



---

---

## On Generalized Contraction Principles over $S$ -metric Spaces with Application to Homotopy

Debashis Dey<sup>1</sup>, Kushal Roy<sup>2</sup>, Mantu Saha<sup>3</sup>

### Article History

Received: 09.01.2019

Accepted: 09.04.2020

Published: 30.06.2020

Original Article

**Abstract** — In the present paper, we introduce the concept of a class of generalized contraction mappings called  $A$ -contraction on  $S$ -metric space and investigate the existence of fixed points over such spaces. Analogue result has been formulated in integral setting over such an  $S$ -metric space. Moreover, the result is applied to homotopy theory.

**Keywords** — Fixed point,  $A$ -contraction,  $S$ -metric space.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In sixties, attempts were initiated through the study of 2-metric spaces by S.Gähler [1, 2] to generalize the metric space. However, Ha et al. [3] have pointed out that the results over 2-metrics spaces are independent, rather than generalizations, of the corresponding results in metric spaces. Another such generalization is  $D$ -metric space introduced by Dhage [4] in 1992 where he proved some results on fixed points of contraction mappings over complete and bounded  $D$ -metric spaces. But in 2006, Mustafa and Sims [5] pointed out that Dhage's notion of a  $D$ -metric space is fundamentally flawed and most of the results claimed by Dhage and others are invalid. They introduced a more appropriate and robust version of a generalized metric space namely  $G$ -metric space in 2006. Sedghi et al. [6, 7] improved and modified  $D$ -metric space and thus introduced  $D^*$ -metric space. They proved some basic properties of  $D^*$ -metric spaces and some fixed point theorems on it. In continuation with untiring attempts to find a most appropriate one, Sedghi et al. [8, 9] recently introduced and characterized the concept of  $S$ -metric space which modifies  $D$ -metric and  $G$ -metric spaces.

**Definition 1.1. ( $S$ -metric space)** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. An  $S$ -metric on  $X$  is a function  $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  that satisfies the following conditions, for each  $x, y, z, a \in X$ ,

(S1)  $S(x, y, z) = 0$ , if and only if  $x = y = z$ ,

(S2)  $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$ .

The pair  $(X, S)$  is called an  $S$ -metric space.

According to Sedghi et al. [8], some of the examples of such  $S$ -metric spaces are:

- (1) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  be a norm on  $X$ , then  $S(x, y, z) = \|y + z - 2x\| + \|y - z\|$  is an  $S$ -metric on  $X$ .
- (2) Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  be a norm on  $X$ , then  $S(x, y, z) = \|x - z\| + \|y - z\|$  is an  $S$ -metric on  $X$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>debashismathdey@gmail.com ; <sup>2</sup>kushal.roy93@gmail.com (Corresponding Author); <sup>3</sup>mantusaha.bu@gmail.com

<sup>1</sup>Koshigram Union Institution, Koshigram-713150, Purba Bardhaman, West Bengal, India

<sup>2,3</sup> Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Purba Bardhaman-713104, West Bengal, India

(3) Let  $X$  be a nonempty set,  $d$  be a metric on  $X$ , then  $S(x, y, z) = d(x, z) + d(y, z)$  is an  $S$ -metric on  $X$ .

(4) [intuitive geometric example for  $S$ -metric] Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $d$  be a metric on  $X$ , therefore,  $S(x, y, z) = d(x, y) + d(x, z) + d(y, z)$  is an  $S$ -metric on  $X$ . If we connect the points  $x, y, z$  by a line, we have a triangle and if we choose a point  $a$  within the triangle, then the inequality  $S(x, y, z) \leq S(x, x, a) + S(y, y, a) + S(z, z, a)$  holds.

(5) Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the real line. Then  $S(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z|$  for all  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$  is an  $S$ -metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ . This  $S$ -metric on  $\mathbb{R}$  is called the usual  $S$ -metric on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** [8] Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space and  $A \subset X$ .

