



Gaziosmanpaşa University

Graduate School of
Natural and Applied Sciences**Journal of New Results in Science**

Received: 05.03.2013

Accepted: 20.08.2013

Editors-in-Chief: Naim Çağman

Area Editor: Yakup Budak

Determination of structural and electronic properties of [Ni(NQSC)₂] and [Ni(NQTS)₂] complexes with DFT method

Koray SAYIN^{a,1} (ksayin@cumhuriyet.edu.tr)
Duran KARAKAŞ^a (dkarakas@cumhuriyet.edu.tr)

^aCumhuriyet University, Faculty of Science, Department of Chemistry, 58140 Sivas-TURKEY

Abstract – Nickel (II) complexes of ortho-naphthaquinone semicarbazone and thiosemicarbazone were studied theoretically. [Ni(NQSC)₂] (1) and [Ni(NQTS)₂] (2) complexes were synthesized and geometric parameters, vibrational frequencies and UV-VIS spectrum of mentioned complexes were obtained by Afrasiabi et al. in 2005 [1]. In this study, geometric parameters, vibrational spectrum, Transition Character (TC) analysis and UV-VIS spectrum were calculated as theoretically.

Keywords –
DFT and TD-DFT method,
Nickel (II),
naphthaquinone
semicarbazone and
thiosemicarbazone
complexes

1. Introduction

Thiosemicarbazones plays important role against bacterial and viral infections [2, 3], tuberculosis [4] and leprosy [5]. The transition metal complexes of thiosemicarbazones indicate greater biological activity than the uncomplexed ligands [1, 6, 7]. Semicarbazone and thiosemicarbazone are similar group. The difference of these molecules is oxygen and sulphur atoms. The biological activity of thiosemicarbazone was studied more than semicarbazone. Semicarbazone of aromatic and unsaturated carbonyl compounds have anticonvulsants properties and their great advantage over the thiosemicarbazone [8, 9]. Structures of semicarbazone and thiosemicarbazone were presented in Figure 1.

¹Corresponding Author




Figure 1. Molecular structures of semicarbazone and thiosemicarbazone.

In report of Afrasiabi et al., vibrational frequencies were assigned and the wavelength of some bands in UV spectrum was given. In this study, the optimized molecular structure of mentioned complexes was obtained with DFT method. The more appropriate basis set was selected by using experimental and theoretically bond lengths and angles. Vibrational spectrum and UV spectrum were calculated by using more appropriate basis set. Theoretical and experimental results were subjected to correlation analysis.

2. Computational Details

The input files of mentioned complexes were prepared with GaussView 5.0.8 [10]. All calculations were made by using Gaussian 09 IA32W-G09 RevA. [11]. The complexes performed using Density Functional Theory (DFT) [12] with LANL2DZ [13 – 15] and GEN basis sets. For optimizing with GEN keyword, 6-31g** basis set was selected for S, O, N, C, H atoms and LANL2DZ basis set was selected for Ni atom. All calculations were completed in gas phase. The vibrational frequency analyses indicate that optimized structures of complexes are at stationary points corresponding to local minima without imaginary frequencies. Time Dependent – Density Functional Theory (TD-DFT) [16] method were selected to UV-VIS calculation. UV-VIS spectrum was calculated in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO). For solution phase, CPCM, which is model corresponds to Polarized Continuum Model (PCM), was selected for calculation.

3. Result and Discussion


3.1. Optimized Structure

Optimized structures were obtained with B3LYP/LANL2DZ and B3LYP/GEN levels. Experimental and theoretical results of bond lengths and angles were compared with each other. For bond lengths and angles, the correlation coefficients (CC) were determined for each level. The CCs were listed in Table 1.

Table 1. The correlation coefficients of bond lengths and angles.

	Basis Set	Bond Length	Bond Angle
[Ni(NQSC) ₂]	B3LYP/LANL2DZ	0.8930	0.9835
	B3LYP/GEN	0.8925	0.9594
[Ni(NQTS) ₂]	B3LYP/LANL2DZ	0.9229	0.9850
	B3LYP/GEN	0.9206	0.9848

The correlation coefficient of bond lengths and angles indicated that B3LYP/LANL2DZ level is the best level for mentioned complexes. Optimized molecular structure of mentioned complexes was represented in Figure 2 with atomic numbering scheme. The calculated bond lengths and angles of complex 1 in B3LYP/LANL2DZ level were listed in Table 2.

**Figure 2.** Molecular structures of Complex 1 and 2 obtained at B3LYP/LANL2DZ level with atomic numbering scheme.

As can be seen from Table 2, the biggest difference between the experimental and theoretical values occurs at bond length of Ni1 – O3. For this bond the predicted value is longer than the experimental value. Their difference is 0.37 Å for B3LYP/LANL2DZ in gas phase. Mainly the theoretical bond lengths are longer than those of experimental values. As for the bond angles, the biggest difference between experimental and theoretical values mainly takes place at O2 – Ni1 – O1 bond angle. The predicted value is wider than the experimental value. Their difference is 10.6° for B3LYP/LANL2DZ level. The calculated bond lengths and angles of complex 2 in B3LYP/LANL2DZ level were listed in Table 3.

