

ANALYSIS OF TWO-DIMENSIONAL NON-LINEAR BURGERS' EQUATIONS

İREM BAĞLAN¹, §

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the existence, uniqueness and continuous solution of two-dimensional Burgers' equations by iteration method.

Keywords: Two Dimensional Parabolic Equation, Periodic Boundary Condition, Finite Difference.

AMS Subject Classification: 65Bxx, 65Gxx, 65Mxx

1. INTRODUCTION

The nonlinear coupled Burgers' equations are a special form of incompressible Navier-Stokes equation without having pressure term and continuity equation. Burgers' equations are an important partial differential equation from fluid dynamics, and are widely used to describe various natural phenomena such as mathematically shown that the turbulence and modelling of gas dynamics, shock waves, etc. Due to its wide range of applicability some researchers have been interested in studying its solution using various numerical methods. For a survey of these methods one refers to [2] and references cited there in [5-16]. Construction of new solutions by superposition of known ones is a familiar tool in nonlinear partial differential equations. The idea of superpositions for nonlinear differential operators originated in 1893 by Vessiot [6]. Key references can be found in [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. In this study, we use the superposition principle for nonlinear Burgers' equations.

Consider two-dimensional coupled nonlinear Burgers' equations taken from [2],

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{R} \left(\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial y^2} \right) \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} = \frac{1}{R} \left(\frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial y^2} \right) \quad (2)$$

two-dimensional non linear Burgers' equations is given by the initial conditions:

¹ Kocaeli University, Department of Mathematics, Kocaeli, Turkey.

e-mail: isakinc@kocaeli.edu.tr; ORCID: <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1877-9791>.

§ Selected papers of International Conference on Life and Engineering Sciences (ICOLES 2018), Kyrenia, Cyprus, 2-6 September, 2018.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.9, No.1, Special Issue, 2019; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics; all rights reserved.

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y, 0) &= f(x, y), x, y \in D \\ v(x, y, 0) &= g(x, y), x, y \in D \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, y, t) &= f_1(x, y, t), x, y \in \partial D, t > 0 \\ v(x, y, t) &= g_1(x, y, t), x, y \in \partial D, t > 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Here

$$D = \{(x, y) : a \leq x \leq b, a \leq y \leq b\}$$

∂D denotes the boundary of D , $u(x, y, t)$ and $v(x, y, t)$ are the velocity components to be determinant f, g and f_1, g_1 are known functions and R is Reynolds number.

In this paper, an iterative method is presented to find numerical solutions of two dimensional non linear Burgers' equations. To solve two dimensional non linear Burgers' equations, we use the superposition principle for nonlinear partial equations [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Computed results are compared with analytical and other numerical results using various values of the Reynolds number R .

D denotes the domain

$$D := \{(x, y, t) : 0 < x < \pi, 0 < y < \pi, 0 < t < T\}$$

Partial differential equation (1) can be solved by splitting it into two one dimensional equation from [6] rather than discretising the complete two-dimensional Burgers' equation to give an approximating equation based on two-dimensional computational molecule, as seen [11]. Let consider

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &\approx f(x, t, u) \\ u_y &\approx f(y, t, u). \end{aligned}$$

We can write equation (1) as equations (5) and (6)

$$\frac{1}{2}u_t - \frac{1}{R}u_{xx} = uf(x, t, u), (x, t) \in D \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}u_t - \frac{1}{R}u_{yy} = vf(y, t, u), (y, t) \in D \quad (6)$$

