

ON CONTROLLED POISSON PROCESSES

T.M. ALIYEV¹, E.A. IBAYEV¹, V.M. MAMEDOV¹, §

ABSTRACT. We consider a special class of two-dimensional Markov processes, finding the relationship between transition probabilities of two such classes.

Keywords: Poisson process, Multiplicative integral, Markov chain.

AMS Subject Classification: 60A10, 60J25, 60G05, 60G10

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we consider Markov processes $\{\alpha_t, n_t\}$, $t \geq 0$ with homogeneous second component, where at fixed α_t , process n_t is a conditioned Poisson process. Definitions and basic properties of Markov processes with homogeneous second component have been investigated in [3] and [4]. The processes under our investigation are quite useful in the study of service systems with n unreliable components, when a non-ordinary Poisson queue stream.

By a controlled unbounded Poisson process, we understand a Markov process $\{\alpha_t, n_t\}$, $t \geq 0$ with homogeneous second component in the phase space $T \times N$, where $T = \{\alpha, \beta, \dots\}$ is a finite set and $N = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$.

Let

$$P_{\alpha\beta}^k(t, s) = P \{ \alpha_s = \beta, n_s = k + r / \alpha_t = \alpha, n_t = r \},$$

$$(\alpha, \beta \in T; k, k \in N; s \geq t \geq 0).$$

Then let us assume that the bounds

$$\lim_{s \downarrow t} \frac{P_{\alpha\beta}^k(t, s) - \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{k0}}{s - t} = q_{\alpha\beta}^k(t), \quad (\alpha, \beta \in T; k \in N; t \geq 0).$$

exist and are continuous in t . By virtue of the equation

$$\sum_N \sum_T q_{\alpha\beta}^k(t) \equiv 0, \quad (\alpha \in T; t \geq 0),$$

the functions $q_{\alpha\beta}^k(t)$ are uniformly bounded on α, β, k in any finite run of t .

¹ Institute of Control Systems, Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences, 9 B. Vahabzade Str. Baku, Azerbaijan.

e-mail: tofik_aliev@rambler.ru; elshan1980@yahoo.com; vaqifmammadov@gmail.com

§ Manuscript received: September 09, 2013.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.4, No.2; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics 2014; all rights reserved.

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{\alpha\beta}(t, s, \theta) &= \sum_N P_{\alpha\beta}^k(t, s) \theta^k, \quad P(t, s, \theta) = \|P_{\alpha\beta}(t, s, \theta)\|, \\
 q_{\alpha\beta}(t, \theta) &= \sum_N q_{\alpha\beta}^k(t) \theta^k, \quad Q(t, \theta) = \|q_{\alpha\beta}(t, \theta)\|, \\
 P_k(t, s) &= \|P_{\alpha\beta}^k(t, s)\|, \quad Q_k(t) = \|q_{\alpha\beta}^k(t)\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

According to the general theory of Markov processes with homogeneous second component,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{\partial P(t, s, \theta)}{\partial s} &= P(t, s, \theta) Q(s, \theta), \quad \frac{\partial P(t, s, \theta)}{\partial t} = -Q(t, \theta) P(t, s, \theta), \\
 P(t, s, \theta)|_{s=t} &= I = \|\delta_{\alpha\beta}\|. \tag{1}
 \end{aligned}$$

A multiplicative integral, i.e. a matricient [2] seems to be a general solution to forward and backward equations (1):

$$P(t, s, \theta) = \Omega_t^s(Q(u, \theta)),$$

where

$$\Omega_t^s(Q(u, \theta)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=0}^n \left(I + \frac{s-t}{n} Q\left(t + \frac{k}{n}(s-t), \theta\right) \right).$$

Let us assume that with probability 1, $n_{t+0} - n_{t-0} \geq -2, t > 0$. It means that with probability 1, process n_t has no negative jumps different from -1, therefore,

$$q_{\alpha\beta}^k(t) = 0, \quad (t \geq 0; \alpha, \beta \in T; k \leq -2).$$

Such processes in the case of integer-valued phase are naturally called “downward” continuous processes [1].

