g\omega\alpha N(p)$ . Then by definition 5.1, there exists  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G and F such that  $p \in G \subseteq N$  and  $p \in F \subseteq M$ . Hence  $p \in G \cap F \subseteq M \cap N$ . Note that  $G \cap F$  is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set. Therefore it follows that  $N \cap M$  is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of p. Hence  $N \cap M \in g\omega\alpha N(p)$ .
- (3). If  $N \in g\omega\alpha N(p)$  then there is an  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G such that  $p \in G \subseteq N$ . Since  $M \subseteq N$ , M is  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of p. Hence  $M \in g\omega\alpha N(p)$ .
- (4). Let  $N \in g\omega\alpha N(p)$  then there exists a  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G, such that  $p \in G \subseteq N$ . Since G is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open and  $p \in G$ , G is  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of G. Therefore  $G \in g\omega\alpha N(p)$  and also  $G \subseteq N$ .

**Definition 5.7.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and A be a subset of X. Then a point  $x \in X$  is called a  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit point of A if and only if every  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of x contains a point of A distinct from x. That is  $[N-\{x\}] \cap A \neq \phi$  for each  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood N of x. Also equivalently if and only if every  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G containing x contains a point of A other then x.

In a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  the set of all  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit points of a given subset A of X is called a  $g\omega\alpha$ -derived set of A and is denoted by  $g\omega\alpha d(A)$ .

**Theorem 5.8.** Let A and B be subset of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then,

- 1.  $g\omega\alpha d(\phi) = \phi$ .
- 2. If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $g\omega\alpha d(A) \subseteq g\omega\alpha d(B)$ .
- 3. If  $x \in g\omega\alpha d(A)$ , then  $x \in g\omega\alpha d(A-\{x\})$ .
- 4.  $g\omega\alpha d(A \cup B) = g\omega\alpha d(A) \cup g\omega\alpha d(B)$ .
- 5.  $g\omega\alpha d(A\cap B)\subseteq g\omega\alpha d(A)\cap g\omega\alpha d(B)$ .
- **Proof:** (1). Let x be any point of X and  $x \in g\omega\alpha d(\phi)$ . That is x is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit point of  $\phi$ . Then for every  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G containing x, we should have  $[G-\{x\}] \cap \phi \neq \phi$  which is impossible. Hence  $g\omega\alpha d(\phi) = \phi$ .
- (2). If  $x \in g\omega\alpha d(A)$ , that is if x is  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit point of A, then by Definition 5.7  $[G-\{x\}] \cap A \neq \phi$  for every  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G containing x. Since  $A \subseteq B$  implies  $[G-\{x\}] \cap A \subseteq [G-\{x\}] \cap B$ . Thus if x is a  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit point of A it is also a  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit point of B, that is  $x \in g\omega\alpha d(B)$ . Hence  $g\omega\alpha d(A) \subseteq g\omega\alpha d(B)$ .
- (3). If  $x \in g\omega\alpha d(A)$ , by definition 5.7 every  $g\omega\alpha$ -open set G containing x contains at least one point other than x of  $A-\{x\}$ . Hence x is  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit point of  $A-\{x\}$  and it belongs to  $g\omega\alpha d[A-\{x\}]$ . Therefore  $x \in g\omega\alpha d(A) \Rightarrow x \in g\omega\alpha d[A-\{x\}]$ .
- (4). Since  $A \subseteq A \cup B$  and  $B \subseteq A \cup B$ , from (1)  $g\omega\alpha d(A) \cup g\omega\alpha d(B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha d(A \cup B)$ . To prove other way If  $x \notin g\omega\alpha d(A) \cup g\omega\alpha d(B)$ , then  $x \notin g\omega\alpha d(A)$  and  $x \notin g\omega\alpha d(B)$ . Hence there exists  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhoods  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  of x such that  $G_1 \cap (A-\{x\}) = \phi$  and  $G_2 \cap (B-\{x\}) = \phi$  Since  $G_1 \cap G_2$  is  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood of x, we have  $(G_1 \cap G_2) \cap [(A \cup B)-\{x\}] = \phi$ . Therefore  $x \notin g\omega\alpha d(A \cup B)$ . Hence  $g\omega\alpha d(A \cup B) = g\omega\alpha d(A) \cup g\omega\alpha d(B)$ .
- (5). Since  $A \cap B \subseteq A$  and  $A \cap B \subseteq B$ , by (2)  $g\omega\alpha d(A \cap B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha d(A)$  and  $g\omega\alpha d(A \cap B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha d(B)$ . Consequently  $g\omega\alpha d(A \cap B) \subseteq g\omega\alpha d(A) \cap g\omega\alpha d(B)$ .