Applying the same estimations for equation (2) we can write as equations (7) and (8)

$$\frac{1}{2}v_t - \frac{1}{R}v_{xx} = vg(x, t, v), (x, t) \in D \quad (7)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}v_t - \frac{1}{R}v_{yy} = vg(y, t, v), (y, t) \in D \quad (8)$$

with the initial condition and the periodic boundary condition

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, 0) &= \varphi(x), u(y, 0) = \varphi(y) \quad x, y \in [0, \pi] \\ u(0, t) &= u(\pi, t), u_x(0, t) = u_x(\pi, t), u_y(0, t) = u_y(\pi, t) \\ v(x, 0) &= \varphi(x), v(y, 0) = \varphi(y) \quad x, y \in [0, \pi], \\ v(0, t) &= v(\pi, t), v_x(0, t) = v_x(\pi, t), v_y(0, t) = v_y(\pi, t) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The functions $\varphi(x), \varphi(y)$ and $f(x, t, u), f(y, t, u), g(x, t, u)$ and $g(y, t, u)$ are given functions on $[0, \pi]$ and $\partial D \times (-\infty, \infty)$, respectively.

The problem of finding the pair $\{u(x, t), v(x, t)\}$ are solutions. In technical applications, the following boundary conditions $u(0, t) = u(\pi, t), u_x(0, t) = u_x(\pi, t)$ are encountered very often [1].

Definition 1.1. *The pair $\{u(x, t), v(x, t)\}$ from the class $(C^{2,1}(D) \cap C^{1,0}(\partial D))$ for which conditions (5)-(9) are satisfied is called the classical solution of system (5)-(9).*

2. EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF THE SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM

The main result on the existence and the uniqueness of the solution of the problem (5)-(9) is presented as follows:

We have the following assumptions on the data of the problem (5)-(9).

(A1) $\varphi(x), \varphi(y) \in C[0, \pi]$,

$\varphi(0) = \varphi(\pi), \varphi'(0) = \varphi'(\pi)$,

(A2) Let the function $f(x, t, u)$ and $f(y, t, u)$ is continuous with respect to all arguments in $\partial D \times (-\infty, \infty)$ and satisfies the following condition

(1)

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x, t, u) - f(x, t, \tilde{u})| &\leq b(x, t) |u - \tilde{u}| \\ |g(x, t, u) - g(x, t, \tilde{u})| &\leq b(x, t) |u - \tilde{u}|, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where $b(x, t) \in L_2(D)$, $b(x, t) \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(y, t, u) - f(y, t, \tilde{u})| &\leq b(y, t) |u - \tilde{u}| \\ |g(y, t, u) - g(y, t, \tilde{u})| &\leq b(y, t) |u - \tilde{u}| \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where $b(y, t) \in L_2(D)$, $b(y, t) \geq 0$,

(2) $f(x, t, u), g(x, t, u) \in C[0, \pi], t \in [0, T]$,

(3) $f(x, t, u)|_{x=0} = f(x, t, u)|_{x=\pi}, f_x(0, t, u)|_{x=0} = f_x(\pi, t, u)|_{x=\pi}$.

$g(x, t, u)|_{x=0} = g(x, t, u)|_{x=\pi}, g_x(0, t, u)|_{x=0} = g_x(\pi, t, u)|_{x=\pi}$.

(4) $f(y, t, u), g(y, t, u) \in C[0, \pi], t \in [0, T]$,

(5) $f(y, t, u)|_{x=0} = f(y, t, u)|_{x=\pi}, f_x(0, t, u)|_{x=0} = f_x(\pi, t, u)|_{x=\pi}$.

$g(y, t, u)|_{x=0} = g(y, t, u)|_{x=\pi}, g_x(0, t, u)|_{x=0} = g_x(\pi, t, u)|_{x=\pi}$.

