



Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications

ISSN: 2587-2648

Peer-Reviewed Scientific Journal

Subclasses of Bi-Univalent Functions Associated with q -Confluent Hypergeometric Distribution Based Upon the Horadam Polynomials

Sheza M. El-Deeb^a, Bassant M. El-Matary^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University, New Damietta 34517, Egypt, and Department of Mathematics, College of Science and Arts, Al-Badaya, Qassim University, Buraidah, Saudi Arabia.

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce new subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions connected with a q -confluent hypergeometric distribution by using the Horadam polynomials. Furthermore, we find estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in these subclasses and obtain Fekete-Szegő problem for these subclasses.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 30C50; 30C45; 11B65; 47B38

Key words and phrases: Confluent hypergeometric distribution; q -derivative; Horadam polynomials; bi-univalent; coefficients bounds.

1. Introduction

In [23] Srivastava presented and motivated about brief expository overview of the classical q -analysis versus the so-called (p, q) -analysis with an obviously redundant additional parameter p . We also briefly consider several other families of such extensively and widely-investigated linear convolution operators as (for example) the Dziok–Srivastava, Srivastava–Wright and Srivastava–Attiya linear convolution operators, together with their extended and generalized versions. The theory of (p, q) -analysis has important role in many areas of mathematics and physics. Our usages here of the q -calculus and the fractional q -calculus in

Email addresses: shezaeldeeb@yahoo.com (Sheza M. El-Deeb), bassantmarof@yahoo.com (Bassant M. El-Matary)

geometric function theory of complex analysis are believed to encourage and motivate significant further developments on these and other related topics (see Srivastava and Karlsson [24, pp. 350–351], Srivastava [21, 22]).

Let \mathcal{A} denote the subclass of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta, \quad (1)$$

and, let the function $h \in \mathcal{A}$ is given by

$$h(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta. \quad (2)$$

The *Hadamard (or convolution) product* of f and h is defined by

$$(f * h)(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \psi_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Definition 1.1. For $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$, we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwarz function w , which is analytic in Δ , with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for all $z \in \Delta$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in \Delta$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in Δ , then we have the following equivalence (see [4, 16]):

$$f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(\Delta) \subset g(\Delta).$$

The confluent hypergeometric function of the first kind is given by the power series

$$\begin{aligned} F(b; c; z) &= 1 + \frac{b}{c}z + \frac{b(b+1)}{c(c+1)}\frac{z^2}{2!} + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_k}{(c)_k (1)_k} z^k, \quad (b \in \mathbb{C}, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}), \end{aligned}$$

where $(b)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined in terms of the Gamma function by

$$(b)_k = \frac{\Gamma(b+k)}{\Gamma(b)} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ b(b+1)\dots(b+k-1), & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}. \end{cases}$$

is convergent for all finite values of z (see [20]). It can be written otherwise

$$F(b; c; m) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_k}{(c)_k (1)_k} m^k, \quad (b \in \mathbb{C}, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}),$$

is convergent for $b, c, m > 0$.

Very recently, Porwal and Kumar [19] introduced the confluent hypergeometric distribution (CHD) whose probability mass function is

$$P(k) = \frac{(b)_k}{(c)_k k! F(b; c; m)} m^k, \quad (b, c, m > 0, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

Porwal [18] introduced a series $\mathcal{I}(b; c; m; z)$ whose coefficients are probabilities of confluent hypergeometric distribution

$$\mathcal{I}(b; c; m; z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_{k-1} m^{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1} (k-1)! F(b; c; m)} z^k, \quad (b, c, m > 0), \quad (3)$$

and defined a linear operator $\Omega(b; c; m)f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(b; c; m)f(z) &= \mathcal{I}(b; c; m; z) * f(z) \\ &= z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{(b)_{k-1} m^{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1} (k-1)! F(b; c; m)} a_k z^k, \quad (b, c, m > 0).\end{aligned}$$