(1) A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is called  $S$ -bounded if there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $S(x, x, y) < r$  for all  $x, y \in A$ .

(2) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  converges to  $x \in X$  if and only if  $S(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . That is for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S(x_n, x_n, x) < \epsilon$  whenever  $n \geq n_0$ . We denote this by  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  or  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, x) = 0$ .

(3) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is called a Cauchy sequence if  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . That is for every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$  whenever  $n, m \geq n_0$ .

(4) The  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$  is called complete if every Cauchy sequence is convergent to an element of  $X$ .

**Lemma 1.3.** [8] For a  $S$ -metric space  $X$ , we have  $S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x) \forall x, y \in X$ .

**Lemma 1.4.** [9] Let  $(X, S)$  be an  $S$ -metric space. If  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are sequences in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $S(x_n, x_n, y_n) \rightarrow S(x, x, y)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 1.5.** [9] Let  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map from an  $S$ -metric space  $X$  to an  $S$ -metric space  $Y$ . Then  $T$  is continuous at  $x \in X$  if and only if  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  in  $Y$  whenever  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $X$ .

A mapping  $T$  is continuous at  $X$  if and only if it is continuous at all  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 1.6.** [8] Let  $(X, S)$  be a complete  $S$ -metric space and let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  be a contraction i.e

$$S(F(x), F(x), F(y)) \leq LS(x, x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X$$

where  $0 \leq L < 1$ . Then  $F$  has a unique fixed point  $u \in X$ . Furthermore, for any  $x \in X$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F^n(x) = u$  with

$$S(F^n(x), F^n(x), u) \leq \frac{2L^n}{1-L} S(x, x, F(x)).$$

**Theorem 1.7.** [8] Let  $(X, S)$  be a compact  $S$ -metric space and let  $F : X \rightarrow X$  satisfying

$$S(F(x), F(x), F(y)) < S(x, x, y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X \text{ and } x \neq y.$$

Then  $F$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

## 2. $A$ -contraction and fixed point

Akram et al. [10, 11] have defined  $A$ -contractions as follows: Let a nonempty set  $A$  consisting of all functions  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying

( $A_1$ ) :  $\alpha$  is continuous on the set  $\mathbb{R}_+^3$  of all triplets of nonnegative reals (with respect to the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ).

( $A_2$ ) :  $a \leq kb$  for some  $k \in [0, 1)$  whenever  $a \leq \alpha(a, b, b)$  or  $a \leq \alpha(b, a, b)$  or  $a \leq \alpha(b, b, a)$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ .

**Definition 2.1.** [10] A self map  $T$  on a metric space  $X$  is said to be  $A$ -contraction if it satisfies the condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and for some  $\alpha$  in  $A$ .

Following the definition of  $A$ -contraction mapping on a metric space (see [10]- [11]) and over a 2-metric space (see [12]), we now define  $A$ -contractions on an  $S$ -metric space and prove fixed point theorem on it.

**Definition 2.2.** A self map  $T$  on an  $S$ -metric space  $X$  is said to be  $A$ -contraction if it satisfies the condition

$$S(Tx, Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha(S(x, x, y), S(x, x, Tx), S(y, y, Ty))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and for some  $\alpha$  in  $A$ .

Now we state our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(X, S)$  be a complete  $S$ -metric space and let  $T$  be  $A$ -contraction mapping on  $X$ . Then,  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

PROOF. Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary element of  $X$  and consider the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  of iterates  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now

$$S(x_1, x_1, x_2) = S(Tx_0, Tx_0, Tx_1) \leq \alpha(S(x_0, x_0, x_1), S(x_0, x_0, x_1), S(x_1, x_1, x_2))$$

implies

$$S(x_1, x_1, x_2) \leq kS(x_0, x_0, x_1) \tag{1}$$

for some  $k \in [0, 1)$  because  $\alpha \in A$ . By easy iteration one can check that

$$S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq k^n S(x_0, x_0, x_1). \tag{2}$$