(Same conditions for v is considered)

By applying the standard procedure of the Fourier method, we obtain the following representation for the solution of (5)-(6) and (7)-(8)

$$u(x, t) = \frac{u_0(t)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_{ck}(t) \cos 2kx + u_{sk}(t) \sin 2kx], \quad (12)$$

$$v(x, t) = \frac{v_0(t)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [v_{ck}(t) \cos 2kx + v_{sk}(t) \sin 2kx],$$

$$u(y, t) = \frac{u_0(t)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_{ck}(t) \cos 2ky + u_{sk}(t) \sin 2ky], \quad (13)$$

$$v(y, t) = \frac{v_0(t)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [v_{ck}(t) \cos 2ky + v_{sk}(t) \sin 2ky],$$

For equation (5) Fourier coefficient is:

$$\begin{aligned} u_0(t) &= \varphi_0 + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi u(\xi, \tau) f(\xi, \tau, u(\xi, \tau)) d\xi d\tau, \\ u_{ck}(t) &= \varphi_{ck} e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}t} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi u(\xi, \tau) f(\xi, \tau, u(\xi, \tau)) \cos 2k\xi e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}(t-\tau)} d\xi d\tau, \\ u_{sk}(t) &= \varphi_{sk} e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}t} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi u(\xi, \tau) f(\xi, \tau, u(\xi, \tau)) \sin 2k\xi e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}(t-\tau)} d\xi d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where $\varphi_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(x) dx$, $\varphi_{ck} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(x) \cos 2kx dx$, $\varphi_{sk} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(x) \sin 2kx dx$, $u(\xi, \tau) = \frac{u_0(\tau)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_{ck}(\tau) \cos 2k\xi + u_{sk}(\tau) \sin 2k\xi]$.

$f_0(t, u) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(x, t, u) dx$, $f_{ck}(t, u) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(x, t, u) \cos 2kx dx$, $f_{sk}(t, u) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi f(x, t, u) \sin 2kx dx$,
 $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

For (6) equation Fourier coefficient is:

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(t) &= \varphi_0 + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi v(\eta, \tau) f(\eta, \tau, u(\eta, \tau)) d\eta d\tau, \\ v_{ck}(t) &= \varphi_{ck} e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}t} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi v(\eta, \tau) f(\eta, \tau, u(\eta, \tau)) \cos 2k\eta e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}(t-\tau)} d\eta d\tau, \\ v_{sk}(t) &= \varphi_{sk} e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}t} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi v(\eta, \tau) f(\eta, \tau, u(\eta, \tau)) \sin 2k\eta e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}(t-\tau)} d\eta d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\varphi_0 = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(y) dy$, $\varphi_{ck} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(y) \cos 2ky dy$, $\varphi_{sk} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \varphi(y) \sin 2ky dy$, $u(\eta, \tau) = \frac{u_0(\tau)}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_{ck}(\tau) \cos 2k\eta + u_{sk}(\tau) \sin 2k\eta]$

$f_0(t, u) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi v f(y, t, u) dy$, $f_{ck}(t, u) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi v f(y, t, u) \cos 2ky dy$, $f_{sk}(t, u) = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi v f(y, t, u) \sin 2ky dy$,
 $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Same estimation for Fourier coefficient to (7)-(8) equation.

Definition 2.1. Denote the set

$$\{u(t)\} = \{u_0(t), u_{ck}(t), u_{sk}(t), k = 1, \dots, n\},$$

of continuous on $[0, T]$ functions satisfying the condition

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{|u_0(t)|}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{ck}(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{sk}(t)| \right) < \infty, \text{ by } \mathbf{B}_1. \text{ Let}$$

$$\|u(t)\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{|u_0(t)|}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{ck}(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{sk}(t)| \right),$$

be the norm in \mathbf{B}_1 .

It can be shown that \mathbf{B}_1 are the Banach spaces.

$$\{v(t)\} = \{v_0(t), v_{ck}(t), v_{sk}(t), k = 1, \dots, n\},$$

of continuous on $[0, T]$ functions satisfying the condition

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{|v_0(t)|}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |v_{ck}(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |v_{sk}(t)| \right) < \infty, \text{ by } \mathbf{B}_2. \text{ Let}$$

$$\|v(t)\| = \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{|v_0(t)|}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |v_{ck}(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |v_{sk}(t)| \right),$$

be the norm in \mathbf{B}_2 .