Srivastava [23] made use of various operators of q -calculus and fractional q -calculus and recalling the definition and notations. The q -shifted factorial is defined for $\lambda, q \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ as follows

$$(\lambda; q)_k = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0, \\ (1 - \lambda)(1 - \lambda q) \dots (1 - \lambda q^{k-1}) & k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

By using the q -gamma function $\Gamma_q(z)$, we get

$$(q^\lambda; q)_k = \frac{(1 - q)^k \Gamma_q(\lambda + k)}{\Gamma_q(\lambda)}, \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}_0),$$

where (see [8])

$$\Gamma_q(z) = (1 - q)^{1-z} \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q^z; q)_\infty}, \quad (|q| < 1).$$

Also, we note that

$$(\lambda; q)_\infty = \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} (1 - \lambda q^k), \quad (|q| < 1),$$

and, the q -gamma function $\Gamma_q(z)$ is known

$$\Gamma_q(z + 1) = [z]_q \Gamma_q(z),$$

where $[k]_q$ denotes the basic q -number defined as follows

$$[k]_q := \begin{cases} \frac{1 - q^k}{1 - q}, & k \in \mathbb{C}, \\ 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} q^j, & k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Using the definition formula (4) we have the next two products:

(i) For any non negative integer k , the q -shifted factorial is given by

$$[k]_q! := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \prod_{n=1}^k [n]_q, & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

(ii) For any positive number r , the q -generalized Pochhammer symbol is defined by

$$[r]_{q,k} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \prod_{n=r}^{r+k-1} [n]_q, & \text{if } k \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$

It is known in terms of the classical (Euler's) gamma function $\Gamma(z)$, that

$$\Gamma_q(z) \rightarrow \Gamma(z) \quad \text{as } q \rightarrow 1^-.$$

Also, we observe that

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \left\{ \frac{(q^\lambda; q)_k}{(1-q)^k} \right\} = (\lambda)_k.$$

For $0 < q < 1$, the q -derivative operator [13] (see also [1, 12]) for $\mathcal{I}(b; c; m; z)$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} D_q(\Omega(b; c; m)f(z)) &:= \frac{\Omega(b; c; m)f(z) - \Omega(b; c; m)f(qz)}{z(1-q)} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q \frac{(b)_{k-1} m^{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1} (k-1)! F(b; c; m)} a_k z^{k-1}, \quad (b, c, m > 0, z \in \Delta), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$[k]_q := \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} q^j, \quad [0, q] := 0. \tag{5}$$

For $\lambda > -1$ and $0 < q < 1$, we defined the linear operator $\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ by

$$\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z) * \mathcal{N}_{q,\lambda+1}(z) = z D_q(\Omega(b; c; m)f(z)), \quad z \in \Delta,$$

where the function $\mathcal{N}_{q,\lambda+1}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{q,\lambda+1}(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[\lambda+1]_{q,k-1}}{[k-1]_q!} z^k, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

A simple computation shows that

$$\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \psi_k a_k z^k \quad (b, c, m > 0, \lambda > -1, 0 < q < 1, z \in \Delta). \tag{6}$$

where

$$\psi_k := \frac{(b)_{k-1} m^{k-1} [k]_q!}{(c)_{k-1} (k-1)! F(b; c; m) [\lambda+1]_{q,k-1}}. \tag{7}$$

From the definition relation (6), we can easily verify that the next relations hold for all $f \in \mathcal{A}$:

$$(i) \quad [\lambda+1]_q \mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z) = [\lambda]_q \mathcal{I}^{\lambda+1,q}(b; c; m)f(z) + q^\lambda z D_q(\mathcal{I}^{\lambda+1,q}(b; c; m)f(z)), \quad z \in \Delta; \tag{8}$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{M}^\lambda(b; c; m)f(z) := \lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k(b)_{k-1} m^{k-1}}{(c)_{k-1} F(b; c; m) (\lambda+1)_{k-1}} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{9}$$