For all  $m > n$  and by using Lemma 1.3 and (S2) we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_n, x_n, x_m) &\leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^{m-2} S(x_i, x_i, x_{i+1}) + S(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^{m-2} k^i S(x_0, x_0, x_1) + k^{m-1} S(x_0, x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq 2[k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{m-1}] S(x_0, x_0, x_1) \\ &\leq \frac{2k^n}{1-k} S(x_0, x_0, x_1). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ . This proves that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy and by completeness of  $X$ ,  $x_n \rightarrow z$  for some  $z \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} S(z, z, Tz) &\leq 2S(z, z, x_{n+1}) + S(Tz, Tz, x_{n+1}) \\ &= 2S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, z) + S(Tx_n, Tx_n, Tz) \\ &\leq 2S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, z) + \alpha(S(x_n, x_n, z), S(x_n, x_n, Tx_n), S(z, z, Tz)) \\ &= 2S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, z) + \alpha(S(x_n, x_n, z), S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}), S(z, z, Tz)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $S(z, z, Tz) \leq \alpha(0, 0, S(z, z, Tz))$ , which implies that  $S(z, z, Tz) = 0$ . So  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . For uniqueness, let  $u, v \in X$  be two distinct fixed points of  $T$ . So by definition of  $A$ -contraction,

$$\begin{aligned} S(u, u, v) = S(Tu, Tu, Tv) &\leq \alpha(S(u, u, v), S(u, u, Tu), S(v, v, Tv)) \\ &= \alpha(S(u, u, v), S(u, u, u), S(v, v, v)) \\ &= \alpha(S(u, u, v), 0, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Then by axiom  $A_2$  of  $\alpha$  we have  $u = v$  and so the fixed point is unique. □

Now we give an example in support of the Theorem 2.3.

**Example 2.4.** First we take a function  $\alpha : \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  as  $\alpha(x, y, z) = \beta \cdot (y + z)$ , where  $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$ , which satisfies the property  $(A_1)$  obviously. Now

$$a \leq \alpha(a, b, b) = \beta \cdot (b + b) = 2\beta \cdot b \text{ implies } a \leq k \cdot b \text{ where } k = 2\beta < 1,$$

$$a \leq \alpha(b, a, b) = \beta \cdot (a + b) \text{ implies } a \leq k \cdot b \text{ where } k = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} < 1 \text{ and also}$$

$$a \leq \alpha(b, b, a) = \beta \cdot (b + a) = \text{ implies } a \leq k \cdot b \text{ where } k = \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} < 1.$$

So  $\alpha$  satisfies the property  $(A_2)$ . Now Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and  $S(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z|$ . Clearly  $(X, S)$  is a complete  $S$  metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be given by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{4}, & \text{for } x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}) \\ \frac{x}{5}, & \text{for } x \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]. \end{cases}$$

One can check that  $T$  is an  $A$ -contraction on  $X = [0, 1]$  and satisfies all the conditions of the Theorem 2.3. Also  $T$  has a unique fixed point at  $x = 0$ .

Now we show that the above Theorem 2.3 holds for  $A$ -contraction mapping, in absence of which, the map  $T$  fails to produce any fixed point in the underlying space though other conditions remain invariant.

**Example 2.5.** Let  $X = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $S(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z|$ . Then,  $(X, S)$  is a complete  $S$  metric space. Take a function  $\alpha$  as defined in the previous Example 2.4. Then,  $\alpha$  satisfies the properties  $(A_1)$  and  $(A_2)$ . If we assume  $T : X \rightarrow X$  as

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } x \in [0, 1) \\ \frac{1}{3}, & \text{for } x = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then  $T$  is a self mapping on a complete  $S$ -metric space  $[0, 1]$ . Next let  $x = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $y = 1$ , then it is easy to check that  $\beta > \frac{1}{2}$ , which leads to the conclusion, that  $T$  is not an  $A$ -contraction mapping. Also,  $T$  has no fixed point in  $X$  though other conditions of the Theorem 2.3 are being satisfied.

