LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF *q*-STARLIKE FUNCTIONS OF ORDER ALPHA

HAMID SHAMSAN¹, READ S.A. QAHTAN¹ AND S. LATHA¹, §

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduced a new concept of bounded radius rotation to define the class of q-starlike functions of order α using the q-derivative, some geometric properties of linear combination of such functions are studied.

Keywords: q-derivative, q-starlike functions, convex functions, linear combination, bounded radius rotation.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C40, 05C99.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{A} denote the class of functions of form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$
(1)

which are analytic in the open unit disk

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |z| < 1 \},\$$

and S denote the subclass of A consisting of all function which are univalent in \mathcal{U} . Jackson[5] initiated q-calculus and developed the concept of the q-integral and q-derivative. For a function $f \in S$ given by (1) and 0 < q < 1, the q-derivative of f is defined by

$$\partial_q f(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(z) - f(qz)}{z(1-q)}, & z \neq 0, \\ f'(0), & z = 0. \end{cases}$$
(2)

Equivalently (2), may be written as

$$\partial_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} [n]_q a_n z^{n-1}, \quad z \neq 0$$

where

$$[n]_q = \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q}.$$

¹ Department of Mathematics, Yuvaraja's Collega, University of Mysore, Mysore 570 005, India. e-mail: hmas19771@gmail.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9243-5885. e-mail: readsaleh2015@gmail.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5130-021X. e-mail: drlatha@gmail.com; ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1513-8163.

[§] Manuscript received: June 26, 2018; accepted: December 20, 2018.

TWMS Journal of Applied and Engineering Mathematics, Vol.10, No.1; © Işık University, Department of Mathematics, 2020; all rights reserved.

Note that as $q \to 1$, $[n]_q \to n$.

Now, recall the definition of the class of q-starlike functions of order α , $0 \le \alpha < 1$, denoted by $S_q^*(\alpha)$.

Definition 1.1. [2] A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to belong to the class $S_q^*(\alpha)$ if

$$\left|\frac{\frac{z\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} - \frac{1}{1 - q}\right| \le \frac{1}{1 - q}, \ z \in \mathcal{U},\tag{3}$$

where $\partial_q f(z)$ is defined by (2) and 0 < q < 1.

The following is the equivalent form of Definition 1.1

$$f \in S_q^*(\alpha) \iff \left| \frac{z \partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1 - \alpha q}{1 - q} \right| \le \frac{1 - \alpha}{1 - q}.$$
(4)

We note that as $q \to 1^-$ the closed disc $|\omega - (1-q)^{-1}| \leq (1-q)^{-1}$ becomes the right-half plane and the class $S_q^*(\alpha)$ reduces to $S^*(\alpha)$, the subclass of \mathcal{A} consisting of functions which are starlike of order $\alpha(0 < \alpha < 1)$ in \mathcal{U} . In particular, when $\alpha = 0$, the class $S_q^*(\alpha)$ coincides with the class $S_q^* := S_q^*(0)$, which was first introduced by Ismail et al [4] in 1990 and later it has been considered in [1, 8, 10, 6, 7].

Observe that (3) holds if and only if

$$\frac{z\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - qz},\tag{5}$$

where \prec denotes subordination.

Using the definition of the class of $S_q^*(\alpha)$ and (5) it can be seen that linear transformation $\frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-qz}$ maps |z| = r onto the circle with center $C(r) = \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)qr}{1-q^2r^2}$ and the radius $\rho(r) = \frac{(1-\alpha)(1+q)r}{1-q^2r^2}$.

Thus using subordination principle, we can write

$$\left|\frac{z\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)qr^2}{1 - q^2r^2}\right| \le \frac{(1 - \alpha)(1 + q)r}{1 - q^2r^2}.$$
(6)

Definition 1.2. Let p(z) be analytic in \mathcal{U} with p(0) = 0. Then $p \in P_m(q, \alpha)$ if and only if,

$$P(z) = \left(\frac{m}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)p_1(z) - \left(\frac{m}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)p_2(z).$$

where $p_j(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)z}{1 - qz}$, $j = 1, 2, \ 0 < q < 1, \ m \ge 2$.

