

AQUATIC SCIENCES AND ENGINEERING

Aquat Sci Eng 2021; 36(2): 46-50 • DOI: https://doi.org/10.26650/ASE2020731575

Original Article

Spatio-Temporal Patterns of Abundance and Biomass of *Parapenaeus longirostris* (Lucas, 1846) in the Sea of Marmara, Turkey

Haşim İnceoğlu¹©, Ali İşmen²©, Mukadder Arslan İhsanoğlu²©, Engin Kocabaş¹©, İsmail Burak Daban²©, Alpaslan Kara¹©, Mine Çardak³©, Murat Şirin⁴©, Cahide Çiğdem Yığın⁵©

Cite this article as: Inceoglu, H., Ismen, A., Arslan Ihsanoglu, M., Kocabas, E., Daban, I.B., Kara, A., Cardak, M., Sirin, M., & Yigin, C.C. (2021). Spatio-Temporal patterns of abundance and biomass of *Parapenaeus longirostris* (Lucas, 1846) in the Sea of Marmara, Turkey. Aquatic Sciences and Engineering, 36(2), 46-50.

ORCID IDs of the author: H.i. 0000-003-3563-8939; A.i. 0000-0003-2456-0232; M.A.i. 0000-0003-0072-5848; E.K. 0000-0002-3856-8529; I.B.D. 0000-0002-1053-9961; M.C. 0000-0002-1053-9961; M.S. 0000-0003-1383-4875; M.S. 0000-0003-3556-7472;

¹Republic of Turkey of Agriculture and Forestry, Sheep Breeding Research Institute, Department of Fisheries, Bandırma, Turkey

²Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Faculty of Marine Science and Technology, Department of Fishing and Fish Processing Technology,

Çanakkale, Turkey

³Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Department of Fisheries Technology, Çanakkale, Turkey

⁴Republic of Turkey Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Trabzon Directorate of Provincial Agriculture and Forestry, Ortahisar, Trabzon, Turkey ⁶Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Faculty of Marine Science and Technology, Department of Marine Science and Limnology, Canakkale, Turkey

Submitted: 09.05.2020

Revision Requested: 09.07.2020

Last Revision Received: 13.07.2020

Accepted: 13.07.2020

Online Published: 25.01.2021

Correspondence: Mukadder Arslan İhsanoğlu E-mail: mukadderarslan@gmail.com

©Copyright 2021 The Author(s) Available online at https://dergipark.org.tr/ase

ABSTRACT

The present research study investigated the catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE, kg/h) and biomass of *Parapenaeus longirostris* in consideration of three parameters, i.e. seasons, regions, and depth levels. Beam trawls were used to collect the specimens at a total of 229 sampling stations in the Sea of Marmara between September 2011 and July 2014. In the Sea of Marmara, the mean CPUE of *P. longirostris* was calculated to be 8.4 ± 0.5 kg h⁻¹, and the mean biomass to be 354 kg/km⁻². The mean CPUE value was at its highest in summer and autumn, and the lowest was observed in winter and spring. The by-region mean CPUE value of *P. longirostris* was the highest in the northern Sea of Marmara (14.3 ± 1.9 kg h⁻¹), and the lowest was detected in the south (3.6 ± 1.0 kg h⁻¹). The highest biomass value was found at a depth of 50-100 m, in the northern Sea of Marmara, while the lowest was calculated in the southeastern Sea of Marmara.

Keywords: Parapenaeus longirostris, CPUE, Abundance, Biomass, Sea of Marmara

INTRODUCTION

The crustacean *Parapenaeus longirostris* is widely distributed from the eastern Atlantic to the Mediterranean Sea. In the Mediterranean Sea, *P. longirostris* is found between 20 and 900 meters but abundant between 100 and 400 meters (Politou, Tserpes, & Dokos, 2008).

