



A New Class of Closed Set in Digital Topology

Pallavi S. Mirajakar¹, Prakashgouda G. Patil²

Article History

Received: 20.09.2019

Accepted: 28.06.2020

Published: 30.06.2020

Original Article

Abstract — The purpose of this paper is to introduce a new class of closed set called $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in digital topology. We establish a relationship between closed and $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in digital topology. Also, we obtained the properties of $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in digital plane.

Keywords — $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed sets, $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open sets, digital plane.

1. Introduction

In the literature, the concept of Digital Topology was first introduced and studied in the late 60's by the computer image analysis researcher Azriel Rosenfeld [1]. The digital line, the digital plane and the three dimensional digital spaces are of great importance in the study of applications of point set topology to computer graphics. Digital Topology consist in providing algorithmic tools for pattern recognition, image analysis and image processing using a discrete formalism for geometrical objects and it is applied in image processing.

First we recall the related definitions and some properties of the digital plane. The digital line or called Khalimsky Line is the set of integers Z , equipped with the topology K having $2n+1, 2n, 2n-1 : n \in Z$ as a subbas and is denoted by (Z, K) . Thus, a subset U is open in (Z, K) if and only if, whenever $x \in U$ is an even integer, then $x-1, x+1 \in U$. Let (Z^2, K^2) be the topological product of two digital lines (Z, K) , where $Z^2 = Z \times Z$ and $K^2 = K \times K$. This space is called the digital plane ([2], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7]). For each point $x \in Z^2$, there exists a smallest open set containing x say $U(x)$. For the case of $x = (2n+1, 2m+1)$, $U(x) = 2n+1 \times 2m+1$; for the case of $x = (2n, 2m)$, $U(x) = 2n-1, 2n, 2n+1 \times 2m-1, 2m, 2m+1$; for the case of $x = (2n, 2m+1)$, $U(x) = 2n-1, 2n, 2n+1 \times 2m+1$; for the case of $x = (2n+1, 2m)$, $U(x) = 2n+1 \times 2m-1, 2m, 2m+1$ where $n, m \in Z$.

For a subset E of (Z^2, K^2) , we have the following three subsets as follows:

$E_F = x \in E$: x is closed in (Z^2, K^2) ; $E_{K^2} = x \in E$: x is open in (Z^2, K^2) ; $E_{mix} = E \setminus (E_F \cup E_{K^2})$. Then it is shown that $E_F = (2n, 2m) \in E$: $n, m \in Z$; $E_{K^2} = (2n+1, 2m+1) \in E$: $n, m \in Z$ and $E_{mix} = (2n, 2m+1) \in E$: $n, m \in Z \cup (2n+1, 2m) \in E$: $n, m \in Z$.

In the digital plane if the corner points of a digital plane are even then it is called closed set. If the corner points of a digital plane are odd it is called an open set.

¹psmirajakar@gmail.com (Corresponding Author); ²pgpatil01@gmail.com

¹Department of Mathematics, P. C. Jabin Science College, Hubballi, Karnataka, India

^{2,3}Department of Mathematics, Karnatak University, Dharwad, Karnataka, India

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. A subset A of a topological space X is called a

- (i) $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed [8] if $cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is $\omega\alpha$ -open in X .
- (ii) $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open [8] if $U \subseteq int(A)$ whenever $U \subseteq A$ and U is $\omega\alpha$ -closed in X .
- (iii) $\omega\alpha$ -closed [9] if $\alpha cl(A) \subseteq U$ whenever $A \subseteq U$ and U is ω -open in X .

Definition 2.2. A subset A of a topological space X is called a

- (i) $T_g^*\omega\alpha$ -space [10] if every $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed set is closed.
- (ii) $g\omega\alpha T_g\omega\alpha$ -space [10] if every $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed.
- (iii) $T_{g\omega\alpha}$ -space [11] if every $g\omega\alpha$ -closed set is closed.

