

### Effect of Cornus Mas l. Extract on Organs in Rats Given Nicotine

Seher Yılmaz<sup>1\*</sup>, Adem Tokpınar<sup>1</sup>, Şükrü Ateş<sup>1</sup>, Ünal Öztekin<sup>2</sup>, Şerife Alpa<sup>3</sup>, Ayşe Yeşim Göçmen<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, Yozgat Bozok University, Yozgat, Turkey

<sup>2</sup>Department of Urology, Faculty of Medicine, Yozgat Bozok University, Yozgat, Turkey

<sup>3</sup>Department of Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine, KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey

<sup>4</sup>Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Medicine, Yozgat Bozok University, Yozgat, Turkey

#### Article History

Received 24 July 2020

Accepted 29 Aug 2020

Published Online 30 Sep 2020

#### \*Corresponding Author

Dr Seher Yılmaz

Department of Anatomy,

Faculty of Medicine,

Yozgat Bozok University,

Yozgat, 66100, Turkey,

Phone: +903542126201-2686,

Fax: +90 354 4375285

E-mail:seher.yilmaz@bozok.edu.tr

ORCID:<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4551-995X>

**Abstract:** Nicotine plays an important role in oxidative stress formation. For this purpose, to reveal the antioxidant effect of cornelian cherry plant, the effects of nicotine-induced oxidative stress. 28 adult Wistar Albino (180-220 g) male rats were used in the study. Rats were divided into four groups as control group (n=6), cornelian cherry group (n=7), nicotine group (n=7) and nicotine+cornelian cherry group (n=8). While nicotine extract was applied to the experimental group, cornelian cherry extract was applied to the treatment group as well as nicotine. TBARS, SOD, GSH, GSSG, TOS, TAS, Redox potential values were measured by spectrophotometric analysis in lung, brain, kidney, heart and liver tissues. OSI and GSH/GSSG values were calculated as TOS/TAS and GSH/GSSG rates, respectively. When the experimental groups are examined, it is seen that there is a significant difference between the nicotine-treated group and the other groups (p<0.05). Its effects on lung, brain, kidney, heart and liver tissues are seen biochemically. Especially when the TAS value is examined, a significant difference is observed in the Nicotine group compared to other groups (p<0.05). Cornelian cherry is understood to be an important plant with antioxidant properties against nicotine by increasing TAS level against oxidative stress formation. ©2020 NTMS.

**Keywords:** Cornelian Cherry, Cornus Mas L., Nicotine, Oxidative Stress.

### 1. Introduction

Smoking is one of the biggest risk factors for the development of cancer, lung and cardiovascular diseases (1). Epidemiological studies have shown that smoking across the community is the most important risk factor for coronary heart disease and cardiovascular diseases (2). Smoking causes serious health problems by increasing the risk of thrombosis, atherosclerosis and death (3). In addition, the loss of productivity caused by it is considered as a public health problem (4).

There is usually 0.6-2 mg of nicotine in a single cigarette (5). Nicotine is one of the important chemicals in tobacco addiction (6). Tobacco consumption through smoking is known to promote a high level of free radical production in individuals. Free radicals oxidize proteins, lipids and DNA, leading to tissue damage (8). Exposure to cigarette smoke causes interleukins (IL-6) and myocardial inflammation in diabetes models through fibrosis, lipid peroxide and nitrite formation (9).

Herbal extracts are widely used in complementary medicine (16). Cornelian cherry fruits are grown in some countries of Europe and West Asia (11). Fruits are usually dark or reddish, and sometimes pink and yellow. It is very beneficial nutritionally and is consumed as jam, liqueur and wine (10). *Cornus Mas L.* is rich in natural flavonoids, vitamins, phenolic acids and carotenes and is considered an antioxidant plant. It is also used in modern medicine as antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, cardioprotective (12). Previous studies have shown that cornelian cherry plants have antioxidant and anti-carcinogenic effects (13).

Oxidative stress is one of the main causes of embryonal development disorders (15). Factors such as oxidative stress and inflammation are held responsible for the development of cardiovascular diseases (7). Oxidative stress is defined as an increase in oxidants or a decrease in functionally antioxidants. Therefore, antioxidant levels are important. In addition, oxidative stress plays an important role in cancer diseases and cancer's pathogenesis (13).