Remark 1.2. Putting $b = c$ in the operator $\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)$, we obtain the q -analogue of Poisson operator $I_q^{\lambda,m}$ defined by El-Deeb et al. [7] as follows

$$I_q^{\lambda,m} f(z) := z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{m^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} e^{-m} \cdot \frac{[k]_q!}{[\lambda+1]_{q,k-1}} a_k z^k, \quad z \in \Delta. \tag{10}$$

Remark 1.3. The Horadam polynomials $h_n(x)$ are defined by the following recurrence relation (see [10])

$$h_n(x) = \rho x h_{n-1}(x) + \sigma h_{n-2}(x), \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}; n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}), \tag{11}$$

with

$$h_1(x) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad h_2(x) = \beta x,$$

for some real constants α, β, ρ and σ . The generating function of the Horadam polynomials $h_n(x)$ is given as follows (see [11])

$$\Upsilon(x, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n(x) z^{n-1} = \frac{\alpha + (\beta - \alpha \rho)xz}{1 - \rho xz - \sigma z^2}. \tag{12}$$

Remark 1.4. By selecting the particular values of α , β , ρ and σ , the Horadam polynomial $h_n(x)$ reduces to several known polynomials.

- (i) Fibonacci polynomials $F_n(x)$. If $\alpha = \beta = \rho = \sigma = 1$;
- (ii) Lucas polynomials $L_n(x)$. If $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = \rho = \sigma = 1$;
- (iii) Pell polynomials $P_n(x)$. If $\alpha = \sigma = 1$ and $b = \rho = 2$;
- (iv) Pell-Lucas polynomials $Q_n(x)$. If $\alpha = \beta = \rho = 2$ and $\sigma = 1$;
- (v) Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(x)$ of the first kind. If $\alpha = \beta = 1$, $\rho = 2$ and $\sigma = -1$;
- (vi) Chebyshev polynomials $U_n(x)$ of the second kind. If $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = \rho = 2$ and $\sigma = -1$.

These polynomials, the families of orthogonal polynomials and other special polynomials, as well as their extensions and generalizations, are potentially important in a variety of disciplines in many branches of science, especially in the mathematical, statistical and physical sciences. For more information associated with these polynomials (see [9, 10, 14, 15]).

The Koebe one-quarter theorem (see [5]) proves that the image of Δ under every univalent function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ contains a disk of radius $\frac{1}{4}$. Therefore, every function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ has an inverse f^{-1} that satisfies

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z, \quad (z \in \Delta),$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad \left(|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \geq \frac{1}{4} \right),$$

where

$$f^{-1}(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be bi-univalent in Δ if both f and f^{-1} are univalent in Δ . Let Σ denote the class of bi-univalent functions in Δ given by (1). Note that the following functions $f_1(z) = \frac{z}{1-z}$, $f_2(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, $f_3(z) = -\log(1-z)$, with their corresponding inverses $g_1(w) = \frac{w}{1+w}$, $g_2(w) = \frac{e^{2w}-1}{e^{2w}+1}$, $g_3(w) = \frac{e^w-1}{e^w}$, respectively, are elements of Σ (see [6, 7, 25]). For a brief history and interesting examples in the class Σ see, for example [2]. Brannan and Taha [3] (see also [25]) introduced certain subclasses of the bi-univalent functions class Σ similar to the familiar subclasses $\mathcal{S}^*(\delta)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\delta)$ of starlike and convex functions of order δ ($0 \leq \delta < 1$), a function $f \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\delta)$ of strongly bi-starlike functions of order δ ($0 < \delta \leq 1$), if each of the following conditions is satisfied:

$$f \in \Sigma, \quad \text{with} \quad \left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right| < \frac{\delta\pi}{2}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

and

$$\left| \arg \frac{zg'(w)}{g(w)} \right| < \frac{\delta\pi}{2}, \quad w \in \Delta,$$

where the function g is the analytic extension of f^{-1} to Δ , and is given by

$$g(w) = w - a_2 w^2 + (2a_2^2 - a_3) w^3 - (5a_2^3 - 5a_2 a_3 + a_4) w^4 + \dots, \quad w \in \Delta. \quad (13)$$

The classes $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\alpha)$ of bi-starlike functions of order α and bi-convex functions of order α ($0 < \alpha \leq 1$), corresponding to the function classes $\mathcal{S}^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}(\alpha)$, were also introduced analogously. For each of the function classes $\mathcal{S}_\Sigma^*(\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma(\alpha)$, they found non-sharp estimates on the first two Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ (for details, see [3] and [25]).