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $(X, S)$  be a complete  $S$ -metric space and let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  satisfy

$$S(T_1x, T_1x, T_2y) \leq \alpha(S(x, x, y), S(x, x, T_1x), S(y, y, T_2y))$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and for some  $\alpha$  in  $A$ . Then  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

PROOF. Let us construct the following sequence in  $X$ .

$$x_n = \begin{cases} T_1x_{n-1}, & \text{whenever } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ is odd and} \\ T_2x_{n-1}, & \text{whenever } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_1, x_1, x_2) &= S(T_1x_0, T_1x_0, T_2x_1) \\ &\leq \alpha(S(x_0, x_0, x_1), S(x_0, x_0, T_1x_0), S(x_1, x_1, T_2x_1)) \\ &= \alpha(S(x_0, x_0, x_1), S(x_0, x_0, x_1), S(x_1, x_1, x_2)) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

and therefore from the property of  $\alpha$  we have  $S(x_1, x_1, x_2) \leq kS(x_0, x_0, x_1)$ . Also, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_2, x_2, x_3) = S(x_3, x_3, x_2) &= S(T_1x_2, T_1x_2, T_2x_1) \\ &\leq \alpha(S(x_2, x_2, x_1), S(x_2, x_2, T_1x_2), S(x_1, x_1, T_2x_1)) \\ &= \alpha(S(x_1, x_1, x_2), S(x_2, x_2, x_3), S(x_1, x_1, x_2)) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and we get from the property of  $\alpha$  that  $S(x_2, x_2, x_3) \leq kS(x_1, x_1, x_2) \leq k^2S(x_0, x_0, x_1)$ . Proceeding in a similar fashion, we see that  $S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq kS(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) \leq k^nS(x_0, x_0, x_1)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then it is a routine calculation to check that  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy and since  $X$  is complete, there exists some  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} S(z, z, T_1z) &\leq 2S(z, z, x_{2n}) + S(T_1z, T_1z, x_{2n}) \\ &= 2S(z, z, x_{2n}) + S(T_1z, T_1z, T_2x_{2n-1}) \\ &\leq 2S(z, z, x_{2n}) + \alpha(S(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, z), S(z, z, T_1z), \\ &\quad S(x_{2n-1}, x_{2n-1}, x_{2n})). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Since  $\alpha$  is continuous, taking  $n$  tending to infinity we get  $S(z, z, T_1z) \leq \alpha(0, S(z, z, T_1z), 0)$  implying that  $S(z, z, T_1z) = 0$  i.e.  $T_1z = z$ . In a similar way we can show that  $T_2z = z$  and therefore  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . Uniqueness of fixed point is obvious. □

### 3. Result in integral setting

In 2002, Branciari [13] first analyzed the existence of fixed point of a contractive mapping of integral type defined over a complete metric space  $(X, d)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** [13] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $c \in (0, 1)$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that for each  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\int_0^{d(fx, fy)} \varphi(t)dt \leq c \int_0^{d(x, y)} \varphi(t)dt \tag{6}$$

where  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable (i.e. with finite integral) on each compact subset of  $[0, +\infty)$ , nonnegative, and such that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $\int_0^\epsilon \varphi(t)dt > 0$ , then  $f$  has a unique fixed point  $a \in X$  such that for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = a$ .

Rhoades [15] extended the result of Branciari by replacing the condition (6) by the following

$$\int_0^{d(fx, fy)} \varphi(t)dt \leq c \int_0^{\max\{d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy), \frac{[d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)]}{2}\}} \varphi(t)dt. \tag{7}$$

Since then numerous generalizations have been made in this direction (see [15], [14] for details). Motivated by these results we apply and prove the analogue of  $A$ -contraction mapping over a complete  $S$ -metric space.