By a controlled bounded Poisson process, we understand a Markov chain $\{\beta_t, m_t\}, t \geq 0$ in the phase space $T \times N^+$, where $N^+ = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and with the following transition probabilities in the small interval $(t, t + \Delta)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P \left\{ (\alpha, k) \xrightarrow{(t, t+\Delta)} (\beta, r) \right\} &= \delta_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{kr} + \\
 + \left\{ \begin{aligned} &q_{\alpha\beta}^{r-k}(t) \Delta + o(\Delta), \quad k \geq c, r \geq k - 1, \\ &\pi_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t) \Delta + o(\Delta), \quad 0 \leq k \leq c - 1, r \geq 0. \end{aligned} \right. \tag{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

where c is a fixed natural number and $\pi_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t)$ are continuous in t function and bounded by the relation

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\beta \in T} \pi_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t) \equiv 0, \quad (t \geq 0; \alpha \in T; 0 \leq k \leq c - 1).$$

It follows from (2) that as long as $m_t \geq c$, the increment of process $\{\beta_t, m_t\}$ is a stochastic equivalent to the increment of process $\{\alpha_t, n_t\}$. If $m_t \in [0, c - 1]$, then the evolution of process $\{\beta_t, m_t\}$ is described by an auxiliary Markov chain with local transition probabilities $\pi_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t)$.

Using the transition probabilities

$$f_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t, s) = P\{\beta_s = \beta, m_s = r/\beta_t = \alpha, m_t = k\}$$

and local characteristics of $\pi_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t)$, let us introduce the matrices:

$$F_{kr}(t, s) = \left\| f_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t, s) \right\|, \quad F_k(t, s, \theta) = \left\| f_{\alpha\beta}^k(t, s, \theta) \right\|,$$

$$\Pi_{kr}(t) = \left\| \pi_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t) \right\|, \quad \Pi_k(t, \theta) = \left\| \pi_{\alpha\beta}^k(t, \theta) \right\|$$

and the generating function

$$\pi_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t, \theta) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \pi_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t) \theta^r, \quad |\theta| \leq 1.$$

Our goal is to find the connection between the transition probabilities of the processes $\{\alpha_t, n_t\}$ and $\{\beta_t, m_t\}$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Using (2), at $\Delta \downarrow 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\alpha\beta}(t, s + \Delta) &= f_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t, s) + \Delta \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} \sum_{\gamma \in T} f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s) \pi_{\gamma\beta}^{jr}(s) + \\ &+ \sigma \{r \geq c-1\} \Delta \sum_{j=c}^{r+1} \sum_{\gamma \in T} f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s) q_{\gamma\beta}^{r-j}(s) + o(\Delta), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma \{r \geq c-1\} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } r \geq c-1, \\ 0, & \text{if } r < c-1. \end{cases}$$

Proceeding here to the bound at $\Delta \downarrow 0$ we get a forward system of differential Kolmogorov equations for transition probabilities $f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s)$:

$$\frac{\partial f_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t, s)}{\partial s} = \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} \sum_{\gamma \in T} f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s) \pi_{\gamma\beta}^{jr}(s) + \sigma \{r \geq c-1\} \sum_{j=c}^{r+1} \sum_{\gamma \in T} f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s) q_{\gamma\beta}^{r-j}(s),$$

$$(\alpha, \beta \in T; \quad k, r \in N^+; \quad s \geq t \geq 0).$$

or in generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t, s, \theta)}{\partial s} &= \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} \sum_{\gamma \in T} f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s) \pi_{\gamma\beta}^{jr}(s, \theta) + \\ &+ \sum_{j=c}^{\infty} \sum_{\gamma \in T} \sum_{r=j-1}^{\infty} f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s) \theta^j q_{\gamma\beta}^{r-j}(s) \theta^{r-j} = \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} \sum_{\gamma \in T} f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s) \pi_{\gamma\beta}^{jr}(s, \theta) + \sum_{j=c}^{\infty} \sum_{\gamma \in T} f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s) \theta^j q_{\gamma\beta}(s), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{\partial f_{\alpha\beta}^{kr}(t, s, \theta)}{\partial s} = \sum_{\gamma \in T} f_{\alpha\beta}^k(t, s) q_{\gamma\beta}(s, \theta) + \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} \sum_{\gamma \in T} f_{\alpha\beta}^{kj}(t, s) [\pi_{\gamma\beta}^j(s, \theta) - \theta^j q_{\gamma\beta}(s)].$$