The object of the present paper is to introduce new subclasses of the function class Σ involving the q -confluent Hypergeometric function connected with Horadam polynomials $h_n(x)$ that generalize the previous defined classes, and find estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$, and $|a_3|$ for functions in these new subclasses of the function class Σ .

Definition 1.5. Let $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $b, c, m > 0$, $\lambda > -1$, $0 < q < 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then $f \in \Sigma$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\gamma z^2 (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z))'' + z (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z))'}{\gamma z (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z))' + (1 - \gamma)\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z)} - 1 \right) \prec \Upsilon(x, z) + 1 - \alpha, \tag{14}$$

and

$$1 + \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\gamma w^2 (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w))'' + w (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w))'}{\gamma w (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w))' + (1 - \gamma)\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w)} - 1 \right) \prec \Upsilon(x, w) + 1 - \alpha, \tag{15}$$

where α is real constant and the function g is the analytic extension of f^{-1} to Δ , and is given by (13).

Remark 1.6. (i) For $q \rightarrow 1^-$ we obtain that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x) =: \mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$, where $M_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$ represents the functions $f \in \Sigma$ that satisfies (14) and (15) for $\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)$ replaced with $\mathcal{M}^{\lambda}(b; c; m)$ (see (9)).

(ii) For $b = c$, we obtain the class $R_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q}(\eta, \gamma, m, x)$, that represents the functions $f \in \Sigma$ that satisfies (14) and (15) for $\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)$ replaced with $\mathcal{I}_q^{\lambda,m}$ (see (10)).

Lemma 1.7. [17, p. 172] If w is a Schwarz function, so that $w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k$, $z \in \Delta$, then

$$|p_1| \leq 1, \quad |p_k| \leq 1 - |p_1|^2, \quad k \geq 1.$$

2. Coefficient bounds for the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume in the reminder of this paper that $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$, $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, $b, c, m > 0$, $\lambda > -1$, $0 < q < 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the powers are understood as principle values.

Theorem 2.1. Let the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\beta \eta x| \sqrt{|\beta x|}}{\sqrt{|([2\eta\beta^2 x^2(1 + 2\gamma)\psi_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2(\eta\beta + \rho)\psi_2^2] \beta x^2 - \sigma\alpha(\gamma + 1)^2\psi_2^2)|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta| |\beta x|}{2(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3} + \frac{|\eta|^2 (\beta x)^2}{(\gamma + 1)^2 \psi_2^2},$$

where ψ_k , $k \in \{2, 3\}$, are given by (7).

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$. Then there exist U and V , two analytic functions in Δ with $U(0) = V(0) = 0$, and $|U(z)| < 1$, $|V(w)| < 1$ for all $z, w \in \Delta$, given by

$$U(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k z^k \text{ and } V(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_k w^k, \quad z, w \in \Delta,$$

from Lemma 1.7 we have

$$|u_k| \leq 1 \text{ and } |v_k| \leq 1, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{16}$$