An important definition is needed to state our theorem in this section.

**Definition 1.2. (Sub additivity)**

$u : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is sub additive on each  $[a, b] \subset [0, +\infty)$  if

$$\int_0^{a+b} u(t)dt \leq \int_0^a u(t)dt + \int_0^b u(t)dt. \tag{8}$$

Now we state our result as following.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $T$  be a self-mapping of a complete  $S$ -metric space  $(X, S)$  satisfying the following condition:

$$\int_0^{S(Tx, Tx, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt \leq \alpha \left( \int_0^{S(x, x, y)} \varphi(t)dt, \int_0^{S(x, x, Tx)} \varphi(t)dt, \int_0^{S(y, y, Ty)} \varphi(t)dt \right) \tag{9}$$

for each  $x, y \in X$  with some  $\alpha \in A$ , where  $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable (i.e. with finite integral), sub additive on each  $[a, b] \subset [0, +\infty)$ , nonnegative, and such that

$$\text{for each } \epsilon > 0, \int_0^\epsilon \varphi(t)dt > 0. \tag{10}$$

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $z \in X$  and for each  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_n T^n x = z$ .

PROOF. Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary element of  $X$  and, for brevity, consider  $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ . then for each integer  $n \geq 1$ , from (9) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \\ = & \int_0^{S(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \\ \leq & \alpha \left( \int_0^{S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n)} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(x_n, x_n, Tx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ \leq & \alpha \left( \int_0^{S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n)} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n)} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then by the axiom  $A_2$  of function  $\alpha$ ,

$$\int_0^{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \leq k \int_0^{S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n)} \varphi(t) dt \tag{11}$$

for some  $k \in [0, 1)$  as  $\alpha \in A$ .

In similar fashion, one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt & \leq k \int_0^{S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n)} \varphi(t) dt \\ & \leq k^2 \int_0^{S(x_{n-2}, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})} \varphi(t) dt \\ & \leq \dots \\ & \leq k^n \int_0^{S(x_0, x_0, x_1)} \varphi(t) dt. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Now for  $m > n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_n, x_n, x_m) & \leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^{m-2} S(x_i, x_i, x_{i+1}) + S(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ & \leq 2 \sum_{i=n}^{m-1} S(x_i, x_i, x_{i+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Now applying subadditivity of  $\varphi(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{S(x_n, x_n, x_m)} \varphi(t) dt & \leq \int_0^{2S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt + \int_0^{2S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})} \varphi(t) dt + \dots \\ & \quad + \int_0^{2S(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1})} \varphi(t) dt + \int_0^{2S(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m)} \varphi(t) dt \\ & \leq [k^n + k^{n+1} + \dots + k^{m-2} + k^{m-1}] \int_0^{2S(x_0, x_0, x_1)} \varphi(t) dt \\ & = k^n [1 + k + \dots + k^{m-n-2} + k^{m-n-1}] \int_0^{2S(x_0, x_0, x_1)} \varphi(t) dt \\ & \leq \frac{k^n}{1-k} \int_0^{2S(x_0, x_0, x_1)} \varphi(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now taking limit as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get  $\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{S(x_n, x_n, x_m)} \varphi(t) dt = 0$  which, from (10) implies that

$$\lim_{m, n} S(x_n, x_n, x_m) = 0.$$

Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy, hence convergent. Call the limit  $z$ .

From (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{S(Tz, Tz, x_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt &= \int_0^{S(Tz, Tz, Tx_n)} \varphi(t) dt \\ &\leq \alpha \left( \int_0^{S(z, z, x_n)} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(z, z, Tz)} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})} \varphi(t) dt \right). \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\int_0^{S(Tz, Tz, z)} \varphi(t) dt \leq \alpha \left( 0, \int_0^{S(z, z, Tz)} \varphi(t) dt, 0 \right).$$

So by the axiom  $A_2$  of function  $\alpha$ ,

$$\int_0^{S(Tz, Tz, z)} \varphi(t) dt = k \cdot 0 = 0$$

which, from (10), implies that  $S(Tz, Tz, z) = 0$  or,  $Tz = z$ .