3. $g^*\omega\alpha$ -Closed Sets in Digital Plane

Lemma 3.1. [4] Let (Z^2, K^2) be a digital plane. Then the following properties hold:

- (i) if m is even point, that is $m = (2n, 2m)$, then $cl(2n, 2m) = 2n, 2m$
- (ii) if m is odd point, that is $m = (2n+1, 2m+1)$, then $cl(2n+1, 2m+1) = 2n, 2n+1, 2n+2 \times 2m, 2m+1, 2m+2$
- (iii) if m is mixed point, that is $m = (2n+1, 2m)$ or $(2n, 2m+1)$, then $cl(2n, 2m+1) = 2n \times 2m, 2m+1, 2m+2$
 $cl(2n+1, 2m) = 2n, 2n+1, 2n+2 \times 2m$

Theorem 3.2. Every closed in (Z^2, K^2) is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) .

PROOF. Let A be a subset of (Z^2, K^2) . Let us consider the following three cases:

- (i) The set A contains all even points ($E_F \subseteq A$) that is $A = (2n, 2m)$, then $U(A) = \{2n-1, 2n, 2n+1\} \times \{2m-1, 2m, 2m+1\}$. Let $A \subseteq U$ and U is $\omega\alpha$ -open (Z^2, K^2) . Then by lemma 3.1, $cl(A) = cl(\{2n, 2m\}) = \{2n, 2m\} = A$, that is $cl(A) = A$. This implies $cl(A) = A \subseteq U$. Hence A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) .
- (ii) The set A contains all even, odd and mixed points ($E_{mix} \cup E_F \cup E_{K^2} \subseteq A$) Let $A = \{2n, 2n\pm 1, 2n\pm 2 \dots 2n\pm q \pm 2m, 2m\pm 1, 2m\pm 2 \dots 2m\pm q\}$ where n, m and q are even integer. Then $U = \{2n, 2n\pm 1, 2n\pm 2 \dots 2n\pm q, 2n\pm q\pm 1\} \times \{2m, 2m\pm 1, 2m\pm 2 \dots 2m\pm q, 2m\pm q\pm 1\}$. Let $A \subseteq U$, where U is $\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) . Since A is closed, $cl(A) = A$. Therefore $cl(A) = A \subseteq U$. Therefore A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) .
- (iii) A contains all even and mixed points ($E_F \cup E_{mix} \subseteq A$) Let $A = \{2n\} \times \{2m, 2m\pm 1, 2m\pm 2 \dots 2m\pm q\}$, where n, m, q are even integers. Then $U = \{2n, 2n\pm 1, 2n\pm 2 \dots 2n\pm q, 2n\pm q\pm 1\} \times \{2m, 2m\pm 1, 2m\pm 2 \dots 2m\pm q, 2m\pm q\pm 1\}$ for any n, m, q are even integers. Let $A \subseteq U$, where U is $\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) . Since A is closed, $cl(A) = A \subseteq U$, that is $cl(A) \subseteq U$. Therefore A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed set in (Z^2, K^2) . Similarly, A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed by considering $A = \{2n, 2n\pm 1, 2n\pm 2 \dots 2n\pm q\} \times \{2m\}$.

□

Remark 3.3. The following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

Example 3.4. Let $A = (2,2), (2,3), (2,4), (3,3), (3,4), (4,4)$ and $U = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\} \times \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ Then $cl(A) = \{2, 3, 4\} \times \{2, 3, 4\}$, that is $cl(A) \subseteq U$. Therefore A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . But $cl(A) = A$, hence A is not closed in (Z^2, K^2) .

Theorem 3.5. Every open set in (Z^2, K^2) is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) .