Total antioxidant status (TAS) is measured to determine overall antioxidant status. Total oxidant status is also measured (TOS). To assess the net oxidative stress in the organism, the oxidative stress index (OSI) is measured. OSI value is calculated by the ratio of TOS to TAS (13, 14).

Cornelian cherry (*Cornus mas L.*) belongs to the Umbelliferae Cornaceae family, is a type of fruit that sheds leaves in winter and can grow up to 7-8 meters (10). Turkey, many fruit species and different genotypes of these species has extremely important in terms of hosting ecology. *Cornus mas L.* is known as an herb with an effective antioxidant, antidiabetic and anti-inflammatory effects. Other studies have shown that *Cornus mas L.* is a powerful antioxidant and has an anti-carcinogenic effect (13).

## 2. Material and Methods

### 2.1. Experimental Design and Laboratory Animals Groups

28 adult Wistar Albino (180-220 g) male rats were included in the study. Rats were handled according to institutional guidelines and the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Research Council. The entire study was carried out according to 1986 Strasbourg Universal Declaration on Animal Welfare and was done with the approval of the ethics committee (2019 HADYEK-31). Free access to food and drinking water was provided for the compatibility of the animals to the laboratory 1 week before the experiment. Cages for animals' welfare were regularly ventilated and cleaned routinely. The animals were housed in a temperature control room (20-23 °C) with a light/dark cycle of 12 hours throughout the experiment.

### 2.2. Experimental Groups

Control group (C) (n=6): During the experiment, 0.9% saline was applied once daily by subcutaneous (sc) injection.

Cornus Mas L. Group (CML) (n=7): 800 mg/kg *Cornus Mas L.* extract was given with gavage.

Nicotine group (N) (n=7): 4 mg/kg nicotine was given (sc).

Nicotine+Cornus Mas L. group (N+CML) (n=8): 4 mg/kg nicotine+800 mg/kg *Cornus Mas L.* extract was given.

These practices were carried out to all groups for 35 days.

### 2.3. Antioxidant indices and cytokines measurements

Activities of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) and the levels of glutathione (GSH) and glutathione disulfide (GSSG) were measured in blood and tissue samples by the modified methods (20). Colorimetric kits were used to measure the levels of total anti-oxidative status (TAS), total oxidative status (TOS) and Thiobarbituric Acid Reactive Substances/malondialdehyde (TBARS/MDA). Each sample was analyzed in duplicate. Glutathione content was calculated using the formula  $GSH=T-GSH-(2 \times GSSG)$ . The levels of GSH was calculated by the formula:  $GSH=GSHt-2 \times GSSG$ . The results of GSht, GSH, and GSSG were normalized to the total protein content and were expressed as nmol of GSH or GSSG per mg of protein (nmol GSH/mg protein or nmol GSSG/mg protein). Oxidative stress index (OSI) value was calculated using the formula:  $OSI=[TOS (\mu\text{mol H}_2\text{O}_2 \text{ equiv./l})/TAS (\mu\text{mol trolox equiv./l}) \times 100]$ . Commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent (ELISA) assay kits were used to measure the serum levels of cytokines (IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$  (Elabscience, MD, USA)).

### 2.4. Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis on the obtained data was carried out on the computer using IBM SPSS 22.0 program. In the data obtained, 5 parameters were evaluated (kurtosis, skewness, mean-standard deviation ratio, Gauss curve, Shapiro-Wilko test and normal distribution analysis). Since all 5 parameters scored 3.5 and above, it was accepted that our data was normally distributed and parametric tests were applied. In statistical analysis,  $\alpha=0.05$  was taken and  $p<\alpha$  was considered statistically significant, while  $p>\alpha$  was considered statistically insignificant.

## 3. Results

The results of biochemical analysis on oxidative stress parameters and antioxidant enzymes according to groups in lung, brain, kidney, heart, liver tissues taken from rats are given in the tables below.