P. longirostris is the most important commercially valuable crustacean along the coasts of the Mediterranean Sea (Sbrana, Viva, & Belcari, 2006). Besides, it is the primary crustacean species that is caught in the Sea of Marmara by beam trawl (Zengin et al., 2004). Since trawl fishing is forbidden, fishermen commonly use beam trawls for shrimp fishing. The southwestern part, especially the Kapıdağ Peninsula, is known as the most efficient fishing area for this species in the Sea of Marmara. Shrimp fishing with beam trawl can be legally performed in the Sea of Marmara between September 1 and December 1, and between February 1 and April 14

The amount of captured deep-water rose shrimp in the Turkish seas decreased between 2007 to 2018. The amount of shrimp in 2007, amounting to 2761 tonnes, decreased to 1413 tonnes in 2010. A capture of 2500 tonnes in 2014 sharply fell in the following years to rise to 3213 tonnes in 2018 (Figure 1), when 1854 tonnes of rose shrimp were captured in the Sea of Marmara, 1234 tonnes in the Aegean Sea, and 124 tonnes in the Mediterranean Sea. The per-kilogram price for *P. longirostris* increased from 10 Turkish Liras in 2014 to 20 Turkish Liras in 2018 (TUIK, 2019). Gradual year-to-year decrease in the captured shrimps translated into raised prices.

Despite its commercial importance, research on deep-water rose shrimp is exiguous. The related



literature incorporates some studies on its distribution, biology, bycatch, selectivity, and population in the Turkish waters (Deval, Bök, Ateş, & Özbilgin, 2006; Demirci & Hoşsucu, 2007; Manaşırlı, Avşar, & Yeldan, 2008; Tosunoğlu, Akyol, Dereli, & Yapıcı, 2009; Öztürk, 2009; Bök, Göktürk, & Kahraman, 2011). Moreover, a few studies were observed to investigate catch composition and CPUE in the Sea of Marmara (Zengin et al., 2004; Bayhan, Çiçek, Ünlüer, Akkaya, 2006; Erten, 2009; Yazıcı, Ismen, Altınağaç, & Ayaz, 2006). These studies do not cover the entire area and are limited to the northern and southern Sea of Marmara.

This study is the most detailed monitoring study including the highest number of data collection stations and sampling durations. The aim is to determine the existing stock status of the species and to obtain the much-needed data for the fishing management authority, which is important for the regional and seasonal restrictions. Furthermore, establishing the productive areas is another likely beneficial result considered important for fishermen due to the commercial value of this species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The monthly data were collected during the beam trawl surveys aboard the fishery vessel in the Sea of Marmara between September 2011 and July 2014. For the purpose of the study, 229 beam trawl hauls were carried out. The trawls feature a cod-end mesh size of 32 mm. The average towing speed was 2.5 knots for 30 min at depths ranging from 50 to 160 m within two depth contours, i.e 50-100 m and 100-200 m. Considering the fishing areas of shrimp fishermen, the Sea of Marmara was divided into six regions, namely 1: Erdek, 2: Tekirdağ, 3: Marmara Island, 4: the Kapıdağ Peninsula, 5: Yalova, and 6: Silivri (Figure 2).

The targeted, incidental, and discarded catches were separately weighed (Alverson, Freeberg, Murawski, & Pope, 1994). The length and weight measurements of all the species were performed in a laboratory.

The catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE) values (kg h⁻¹) were determined and the mean values were computed based on seasons, locations, and depths. Biomass (kg/km²) estimations were calculated by the swept area method (Sparre & Venema, 1998). The swept area (a) for each hauling was estimated by the following formula; $a = v \times t \times h \times X$ (v=velocity of the trawl over the ground when trawl-



Figure 2. Study area (1. Erdek, 2. Tekirdağ, 3. Marmara Island, 4. Kapıdağ Peninsula, 5. Yalova, and 6. Silivri).

ing, t=time spent for trawling, h=length of the head-rope, X: fraction of the head-rope length which is equal to the width of the path swept by the beam trawl).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total catch of 4.2 tonnes were sampled by using beam trawls in the Sea of Marmara between September 2011 and July 2014. The most weight-wise abundant catch was observed for invertebrate species, accounting for 60% of the total capture except for *P. longirostris*. The target species *P. longirostris* comprised 1.05 tonnes (25%) of the total catch. The teleost and cartilaginous fish were a small part (13% and 2%, respectively) of the catch.