From (14) and (15), we have

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\gamma z^2 (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z))'' + z (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z))'}{\gamma z (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z))' + (1 - \gamma)\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z)} - 1 \right) = \Upsilon(x, U(z)) - \alpha, \tag{17}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\gamma w^2 (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w))'' + w (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w))'}{\gamma w (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w))' + (1 - \gamma)\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w)} - 1 \right) = \Upsilon(x, V(w)) - \alpha. \quad (18)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\gamma z^2 (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z))'' + z (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z))'}{\gamma z (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z))' + (1 - \gamma)\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)f(z)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} [(\gamma + 1)\psi_2 a_2 z + [2(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3 a_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2 \psi_2^2 a_2^2] z^2 + \dots], \\ & \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{\gamma w^2 (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w))'' + w (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w))'}{\gamma w (\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w))' + (1 - \gamma)\mathcal{I}^{\lambda,q}(b; c; m)g(w)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\eta} [-(\gamma + 1)\psi_2 a_2 w + [2(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3 (2a_2^2 - a_3) - (\gamma + 1)^2 \psi_2^2 a_2^2] w^2 + \dots], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Upsilon(x, U(z)) - \alpha &= h_2(x)u_1 z + (h_2(x)u_2 + h_3(x)u_1^2) z^2 + \dots, \\ \Upsilon(x, V(w)) - \alpha &= h_2(x)v_1 w + (h_3(x)v_2 + h_3(x)v_1^2) w^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now, equating the corresponding coefficients of z and w in (17) and (18), we get

$$\frac{(\gamma + 1)}{\eta} \psi_2 a_2 = h_2(x)u_1, \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{1}{\eta} [2(1 + 2\gamma)\psi_3 a_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2 \psi_2^2 a_2^2] = h_2(x)u_2 + h_3(x)u_1^2, \quad (20)$$

$$-\frac{(\gamma + 1)}{\eta} \psi_2 a_2 = h_2(x)v_1, \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{1}{\eta} [2(1 + 2\gamma)\psi_3 (2a_2^2 - a_3) - (\gamma + 1)^2 \psi_1^2 a_2^2] = h_2(x)v_2 + h_3(x)v_1^2. \quad (22)$$

From (19) and (21), we obtain

$$u_1 = -v_1. \quad (23)$$

If we square (19) and (21), then adding the new relations we have

$$\frac{2(\gamma + 1)^2}{\eta^2} a_2^2 \psi_2^2 = h_2^2(x) (u_1^2 + v_1^2), \quad (24)$$

adding (20) and (22) we have

$$\frac{2}{\eta} [2(1 + 2\gamma)\psi_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2 \psi_2^2] a_2^2 = h_2(x) (u_2 + v_2) + h_3(x) (u_1^2 + v_1^2).$$

We can rewrite (24) as

$$u_1^2 + v_1^2 = \frac{2(\gamma + 1)^2}{\eta^2 h_2^2(x)} a_2^2 \psi_2^2.$$

Using the above equation, we get

$$2 [2\eta(1 + 2\gamma)h_2^2(x)\psi_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2 (\eta h_2^2(x) + h_3(x)) \psi_2^2] a_2^2 = \eta^2 h_2^3(x) (u_2 + v_2),$$

it follows that

$$a_2^2 = \frac{\eta^2 h_2^3(x) (u_2 + v_2)}{2 [2\eta(1 + 2\gamma)h_2^2(x)\psi_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2 (\eta h_2^2(x) + h_3(x)) \psi_2^2]}. \quad (25)$$

Then taking the absolute value to the above equation and from (11) and (16), we obtain

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\eta| |\beta x| \sqrt{|\beta x|}}{\sqrt{\left| \left([2\eta\beta^2 x^2 (1 + 2\gamma)\psi_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2 (\eta\beta + \rho) \psi_1^2] \beta x^2 - \sigma\alpha(\gamma + 1)^2 \psi_2^2 \right) \right|}},$$

which gives the bound for $|a_2|$ as we asserted in our theorem.

Also to find the bound for $|a_3|$, if we subtract (22) from (20), we find that

$$\frac{4}{\eta} (1 + 2\gamma)\psi_3 (a_3 - a_2^2) = [h_2(x) (u_2 - v_2) + h_3(x) (u_1^2 - v_1^2)]. \tag{26}$$

Form (26), (23) and (24), we obtain

$$a_3 = \frac{\eta h_2(x) (u_2 - v_2)}{4(1 + 2\gamma)\psi_3} + \frac{\eta^2 h_2^2(x) (u_1^2 + v_1^2)}{2(\gamma + 1)^2 \psi_2^2}. \tag{27}$$

Using (11) and (16), we get

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta| |\beta x|}{2(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3} + \frac{|\eta|^2 (\beta x)^2}{(\gamma + 1)^2 \psi_2^2}.$$

