

A RELATED FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR TWO PAIRS OF MAPPINGS ON TWO COMPLETE METRIC SPACES

R. K. Namdeo*, Sarika Jain* and Brian Fisher†

Received 29.07.2002 : Accepted 14.10.2003

Abstract

A new related fixed point theorem for two pairs of mappings on two complete metric spaces is obtained.

Keywords: Complete metric space, Common fixed point, Related fixed point mappings.

2000 AMS Classification: 54H25.

1. Introduction

The following related fixed point theorem was proved in [1].

1.1. Theorem. *Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces, let A, B be mappings of X into Y and let S, T be mappings of Y into X satisfying the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned}d(SAx, TBx') &\leq c \max\{d(x, x'), d(x, SAx), d(x', TBx'), \rho(Ax, Bx')\}, \\ \rho(BSy, ATy') &\leq c \max\{\rho(y, y'), \rho(y, BSy), \rho(y', ATy'), d(Sy, Ty')\}\end{aligned}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y , where $0 \leq c < 1$. If one of the mappings A, B, S and T is continuous, then SA and TB have a unique common fixed point z in X and BS and AT have a unique common fixed point w in Y . Further, $Az = Bz = w$ and $Sw = Tw = z$.

*Department of Mathematics, Dr H. S. Gour University, Sagar, (M.P.), India.

†Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Leicester, LE1 7RH, U.K.
E-mail: fbr@le.ac.uk

2. Main Result

We now prove the following related fixed point theorem.

2.1. Theorem. *Let (X, d) and (Y, ρ) be complete metric spaces. Let A, B be mappings of X into Y and let S, T be mappings of Y into X satisfying the inequalities*

$$(1) \quad d(SAx, TBx') \leq c \frac{f(x, x', y, y')}{h(x, x', y, y')},$$

$$(2) \quad \rho(BSy, ATy') \leq c \frac{g(x, x', y, y')}{h(x, x', y, y')}$$

for all x, x' in X and y, y' in Y for which $h(x, x', y, y') \neq 0$, where

$$f(x, x', y, y') = \max\{d(x, x')\rho(Ax, Bx'), d(x, x')d(Sy, Ty'), \\ d(x, Ty')\rho(Ax, ATy'), d(x', Sy)\rho(Bx', BSy)\},$$

$$g(x, x', y, y') = \max\{\rho(y, y')d(Sy, Ty'), \rho(y, y')\rho(Ax, Bx'), \\ \rho(y, Bx')d(Sy, TBx'), \rho(y', Ax)d(Ty', SAx)\},$$

$$h(x, x', y, y') = \max\{\rho(Ax, Bx'), d(SAx, TBx'), d(Sy, Ty'), \rho(BSy, ATy')\}$$

and $0 \leq c < 1$. If one of the mappings A, B, S and T is continuous, then SA and TB have a unique common fixed point z in X and BS and AT have a unique common fixed point w in Y . Further, $Az = Bz = w$ and $Sw = Tw = z$.

Proof. Let $x = x_0$ be an arbitrary point in X . We define the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X and Y inductively by $y_{2n-1} = Ax_{2n-2}$, $x_{2n-1} = Sy_{2n-1}$, $y_{2n} = Bx_{2n-1}$ and $x_{2n} = Ty_{2n}$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

We will first of all suppose that for some n ,

$$h(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) = \max\{\rho(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1}), d(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}), \\ d(Sy_{2n-1}, Ty_{2n}), \rho(BSy_{2n-1}, ATy_{2n})\} \\ = \max\{\rho(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}), \\ d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), \rho(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\} \\ = 0.$$

Then putting $x_{2n-1} = x_{2n} = x_{2n+1} = z$ and $y_{2n} = y_{2n+1} = w$, we see that

$$SAz = TBz = z, \quad ATw = w, \quad Az = Bz = w, \quad Tw = z$$

from which it follows that

$$Sw = z, \quad BSw = w.$$

Similarly, $h(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) = 0$ for some n implies that there exist points z in X and w in Y such that

$$(3) \quad SAz = TBz = z, \quad BSw = ATw = w, \quad Az = Bz = w, \quad Sw = Tw = z.$$

We will now suppose that $h(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \neq 0 \neq h(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})$ for all n . Applying inequality (1), we get

$$d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}) = d(SAx_{2n}, TBx_{2n-1}) \\ \leq c \frac{f(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})}{h(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}, y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})} \\ = c \frac{\max\{d(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})\rho(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), [d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})]^2\}}{\max\{\rho(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), d(x_{2n+1}, x_{2n}), d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})\}}$$

from which it follows that

$$(4) \quad d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) \leq c \max\{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), \rho(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}.$$

It follows similarly on using inequality (1) again that

$$(5) \quad d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \leq c \max\{d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}), \rho(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})\}.$$

Similarly, on using inequality (2), it follows that

$$(6) \quad \rho(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq c \max\{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), \rho(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})\}$$

and

$$(7) \quad \rho(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \leq c \max\{d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}), \rho(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1})\}.$$

It now follows from inequalities (4) and (6) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{2n}, x_{2n+1}) &\leq c \max\{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), cd(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), c\rho(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})\} \\ &\leq c \max\{d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}), \rho(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n})\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows similarly from inequalities (5) and (7) that

$$d(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n}) \leq c \max\{d(x_{2n-2}, x_{2n-1}), \rho(y_{2n-2}, y_{2n-1})\}$$

and so

$$(8) \quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq c^{n-1} \max\{d(x_1, x_2), \rho(y_1, y_2)\}.$$

Similarly,

$$(9) \quad \rho(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq c^{n-1} \max\{d(x_1, x_2), \rho(y_1, y_2)\}.$$

Since $c < 1$, it follows from inequalities (8) and (9) respectively that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X with a limit z and $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y with a limit w .