The mean CPUEs were calculated to be 36.6 ± 4.8 kg h⁻¹, 4.7 ± 0.3 kg h^{-1} , 0.8±0.1 kg h^{-1} , and 22.6±4.6 kg h^{-1} for the total catch, teleost fish, cartilaginous fish, and invertebrate species, respectively. The mean CPUE of P. longirostris was found to be 8.4±0.5 kg h⁻¹ in the Sea of Marmara. The CPUE value was the highest in summer and autumn and the lowest in winter and spring. Considering the depths and seasons, the highest seasonal CPUE of P. longirostris was determined to be 14.7±2.0 kg h⁻¹ in spring 2013 at a depth of 100-200 m. The difference between the CPUE values of summer 2013 and spring 2014 was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05, ANOVA) (Table 1). In terms of the depth contours, these values were calculated to 8.5 kg h⁻¹ at 50-100 m and 7 kg h⁻¹ at 100-200 m. However, no significant difference was observed for the two depth contours (p>0.05, ANOVA). The CPUE results in the present research was found to be similar to the other studies conducted in the Aegean Sea (Tosunoğlu et al., 2009) and the Mediterranean Sea (Manaşırlı et al., 2008), which report the highest CPUE value in the summer months.

The mean region-based CPUE value of *P. longirostris* was the highest $(14.3\pm1.9 \text{ kg h}^{-1})$ in Silivri in the northern Sea of Marmara and the lowest $(3.6\pm1.0 \text{ kg h}^{-1})$ in the southeast (Yalova). Furthermore, the analysis of depth-wise CPUE change by the regions revealed that the deep-water pink shrimp preferred the depths more than 100 m in Erdek and Yalova. In the Tekirdağ region, the CPUE was found to be remarkably low at depths of over 100 m. High human population density and polluting factors severely af-

fect the northern Sea of Marmara. These regions have been reported in previous studies to be poor in oxygen, especially in the summer and spring (Satılmış et al., 2017). The among-region differences in the CPUE values were statistically significant (p<0.05, ANOVA). According to the Tukey's test, regions 1, 3, and 4 (Erdek, Marmara Island, and Kapıdağ Peninsula) are similar in comparison to region 2 (Tekirdağ) and 6 (Silivri) (Table 2). It is obvious that the Sea of Marmara was divided into two parts, namely the northern and southern Sea of Marmara, for the purpose of the study.

The annual CPUE was determined to be 7.7 ± 0.6 kg h⁻¹ in 2012, and the value rose up to 11.0 ± 1.1 kg h⁻¹ in 2013 and then decreased to the lowest value $(6.5\pm0.9 \text{ kg h}^{-1})$ in 2014. Statistically significant values were observed in 2013 but not in the other years. (p<0.05, ANOVA). When we compared the results obtained in our study with those in the studies available in Table 3, we realized that our CPUE results were higher than theirs except for Yazıcı et al. (2006).

The mean biomass of P. longirostris was found to be 354 kg/km² in the Sea of Marmara. Biomass values were observed to vary by season, year, depth, and region. The highest biomass was represented in 2013 (480 kg/km⁻²), followed by the one in 2012 (297 kg/ km⁻²), and the lowest in 2014 (283 kg km⁻²) (Table 4).

With respect to depth, relatively higher values were recorded to be 355.9 kg km⁻² at depths of less than 50-100 m (189.1 kg/km⁻²; \geq 100 m). The highest biomass value was obtained in the northern Sea of Marmara, whereas the lowest was calculated in the southeast. There is only one study to have revealed the biomass of P. longirostris in the Sea of Marmara. Zengin et al. (2004) have found the highest biomass values at 50-100 m in winter.

In previous research studies, it has been stated that different factors exert effects on the distribution of the species. Ungaro & Gramolini (2004) have found that water circulation, temperature, and geomorphological differences are effective in species distribution. Guijarro, Massutí, Moranta, & Cartes (2009) state that the spatial-temporal differences in the density of the species are related to seabed topography, sediment composition, hydrographic characteristics, and amount of nutrients in the Balearic Islands in the Mediterranean Sea. Tosunoğlu et al. (2009) report that water temperature is important for the distribution of P.longirostris in the Aegean Sea.