When we examine the biochemical values, there is a significant difference in SOD, GPx, TAS, OSI, GSH/GSSG, Redox potential values between Nicotine+Cornus Mas L. group and other groups. There is also a significant difference between the Nicotine group and other groups when looking at TBAS, SOD, GPx, TAS, OSI, GSH, GSSG, GSH/GSSG and Redox potential values. It is observed that lung tissue is biochemically affected in groups given nicotine (Table 1).

According to the results of biochemical analysis on brain tissue, TBARS, CAT, GPx, TAS, TOS, OSI and GSSG values of the Nicotine group had a statistically significant difference from other groups. TBARS values of Control, Cornus Mas L. and Nicotine+Cornus Mas L. groups were statistically similar, while TBARS of Nicotine group was significantly different from other groups.

**Table 1.** Cornelian cherry effect on lung tissue in groups given nicotine.

Parameters/Groups	C	CML	N	N+CML
<b>TBARS</b>	4.03±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	3.84±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	5.02±0.58 <sup>b</sup>	3.86±0.34 <sup>a</sup>
<b>SOD</b>	9.08±0.39 <sup>a</sup>	9±0.28 <sup>a</sup>	13.43±0.34 <sup>b</sup>	12.6±0.3 <sup>c</sup>
<b>CAT</b>	52.9±3.47 <sup>a</sup>	44.97±1.37 <sup>b</sup>	52.15±1.6 <sup>a</sup>	47±1.26 <sup>b</sup>
<b>GPx</b>	6.55±0.16 <sup>a</sup>	6.25±0.11 <sup>a</sup>	10.11±0.43 <sup>b</sup>	8.03±0.26 <sup>c</sup>
<b>TAS</b>	0.95±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.97±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.57±0.02 <sup>b</sup>	0.7±0.03 <sup>c</sup>
<b>TOS</b>	3.82±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	4.25±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	5.27±0.96 <sup>b</sup>	4.47±0.28 <sup>a</sup>
<b>OSI</b>	0.4±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.44±0.02 <sup>a</sup>	0.92±0.18 <sup>b</sup>	0.63±0.05 <sup>c</sup>
<b>GSH</b>	5.68±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	5.72±0.09 <sup>a</sup>	4.48±0.49 <sup>b</sup>	5.36±0.24 <sup>a</sup>
<b>GSSG</b>	0.87±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.85±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.52±0.24 <sup>b</sup>	0.99±0.13 <sup>a</sup>
<b>GSH/GSSG</b>	4.5±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	4.71±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.02±0.72 <sup>b</sup>	3.5±0.77 <sup>c</sup>
<b>Redox potential</b>	-71.01±0.28 <sup>ac</sup>	-71.53±0.22 <sup>a</sup>	-57.66±3.76 <sup>b</sup>	-67.95±2.34 <sup>c</sup>

P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data are expressed as Mean±Standard Deviation. The same letter indicates similarity between groups, different letters indicate differences between groups (C: Control group, CML: Cornus Mas L. group, N: Nicotin group, N+CML: Nicotine+Cornus Mas L. group).

**Table 2.** Cornelian cherry effect on brain tissue in groups given nicotine.

Parameters/Groups	C	CML	N	N+CML
<b>TBARS</b>	3.36±0.17 <sup>a</sup>	3.25±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	3.88±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	3.24±0.16 <sup>a</sup>
<b>SOD</b>	13.84±0.83 <sup>a</sup>	12.4±0.46 <sup>b</sup>	12.61±0.86 <sup>b</sup>	14.4±0.98 <sup>a</sup>
<b>CAT</b>	7.88±0.87 <sup>a</sup>	6.5±0.33 <sup>b</sup>	3.79±0.86 <sup>c</sup>	6.14±0.89 <sup>b</sup>
<b>GPx</b>	25.34±1.73 <sup>ac</sup>	24.38±0.32 <sup>a</sup>	31.45±1.34 <sup>b</sup>	26.07±1.4 <sup>c</sup>
<b>TAS</b>	1.02±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.05±0.07 <sup>a</sup>	0.49±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.96±0.06 <sup>a</sup>
<b>TOS</b>	7.19±0.92 <sup>a</sup>	7.19±0.33 <sup>a</sup>	11.49±1 <sup>b</sup>	9.08±0.24 <sup>c</sup>
<b>OSI</b>	0.7±0.11 <sup>ac</sup>	0.68±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	2.34±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	0.94±0.05 <sup>c</sup>
<b>GSH</b>	6.68±1.59 <sup>a</sup>	4.93±0.56 <sup>b</sup>	4.01±0.72 <sup>b</sup>	4.71±0.2 <sup>b</sup>
<b>GSSG</b>	0.4±0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.4±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	0.61±0.03 <sup>b</sup>	0.53±0.06 <sup>c</sup>
<b>GSH/GSSG</b>	14.64±4.71 <sup>a</sup>	10.26±1.65 <sup>b</sup>	4.57±1.29 <sup>b</sup>	6.9±1.12 <sup>b</sup>
<b>Redox potential</b>	-84.56±7.31 <sup>a</sup>	-77.29±3.06 <sup>b</sup>	-66.27±4.78 <sup>c</sup>	-72.6±1.63 <sup>bc</sup>