For m = 2 and $\alpha = 0$, $P_2(q) = P(q)$ consists all functions subordinate to $\frac{1+z}{1-qz}$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$. Also $\lim_{q \to 1^-} P(q) = P$, the class of functions with positive real part.

Definition 1.3. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}$. Then $f \in R_q^*(m, \alpha)$, if and only if, $\frac{z\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} \in P_m(q, \alpha)$, $z \in \mathcal{U}$.

f in this case, is called a function of q-bounded radius rotation.

Observe that $R_q^*(2,0) = S_q^*$ and as $q \to 1^-$, $\alpha = 0$, $R_q^*(m,\alpha) = R_m$, the class of functions with bounded radius rotation.

202

2. Main results

We need the following lemmas, to prove our main results.

Lemma 2.1. Let $f \in R_q^*(m, \alpha)$. Then for $m \ge 2, 0 < q < 1$

$$\left|\frac{z\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)qr^2}{1 - q^2r^2}\right| \le \frac{\frac{m}{2}(1 - \alpha)(1 + q)r}{1 - q^2r^2}.$$
(7)

Lemma 2.2. If $|u-a| \leq d$ and $|v-a| \leq d$ where a and d are real and $a > d \geq 0$, and

$$\omega = u \frac{1}{1 + Ae^{i\beta}} + v \frac{1}{1 + A^{-1}e^{-i\beta}}$$

where A is real and A > 0 and $\beta \in [0, \beta)$, then

$$\mathcal{R}(\omega) \ge a - d \operatorname{sec}(\frac{\beta}{2}).$$

Lemma 2.3. Let $f \in R_q^*(m, \alpha)$. Then $f \in S_q^*(\alpha)$ for $|z| < r_q^*(\alpha)$. where

$$r_q^*(\alpha) = \frac{4(1-2\alpha)}{m(1+q-2\alpha) + \sqrt{m^2(1+q-2\alpha)^2 - 16(1-2\alpha)q}}.$$
(8)

Proof. Since $f \in R_q^*(m, \alpha)$, we have

$$\frac{z\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)} = p(z) \in P_m(q, \alpha).$$

This implies that p(z) can be written as

$$P(z) = \left(\frac{m}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)p_1(z) - \left(\frac{m}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)p_2(z).$$
where $p_j(z) \prec \frac{1+(1-2\alpha)z}{1-qz}, \ j = 1, 2, \ 0 < q < 1, \ m \ge 2.$
Therefore
$$\mathcal{R}(\frac{z\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)}) = \mathcal{R}(p(z)) \ge \left(\frac{m}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+(1-2\alpha)r}{1-qr}\right) - \left(\frac{m}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-(1-2\alpha)r}{1+qr}\right)$$

$$= \frac{1 + \frac{m}{2}(1+q-2\alpha)r + (1-2\alpha)qr^2}{1-q^2r^2},$$

and from this, it follows that $\mathcal{R}(\frac{z\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)}) \ge 0$ for $|z| < r_q^*(\alpha)$. where $r_q^*(\alpha)$ is given by (8). \Box

Observe that as $\alpha = 0$, $f \in R_q^*(m)$ and in this case $\mathcal{R}(\frac{z\partial_q f(z)}{f(z)}) > 0$ for $|z| < r_q^*$, where $r_q^* = \frac{4}{m(1+q)+\sqrt{m^2(1+q)^2-16q}}$, see [7] and as $q \to 1^-$, $\alpha = 0$, $f \in R_m$ and in this case $\mathcal{R}(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}) > 0$ for $|z| < r^* = \frac{2}{m+\sqrt{m^2-4}}$, see [3].