Table 1.CP	UE values of P. longirostris	by depths and seasons.			
		Depth			
Seasons	50-100 m >100 m		Mean	ANOVA-Tukey Test	
	kg h ⁻¹	kg h⁻¹	kg h ^{.1}		
Aug. 11	9.9±1.6	-	9.9±1.6	AB	
Win. 12	5.1±0.9	-	5.1±0.9	AB	
Spr. 12	6.2±0.9	7.4±2.6	6.3±0.9	AB	
Sum. 12	9.1±1.6	4.6	8.9±1.5	AB	
Aug. 12	10.8±2.2	-	10.8±2.2	AB	
Win. 13	9.1±1.7	13.6	9.3±1.7	AB	
Spr. 13	10.9±2.6	14.7±2.0	11.3±2.4	AB	
Sum. 13	13.7±2.7	6.1±0.1	12.9±2.5	А	
Aug. 13	7.2±2.2	-	7.2±2.2	AB	
Win. 14	4.7±0.7	5.1±1.7	4.7±0.7	AB	
Spr. 14	4.7±1.7	3.3±1.3	4.6±1.5	В	
Sum.14	12.0±3.1	5.4±0.6	11.2±2.7	AB	
Mean	8.5±0.6	7.0±1.1	8.4±0.5		

Table 2. CPUE values of P. longirostris by depth and region.

	Regions*						Mean
Depth	1	2	3	4	5	6	wean
	kg h -1	kg h⁻¹	kg h⁻¹	kg h⁻¹	kg h⁻¹	kg h⁻¹	kg h⁻¹
50-100 m	5.7±0.6	11.0±1.5	8.5±1.1	6.7±0.8	3.3±1.0	14.3±1.9	8.5±0.6
>100 m	7.8±1.7	4.8	6.6±1.6	-	10.0	-	7.0±1.1
Total	5.9±0.6	10.8±1.4	8.2±1.0	6.7±0.8	3.6±1.0	14.3±1.9	8.4±0.5
ANOVA-Tukey's Test	BC	AB	BC	BC	С	А	

*1. Erdek, 2. Tekirdag, 3. Marmara Island, 4. Kapıdağ Peninsula, 5. Yalova, 6. Silivri; A, C, AB, BC: Data sets with at least one of the same letters are significantly similar.

Author	Area	CPUE	Biomass	Gear type
Zengin et al (2004)	Marmara Sea	5.9 kg h ⁻¹	-	b*
Bayhan et al (2006)	Marmara Sea	3.73 kg h ⁻¹	-	b*
Yazıcı et al (2006)	Marmara Sea	10 kg h ⁻¹	-	b*
Demirci & Hoşsucu (2007)	Eastern Mediterranean Sea	5.9 kg h ⁻¹	141 kg km ⁻²	a*
Manaşırlı et al (2008)	Babadillimanı Bight	5.48 kg h ⁻¹	203.0 kg km ⁻²	a*
Tosunoğlu et al (2009)	Sığacık Bay	6.40 kg h ⁻¹	130.56 kg km ⁻²	a*
İşmen et al (2010)	Saros Bay	4.84 kg h ⁻¹	85.4 kg km ⁻²	a*
Kapiris et al (2013)	South Ionian Sea	-	6.46 kg km ⁻²	a*
This study	Marmara Sea	8.4 kg h ⁻¹	354 kg km ⁻²	b*

 Table 4.
 Biomass values of P. longirostris by the season, region and year (kg/km⁻²).