P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data are expressed as Mean±Standard Deviation. The same letter indicates similarity between groups, different letters indicate differences between groups.

**Table 3.** Cornelian cherry effect on kidney tissue in groups given nicotine.

Parameters/Groups	C	CML	N	N+CML
<b>TBARS</b>	2.91±0.26 <sup>a</sup>	3.21±0.27 <sup>a</sup>	3.62±0.25 <sup>b</sup>	3.03±0.28 <sup>a</sup>
<b>SOD</b>	22.26±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	20.06±0.73 <sup>b</sup>	21.87±1.58 <sup>ac</sup>	20.44±1.27 <sup>bc</sup>
<b>CAT</b>	23.82±0.46 <sup>a</sup>	20.3±0.78 <sup>a</sup>	33.91±5.31 <sup>b</sup>	33.55±5.02 <sup>b</sup>
<b>GPx</b>	70.26±2.53 <sup>a</sup>	66.87±1.4 <sup>a</sup>	87.91±9.72 <sup>b</sup>	73±2.96 <sup>a</sup>
<b>TAS</b>	1.38±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	1.41±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.1±0.15 <sup>b</sup>	1.08±0.06 <sup>b</sup>
<b>TOS</b>	0.57±0.06 <sup>a</sup>	0.6±0.06 <sup>ab</sup>	0.69±0.11 <sup>b</sup>	0.7±0.05 <sup>b</sup>
<b>OSI</b>	0.04±0 <sup>a</sup>	0.04±0 <sup>a</sup>	0.06±0.01 <sup>b</sup>	0.06±0 <sup>b</sup>
<b>GSH</b>	5.14±0.99 <sup>a</sup>	3.88±0.19 <sup>b</sup>	4.36±0.74 <sup>ab</sup>	4.38±0.3 <sup>ab</sup>
<b>GSSG</b>	1.64±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	1.48±0.32 <sup>a</sup>	1.79±0.29 <sup>a</sup>	1.71±0.22 <sup>a</sup>
<b>GSH/GSSG</b>	1.15±0.78 <sup>a</sup>	0.71±0.48 <sup>a</sup>	0.45±0.38 <sup>a</sup>	0.57±0.23 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Redox potential</b>	-59.77±5.88 <sup>a</sup>	-54.46±2.20 <sup>b</sup>	-54.58±3.44 <sup>ab</sup>	-55.47±1.51 <sup>ab</sup>

P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data are expressed as Mean±Standard Deviation. The same letter indicates similarity between groups, different letters indicate differences between groups.