Lemma 2.4. Let $f \in R_q^*(m, \alpha)$. Then $|\arg f(z)| \le \frac{m}{2}(1-\alpha)(1+q)\sin^{-1}r$ and $|\arg f'(z)| \le m(1-\alpha)(1+q)\sin^{-1}r$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $f_1, f_2 \in R_q^*(m, \alpha)$ and let

$$F(z) = \lambda f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) f_2(z), \qquad (9)$$

where $0 \leq \mu = \arg \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \pi$. Then $F \in S_q^*(\alpha)$ in $|z| < r_{q,m}(\alpha)$ where $r_{q,m}(\alpha)$ is the smallest positive value of r satisfying the equation

$$g(r) = \left[1 + (1 - 2\alpha)qr^2\right]\cos\left(\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{m}{2}(1 - \alpha)(1 + q)\sin^{-1}r\right) - \frac{m}{2}(1 - \alpha)(1 + q)r\sin^{-1}r = 0.$$

Proof. Using q-difference operator of (15), we obtained $\partial_q F(z) = \lambda \partial_q f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) \partial_q f_2(z),$ and therefore $\frac{\partial_q F(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{\lambda \partial_q f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) \partial_q f_2(z)}{\lambda f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) f_2(z)}$ $= \frac{z \partial_q f_1(z)}{f_1(z)} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right)^{-1} \right]^{-1} + \frac{z \partial_q f_2(z)}{f_2(z)} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right) \right]^{-1}.$

Put

$$u = \frac{z\partial_q f_2(z)}{f_2(z)}, \ v = \frac{z\partial_q f_1(z)}{f_1(z)}, \ A = \left|\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right|.$$
 (11)

(10)

From (10) and (17), we obtained

$$\omega(z) = \frac{\partial_q F(z)}{F(z)} = u \frac{1}{1 + Ae^{i\beta}} + v \frac{1}{1 + A^{-1}e^{-i\beta}}.$$
(12)

Using Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we obtained

$$\mathcal{R}\left\{\frac{\partial_q F(z)}{F(z)}\right\} \ge \frac{1 + (1 - 2\alpha)qr^2}{1 - q^2r^2} - \frac{\frac{m}{2}(1 - \alpha)(1 + q)r}{1 - q^2r^2}\sec(\frac{\beta}{2}),\tag{13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= \arg\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right) = 2n\pi + \mu + \arg f_1(z) - \arg f_2(z). \\ \text{Now by Lemma 15,} \\ |\beta| &\leq \mu + m(1-2\alpha)(1+q)\sin^{-1}r, \\ \text{and this gives us } \sec(\frac{\beta}{2}) &\leq \frac{1}{\cos(\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{m}{2}(1-\alpha)(1+q)\sin^{-1}r)}. \\ \text{Therefore} \\ \mathcal{R}\left\{\frac{\partial_q F(z)}{F(z)}\right\} &\geq 0, \text{ if} \\ g(r) &= [1 + (1-2\alpha)qr^2]\cos(\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{m}{2}(1-\alpha)(1+q)\sin^{-1}r) - \frac{m}{2}(1-\alpha)(1+q)r > 0. \end{aligned}$$

We note that

$$\begin{split} g(r) &= \cos(\frac{\mu}{2}), \text{ for } r = 0, \text{ and} \\ g(r) &= -\frac{m}{2}(1-\alpha)(1+q)\sin\left(\frac{\pi-\mu}{m(1-\alpha)(1+q)}\right) < 0, \text{ when } r = \sin\left(\frac{\pi-\mu}{m(1-\alpha)(1+q)}\right). \\ \text{This implies that } g(r) &= 0 \text{ has a root in the interval } \left(0, \sin\left(\frac{\pi-\mu}{m(1-\alpha)(1+q)}\right)\right) \text{ and right hand} \\ \text{side of (13) is positive in the disc } |z| < r_{q,m}(\alpha), \text{ where } r_{q,m}(\alpha) \text{ is the least positive value of } \\ r \text{ satisfying } g(r) &= 0. \end{split}$$

As $\alpha = 0$, we have the following result, proved by Noor et al [6].

Corollary 2.1. Let $f_1, f_2 \in R_q^*(m)$ and let

$$F(z) = \lambda f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) f_2(z),$$
(14)

where $0 \leq \mu = \arg \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \pi$. Then $F \in S_q^*$ in $|z| < r_{q,m}$ where $r_{q,m}$ is the smallest positive value of r satisfying the equation

$$g(r) = [1 + qr^2]\cos(\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{m}{2}(1+q)\sin^{-1}r) - \frac{m}{2}(1+q)r = 0.$$

As $q \to 1^-$ and for $\alpha = 0$, we get the following result, introduced by Noor et al [6].