PROOF. Let us consider the following three cases:

- Case (i): A contains all odd points ($E_{K^2} \subseteq A$). Let $A = (2n+1, 2m+1)$ and $U = \varphi$. Assume that $U \subseteq A$, where A is $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . Then $U \subseteq A = \text{int}(A)$, as A is open in (Z^2, K^2) . Therefore $U \subseteq \text{int}(A)$ and U is $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . Hence A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) .
- Case (ii): A contains all even, odd and mixed points ($E_{mix} \cup E_{K^2} \cup E_F \subseteq A$) Let $A = \{ 2n, 2n\pm 1, 2n\pm 2 \dots 2n\pm q \} \times \{ 2m, 2m\pm 1, 2m\pm 2 \dots 2m\pm q \}$, for any n, m, q is odd integers and $U = \{ 2n, 2n\pm 1, 2n\pm 2 \dots 2n\pm q, 2n\pm(q-1) \} \times \{ 2m, 2m\pm 1, 2m\pm 2 \dots 2m\pm q, 2m\pm(q-1) \}$. Let $A \subseteq U$, where U is $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) , that is $U \subseteq \text{int}(A) = A$, as A is open in (Z^2, K^2) . Therefore $U \subseteq \text{int}(A)$ and hence A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) .
- Case (iii): A contains odd and mixed points ($E_{mix} \cup E_{K^2} \cup E_F \subseteq A$) A contains odd and mixed points ($E_{K^2} \cup E_{mix} \subseteq A$) Let $A = \{2n+1\} \times \{ 2m, 2m\pm 1, 2m\pm 2 \dots 2m\pm q\}$ and $U = \varphi$. Let $U \subseteq A$, where U is $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . That is $U \subseteq A = \text{int}(A)$, because A is open in (Z^2, K^2) . Therefore $U \subseteq \text{int}(A)$ and hence A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) .

□

Example 3.6. The converse of the above theorem is not true follows from the example 3.4.

Theorem 3.7. If A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) , then it does not contain all odd points ($E_{K^2} \not\subseteq A$).

PROOF. Let A be any set in (Z^2, K^2) which contains all odd points. Let $A = (2n+1, 2m+1)$ and $U = (2n+1, 2m+1)$ be $\omega\alpha$ -open set in (Z^2, K^2) . Then $\text{cl}(A) = \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\} \times \{2m, 2m+1, 2m+2\}$, we get $\text{cl}(A) \not\subseteq U$, which is contradiction to the assumption. Hence A does not contain all odd points. □

Theorem 3.8. A $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set A in (Z^2, K^2) does not contain all even points ($E_F \not\subseteq A$).

PROOF. Let A be any set in (Z^2, K^2) which contain all even points. Let $A = (2n, 2m)$ and $U = (2n, 2m)$ be any $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . Let us assume that $U \subseteq A$, where U is $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . Then $U \subseteq \text{int}(A)$, since $\text{int}(A) = \varphi$, if A is even, which is contradiction to the fact that A contains all even points. Hence A does not contain all even points. □

Remark 3.9. Union of an open and $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set is again a $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open.

Theorem 3.10. Let A and E be subsets of (Z^2, K^2)

- (i) if E is non empty $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed, then $E_F \neq \varphi$.
- (ii) if E is $\omega\alpha$ -closed and $E \subseteq B_{mix} \cup B_{K^2}$ holds for some subset B of (Z^2, K^2) , then $E = \varphi$.
- (iii) The set $U(A_F) \cup A_{mi} \cup A_{k^2}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open containing A.

PROOF. .

Case (i) Let y be any point in E, then $y \in \text{cl}(E) = E$, as E is closed. Let us consider the following three cases: Let $y \in E_F$, then $E_F \neq \varphi$. Let $y \in E_{K^2}$, that is $y = (2n+1, 2m+1)$ where n, m $\in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\text{cl}(\{y\}) = \{ 2n, 2n+1, 2n+2 \} \times \{ 2m, 2m+1, 2m+2 \} \subseteq E$. Thus, there exists a point $x = (2n, 2m)$ such that $x \in E_F$. Therefore $E_F \neq \varphi$. Let $y \in E_{mix}$, that is $y = (2n+1, 2m)$. Then $\text{cl}(\{y\}) = \{ 2n, 2n+1, 2n+2 \} \times \{ 2m \} \subseteq E$. Thus there exists a point $x = (2n, 2m) \subseteq E_F$ such that $E_F \neq \varphi$. Similarly, $E_F \neq \varphi$ for $x = (2n, 2m + 1)$. Therefore in all the three cases we have $E_F \neq \varphi$.