6	Regions*						
Seasons	1	2	3	4	5	6	Mean
Aug. 11	483	430	588	257	67	-	383±61
Win. 12	232	243	-	180	77	297	197±33
Spr. 12	194	283	338	220	142	-	243±34
Sum. 12	242	548	218	305	46	627	351±58
2012	258	362	407	239	88	533	297±25
Aug. 12	294	700	436	523	415	335	470±97
Win. 13	287	235	704	331	739	511	406±72
Spr. 13	167	-	449	-	200	1529	633±188
Sum. 13	438	907	419	199	-	774	559±107
2013	288	662	452	394	394	675	480±47
Aug. 13	165	177	475	343	-	-	314±94
Win. 14	224	400	218	107	159	235	205±29
Spr. 14	94	220	124	113	75	439	199±66
Sum.14	174	835	210	-	55	873	488±119
2014	178	386	222	187	87	572	283±40
Mean	246±24	445±63	343±42	279±36	151±43	611±83	354±23

*1. Erdek, 2. Tekirdag, 3. Marmara Island, 4. Kapıdağ Peninsula, 5. Yalova, 6. Silivri

CONCLUSION

It was concluded that the knowledge of the spatio-temporal pattern of *P. longirostris* is crucial for understanding the differences and for sustainable exploitation of the stock in the Sea of Marmara. The amount of captured species decreased from year to year, which may have resulted from the increased fishing pressure on the species. The analyses of all the data showed that the Sea of Marmara had two different structures in terms of CPUE and biomass, namely Northern Marmara and Southern Marmara. Population density and polluting factors may have caused this partition. Considering the scarcity of data or estimates about beam trawl in Turkish fisheries, this study is thought to help guide future research in the studied area.

Conflict of interests: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Ethics committee approval: Ethics committee approval is not required.

Funding: This study was financially supported by TAGEM project no: 2011/09/02/04.

Acknowledgments: This study was derived from the Ph.D. thesis with the entry number of 10150541. The authors would like to thank all the researchers for their valuable contributions to collection and evaluation of the data.

Disclosure: -

REFERENCES

Alverson, D. L., Freeberg, M. H., Murawski, S. A. & Pope, J. G. (1994). A global assessment of fisheries bycatch and discard. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome. ISBN 92-5-103555-5

- Bayhan, Y. K., Çiçek, E., Ünlüer, T. & Akkaya, M. (2006). Güney Doğu Marmara'da Algarna ile Karides Avcılığında Av Kompozisyonu ve Hedef Dışı Av. E. Ü. Su Ürünleri Dergisi, 23, 277-283.
- Bök, T. D., Goktürk, D. & Kahraman, A. E. (2011). Bycatch in 36 and 40 mm PA Turkish twin rigged beam trawl cod ends. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, https://doi.org/ 10.5897/AJB11.356
- Demirci, A. & Hoçsucu, H. (2007). Kuzeydoğu Akdeniz'de Derin Deniz Pembe Karidesinin (*Parapenaeus longirostris*) Populasyon Yapısı ve Yoğunluğu. *Türk Sucul Yaşam Dergisi*, 3-5 (5-8), 50-55.
- Deval, M. C., Bök, T., Ateş, C. & Özbilgin, H. (2006). Selectivity of PE and PA Material Codends for Rose Shrimp (*Parapenaeus longirostris*) in Turkish Twing Rigged Beam Trawl Fishery. *Fisheries Research*. [CrossRef]
- Erten, M. (2009). Marmara Denizi'nde Manyat Avcılığı İle Karides (*Parapenaeus longirostris* Lucas, 1846) Avcılığının Araştırılması. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, İstanbul Üniversitesi
- Guijarro, B., Massutí, E., Moranta, J. & Cartes, J. (2009). Short spatiotemporal variations in the population dynamics and biology of the deep-water rose shrimp *Parapenaeus longirostris* (Decapoda: Crustacea) in the western Mediterranean. *Scientia Marina*, 73, 183-197. [CrossRef]
- İşmen, A., Özekinci, U., Özen, Ö., Ayaz, A., Altinağaç, U., Yiğin, Ç., Ayyildiz, H., Cengiz, Ö., Arslan, M., Ormanci, H. B., Çakir, F. & Öz, M. İ. (2010). Saroz Körfezi (Kuzey Ege Denizi) Demersal Balıklarının Biyo-Ekolojisi ve Populasyon Dinamiğinin Belirlenmesi. Tubitak Proje Raporu.
- Kapiris, K., Markovic, O., Klaoudatos, D. & Djurovic, M. (2013). Contribution to the Biology of Parapenaeus longirostris (Lucas, 1846) in the South Ionian and South Adriatic Sea. Turkish Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences. [CrossRef]
- Manaşırlı, M., Avşar, D. & Yeldan, H. (2008). Abundance and Depth Distribution of Deep Water Rose Shrimp (*Parapenaeus longirostris* Lucas, 1846) in Babadillimanı Bight(Turkey). *Journal of Fisheries Sciences*, 2, 524-535. [CrossRef]
- Öztürk, B. (2009). Investigations of the rose shrimp Parapenaeus longirostris (Lucas, 1846) in the Northern Marmara Sea. J. Black Sea/ Mediterranean Environment, 15, 123- 134.
- Politou, C. Y., Tserpes, G. & Dokos, J. (2008). Identification of deep-water pink shrimp abundance distribution patterns and nursery grounds in