Now suppose that A is continuous. Then

$$(10) \quad w = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_{2n} = Az$$

and

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z, x_{2n-1}, w, y_{2n}) = d(z, Sw)\rho(w, BSw),$$

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g(z, x_{2n-1}, w, y_{2n}) = \rho(w, Az)d(z, SAz),$$

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(z, x_{2n-1}, w, y_{2n}) = \max\{d(Sw, z), \rho(BSw, w)\}.$$

If

$$(14) \quad \max\{d(Sw, z), \rho(w, BSw)\} = 0,$$

then

$$(15) \quad Sw = z, \quad BSw = w, \quad Bz = w.$$

If it were possible that

$$(16) \quad \max\{d(Sw, z), \rho(w, BSw)\} \neq 0,$$

then we have on using inequality (1) and equations (11) and (13)

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sw, z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(SAz, TBx_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c \frac{f(z, x_{2n-1}, w, y_{2n})}{h(z, x_{2n-1}, w, y_{2n})} \\ &= c \frac{d(z, Sw)\rho(w, BSw)}{\max\{d(Sw, z), \rho(w, BSw)\}} \\ &\leq cd(Sw, z) \end{aligned}$$

and so $Sw = z$ since $c < 1$.

Further, using inequality (2) and equations (12) and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(BSw, w) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(BSw, ATy_{2n}) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c \frac{g(z, x_{2n-1}, w, y_{2n})}{h(z, x_{2n-1}, w, y_{2n})} \\ &= 0,\end{aligned}$$

contradicting equation (16). Equations (14) and (15) must therefore hold.

Now suppose that $Tw \neq z$. Then

$$(17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{2n}, z, w, w) = d(z, Tw)\rho(w, ATw),$$

$$(18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_{2n}, z, w, w) = \max\{d(z, Tw), \rho(w, ATw)\} \neq 0.$$

Then we have on using inequality (1) and equations (17) and (18)

$$\begin{aligned}d(z, Tw) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(SAx_{2n}, TBz) \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c \frac{f(x_{2n}, z, w, w)}{h(x_{2n}, z, w, w)} \\ &= c \frac{d(z, Tw)\rho(w, ATw)}{\max\{d(z, Tw), \rho(w, ATw)\}} \\ &\leq cd(z, Tw),\end{aligned}$$

giving a contradiction, since $c < 1$. We must therefore have $Tw = z$ and equations (3) again follow.

By the symmetry, the same results again hold if one of the mappings B, S, T is continuous, instead of A .

To prove the uniqueness, suppose that TB and SA have a second distinct common fixed point z' . Then, using inequality (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}d(z, z') &= d(SAz, TBz') \\ &\leq c \frac{f(z, z', Az, Bz')}{h(z, z', Az, Bz')} \\ &= c \frac{\max\{d(z, z')\rho(w, Bz'), [d(z, z')]^2, d(z, z')\rho(w, Az')\}}{\max\{\rho(w, Bz'), d(z, z'), \rho(w, Az')\}} \\ &\leq cd(z, z'),\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction since $c < 1$. The fixed point z must therefore be unique.

We can prove similarly that w is the unique common fixed point of BS and AT . This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

2.2. Corollary. *Let A, B, S, T be selfmappings on the complete metric space (X, d) satisfying the inequalities*

$$\begin{aligned}d(SAx, TBx) &\leq c \frac{f(x, y)}{h(x, y)}, \\ d(BSx, ATx) &\leq c \frac{g(x, y)}{h(x, y)}\end{aligned}$$

for all x, y in X for which $h(x, y) \neq 0$, where

$$f(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y)d(Ax, By), d(x, y)d(Sx, Ty), \\ d(x, Ty)d(Ax, ATy), d(y, Sx)d(By, BSx)\},$$

$$g(x, y) = \max\{d(x, y)d(Sx, Ty), d(x, y)d(Ax, By), \\ d(x, By)d(Sx, TBy), d(y, Ax)d(Ty, SAx)\},$$

$$h(x, y) = \max\{d(Ax, By), d(SAx, TBy), d(Sx, Ty), d(BSx, ATy)\}$$

and $0 \leq c < 1$. If one of the mappings A, B, S and T is continuous, then SA and TB have a unique common fixed point z and BS and AT have a unique common fixed point w . Further, $Az = Bz = w$ and $Sw = Tw = z$.

References

- [1] B. Fisher and P.P. Murthy, *Related fixed point theorems for two pairs of mappings on two metric spaces*, Kyungpook Math. J., **37**, 343-347, 1997.