Case (ii) Suppose on the contrary $E \neq \varphi$. From case (i), $E_F \neq \varphi$. By hypothesis $E_F \subseteq (B_{mix} \cup B_{K^2})_F = \varphi$, which is contradiction to the assumption. Hence $E = \varphi$.

Case (iii) We have to prove that $U(A_F) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_{K^2}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open containing A. We know that $U(A_F)$ is an open set in (Z^2, K^2) . Then we have to show that $A_{mix} \cup A_{K^2}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) . Let F be any non-empty $\omega\alpha$ -closed set such that $F \subseteq A_{mix} \cup A_{K^2}$. But from case (ii), $F = \varphi$, implies $F \subseteq (A_{mix} \cup A_{K^2})$. Thus, $A_{mix} \cup A_{K^2}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) and $U(A_F)$ is an open set in (Z^2, K^2) . Therefore $U(A_F) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_{K^2}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set in (Z^2, K^2) by remark 3.9. Therefore $A \subseteq U(A_F) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_{K^2}$. Therefore $U(A_F) \cup A_{mix} \cup A_{K^2}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open set containing A. □

Remark 3.11. [10] If X is a $T_g^*\omega\alpha$ -space, then every singleton set $\{x\}$ is either open or $\omega\alpha$ -closed.

Theorem 3.12. The digital plane (Z, K) is a $T_g^*\omega\alpha$ -space.

PROOF. Let $\{x\}$ be any point in (Z^2, K^2) .

Let us consider the following three cases:

Case (i) if $\{x\}$ is odd, that is $x = (2n+1, 2m+1)$, then $\{x\}$ is open in (Z^2, K^2) .

Case (ii) if $\{x\}$ is even, that is $x = (2n, 2m)$. Then $\{x\}$ is closed in (Z^2, K^2) .

Case (iii) if $\{x\}$ is a mixed point, that is $x = (2n, 2m+1)$ or $x = (2n+1, 2m)$.

Let U be any α -open set containing $\{x\}$. Then $\alpha cl(\{x\}) = \{x\} \cup cl(int(cl(\{x\}))) = \{x\} \cup cl(int(\{2n\} \times \{2m, 2m+1, 2m+2\})) = \{x\} \cup cl(\varphi) = \{x\} \subseteq U$. Therefore $\{x\}$ is $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . Similarly, $\{x\}$ is $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) for $x = (2n+1, 2m)$. Thus, we have, $\{x\}$ is either open or $\omega\alpha$ -closed in all the cases. Therefore from remark 3.11, we have (Z^2, K^2) is $T_g^*\omega\alpha$ -space. Hence (Z^3, K^2) is $T_g^*\omega\alpha$ -space. □

Corollary 3.13. The digital plane (Z^2, K^2) is a $T_{g\omega\alpha}$ -space.

Theorem 3.14. The digital plane (Z^2, K^2) is $g\omega\alpha T_g^*\omega\alpha$ -space.

PROOF. Let A be $g\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . From corollary 3.13, (Z^2, K^2) is $T_{g\omega\alpha}$ -space, so A is closed. From [8], every closed set in $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed. Hence A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . Hence (Z^2, K^2) is $g\omega\alpha T_g^*\omega\alpha$ -space. □

Theorem 3.15. Let B be a non empty subset of (Z^2, K^2) . If $B = \varphi$, then B is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) .

PROOF. Let F be an $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) such that $F \subseteq B$. From hypothesis, $B_E = \varphi$, then $B = B_{mix} \cup B_{K^2}$. Then from Theorem 3.10 (ii), we have $F = \varphi$. Thus, we say that, whenever F is $\omega\alpha$ -closed and $F \subseteq B$, $F = \varphi \subseteq int(B)$. This implies $F \subseteq int(B)$. Thus B is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) . □

Remark 3.16. [8] A topological space X is said to be $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed if and only if $cl(A) \setminus A$ does not contain any non empty $\omega\alpha$ -closed sets.

Theorem 3.17. Let A be a subset of (Z^2, K^2) and x be a point of (Z^2, K^2) . If A is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) and $x \in A_{mix}$, then $cl(\{x\}) \setminus \{x\} \subseteq A$ and hence $cl(\{x\}) \subseteq A$.