Next suppose that  $w (\neq z)$  be another fixed point of  $T$ . Then from (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{S(z, z, w)} \varphi(t) dt &= \int_0^{S(Tz, Tz, Tw)} \varphi(t) dt \\ &\leq \alpha \left( \int_0^{S(z, z, w)} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(z, z, Tz)} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(w, w, Tw)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &= \alpha \left( \int_0^{S(z, z, w)} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(z, z, z)} \varphi(t) dt, \int_0^{S(w, w, w)} \varphi(t) dt \right) \\ &= \alpha \left( \int_0^{S(z, z, w)} \varphi(t) dt, 0, 0 \right). \end{aligned}$$

So by the axiom  $A_2$  of function  $\alpha$ ,

$$\int_0^{S(z, z, w)} \varphi(t) dt = 0$$

which, from (10), implies that  $S(z, z, w) = 0$  or,  $z = w$  and so the fixed point is unique. □

**Remark 3.3.** On setting  $\varphi(t) = 1$  over  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , the contractive condition of integral type transforms into a general contractive condition not involving integrals.

### 4. An application to homotopy

In this section, we obtain a homotopy result as an application of Theorem 2.3. For this purpose first we give the definition of homotopy between two functions.

**Definition 4.1.** [16] Let  $X, Y$  be two topological spaces, and let  $G, S : X \rightarrow Y$  be two continuous mappings. Then, a homotopy from  $G$  to  $S$  is a continuous function  $H : X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  such that  $H(x, 0) = Gx$  and  $H(x, 1) = Sx$ , for all  $x \in X$ . Also,  $G$  and  $S$  are called homotopic mappings.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $X$  be a complete  $S$ -metric space and  $U$  be an open and  $V$  be a closed subset of  $X$  with  $U \subset V$ . Let the operator  $F : V \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$  satisfies the following conditions:

- 1)  $x \neq F(x, t)$  for every  $x \in V \setminus U$  and for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,
- 2) There exists some  $\alpha \in A$  such that

$$S(F(x, t), F(x, t), F(y, t)) \leq \alpha(S(x, x, y), S(x, x, F(x, t)), S(y, y, F(y, t))) \tag{13}$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  and  $x, y \in V$ ,

3) There exists a continuous function  $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$S(F(x, t), F(x, t), F(x, s)) \leq |f(t) - f(s)| \tag{14}$$

$\forall t, s \in [0, 1]$  and for every  $x \in V$ ,

4) For any  $r > 0$  we have  $\alpha(a, b, 0) \leq \delta r < r$  whenever  $a \leq r$  or  $b \leq r$ , where  $0 < \delta < 1$ .

Then  $F(., 0)$  has a fixed point if and only if  $F(., 1)$  has a fixed point.

PROOF. Let us define  $G = \{t \in [0, 1] : F(x, t) = x \text{ for some } x \in U\}$ .

First let us assume that  $F(., 0)$  has a fixed point. Then  $F(x, 0) = x$  for some  $x \in U$  since (1) holds.

Then  $0 \in G$  and thus  $G$  is non-empty. We will show that  $G$  is a clopen subset of  $[0, 1]$ , then from connectedness of  $[0, 1]$  we can easily say that  $G = [0, 1]$ .

First we prove that  $G$  is open. let  $t_0 \in G$  then there exists  $x_0 \in U$  such that  $F(x_0, t_0) = x_0$  [as (1) holds].

Therefore there exists  $r > 0$  such that  $B(x_0, r) \subset U$ , where  $B(x_0, r) = \{x \in X : S(x, x, x_0) < r\}$ .