204

Corollary 2.2. Let $f_1, f_2 \in R(m)$ and let

$$F(z) = \lambda f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) f_2(z),$$
(15)

205

where $0 \leq \mu = \arg \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \pi$. Then $F \in S^*$ in $|z| < r_m^*$ where r_m^* is the smallest positive value of r satisfying the equation $g_m(r) = B(1+r^2) - mr = 0$, $B = \cos \left(\frac{\mu}{2} + m \sin^{-1} r\right)$.

This gives us $r_m^* = \frac{m + \sqrt{m^2 - 4B^2}}{2B}$. As a special case of Corollary 2.2, we take m = 2. Therefore $B = B_2 = \cos\left(\frac{\mu}{2} + 2\sin^{-1}r\right)$, and $\lim_{q \to 1^-} R_q^*(2) = S^*$. From these observations, we deduce the radius of starlikeness of linear combination of two

From these observations, we deduce the radius of starlikeness of linear combination of two starlike functions is given by $r_2^* = \frac{1-\sqrt{1-B_2^2}}{B^2}$.

Corollary 2.3. As $\alpha = 0$, and m = 2. Then, in Theorem 2.1, $f_1, f_2 \in S_q^*$ and it follows that

$$\mathcal{R}\left\{\frac{\partial_q F(z)}{F(z)}\right\} \ge 0 \ in \ |z| < r_q^*.$$

where r_q^* is the least positive root of $g_q(r) = D_1 q r^2 - (1+q)r + D_1 = 0$, where $D_1 = \cos\left(\frac{\mu}{2} + (1+q)\sin^{-1}r\right)$. and hence $r_q^* = \frac{(1+q)-\sqrt{(1+q)^2-4qD_1^2}}{2qD_1}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $f_1, f_2 \in \bigcap_{0 < q < 1} S_q^*(\alpha)$ and let

$$F(z) = \lambda f_1(z) + (1 - \lambda) f_2(z),$$
(16)

where $0 \leq \mu = \arg \frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} < \pi$. Then F maps the disc $|z| < r_{\mu}$ onto a convex domain, where r_{μ} is the least positive value of r that satisfies the equation $g_{\mu}(r) = Dr^2 - 2r_1r + Dr_1^2$, where $r_1 = \frac{2-\sqrt{3+\alpha^2}}{1+\alpha}$, $D = \cos(\frac{\mu}{2} + 2(1-\alpha)\sin^{-1}(\frac{r}{r_1}))$.

Proof. It has been shown in [2] that

$$\bigcap_{0 < q < 1} S_q^*(\alpha) = S^*(\alpha).$$

It is well known [9] that $f \in S^*(\alpha)$ is convex of order α in the disc $|z| < r_1 = \frac{2-\sqrt{3+\alpha^2}}{1+\alpha}$. with these facts, we proceed to find the radius of convexity for the function F following the technique used in Theorem 2.1.

We can write

$$1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = \left[1 + \frac{zf_1''(z)}{f_1'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right)^{-1}\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right)\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2''(z)}\right]^{-1} + \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''$$

Put

$$u = \left[1 + \frac{zf_1''(z)}{f_1'(z)}\right], \ v = \left[1 + \frac{zf_2''(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right], \ A = \left|\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right|, \ \beta = \arg\left(\frac{\lambda}{1 - \lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right).$$
(17)

Now, for $r_1 = \frac{2-\sqrt{3}+\alpha^2}{1+\alpha}$, we have

$$\begin{vmatrix} u - \frac{r_1^2 + r^2}{r_1^2 - r^2} \end{vmatrix} \le \frac{2rr_1}{r_1^2 - r^2}, \\ \begin{vmatrix} v - \frac{r_1^2 + r^2}{r_1^2 - r^2} \end{vmatrix} \le \frac{2rr_1}{r_1^2 - r^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\omega(z) = 1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)} = u\frac{1}{1 + Ae^{i\beta}} + v\frac{1}{1 + A^{-1}e^{-i\beta}}.$$
(18)