**Table 4.** Cornelian cherry effect on heart tissue in groups given nicotine.

Parameters/Groups	C	CML	N	N+CML
<b>TBARS</b>	2.89±0.19 <sup>a</sup>	3.09±0.25 <sup>a</sup>	3.63±0.24 <sup>b</sup>	31±0.24 <sup>a</sup>
<b>SOD</b>	15.5±0.49 <sup>a</sup>	14.34±0.48 <sup>b</sup>	17.13±0.84 <sup>c</sup>	16.2±0.87 <sup>ac</sup>
<b>CAT</b>	12.6±0.66 <sup>a</sup>	10.8±0.36 <sup>a</sup>	18.1±2.86 <sup>b</sup>	16.12±3.11 <sup>b</sup>
<b>GPx</b>	31.36±0.98 <sup>a</sup>	30.07±0.54 <sup>a</sup>	39.29±4.38 <sup>b</sup>	32.78±1.34 <sup>a</sup>
<b>TAS</b>	1.53±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	1.57±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	1.22±0.17 <sup>b</sup>	1.2±0.07 <sup>b</sup>
<b>TOS</b>	7.35±0.46 <sup>a</sup>	9.08±0.68 <sup>b</sup>	10.69±1.02 <sup>c</sup>	9.19±0.9 <sup>b</sup>
<b>OSI</b>	0.48±0.03 <sup>a</sup>	0.57±0.04 <sup>b</sup>	0.88±0.07 <sup>c</sup>	0.76±0.06 <sup>d</sup>
<b>GSH</b>	3.81±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	3.21±0.53 <sup>ab</sup>	2.49±0.8 <sup>b</sup>	3.59±0.19 <sup>a</sup>
<b>GSSG</b>	0.56±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.5±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.69±0.05 <sup>b</sup>	0.58±0.08 <sup>ab</sup>
<b>GSH/GSSG</b>	4.79±0.44 <sup>a</sup>	4.73±1.96 <sup>a</sup>	1.68±1.51 <sup>b</sup>	4.28±0.9 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Redox potential</b>	-66.38±0.47 <sup>a</sup>	-63.3±6.24 <sup>a</sup>	-51.66±8.32 <sup>b</sup>	-64.48±2.58 <sup>a</sup>

P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data are expressed as Mean±Standard Deviation. The same letter indicates similarity between groups, different letters indicate differences between groups.

**Table 5.** Cornelian cherry effect on liver tissue in groups given nicotine.

Parameters/Groups	C	CML	N	N+CML
<b>TBARS</b>	3.07±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	3.62±0.25 <sup>b</sup>	4.2±0.2 <sup>c</sup>	3.73±0.18 <sup>b</sup>
<b>SOD</b>	20.77±0.15 <sup>a</sup>	18.32±0.68 <sup>b</sup>	14.78±0.72 <sup>c</sup>	19.95±0.55 <sup>a</sup>
<b>CAT</b>	25.19±0.29 <sup>a</sup>	21.49±0.83 <sup>b</sup>	11.34±0.99 <sup>c</sup>	21.53±0.85 <sup>b</sup>
<b>GPx</b>	21.06±0.65 <sup>a</sup>	20.15±0.46 <sup>a</sup>	20.1±1.69 <sup>a</sup>	19.64±0.8 <sup>a</sup>
<b>TAS</b>	1.46±0.01 <sup>a</sup>	1.5±0.12 <sup>a</sup>	0.51±0.07 <sup>b</sup>	0.94±0.18 <sup>c</sup>
<b>TOS</b>	8.79±0.67 <sup>a</sup>	8.12±1.51 <sup>a</sup>	14.84±2.46 <sup>b</sup>	13.22±0.54 <sup>b</sup>
<b>OSI</b>	0.59±0.05 <sup>a</sup>	0.54±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.94±0.7 <sup>b</sup>	1.43±0.21 <sup>c</sup>
<b>GSH</b>	10.33±0.53 <sup>a</sup>	8.95±1.53 <sup>a</sup>	7.02±1.04 <sup>b</sup>	9±0.33 <sup>a</sup>
<b>GSSG</b>	2.51±0.13 <sup>a</sup>	2.29±0.5 <sup>a</sup>	3.13±0.33 <sup>b</sup>	2.41±0.15 <sup>a</sup>
<b>GSH/GSSG</b>	2.11±0.31 <sup>a</sup>	2.1±1.19 <sup>a</sup>	0.29±0.6 <sup>b</sup>	1.74±0.37 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Redox potential</b>	-72.82±1.6 <sup>a</sup>	-70.21±6.27 <sup>a</sup>	-59.73±5.14 <sup>b</sup>	-69.76±1.68 <sup>a</sup>

P<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Data are expressed as Mean±Standard Deviation. The same letter indicates similarity between groups, different letters indicate differences between groups.

There was no significant difference in GSH, GSH/GSSG and Redox potential biochemical values in the brain dox between the Nicotine group and the Nicotine+Cornus Mas L. group (Table 2).