Table 2. The selected bond lengths and angles at B3LYP/LANL2DZ level.

Bond Lengths			Bond Angles		
Assignment	Calc.	Exp. [1]	Assignment	Calc.	Exp. [1]
Ni1 – N3	1.866	1.988	N3 – Ni1 – N6	179.61	178.50
Ni1 – N6	1.936	1.999	N3 – Ni1 – O3	103.91	101.90
Ni1 – O3	2.437	2.067	N6 – Ni1 – O3	75.90	76.74
Ni1 – O2	1.951	2.096	N3 – Ni1 – O2	84.48	80.02
Ni1 – O4	2.384	2.112	N6 – Ni1 – O2	95.86	99.40
Ni1 – O1	1.972	2.137	O3 – Ni1 – O2	91.33	92.61
N1 – C1	1.356	1.320	N3 – Ni1 – O4	101.48	102.12
C1 – O1	1.295	1.241	N6 – Ni1 – O4	78.69	79.26
C1 – N2	1.409	1.415	O3 – Ni1 – O4	154.54	155.93
N2 – N3	1.333	1.278	O2 – Ni1 – O4	92.81	93.05
N3 – C2	1.353	1.335	N3 – Ni1 – O1	81.49	75.58
C3 – O2	1.308	1.260	N6 – Ni1 – O1	98.16	104.90
N4 – C12	1.374	1.336	O3 – Ni1 – O1	90.86	88.81
C12 – O3	1.267	1.238	O2 – Ni1 – O1	165.92	155.32
C12 – N5	1.420	1.402	O4 – Ni1 – O1	91.17	95.63
N5 – N6	1.327	1.293	-	-	-
N6 – C13	1.361	1.332	-	-	-
C14 – O4	1.283	1.264	-	-	-

Table 3. The selected bond lengths and angles at B3LYP/GEN level.

Bond Lengths			Bond Angles		
Assignment	Calc.	Exp. [1]	Assignment	Calc.	Exp. [1]
Ni1 – N6	1.877	2.005	N6 – Ni1 – S2	85.72	81.09
Ni1 – N3	1.927	2.021	N3 – Ni1 – S2	94.73	101.49
Ni1 – O2	1.973	2.114	O2 – Ni1 – S2	166.68	160.53
Ni1 – O1	2.516	2.121	N6 – Ni1 – N3	175.01	174.80
Ni1 – S1	2.588	2.377	N6 – Ni1 – O2	84.35	79.47
Ni1 – S2	2.333	2.389	N3 – Ni1 – O2	94.41	97.80
S1 – C1	1.762	1.658	N6 – Ni1 – O1	98.15	96.63
S2 – C12	1.780	1.678	N3 – Ni1 – O1	76.89	78.89
O1 – C3	1.270	1.251	O2 – Ni1 – O1	82.54	89.19
O2 – C14	1.303	1.273	N6 – Ni1 – S1	10.01	102.80
N1 – C1	1.369	1.341	N3 – Ni1 – S1	84.87	81.47
N2 – N3	1.349	1.319	O2 – Ni1 – S1	92.23	89.64
N2 – C1	1.369	1.376	O1 – Ni1 – S1	160.85	159.97
N3 – C2	1.353	1.322	O1 – Ni1 – S2	90.12	91.99
N4 – C12	1.362	1.366	S1 – Ni1 – S2	97.21	95.71
N5 – N6	1.349	1.305	-	-	-
N5 – C12	1.363	1.345	-	-	-
N6 – C13	1.359	1.338	-	-	-

The biggest difference between the experimental and theoretical values occurs at bond length of Ni1 – O1. For this bond the predicted value is longer than the experimental value. Their difference is 0.395 Å for B3LYP/LANL2DZ. Mainly the theoretical bond lengths are longer than those of experimental values. For the bond angles, the biggest difference between experimental and theoretical values mainly takes place at N3 – Ni1 – S2 bond angle. The predicted value is narrow than the experimental value. Their difference is 6.76° for B3LYP/LANL2DZ level.

3.2. IR Studies

The vibrational frequencies were calculated at B3LYP/LANL2DZ level and scaled with 0.9611 [17 – 21]. The calculated frequencies were assigned and represented in Table 3.

Table 3. The selected vibrational frequencies (cm⁻¹) of mentioned complexes.

Assignment	Theo.	Exp. [1]	Assignment	Theo.	Exp. [1]
[Ni(NQSC) ₂]			[Ni(NQTS) ₂]		
V _(N-H)	3486	3270	V _(N-H)	3471	3393
V _(N-H)	3484	3325	V _(N-H)	3464	3282
V _(C=O)	1595	1694	V _(C=O)	1514	1625
V _(C=O)	1590	1640	V _(C=N)	1442	1580
V _(C=N)	1512	1600	V _(C=S)	1252	1195
V _(Ni=O)	650	594	V _(Ni=O)	503	482
V _(Ni=O)	639	559	V _(Ni=N)	475	436
V _(Ni=N)	469	451	V _(Ni=S)	322	323

CC was calculated for complex 1 and 2 and these values are 0.9932 and 0.9946, respectively. According to results, there is a good agreement between experimental and theoretical vibrational frequencies.