where

 $\beta = \arg\left(\frac{\lambda}{1-\lambda} \cdot \frac{f_1'(z)}{f_2'(z)}\right) = 2n\pi + \mu + \arg f_1'(z) - \arg f_2'(z), \text{ and so}$ $|\beta| \le \mu + 4(1-\alpha) \sin^{-1}(\frac{r}{r-1}),$ Therefore $\mathcal{R}\left\{1 + \frac{zF''(z)}{F'(z)}\right\} > 0, \text{ if } T_{\mu}(r) = (r_1^2 + r^2)\cos(\frac{\mu}{2} + 2(1-\alpha)\sin^{-1}(\frac{r}{r-1}) - 2r_1r = 0, \text{ where}$ $r_1 = \frac{2 - \sqrt{3 + \alpha^2}}{1 + \alpha}$ That is $T_{\mu}(r) = Dr^2 - 2r_1r + Dr_1^2, D = \cos(\frac{\mu}{2} + 2(1-\alpha)\sin^{-1}(\frac{r}{r_1})).$ Hence

$$r_{\mu} = \frac{r_1 - \sqrt{r_1^2 - D^2 r_1^2}}{D}.$$
(19)

Hence F maps the disc $|z| < r_{\mu}$ onto a convex of order α domain, where r_{μ} is given by (19). \square

Remark As $\alpha = 0$ Theorem 2.1 reduces to Theorem 2 in [6].

Acknowledgement Our thanks are due to the anonymous referee for careful reading and constructive suggestions for the improvement in the first draft of this paper.

References

- [1] Agrawal, S. and Sahoo, S. K., (2014). Geometric properties of basic hypergeometric functions, Difference Equ. Appl., 20(11), pp. 1502-1522.
- [2] Agrawal, S. and Sahoo, S. K., (2017). A generalization of starlike functions of order alpha, Hokkaido Math. J., 46(1), pp. 15-27.
- [3] Goodman, A. W., (1983). Univalent Functions, Vol I. Washington, New Jersey: Polygonal Publishing House.
- [4] Ismail, M. E. H., Merkes, E., Styer, D. (1990). A generalization of starlike functions, Complex Variables, 14, pp. 77-84.
- [5] Jackson, F. H., (1909). On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 46, pp. 253-281.
- [6]Noor, K. I. and Noor, M. A., (2017). Linear combinations of generalized q-starlike functions, Appl. Math. Infor. Sci., 11(3), pp. 745-748.
- Noor, K. I., and Riaz, S., (2017). Generalized q-starlike functions, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum [7]Hungarica, 54(4), pp. 509-522.
- Raghavendar, K. and Swaminathan, A., (2012). Close-to-convexity of basic hypergeometric functions [8] using their Taylor coefficients, J. Math. Appl., 35, pp. 111-125.
- [9] Robertson, M. I., (1936). On the theory of univalent functions, Annals Math., pp. 374-408.
- [10]Sahoo, S. K. and Sharma, N. L., (2015). On a generalization of close-to-convex functions, Ann. Polon. Math., 113(1), pp. 93-108.



Hamid Shamsan was born in Yemen. He got his B. Sc. degree in mathematics in 1999 from Sana'a University, Sana'a , Yemen. He got his M. Sc. degree from University of Mysore, India. He is right now a Ph.D. student at University of Mysore, India. He has published more than 8 papers in the field of Geometric Function Theory.

206



Read S.A. Qahtan was born in Yemen. He got his B. Sc. degree in mathematics in 2002 from Dhamar University, Yemen. He got his M. Sc. degree from University of Mysore, India. He is right now a Ph.D. student at University of Mysore, India.



Dr. S. Latha has been an avid academician and a research oriented mathematician for over 3 decades. Post graduate degree in Mathematics at the young age of 19 ignited passion and interest in this field. Identified key areas of focus are Geometric function theory and Fuzzy topology. As she explored and gained expertise in her focus area, she inspired younger generation to take up interest in these fields resulting 150 + publications in more than 60 nationally and internationally claimed journals. 12 scholars have completed their Ph.D and 4 working towards their goal under her guidance. She continues to serve as referee in various reputed journals.