It can be shown that \mathbf{B}_2 are the Banach spaces.

Theorem 2.1 (optional text). *Let the assumptions (A1)-(A2) be satisfied. Then the problem (5)-(9) has a unique solution for small T .*

Proof.

$$u_0^{(N+1)}(t) = u_0^{(0)}(t) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi u^{(N)}(\xi, \tau) f(\xi, \tau, u^{(N)}(\xi, \tau)) d\xi d\tau, \quad (16)$$

$$u_{ck}^{(N+1)}(t) = u_{ck}^{(0)}(t) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi u^{(N)}(\xi, \tau) f(\xi, \tau, u^{(N)}(\xi, \tau)) \cos 2k\xi e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}(t-\tau)} d\xi d\tau,$$

$$u_{sk}^{(N+1)}(t) = u_{sk}^{(0)}(t) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi u^{(N)}(\xi, \tau) f(\xi, \tau, u^{(N)}(\xi, \tau)) \sin 2k\xi e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}(t-\tau)} d\xi d\tau,$$

$$u_0^{(0)}(t) = \varphi_0, u_{ck}^{(0)}(t) = \varphi_{ck} e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}t}, u_{sk}^{(0)}(t) = \varphi_{sk} e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}t}.$$

From the conditions of the theorem we have $u^{(0)}(t) \in \mathbf{B}_1$, $t \in [0, T]$.

Let us write $N = 0$ in (16).

$$u_0^{(1)}(t) = u_0^{(0)}(t) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau) f(\xi, \tau, u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)) d\xi d\tau.$$

Adding and subtracting $\int_0^t \int_0^\pi f(\xi, \tau, 0) d\xi d\tau$ to the last equation, we obtain

$$u_0^{(1)}(t) = \varphi_0(t) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi [f(\xi, \tau, u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)) - f(\xi, \tau, 0)] u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau) d\xi d\tau + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau) f(\xi, \tau, 0) d\xi d\tau.$$

Applying Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_0^{(1)}(t)| &\leq |\varphi_0| + \left(\int_0^t d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi [f(\xi, \tau, u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)) - f(\xi, \tau, 0)] |u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)| d\xi \right\}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + \left(\int_0^t d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_0^t \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |f(\xi, \tau, 0)| |u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)| d\xi \right\}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Lipschitz condition in the last equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_0^{(1)}(t)| &\leq |\varphi_0| + \sqrt{t} \left(\int_0^t \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi b(\tau, \xi) |u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)|^2 d\xi \right\}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + \sqrt{t} \left(\int_0^t \left\{ \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi |f(\xi, \tau, 0)| |u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)| d\xi \right\}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy inequality to the last equation on $[0, \pi]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |u_0^{(1)}(t)| &\leq |\varphi_0| + 2 \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\int_0^t \left\{ \int_0^\pi b(\tau, \xi) |u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)|^2 d\xi \right\}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
 &\quad + 2 \frac{\sqrt{t}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\int_0^t \left\{ \int_0^\pi |f(\xi, \tau, 0)| |u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)| d\xi \right\}^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the maximum of both side of the last inequality we have :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_0^{(1)}(t)| &\leq |\varphi_0| + 2 \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_1}^2 \\
 &\quad + 2 \frac{\sqrt{T}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \|f(x, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$u_{ck}^{(1)}(t) = u_{ck}^{(0)}(t) + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi f(\xi, \tau, u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)) \cos 2k\xi e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}(t-\tau)} d\xi d\tau$$