3.2. UV-VIS Studies

Molecular orbital comprises from the linear combinations of atomic orbital. Different atomic orbital contribute to each MO. Electronic absorption spectrums were calculated by using TD-DFT method with LANL2DZ in DMSO solution. There are two bands in UV-VIS spectrum for complex 1 and 2. The wavelength of bands are 494 and 389 nm for complex 1, 660 and 548 nm for complex 2. The wavelengths of main band are 494 and 548 nm for complex 1 and 2, respectively. Transition character analyses were calculated with Eq. (1) for main bands.

$$\% \text{Transition} = \frac{t^2}{\sum t^2} \times 100 \quad (1)$$

Where t is coefficient of the wavefunction for each excitation and $\sum t^2$ is the sum of the squares of all coefficient of the wavefunction for each excitation in a specific band. The

results show that the maximum transition character has been seen from state 120 to 122 for complex 1 and from state 117 to 120 for complex 2. The contour diagrams of these molecular orbitals were represented in Figure 3.




Figure 3. The contour diagrams of 120, 122 MOs for complex 1 and 117, 120 MOs for complex 2.

According to Figure 3, these transitions are intraligand transitions. In these transitions, π electrons in ligands play an important role.

4. Conclusions

DFT and TD-DFT calculations were performed on the relevant complexes, which are $[\text{Ni}(\text{NQSC})_2]$ and $[\text{Ni}(\text{NQTS})_2]$ by using B3LYP method with LANL2DZ and GEN basis sets. For structure checking, the calculated structural parameters were used to compare with the experimental structure. Theoretical results are in a good agreement with experimental results at B3LYP/LANL2DZ level. The correlation coefficients of vibrational frequencies were calculated as 0.9932 and 0.9946 for complex 1 and 2, respectively. Electronic absorption spectrum calculations were performed with TD-DFT method in DMSO solution. The electronic transition characters were analyzed at B3LYP/LANL2DZ level. Two bands were obtained in theoretical electronic absorption spectra for complex 1 and 2. The electronic transition characters and contour diagrams of selected molecular orbitals show that main bands arise from intraligand transitions.

5. Acknowledgments

We thank commission of scientific research projects of Cumhuriyet University (Project No: F-308) for financial supports.

References

- [1] Z. Afrasiabi, E. Sinn, W. Lin, Y. Ma, C. Campana, S. Padhye, *Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry* 99 (2005) 1526 – 1531.
- [2] R. Boon, *Antiviral Chem. Chemother.*, 8 (1997) 5 – 10.
- [3] C. Shipman, S. H. Smith, J. C. Drach, D. L. Klayman, *Antiviral Res.*, 6 (1986) 197 – 222.
- [4] A. S. Dobek, D. L. Klayman, E. T. Dickson, J. P. Scovill, C. N. Oster, *Arzneim-Forsch* 33 (1983) 1583 – 1591.
- [5] D. L. Klayman, J. P. Scovill, J. F. Bartosevich, C. J. Mason, *J. Med. Chem.*, 22 (1979) 1367 – 73.
- [6] S. N. Pandeya, J. R. Dimmock, *Pharmazie* 48 (1993) 659 – 666.
- [7] A. G. Quiroga, C. N. Ranninger, *Coordinat. Chem. Rev.*, 248 (2004) 119 – 133.
- [8] J. R. Dimmock, S. C. Vashishta, J. P. Stables, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 35 (2000) 241 – 249.
- [9] S. K. Sridhar, S. N. Pandeya, J. P. Stables, A. Ramesh, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 16 (2002) 129 – 132.
- [10] R. D. Dennington II, T.A. Keith, J.M. Millam, *GaussView 5.0*, Wallingford CT, (2009).
- [11] M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, etc., *Gaussian, Inc.*, Wallingford CT, (2009).
- [12] W. Kohn and L.J. Sham, *Phys. Rev. A* 137 (1965) 1697 – 1705.
- [13] A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.* 98 (1993) 5648 – 5652.
- [14] C. Lee, W. Yang and R. G. Parr, *Phys. Rev. B*, 37 (1988) 785 – 789.
- [15] P. J. Hay and W. R. Wadt, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 82 (1985) 270 – 283.
- [16] D. Jacquemin, V. Wathelet, E. A. Perpète and C. Adamo, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 5 (2009) 2420 – 2435.
- [17] M. D. Halls, J. Velkovski, H. B. Schlegel, *Theor. Chem. Acc.*, 105 (2001) 413 – 421.
- [18] P. Sinha, S. E. Boesch, C. M. Gu, R. A. Wheeler, A. K. Wilson, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 108 (2004) 9213 – 9217.
- [19] M. P. Andersson, P. Uvdal, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 109 (2005) 2937 – 2941.
- [20] Y. N. Panchenko, V. I. Pupyshev, C. W. Bock, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 550 (2000) 495 – 504.
- [21] K. V. Berezin, V. V. Nechaev, T. V. Krivokhizhina, *Opt. Spectrosc.*, 94 (2003) 357 – 360.