Now let,  $x \in \overline{B(x_0, r)} = \{x \in X : S(x, x, x_0) \leq r\}$  and we choose

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{2} \left[ r - \sup_{x \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}} \alpha(S(x, x, x_0), S(x, x, F(x, t_0)), 0) \right].$$

Therefore  $\epsilon > 0$  by condition (4). Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, 1]$ , there exists  $\eta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $|f(t) - f(t_0)| < \epsilon$  whenever  $t \in (t_0 - \eta(\epsilon), t_0 + \eta(\epsilon)) \subset [0, 1]$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} S(F(x, t), F(x, t), x_0) &= S(F(x, t), F(x, t), F(x_0, t_0)) \\ &\leq 2S(F(x, t), F(x, t), F(x, t_0)) + S(F(x_0, t_0), F(x_0, t_0), \\ &\quad F(x, t_0)) \\ &= 2S(F(x, t), F(x, t), F(x, t_0)) + S(F(x, t_0), F(x, t_0), \\ &\quad F(x_0, t_0)) \\ &\leq 2|f(t) - f(t_0)| + \alpha(S(x, x, x_0), S(x, x, F(x, t_0)), \\ &\quad S(x_0, x_0, F(x_0, t_0))) \\ &= 2|f(t) - f(t_0)| + \alpha(S(x, x, x_0), S(x, x, F(x, t_0)), 0). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Therefore, whenever  $t \in (t_0 - \eta(\epsilon), t_0 + \eta(\epsilon)) \subset [0, 1]$ , we get  $S(F(x, t), F(x, t), x_0) \leq r$  implying that  $F(x, t) \in \overline{B(x_0, r)}$ . Therefore  $F(., t) : \overline{B(x_0, r)} \rightarrow \overline{B(x_0, r)}$  for every fixed  $t \in (t_0 - \eta(\epsilon), t_0 + \eta(\epsilon))$ . Now since  $F(., t)$  satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.3 we have,  $F(., t)$  has a fixed point in  $\overline{B(x_0, r)} \subset V$ , but it must be in  $U$  as condition (1) holds. Therefore  $t \in G$  for every  $t \in (t_0 - \eta(\epsilon), t_0 + \eta(\epsilon))$ . Hence  $(t_0 - \eta(\epsilon), t_0 + \eta(\epsilon)) \subset G$ . So  $G$  is open in  $[0, 1]$ .

Now we show that  $G$  is closed also. Let  $\{t_n\} \subset G$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow t^* \in [0, 1]$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists  $x_n \in U$  such that  $x_n = F(x_n, t_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover we have,

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_n, x_n, x_m) &= S(F(x_n, t_n), F(x_n, t_n), F(x_m, t_m)) \\ &\leq 2S(F(x_n, t_n), F(x_n, t_n), F(x_n, t_m)) + S(F(x_n, t_m), F(x_n, t_m), \\ &\quad F(x_m, t_m)) \\ &\leq 2|f(t_n) - f(t_m)| + \alpha(S(x_n, x_n, x_m), S(x_n, x_n, F(x_n, t_m)), \\ &\quad S(x_m, x_m, F(x_m, t_m))) \\ &= 2|f(t_n) - f(t_m)| + \alpha(S(x_n, x_n, x_m), S(x_n, x_n, F(x_n, t_m)), 0) \\ &\leq 2|f(t_n) - f(t_m)| + \delta S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

which implies  $S(x_n, x_n, x_m) \leq \frac{2}{1-\delta}|f(t_n) - f(t_m)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $X$  and since  $X$  is complete thus it converges to some  $x^* \in V$ . Now we show that  $F(x^*, t^*) = x^*$ . Here we see that,

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_n, x_n, F(x^*, t^*)) &= S(F(x_n, t_n), F(x_n, t_n), F(x^*, t^*)) \\ &\leq 2S(F(x_n, t_n), F(x_n, t_n), F(x_n, t^*)) \\ &\quad + S(F(x_n, t^*), F(x_n, t^*), F(x^*, t^*)) \\ &\leq 2|f(t_n) - f(t^*)| + \alpha(S(x_n, x_n, x^*), S(x_n, x_n, F(x_n, t^*)), \\ &\quad S(x^*, x^*, F(x^*, t^*))). \end{aligned}$$