When kidney tissue was examined, there was a significant difference between the Nicotine group and other groups in terms of TBARS and GPx values. There was no statistically significant difference in SOD, CAT, TAS, TOS, OSI, GSH, GSSG, GSH/GSSG and Redox potential values between the Nicotine group and the Nicotine+Cornus Mas L group. The effect of cornelian cherry plant on the specified biochemical values in kidney tissue has not been observed (Table 3). In heart tissue biochemical analysis, the TBARS, GPx, TOS, OSI, GSH/GSSG and Redox potential values of the Nicotine group were statistically different compared to the other groups. There is a significant difference between the Nicotine group and the Nicotine+Cornus Mas L group in TBARS, GPx, TOS, OSI, GSH, GSH/GSSG and Redox potential values (Table 4).

Except for the GPx and TOS values of the nicotine group, all values have a statistically significant difference from those of the other groups. As a result of the data obtained, the negative effect of nicotine on liver tissue is clearly seen (Table 5).

GPx (Glutathione peroxidase) is an antioxidant enzyme localized in the cytosol and mitochondria matrix. In our

study, GPx level in kidney, lung, brain and heart had a significant difference between nicotine group and other groups.

#### 4. Discussion

Nicotine is an important toxic component of cigarette smoke, has devastating and malignant consequences (19). Smoking is among the most important risk factors for diseases such as coronary diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and lung cancer. Since the lung is the main organ exposed to cigarette smoke and is the main region of nicotine absorption, it has been accepted as a highly sensitive organ against the toxic effect and free radical formation of nicotine (20).

It is known that antioxidants taken from plants contribute to the defense system against oxidative stress. Thus, they can protect cells against oxidative damage and prevent chronic diseases (22).

The decrease in antioxidant enzyme activities (SOD, CAT and GPx) and increase in peroxidation reactions in the liver show oxidative stress in animals exposed to nicotine (23). To reduce the toxicity of nicotine, cellular antioxidant enzymes are produced for defense purposes. Thus, inhibition of enzymes, lipid peroxidation and gene expression in rats exposed to nicotine changes and leads to cell death (25).

In our study, it was investigated whether nicotine in rats caused biochemical changes on heart, brain, liver, kidney and lung tissue and whether cornelian cherry plant had any role in response to this effect. Seher et al., in their study, they investigated the effect of cornelian cherry plant in mice with ehrlich solid tumor and reached the conclusion that TAS, GSH and SOD values were lower in all tissues of the tumor group compared to the control group (13). The plant extract (curcumin 50 mg/kg) used in the study by Mustafa et al., appears to increase CAT activity in the kidney (17).

The SOD enzyme is found in excessive amounts in the liver, adrenal gland, kidney, spleen and erythrocytes, where oxygen pressure is high (18). Therefore, in our study, we examined SOD values in kidney and liver. SOD value in liver was lower in nicotine group compared to other groups and there was a significant difference between other groups. In kidney, SOD value was significantly lower in nicotine group compared to control group. In study by Dhoubi et al. there is an increase in CAT and SOD values in the lung tissue in the group given nicotine (21). It shows similar results with our study.

In another study, Karafakioğlu et al. stated that there was a significant decrease in erythrocyte levels compared to the control group and that erythrocytes were vulnerable to oxidative stress (26). In their study on diabetic rats, Karabulut et al. stated that myocardial damage due to oxidative stress was caused by insufficient reactive oxygen production (27). In our study, the TBARS level in the heart tissue of the group given nicotine was calculated as  $3.63 \pm 0.24$ . Accordingly, nicotine appears to cause oxidative stress in the heart. In another study, it was observed that oxidative stress decreased antioxidant enzyme activities in liver damage caused by Lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (28). In our study, it is seen that SOD and CAT decreased in nicotine group compared to other groups, and increased TBARS value. Thus, nicotine appears to increase oxidative stress in the liver.

Oxidative stress is an important phenomenon expressed by excessive accumulation of TBARS (24). In our study,  $5.02 \pm 0.58$  in TBARS lung tissue in nicotine group;  $4.2 \pm 0.2$  in liver tissue;  $3.63 \pm 0.24$  in the heart;  $3.62 \pm 0.25$  in kidney; and it was found to be  $3.88 \pm 0.11$  in the brain. Thus, the high TBARS values of nicotine groups indicate that nicotine causes oxidative stress in the organs we specify.