PROOF. From thy hypothesis, we have $x \in A_{mix}$, that is $x = (2n, 2m+1)$ or $x = (2n+1, 2m)$. Let $x = (2n, 2m+1)$. Then $cl(\{x\}) = cl(\{2n, 2m+1\}) = \{2n\} \times \{2m, 2m+1, 2m+2\} = \{(2n, 2m) (2n, 2m+1) (2n, 2m+2)\} = \{x_1, x, x_2\}$, where $x_1 = (2n, 2m)$, $x = (2n, 2m+1)$ and $x_2 = (2n, 2m+2)$.

Let $x = (2n+1, 2m)$, then $cl(\{x\}) = cl(\{2n+1, 2m\}) = \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\} \times \{2m\} = \{(2n, 2m), (2n+1, 2m), (2n+2, 2m)\} = \{x_1, x, x_2\}$, where $x_1 = (2n, 2m)$, $x = (2n+1, 2m)$ and $x_2 = (2n+2, 2m)$.

Thus, $cl(\{x\}) \setminus \{x\} = \{x_1, x, x_2\} \setminus \{x\} = \{x_1, x_2\}$. It should be noted that $\{x_1\}$ and $\{x_2\}$ are $\omega\alpha$ -closed singleton sets in (Z^2, K^2) .

Let us prove: $x_1 \in A$ or $x_2 \in A$.

Consider $x_1 \notin A$, then $x_1 \in cl(\{x\}) \subseteq cl(A)$, implies that $x_1 \in cl(A) \setminus A$. Thus $cl(A) \setminus A$ contains a $\omega\alpha$ -closed set $\{x_1\}$, which is contradiction to the remark 3.16.

Consider $x_2 \notin A$, then $x_2 \in \text{cl}(\{x\}) \subseteq \text{cl}(A)$, implies that $x_2 \in \text{cl}(A) \setminus A$ contains a $\omega\alpha$ -closed set $\{x_2\}$, which is again contradiction to the remark 3.16. Therefore, $x_1 \in A$ or $x_2 \in A$. Hence $\text{cl}(\{x\}) \subset A$, because $x \in A_{\text{mix}} \subset A$. \square

Theorem 3.18. The following properties holds for any singleton set $\{x\}$ in (Z^2, K^2) :

- (i) if $x \in (Z^2)_{K^2}$, then $\{x\}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open, but not $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) .
- (ii) if $x \in (Z^2)_F$, then $\{x\}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed, but not $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in $(Z^2)_{K^2}$.
- (iii) if $x \in (Z^2)_{\text{mix}}$, then $\{x\}$ is not $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed, it is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) .

PROOF. (i) We know that $\{x\}$ is open in (Z^2, K^2) . Then $\{x\}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) [8]. Let $x = (2n+1, 2m+1) \in (Z)_{K^2}$, that is $x = (2n+1, 2m+1) \subseteq U = (2n+1, 2m+1)$, where U is $\omega\alpha$ open set in (Z^2, K^2) . Then $\text{cl}(\{x\}) = \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\} \times \{2m, 2m+1, 2m+2\}$. But $\text{cl}(\{x\}) \cup U$, this implies $\{x\}$ is not $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) .

(ii) Let $x \in (Z^2)_F$, that is $\{x\}$ is closed in (Z^2, K^2) . From [8], $\{x\}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . Let, $F = (2n, 2m) \subseteq \{x\} = (2n, 2m)$, where F is $\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) . Then $F \subseteq \text{int}(\{x\})$, because $\text{int}(\{x\}) = \varphi$, if x is even. This shows that $\{x\}$ is not $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) .