Adding and subtracting $\int_0^t \int_0^\pi f(\xi, \tau, 0) d\xi d\tau$ to the last equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_{ck}^{(1)}(t) &= \varphi_{ck} e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}t} + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi [f(\xi, \tau, u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)) - f(\xi, \tau, 0)] |u^{(0)}(\xi, \tau)| \cos 2k\xi e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}(t-\tau)} d\xi d\tau \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_0^\pi f(\xi, \tau, 0) \cos 2k\xi e^{-\frac{(2k)^2}{R}(t-\tau)} d\xi d\tau.
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy, Bessel, Hölder, Lipschitz condition and taking maximum:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{ck}^{(1)}(t)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_{ck}| + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{4\sqrt{3}} \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_1}^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{4\sqrt{3}} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_1} \|f(x, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)}.$$

Applying the same estimations we obtain,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{sk}^{(1)}(t)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_{sk}| + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{4\sqrt{3}} \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_1}^2 + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{4\sqrt{3}} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_1} \|f(x, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)}.$$

Finally we have the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(1)}(t)\| &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{|u_0^{(1)}(t)|}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{ck}^{(1)}(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{sk}^{(1)}(t)| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{|\varphi_0|}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|\varphi_{ck}| + |\varphi_{sk}|) \\ &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_1}^2 \\ &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_1} \|f(x, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)}. \end{aligned}$$

Same estimation for equation (6)

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{(1)}(t)\| &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \frac{|u_0^{(1)}(t)|}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{ck}^{(1)}(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{sk}^{(1)}(t)| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{|\varphi_0|}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|\varphi_{ck}| + |\varphi_{sk}|) \\ &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_1} \|v^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_2} \\ &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|f(y, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)} \|v^{(0)}(t)\|_{B_2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| u^{(1)}(t) \right\|_{\mathbf{B}_1} &= \left\| u^{(1)}(t) \right\|_1 + \left\| u^{(1)}(t) \right\|_2 \\
 &\leq |\varphi_0| + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|\varphi_{ck}| + |\varphi_{sk}|) \\
 &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \left\| u^{(0)}(t) \right\|_{B_1}^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \left\| u^{(0)}(t) \right\|_{B_1} \|f(x, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)} \\
 &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \left\| u^{(0)}(t) \right\|_{B_1} \left\| v^{(0)}(t) \right\|_{B_2} \\
 &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|f(y, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)} \left\| v^{(0)}(t) \right\|_{B_2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From the conditions of the theorem $u^{(1)}(t) \in \mathbf{B}_1$.
 Same estimation for N ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| u^{(N+1)}(t) \right\|_{B_1} &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_0^{(N)}(t)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{ck}^{(N)}(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |u_{sk}^{(N)}(t)| \right) \\
 &\leq |\varphi_0| + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|\varphi_{ck}| + |\varphi_{sk}|) \\
 &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \left\| u^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{B_1}^2 \\
 &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \left\| u^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{B_1}^2 \|f(x, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)} \\
 &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \left\| u^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{B_1} \left\| v^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{B_2} \\
 &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|f(y, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)} \left\| v^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{B_2}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Since $u^{(N)}(t) \in \mathbf{B}_1$ and from the conditions of the theorem, we have $u^{(N+1)}(t) \in \mathbf{B}_1$,

$$\{u(t)\} = \{u_0(t), u_{ck}(t), u_{sk}(t), k = 1, 2, \dots\} \in \mathbf{B}_1.$$

Same estimation for (7)-(8) equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v^{(N+1)}(t)\|_{B_2} &= \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |v_0^{(N)}(t)| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |v_{ck}^{(N)}(t)| + \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} |v_{sk}^{(N)}(t)| \right) \\
&\leq |\varphi_0| + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (|\varphi_{ck}| + |\varphi_{sk}|) \\
&\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|v^{(N)}(t)\|_{B_2}^2 \\
&\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|v^{(N)}(t)\|_{B_2} \|g(y, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)} \\
&\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|v^{(N)}(t)\|_{B_2} \|u^{(N)}(t)\|_{B_1} \\
&\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|g(x, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(N)}(t)\|_{B_1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

$$\{v(t)\} = \{v_0(t), v_{ck}(t), v_{sk}(t), k = 1, 2, \dots\} \in \mathbf{B}_2.$$