## 5. Conclusions

Oxidative stress caused by nicotine was created in rats and antioxidant properties of cornelian cherry extract were evaluated. According to the results, cornelian cherry plant has an antioxidant feature against nicotine. Based on these data, cranberries can be considered as an alternative medicinal plant for tobacco users and other conditions that cause oxidative stress.

## Conflict of interest statement

There is no conflict of interest.

## References

- Rosa MB, Fernandes MDS, Bonjardim LR, Gavião MBD, Calixto LA, Castelo PM. Evaluation of oral mechanical and gustatory sensitivities and salivary cotinine levels in adult smokers. *Acta Odontol Scand* **2020**; 78(4): 256-264.
- Zhang P, Li Y, Fu Y, et al. Inhibition of Autophagy Signaling via 3-methyladenine Rescued Nicotine-Mediated Cardiac Pathological Effects and Heart Dysfunctions. *Int J Biol Sci* **2020**; 16(8): 1349-1362.
- Wipfli H. The Tobacco Atlas, Fourth Edition. *Am J Epidemiol* **2012**; 176(12): 1193.
- Baker CL, Flores NM, Zou KH, Bruno M, Harrison VJ. Benefits of quitting smoking on work productivity and activity impairment in the United States, the European Union and China. *Int J Clin Pract* **2017**; 71(1):e12900.
- Yılmaz H, Ertekin T, Atay E, et al. Antioxidant role of melatonin against nicotine's teratogenic effects on embryonic bone development. *Iran J Basic Med Sci* **2018**; 21(8):787-793.
- Altun I, Yuksel KZ. An Experimental Study on the Effects of Smoking in the Perinatal Period and During Lactation on the Intervertebral Disks of Newborns. *World Neurosurg* **2017**; 99: 1-5.
- Mayyas F, Aldawod H, Alzoubi KH, Khabour O, Shihadeh A, Eissenberg T. Comparison of the cardiac effects of electronic cigarette aerosol exposure with waterpipe and combustible cigarette smoke exposure in rats. *Life Sci* **2020**; 251: 117644.
- Khabour OF, Alzoubi KH, Bani-Ahmad M, Dodin A, Eissenberg T, Shihadeh A. Acute exposure to waterpipe tobacco smoke induces changes in the oxidative and inflammatory markers in mouse lung. *Inhal Toxicol* **2012**; 24(10): 667-675.
- Mayyas F, Alzoubi KH. Cardiac effects of cigarette tobacco smoking in rat model of diabetes. *Life Sci* **2018**; 211: 279-85.
- Selçuk E, Özrenk K. Erzincan Yöresinde Yetiştirilen Kızılcıkların (Cornus mas L.) Fenolojik ve Pomolojik Özelliklerinin Belirlenmesi. *Iğdır Uni J Inst Sci Tech* **2011**; 1(4): 23-30.
- Yarilgac T, Kadim H, Ozturk B. Role of maturity stages and modified-atmosphere packaging on the quality attributes of cornelian cherry fruits (Cornus mas L.) throughout shelf life. *J Sci Food Agric* **2019**; 99(1): 421-428.
- Dinda B, Kyriakopoulos AM, Dinda S, et al. Cornus mas L. (cornelian cherry), an important European and Asian traditional food and medicine: Ethnomedicine, phytochemistry and pharmacology for its commercial utilization in drug industry. *J Ethnopharmacol* **2016**; 193: 670-690.
- Yılmaz S, Alpa S, Gocmen AY, et al. The investigation of the antitumoral effect of Cornus