the eastern Mediterranean by means of generalized additive modeling. *Hydrobiologia*. [CrossRef]

- Satılmış, M.M., Tanas, A.R., Olgun, S., Kantarlı, S., Olgun Eker, E., Bektaş, Ş., Selamoğlu Çağlayan, H., Polat Beken, Ç., Atabay, H., Tüfekçi, H., Karan, H., Tolun, L., Tan, İ., Mantıkcı, M., Aydöner, C., Ediger, D., Yüksek, A., Altıok, H., Taş, S., Gürkan, Y., Sezgin, M., Kurt Şahin, G., Ünlüer, F., Taşkın, E., Minareci, O., Çakır, M., Kıdeyş, A.E., Tuğrul, S. (2017). Denizlerde Bütünleşik Kirlilik İzleme İşi 2014-2016 Marmara Denizi Özet Raporu. Çevre ve Şehircilik Bakanlığı - Çevresel Etki Değerlendirmesi, İzin ve Denetim Genel Müdürlüğü, Ankara.
- Sbrana, M. Viva, C. & Belcari, P. (2006). Fishery of the Deep-water Rose Shrimp Parapenaeus longirostris (Lucas, 1846) (Crustacea: Decapoda) in the Northern Tyrrhenian Sea (Western Mediterranean), Hydrobiologia. [CrossRef]
- Sparre, P. & S.C. Venema. 1998. Introduction to tropical fish stock assessment. Part 1 – Manual. FAO, Roma. FAO Fisheries Technical Paper 306/1, Rev. 2, 337 pp.
- TUIK. (2019). Fishery Production Statistics of Turkey. (TUIK), Ankara.
- Tosunoğlu, Z., Akyol, O., Dereli, H. & Yapici, S. (2009). Sığacık Körfezi'nde Dip Trol Ağları ile Yakalanan Derin Su Pembe Karidesi (*Parapenaeus longirostris* Lucas, 1846)'nin Bazı Biyolojik ve Populasyon Özelliklerinin Araştırılması. TUBİTAK Proje No: 108Y102.
- Ungaro, N., & Gramolini, R. (2004). Relationship between environmental parameters and stok distribution: can the bottom temperature affect the adriatic population of the deep-water rose shrimp? Aquatic Living Resources. [CrossRef]
- Yazıcı, M. F., İşmen., A, Altinağaç, U. & Ayaz, A. (2006). A study on the catch composition and bycatch of shrimp beam trawl in the Sea of Marmara. E. U. Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, https:// doi.org/10.12714/egejfas.2006.23.3.5000156728
- Zengin, M., Polat, H., Kutlu, S., Dinçer, C., Güngör, H., Aksoy, M., Özgündüz, C., Karaarslan, E. & Firidin, S. (2004). Marmara Denizi'ndeki Derin Su Pembe Karidesi (*Parapenaeus longirostris*, LUCAS, 1846) Balıkçılığının Geliştirilmesi Üzerine Bir Araştırma. (TAGEM/HAYSUD/2001/09/02/004 No'lu Proje Sonuç Raporu). Tarım ve Köy İşleri Bakanlığı, Su Ürünleri Merkez Araştırma Müdürlüğü, Trabzon, 211s.