Now we prove that the iterations $u^{(N+1)}(t)$ and $v^{(N+1)}(t)$ converge in \mathbf{B}_1 and \mathbf{B}_2 , as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Applying Cauchy inequality, Bessel inequality, Hölder inequality, the Lipschitz condition, taking maximum of both side of the $u^{(1)}(t) - u^{(0)}(t)$ for equation (5):

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^{(1)}(t) - u^{(0)}(t)\| &\leq \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{\mathbf{B}_1}^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{2\sqrt{3T} + \pi}{2\sqrt{3\pi}} \right) \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{\mathbf{B}_1} \|f(x, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
A_T &= \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \left(\|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{\mathbf{B}_1}^2 + \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{\mathbf{B}_1} M \right). \\
\|u^{(1)}(t) - u^{(0)}(t)\|_1 &\leq A_T
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Cauchy inequality, Bessel inequality, Hölder inequality, the Lipschitz condition, taking maximum of both side of the $u^{(1)}(t) - u^{(0)}(t)$ for equation (6):

$$\begin{aligned}
\|u^{(1)}(t) - u^{(0)}(t)\| &\leq \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{\mathbf{B}_1} \|v^{(0)}(t)\|_{\mathbf{B}_2} \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{2\sqrt{3T} + \pi}{2\sqrt{3\pi}} \right) \|v^{(0)}(t)\|_{\mathbf{B}_2} \|f(y, t, 0)\|_{L_2(D)}.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B_T &= \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \left(\|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \|u^{(0)}(t)\|_{\mathbf{B}_1} + M \right) \|v^{(0)}(t)\|_{\mathbf{B}_2}. \\
\|u^{(1)}(t) - u^{(0)}(t)\|_2 &\leq B_T
\end{aligned}$$

For N :

$$\left\| u^{(N+1)}(t) - u^{(N)}(t) \right\| \leq \frac{A_T}{\sqrt{N!}} \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right)^N \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)}^N$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u^{(N+1)}(t) - u^{(N)}(t) \right\| &\leq \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right)^N \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)}^N \frac{A_T}{\sqrt{N!}} \\ &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right)^N \frac{B_T}{\sqrt{N!}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| u^{(N+1)}(t) - u^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{B_1} &\leq \left\| u^{(N+1)}(t) - u^{(N)}(t) \right\|_1 + \left\| u^{(N+1)}(t) - u^{(N)}(t) \right\|_2 \quad (19) \\ &\leq \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right)^N \left[\|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)}^N + \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)}^N \right] \frac{A_T}{\sqrt{N!}} \\ &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right)^N \frac{B_T}{\sqrt{N!}} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that $u^{(N+1)} \rightarrow u^{(N)}$, $N \rightarrow \infty$, for (5)-(6)

Same estimations for (7)-(8)

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| v^{(N+1)}(t) - v^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{B_2} &\leq \left\| v^{(N+1)}(t) - v^{(N)}(t) \right\|_1 + \left\| v^{(N+1)}(t) - v^{(N)}(t) \right\|_2 \quad (20) \\ &\leq \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right)^N \left[\|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)}^N + \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)}^N \right] \frac{A_T}{\sqrt{N!}} \\ &\quad + \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right)^N \frac{B_T}{\sqrt{N!}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} u^{(N+1)}(t) = u(t), \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} v^{(N+1)}(t) = v(t).$$

For the uniqueness, we assume that the problems (5)-(9) have two solution pairs (u, v) and (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) . Applying Cauchy inequality, Bessel inequality, Hölder inequality, the Lipschitz condition, taking maximum of both side of the $|u(t) - \bar{u}(t)|$ and $|v(t) - \bar{v}(t)|$, we obtain

$$|u(t) - \bar{u}(t)| \leq \left(2\sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi}} + \frac{\sqrt{2\pi R}}{2\sqrt{3}} \right) \left(\|u\|_{B_1} \|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} + \|v\|_{B_2} \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \right) |u(t) - \bar{u}(t)|$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality to the last equations we have $u(t) = \bar{u}(t)$

Same estimation for $|v(t) - \bar{v}(t)|$, we have $v(t) = \bar{v}(t)$ □

The theorem is proved.