- mas L in mice with ehrlich solid tumor. *Bratisl Lek Listy* **2020**; 121(1): 22-30.
14. Wu R, Feng J, Yang Y, Dai C, Lu A, Li J, et al. Significance of Serum Total Oxidant/Antioxidant Status in Patients with Colorectal Cancer. *PLoS One* **2017**; 12(1): e0170003.
  15. Yılmaz S, Göçmen AY, Üner AK, Akyüz E, Tokpınar A. The protective role of melatonin against the effect of caffeine on embryonic kidney. *Turk Hij Den Biyol Derg* **2020**; 77: 51-58.
  16. Yılmaz S, Ülger H, Ertekin T, Yay AH, Nisari M, Alpa Ş, Acer N. Investigating the anti-tumoral effect of curcumin on the mice in which Ehrlich ascites and solid tumor is created. *Iran J Basic Med Sci* **2019**; 22: 418-425.
  17. Nisari M, Yılmaz S, Ertekin T, et al. Effects of Curcumin on Lipid Peroxidation and Antioxidant Enzymes in Kidney, Liver, Brain and Testis of Mice Bearing Ehrlich Solid Tumor. *Postepy Hig Med Dosw* **2020**; 74: 1-10
  18. Kantekin ÇÜ, Çakırca M, Yaman F, Göçmen AY. Does the Application of Desflurane at The Same Concentration by Different Flows Change the Oxidative Stress? *Animal Experiment. OTJHS* **2019**; 4(4): 492-504.
  19. Momi N, Ponnusamy MP, Kaur S, et al. Nicotine/cigarette smoke promotes metastasis of pancreatic cancer through a9 nAChR-mediated MUC4 upregulation. *Oncogene* **2013**; 32: 1384-1395.
  20. El-Sokkary GH, Cuzzocrea S, Reiter RJ. Effect of chronic nicotine administration on the rat lung and liver: beneficial role of melatonin. *Toxicol* **2007**; 239: 60-67.
  21. Dhoub H, Jallouli M, Draief M, Bouraoui S, El-Fazaa S. Oxidative damage and histopathological changes in lung of rat chronically exposed to nicotine alone or associated to ethanol. *Pathol Biol* **2015**; 63: 258-267
  22. Ferrari, C.K.B., and Torres, E.A.F.S. Biochemical pharmacology of functional foods and prevention of chronic diseases of aging. *Biomed Pharmacother* **2003**; 57: 251-260.
  23. Saad AB, Rjeibi I, Alimi H, Ncib S, Bouhamda T, Zouari. Protective effects of *Mentha spicata* against nicotine-induced toxicity in liver and erythrocytes of Wistar rats. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* **2018**; 43: 77-83.
  24. Hritcu, L., Ionita, R., Motei, D.E., Babii, C., Stefan, M., and Mihasan, M. Nicotine versus 6-hydroxy-l-nicotine against chlorisondamine induced memory impairment and oxidative stress in the rat hippocampus. *Biomed Pharmacother* **2017**; 86: 102-108.
  25. Halliwell, B. Reactive species and antioxidants. Redox biology is a fundamental theme for aerobic life. *Plant Physiol* **2006**; 141: 312-322.
  26. Karafakıoğlu YS, Dündar Y, Aslan R, Fidan AF, Çiğerci İH. The effects of tobacco harvesting on oxidant-antioxidants status, some biochemical and hematologic markers in women workers. *Res J Biol Sci* **2009**; 4: 691-696.
  27. Khanduja KL, and Bhardwaj A. Stable free radical scavenging and antiperoxidative properties of resveratrol compared in vitro with some other bioflavonoids. *Ind J Biochem Biophys* **2003**; 40: 416-422.
  28. Karabulut D, Ulusoy HB, Kaynak E, Sönmez MF. Therapeutic effects of pentoxifylline on diabetic heart tissue via NOS. *Anatol J Cardiol* **2016**; 16: 310-315.
  29. Doğanyığıt Z, Okan A, Kaymak E, Pandır D, Silici S. Investigation of protective effects of apilarnil against lipopolysaccharide-induced liver injury in rats via TLR 4/ HMGB-1/ NF-κB pathway. *Biomed Pharmacother* **2020**; 125: 1099673.

#### Authors' ORCID

Seher Yılmaz

<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-4551-995X>

Adem Tokpınar

<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7661-9588>

Şükrü Ateş

<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7096-2481>

Ünal Öztekin

<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-9568-9442>

Şerife Alpa

<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8665-3632>

Ayşe Yeşim Göçmen

<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8511-639X>



<https://dergipark.org.tr/pub/ntms>

All Rights Reserved. © 2020 NTMS.