□

Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 2.2. *If the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{H}_\Sigma^\Delta(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\beta\eta x| \sqrt{|\beta x|}}{\sqrt{\left| \left(\left[\frac{6\eta(\beta mx)^2 (1+2\gamma)(b)_2}{(c)_2 (\lambda+1)_2 F(b; c; m)} - \frac{4(bm(\gamma+1))^2 (\eta\beta+\rho)}{(c(\lambda+1)F(b; c; m))^2} \right] \beta x^2 - \frac{4\sigma\alpha(bm(\gamma+1))^2}{(c(\lambda+1)F(b; c; m))^2} \right) \right|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta| |\beta x| (c)_2 (\lambda + 1)_2 F(b; c; m)}{6m^2(2\gamma + 1)(b)_2} + \frac{|\eta|^2 (\beta x c(\lambda + 1)F(b; c; m))^2}{4(bm(\gamma + 1))^2}.$$

Putting $b = c$ in Theorem 2.1 we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 2.3. *If the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $R_\Sigma^{\lambda, q}(\eta, \gamma, m, x)$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\beta\eta x| \sqrt{|\beta x|}}{\sqrt{\left| \left(\left[\frac{\eta(m\beta x)^2 (1+2\gamma)e^{-m} [3]_q!}{[\lambda+1]_{q,2}} - \frac{(me^{-m} [2]_q!(\gamma+1))^2 (\eta\beta+\rho)}{[\lambda+1]_q^2} \right] \beta x^2 - \frac{\sigma\alpha(me^{-m} [2]_q!(\gamma+1))^2}{[\lambda+1]_q^2} \right) \right|}},$$

and

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\eta| |\beta x| [\lambda + 1]_{q,2}}{m^2(2\gamma + 1)e^{-m} [3]_q!} + \frac{|\eta|^2 (\beta x [\lambda + 1]_q)^2}{(me^{-m} [2]_q!(\gamma + 1))^2}.$$

3. Fekete-Szegő problem for the function class $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma^{\lambda, q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$

Theorem 3.1. *If the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}_\Sigma^{\lambda, q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta| |\beta x| (|M + N| + |M - N|), \tag{28}$$

where

$$M = \frac{(1 - \mu)\eta(\beta x)^2}{2 \left[(2\eta(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2(\eta\beta - 2\rho)\psi_2^2)\beta x^2 - (\gamma + 1)^2\psi_2^2\sigma\alpha \right]}, \quad (29)$$

and

$$N = \frac{1}{4(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3},$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, and ψ_k , $k \in \{2, 3\}$, are given by (7).

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, from (23) and (26), we have

$$a_3 - a_2^2 = \frac{\eta h_2(u_2 - v_2)}{4(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3}, \quad (30)$$

and multiplying (25) by $(1 - \mu)$ we get

$$(1 - \mu)a_2^2 = \frac{(1 - \mu)\eta^2 h_2^3(u_2 + v_2)}{2 \left[(2\eta(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3 - \eta\psi_2^2(\lambda + 1)^2)h_2^2 - (\lambda + 1)^2\psi_2^2 h_3 \right]}. \quad (31)$$

Summing (30) and (31) leads to

$$a_3 - \mu a_2^2 = \eta h_2 [(M + N)u_2 + (M - N)v_2], \quad (32)$$

where M and N are given by (29), and taking the absolute value of (32), from (16) we obtain the inequality (28). \square

Remark 3.2. A simple computation shows that the inequality $|M| \leq N$ is equivalent to

$$|\mu - 1| \leq \left| \frac{2\eta\beta x \left[(2\eta(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2(\eta\beta - 2\rho)\psi_2^2)\beta x^2 - (\gamma + 1)^2\psi_2^2\sigma\alpha \right]}{4(2\gamma + 1)\eta^2(\rho\beta x^2 + \alpha\sigma)\psi_3} \right|,$$

therefore, from Theorem 3.1 we get the next result:

If the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq \frac{|\eta||\beta x|}{2(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3},$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$, with

$$|\mu - 1| \leq \left| \frac{2\eta\beta x \left[(2\eta(2\gamma + 1)\psi_3 - (\gamma + 1)^2(\eta\beta - 2\rho)\psi_2^2)\beta x^2 - (\gamma + 1)^2\psi_2^2\sigma\alpha \right]}{4(2\gamma + 1)\eta^2(\rho\beta x^2 + \alpha\sigma)\psi_3} \right|,$$

and ψ_k , $k \in \{2, 3\}$, are given by (7).