Theorem 2.2. *Under assumption (A1)-(A2) the solution (u, v) of the problem (5)-(9) depends continuously upon the data φ .*

Proof. Let $\Phi = \{\varphi, f\}$ and $\bar{\Phi} = \{\bar{\varphi}, \bar{f}\}$ be two sets of the data, which satisfy the assumptions (A1)-(A2).

By using same estimations, we obtain:

$$|u - \bar{u}|^2 \leq \|\Phi - \bar{\Phi}\|^2 \times \exp 2M^2 \left[\|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} + \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \right]^2.$$

For $\Phi \rightarrow \bar{\Phi}$ then $u \rightarrow \bar{u}$.

$\Phi = \{\varphi, g\}$ and $\bar{\Phi} = \{\bar{\varphi}, g\}$ be two sets of the data, which satisfy the assumptions (A1)-(A2),

$$|v - \bar{v}|^2 \leq \|\Phi - \bar{\Phi}\|^2 \times \exp 2M^2 \left[\|b(x, t)\|_{L_2(D)} + \|b(y, t)\|_{L_2(D)} \right]^2.$$

For $\Phi \rightarrow \bar{\Phi}$ then $v \rightarrow \bar{v}$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Hill GW., (1886), On the part of the motion of the lunar perigee which is a function of the mean motions of the sun and moon, *Acta Mathematica*, 8: 1-36.
- [2] Bahadır A.R., (2015), A fully implicit finite -difference scheme for two-dimensional Burgers' equations, *Appl.Math. Comput.* 206, 131-137.
- [3] Jain P.C, Holla D.N., (1978), Numerical solution of coupled Burgers' equations, *Int.J.Numer. Meth. Eng.* 12, 213-222.
- [4] Wubs, F.W, E.D. de Goede, (1992), An explicit-implicit method for a class of time dependent partial differential equations, *Appl.Numer.Math.* 9, 157-181.
- [5] Smith G.D., (1985), *Numerical Solutions of Partial Differential Equations Finite Difference Methods*, Clarendon Press, Oxford.
- [6] Vessiof E., (1893), On a class of differential equations, *Annaks Scientifiques de l'E cole Normale Superieure*, vol.10, p.53.
- [7] Guldberg A., (1893), On differential equations possessing a fundamental system of integrals, *Comptes Rendus de l' Academie des Sciences*, vol:116. p.964.
- [8] S.Lie, (1885), General studies on differential equations admitting finite continuous groups, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol.25, no.1, pp.71-151, reprinted in *Lie's Collected Works*, vol.6, 139-223.
- [9] S.Lie, (1893), On ordinary differential equations possessing fundamental systems of integrals, *Comptes Rendus de l' Academie des Sciences*, vol.116, reprinted in *Lie's Collected Works*, vol.4, 314-316.
- [10] Jones S.E. and Ames W.F., (1967), Nonlinear superposition, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 17, 484-487.
- [11] Saad, K.M., Atangana, A. and Baleanu, D., (2018), New fractional derivatives with non-singular kernel applied to the Burgers equation, *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science* 28, 6 : 063109.



İrem Bağlan is an Associate Professor. She graduated from the Department of Mathematics, Kocaeli University, Kocaeli, Turkey in 1998. She received her MS degree in Mathematics from Kocaeli University in 2002. She received her PhD in Mathematics from Kocaeli University in 2007. She is a member of the Department of Mathematics at Kocaeli University by associate professor since 2015. Her research interests focus mainly on applied mathematics, numerical analysis, and Fourier analysis.