Now  $S(x_n, x_n, F(x_n, t^*)) \leq |f(t_n) - f(t^*)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus using continuity of  $\alpha$  we get,

$$S(x^*, x^*, F(x^*, t^*)) \leq \alpha(0, 0, S(x^*, x^*, F(x^*, t^*))) \quad (17)$$

and therefore by the property of  $\alpha$  we have,  $S(x^*, x^*, F(x^*, t^*)) \leq k \cdot 0 = 0$  implying that  $S(x^*, x^*, F(x^*, t^*)) = 0$  that is  $F(x^*, t^*) = x^*$ . Therefore by condition (1) we get  $x^* \in U$  and so  $t^* \in G$ . Hence  $G$  is closed also and so  $G = [0, 1]$  that is  $F(\cdot, 1)$  has also a fixed point. The converse part can be shown in a similar way.  $\square$

## Acknowledgement

We are thankful to the honorable reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions for the improvement of our paper. Also Kushal Roy acknowledges financial support awarded by the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, New Delhi, India, through research fellowship for carrying out research work leading to the preparation of this manuscript.

## References

- [1] S.Gähler, *2-metric Raume and ihre topologische strucktur*, Math. Nachr. 26 (1963) 115-148.
- [2] S.Gähler, *Lineare 2-normietre Raume*, Math. Nachr. 28 (1965) 1-43.
- [3] K. S. Ha, Y. J. Cho, and A. White, *Strictly convex and strictly 2-convex 2-normed spaces*, Mathematica Japonica 33(3) 1988 375-384.
- [4] B.C. Dhage, *Generalized metric spaces mappings with fixed point*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84 (1992) 329-336.
- [5] Z. Mustafa, B. Sims, *A new approach to generalized metric spaces*, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 7 (2006) 289-297.
- [6] S. Sedghi, K.P.R. Rao, N. Shobe, *Common fixed point theorems for six weakly compatible mappings in  $D^*$ -metric spaces*, Internat J. Math. Math. Sci. 6 (2007) 225-237.
- [7] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe, H. Zhou, *A common fixed point theorem in  $D^*$ -metric spaces*, Fixed Point Theory Appl. (2007) Article ID 27906 13 pages.
- [8] S. Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche, *A generalization of fixed point theorems in  $S$ -metric spaces*, Mat. Vesnik 64(3) (2012) 258-266.
- [9] S. Sedghi and N. V. Dung, *Fixed point theorems on  $S$ -metric spaces*, Mat. Vesnik 66(1) (2014) 113-124.
- [10] M. Akram, A. A. Siddiqui, *A fixed point theorem for  $A$ -contractions on a class of generalised metric spaces*, Korean J. Math. Sciences 10(2) (2003) 1-5.
- [11] M. Akram, A. A. Zafar, A. A. Siddiqui, *A general class of contractions:  $A$ -contractions*, Novi Sad J. Math. 38(1) (2008) 25-33.
- [12] M. Saha, D. Dey, *Fixed point theorems for a class of  $A$ -contractions on a 2-metric space*, Novi Sad J. Math. 40(1) (2010) 3-8.
- [13] A. Branciari, *A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci 29(9) (2002) 531-536.
- [14] D. Dey, A. Ganguly, M. Saha, *Fixed point theorems for mappings under general contractive condition of integral type*, Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 3(1) (2011) 27-34.
- [15] B.E . Rhoades, *Two fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type*, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences 63 (2003) 4007-4013.
- [16] C. Vetro, F. Vetro, *A Homotopy Fixed Point Theorem in 0-Complete Partial Metric Space*, Filomat 29(9) (2015) 2037-2048.