The last equality takes the following form in matrix notation

$$\frac{\partial F_k(t, s, \theta)}{\partial s} = F_k(t, s, \theta) Q(s, \theta) + \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} F_{kj}(t, s) [\Pi_j(s, \theta) - \theta^j Q(s, \theta)].$$

In view of (1) and the boundary condition

$$F_k(t, t, \theta) = \theta^k I,$$

the solution of this equation can be represented as follows:

$$\frac{\partial F_k(t, s, \theta)}{\partial s} = \theta^k P(t, s, \theta) Q(s, \theta) + \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} \int_t^s F_{kj}(t, u) [\Pi_j(u, \theta) - \theta^j Q(u, \theta)] P(u, s, \theta) du.$$

Equating the coefficients at θ^r , we will get

$$F_{kr}(t, s) = P_{r-k}(t, s) + \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} \int_t^s F_{kj}(t, u) \sum_l [\Pi_{jl}(u) - Q_{l-j}(u)] P_{r-l}(u, s) du$$

or

$$F_{kr}(t, s) = P_{r-k}(t, s) + \sum_{j=0}^{c-1} \int_t^s F_{kj}(t, u) L_{jr}(u, s) du, \quad (k, r \in N^+; s \geq t \geq 0), \tag{3}$$

where

$$L_{jr}(t, s) = \sum_l [\Pi_{jl}(t) - Q_{l-j}(t)] P_{r-l}(t, s). \tag{4}$$

In (4) the sum is taken in all l that yield a coefficient at θ^r .

We have established that matrices F_{kr} and P_{r-k} are bound by relations (3) and (4).

It is clear from (3) that for each $k \in N^+$, F_{kr} are expressed through $F_{kj}, j < c$ and known matrices L_{jr} .

Let us introduce the following notation

$$L^{1^\circ}(t, s) = L(t, s)$$

$$L^{n^\circ}(t, s) = \int_t^s L^{(n-1)^\circ}(t, u) L(u, s) du \quad (n \geq 2)$$

According to (3), for $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{F}_k(t, s) &= \vec{P}_k(t, s) + \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^n \int_t^s \vec{P}_k(t, u) L^{j^\circ}(u, s) du + \int_t^s \vec{F}_k(t, u) L^{(n+1)^\circ}(u, s) du. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Estimating the elements of matrix $L^{(n+1)^\circ}(t, s)$, we have

$$L^{(n+1)^\circ}(t, s) = \int_{t \leq u_1 \leq \dots} \dots \int_{\leq u_n \leq s} L(t, u_1) L(u_1, u_2) \dots L(u_n, s) du_1 \dots du_n$$

The standard form of the product under the integral is

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j_1=0}^{c-1} \dots \sum_{j_n=0}^{c-1} L_{ij_1}(t, u_1) L_{j_1j_2}(u_1, u_2) \dots L_{j_nk}(u_n, s), \\ &(i, k = 0, 1, \dots, c - 1; t \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_n \leq s). \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Let

$$L_{jk}(t, s) = \left\| l_{ik}^{\alpha\beta}(t, s) \right\|, \quad (\alpha, \beta \in T).$$

The elements of matrix L_{ik} are determined by (4).

Let us assume that

$$l(t, s) = \max_{0 \leq i, k < s} \max_{\alpha, \beta \in T} \max_{t \leq u \leq v \leq s} \left| l_{ik}^{\alpha\beta}(t, s) \right|.$$

Due to the continuous nature of $l_{ik}^{\alpha\beta}(t, s)$, the value $l(t, s) < \infty$.

If d is the number of elements of the set T , then all elements of the product under the summation sign in (6) do not exceed $d^n l^{n+1}(t, s)$, which means that all elements of the total (6) in the module do not exceed $(cd)^n l^{n+1}(t, s)$, so all elements of $L^{(n+1)^\circ}(t, s)$ do not exceed

$$\frac{(cd)^n l^{n+1}(t, s)(s - t)^n}{n!}. \tag{7}$$

The latter is nearing zero at $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proceeding to the bound in (5) at $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$\vec{F}_k(t, s) = \vec{P}_k(t, s) + \int_t^s \vec{P}_k(t, u) R(u, s) du, \tag{8}$$

where

$$R(t, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} L^{n^\circ}(t, s). \tag{9}$$

is the resolvent operator of integral equation (8). It should be noted that the estimate (7) guarantees the convergence of the series in the right-hand side of (8). This convergence will be uniform in any finite run of t and s ($s \geq t$), so that the elements of the left-hand side of (8) are continuous in t and s .