- (iii) Let $x \in (Z^2)_{\text{mix}}$, that is $x = (2n+1, 2m)$ or $x = (2n, 2m+1)$.
The $\text{cl}(\{x\}) = \{2n, 2n+1, 2n+2\} \times \{2m\} \not\subseteq \{x\} = U$, where U is $\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) .
Therefore $\{x\}$ is not $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed in (Z^2, K^2) .
Similarly, we can prove that $\{x\}$ is not $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed by taking $x = (2n, 2m+1)$. \square

Theorem 3.19. Let B be a non empty subset of (Z^2, K^2) . For a subset $B_F \neq \varphi$, if B is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open in (Z^2, K^2) , then $(U(\{x\}))_{K^2} \subset B$ holds for each point $x \in B_F$.

PROOF. Let $x \in B_F$. Since $\{x\}$ is closed, $\{x\}$ is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -closed [8] and $\{x\} \subset B$. As B is $g^*\omega\alpha$ -open, $\{x\} \subset \text{int}(B)$. This shows that $\{x\}$ is the interior point of the set B . Thus, for the smallest open set $U(X)$ containing x , $U(X) \subset B$. That is $\text{int}(\{x\}) = \text{int}(\text{int}(B)) = \text{int}(B)$ and $\text{int}(\{x\}) = U(x)$. Therefore $U(x) \subset \text{int}(B)$.

Let us consider $x = (2n, 2m)$. Since $U(2n, 2m) = \{2n-1, 2n, 2n+1\} \times \{2m-1, 2m, 2m+1\}$. Then $(U(\{x\}))_{K^2} = \{(x_1, x_2) \in U(x): x_1 \text{ and } x_2 \text{ are odd}\} = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4\}$, where $y_1 = (2n-1, 2m-1)$, $y_2 = (2n-1, 2m+1)$, $y_3 = (2n+1, 2m-1)$ and $y_4 = (2n+1, 2m+1)$. Thus for each point P_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$), we have $P_i \in B$ and $P_i \cap B \neq \varphi$. Therefore $(U(\{x\}))_{K^2} \subset B$. \square

References

- [1] A. Rosenfeld, *Digital Topology*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 86 (1979) 621–630.
- [2] R. Devi, K. Bhuvaneshwari and H. Maki, *Weak Form of $g\rho$ -closed Sets, where $\rho \in \alpha, \alpha^*, \alpha^{**}$ and The Digital Plane*, Mem. Fac. Sci. Kochi Univ. Math.25, (2004) 37–54.
- [3] R. Devi, S. N. Rajapriya, K. Muthukumarswamy and H. Maki, *ξ -Closed Sets in Topological Spaces and Digital Planes*, Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, Online e-2006 615–631.
- [4] R. Devi and M. Vigneshwaram, *$g\alpha$ -Closed Sets in the Digital Plane*, Int. Jl. of General Topology, 4(1-2) (2011) 91–95.
- [5] R. Devi and M. Vigneshwaran, *On $G\alpha O$ -Kernel in Digital Plane*, Int. Jl. of Mathematical Archive, 3(6) (2012) 2358–2373.
- [6] E. D. Khalimsky, R. Kopperman and P. R. Meyer, *Computer Graphics and Connected Topologies in Finite Ordered Set*, Topology Applications, 36 (1990) 1–17.
- [7] T. Y. Kong, R. Kopperman and P. R. Meyer, *A Topologies Approach to Digital Topology*, Amer. Math. Monthly, 98 (1991) 901–907.

- [8] P. G. Patil, S. S. Benchalli and Pallavi S. Mirajakar, *Generalized Star $\omega\alpha$ -closed Sets in Topological Spaces*, Jl. of New Results in Science, 9 (2015) 37–45.
- [9] S. S. Benchalli, P. G. Patil and P. M. Nalwad, *$\omega\alpha$ -closed sets in topological spaces*, The Global J. Appl. Maths Math. Sciences, 2(1-2) (2009) 53–63.
- [10] P. G. Patil, S. S. Benchalli and Pallavi S. Mirajakar, *Generalized Star $\omega\alpha$ -spaces in Topological Spaces*, Int. Jl. of Scientific and Innovative Mathematical Research, 3 Special Issue 1 (2015) 399–391.
- [11] S. S. Benchalli, P. G. Patil and P. M. Nalwad, *some weaker forms of continuous functions in topological spaces*, Jl. of Advanced Studies in Topology, 7(2) (2016) 101–109.