**Theorem 5.9.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and A be a subset of X. If A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed, then  $g\omega\alpha d(A) \subseteq A$ .

**Proof:** Let A be  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed, Now we will show that  $g\omega\alpha d(A) \subseteq A$ . Since A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -closed, X-A is  $g\omega\alpha$ -open. To each  $x \in X$ -A there exists  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood G of x such that  $G \subseteq X$ -A. Since  $A \cap (X$ - $A) = \phi$ , the  $g\omega\alpha$ -neighborhood G contains no point of A and so X is not a  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit point of A. Thus no point of X-A can be  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit point of A that is, A contains all its  $g\omega\alpha$ -limit points. that is  $g\omega\alpha d(A) \subseteq A$ .

# Acknowledgement

The authors are greatfull to the referees for their valuable and constructive comments which lead to significant improvement of the paper and to the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India for its financial support under UGC-SAP-II DRS to the Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad, India. Also this research was supported by the University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India. under No.F.4-1/2006(BSR)/7-101/2007(BSR) dated: 20th June, 2012.

#### References

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, and R. A. Mahmoud, β-Open Sets and β-Continuous Mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assint Unie., 12, 1983,77-90.
- [2] D. Andrijivic, Semi pre open sets, Mat. Vesnic, 38(1), 1986 24-32.
- [3] S.P. Arya and T.M. Nour, Characterizations of s-normal spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 21, (1990), 717?719.
- [4] P. Bhattacharyya and B.K. Lahiri, Semi-generalized closed sets in topology, Indian J. Math., 29, (1987), 376?382.
- [5] S. S. Benchalli, P. G. Patil and T. D. Rayanagaudar, ωα-Closed sets is Topological Spaces, The Global. J. Appl. Math. and Math. Sci., 2, 2009, 53-63.
- [6] J.Dontchev, On Generalizing Semi-Pre-Open sets, Mem. Fac. Kochi Univ (Math, 16, 1995, 35-48.
- [7] Y.Gnanambal, On Generalized Pre-Regular Closed Sets in Topological Spaces, Indian. J. pure appl. Math, 28(3), 1997 351-360.
- [8] N. Levine, Semi-Open Sets and Semi-Continuity in Topological Spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70, 1963 36-41.
- [9] N. Levine, Generalized Closed Sets in Topology, Rent. Circ. Mat. Palermo, 19(2), 1970 89-96.
- [10] H. Maki, R. Devi, and K. Balachandran, Generalized α-Closed Sets in Topology, Bull. Fukuoka Univ, Ed., Part III., 42, 1993, 13-21.

- [11] H. Maki, R. Devi, and K. Balachandran, Associate Topologies of Generalized α-Closed Sets and α-Generalized Closed Sets, Mem. Fac. Kochi Univ. Ser. A. Math., 15, 1994, 51-63.
- [12] H. Maki, J. Umehara, and T. Noiri, Every Topological Space is  $Pre-T_1/2$ , Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math., 17, 1996 33-42.
- [13] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, and S. N. EL-Deeb, On Pre-Continuous and Weak Pre Continuous Mappings, Proc. Math and Phys.Soc. Egypt, 53, 1982 47-53.
- [14] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, and S. N. EL-Deeb,  $\alpha$ -Open Mappings, Acta. Math. Hungar., 41, 1983 213-218.
- [15] N. Nagaveni, Studies on Generalizations of Homeomorphisms in Topological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coimbatore., 1999.
- [16] O. Njastad, On Some Classes of Nearly open Sets, Pacific. J. Math., 15, 1965 961-970.
- [17] N. Palaniappan and K.C. Rao, Regular generalized closed sets, Kyungpook Math. J. 33, (1993), 211?219.
- [18] A. Pushpalatha, Studies on Generalizations of Mappings in Topological Spaces, Ph.D. Thesis, Bharathiar University, Coinbatore.
- [19] M. Stone, Application of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 41, (1937), 374?481.
- [20] P. Sundaram, and N. Navalagi, On Weakly Generalized Continuous Maps, Weakly Generalized Closed Maps and Weakly Generalized Irresolute Maps, Far East. J. Math Sci., 6, 1998.
- [21] P. Sundaram, and M. Shrik John, On  $\omega$ -Closed Sets in Topology, Acta Ciencia Indica, 4, 2000, 389-392.
- [22] M. K. R. S. Veera Kumar, g\*-preclosed sets, Acts Ciencia indica, 28(1), 2002, 51-60.
- [23] M. K. R. S. Veera Kumar, On α-Generalized-Regular Closed Sets, Indian Journal of Mathematics., 44(2), 2002, 165-181.