Thus, we have the following result.

The elements of vector \vec{F}_k are determined by equalities (8), (9) and $R(t, s)$ is the resolvent operator of equation (8).

3. A PARTICULAR CASE

All obtained results can be extended to the homogeneous case without significant changes. Thus, in the homogeneous case, the matriciant $\Omega_t^s(Q)$ looks as follows

$$\Omega_t^s(Q) = e^{(s-t)Q} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{[(s-t)Q]^k}{k!}.$$

It should be noted that the knowledge of the infimum distribution of process n_t is of particular importance for practical reasons. Precisely, let us consider a particular case of process $\{\beta_t, m_t\}$, when $c = 1$ and $\pi_{\alpha\beta}^{or}(t) = 0$ $r \geq 0$; $\alpha, \beta \in T$.

The evolution of this process is described by the process $\{\alpha_t, n_t\}$ until n_t gets into 0 for the first time. If it happens at the instant t_0 and $\alpha_{t_0} = \alpha$, then for $t \geq t_0$, $\beta_t \equiv \alpha$, $m_t \equiv \alpha$. In that case, according to (3) and (4), we have

$$F_{kr}(t, s) = P_{r-k}(t, s) - \int_t^s F_{k0}(t, u) L_r(u, s) du,$$

where

$$L_r(t, s) = \sum_l Q_l(t) P_{r-l}(t, s).$$

According to (1)

$$L_r(t, s) = -\frac{\partial P_r(t, s)}{\partial t}.$$

Therefore

$$F_{kr}(t, s) = P_{r-k}(t, s) + \int_t^s F_{k0}(t, 0) \frac{\partial P_r(u, s)}{\partial u} du.$$

Thus to find $F_{kr}(t, s)$ one only needs to know $F_{k0}(t, s)$.

Assuming that $r = 0$ in the latter, we have the following integral equation for $F_{k0}(t, s)$:

$$F_{k0}(t, s) = P_{-k}(t, s) + \int_t^s F_{k0}(t, 0) \frac{\partial P_0(u, s)}{\partial u} du.$$

The solution to this equation can be found through the pattern built for equation (8).

REFERENCES

- [1] Nelson, R., (1995), Probability, stochastic processes, and queueing theory: the mathematics of computer performance modeling, Springer-Verlag, Inc. New York, 583 p.
- [2] Datta, K.B., (2006), Matrix and Linear Algebra, New Delhi: Hall of India, 636 p.
- [3] Yezhov, I.I. and Skorokhod, A.V., (1969), Markov processes with homogeneous second component, I- Theory of probability and its applications, 14 (1), 3-14. (in Russian)
- [4] Yezhov, I.I. and Skorokhod, A.V., (1969), Markov processes with homogeneous second component, II- Theory of probability and its applications, 14 (4), 679-692. (in Russian)



Tofiq M. Aliyev was born in Azerbaijan Republic, Aghdam region. He received his M.S. degree from Azerbaijan State University and his PhD from the Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv in 1977. Since 2002 he is researcher at the laboratory Department of Probabilistic Control Methods at the Institute of Control Systems of Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences. His research interests are probability theory and statistics, queuing theory.



Elshan A. Ibayev was born in Azerbaijan Republic, Beylagan region. He received received the degrees of B.Sc. (2001) and M.Sc. (2003) in Applied Mathematics from the Baku State University, Azerbaijan, and received a Ph.D. (2010) from the Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences (ANAS), Azerbaijan. Since 2003 he is researcher at the laboratory Department of Probabilistic Control Methods at the Institute of Control Systems of Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences. His research interests are probability theory and statistics, Semimarkov random walk process



Vagif M. Mamedov was born in Georgia, Gardabani region. He received his M.S. degree from Azerbaijan State University and his PhD from the Petrochemicalautomat scientific-search and design Institute in 1977. Since 2000 he is researcher at the laboratory Department of Probabilistic Control Methods at the Institute of Control Systems of Azerbaijan National Academy of Sciences. His research interests are probability theory and statistics, theory of fuzzy.