Putting $q \rightarrow 1^-$ in Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3.3. If the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{H}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta||\beta x| (|M + N| + |M - N|),$$

where

$$M = \frac{(1 - \mu)\eta(\beta x)^2}{2 \left[\left(\frac{6\eta m^2(2\gamma + 1)(b)_2}{(c)_2(\lambda + 1)_2 F(b; c; m)} - 4 \left(\frac{bm(\gamma + 1)}{c(\lambda + 1)F(b; c; m)} \right)^2 (\eta\beta - 2\rho) \right) \beta x^2 - \left(\frac{bm(\gamma + 1)}{c(\lambda + 1)F(b; c; m)} \right)^2 \sigma\alpha \right]},$$

and

$$N = \frac{(c)_2(\lambda + 1)_2 F(b; c; m)}{12m^2(2\gamma + 1)(b)_2},$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

Putting $b = c$ in Theorem 3.1 we obtain the following corollary:

Corollary 3.4. *If the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $R_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q}(\eta, \gamma, m, x)$, and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}^*$, then*

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta| |\beta x| (|M + N| + |M - N|),$$

where

$$M = \frac{(1-\mu)\eta(\beta x)^2}{2 \left[\left(\frac{\eta m^2 (2\gamma+1) e^{-m} [3]_q!}{[\lambda+1]_{q,2}} - \left(\frac{m e^{-m} (\gamma+1) [2]_q!}{[\lambda+1]_q} \right)^2 (\eta\beta - 2\rho) \right) \beta x^2 - \left(\frac{m e^{-m} (\gamma+1) [2]_q!}{[\lambda+1]_q} \right)^2 \sigma \alpha \right]},$$

and

$$N = \frac{[\lambda + 1]_{q,2}}{2m^2(2\gamma + 1)e^{-m} [3]_q!},$$

where $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$.

For $\eta = 1$ and $\gamma = 1$. Therefore, from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1

Example 3.5. *Let the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q}(1, 1, b, c, m, x)$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\beta x| \sqrt{|\beta x|}}{\sqrt{|([6\beta^2 x^2 \psi_3 - 4(\beta + \rho) \psi_2^2] \beta x^2 - 4\sigma \alpha \psi_2^2)|}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\beta x|}{6\psi_3} + \frac{(\beta x)^2}{4\psi_2^2},$$

and

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\beta x| (|M + N| + |M - N|),$$

with

$$M = \frac{(1 - \mu) (\beta x)^2}{2 [(2\psi_3 - (\eta\beta - 2\rho) \psi_2^2) \beta x^2 - \psi_2^2 \sigma \alpha]} \quad \text{and} \quad N = \frac{1}{12\psi_3},$$

where $\psi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$, are given by (7).

For $\eta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$. Therefore, from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1

Example 3.6. *Let the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda,q}(1, 0, b, c, m, x)$, then*

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\beta x| \sqrt{|\beta x|}}{\sqrt{|([2\beta^2 x^2 \psi_3 - (\beta + \rho) \psi_2^2] \beta x^2 - \sigma \alpha \psi_2^2)|}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\beta x|}{2\psi_3} + \frac{(\beta x)^2}{\psi_2^2},$$

and

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\beta x| (|M + N| + |M - N|),$$

with

$$M = \frac{(1 - \mu) (\beta x)^2}{2 [(6\psi_3 - 4(\eta\beta - 2\rho) \psi_2^2) \beta x^2 - 4\psi_2^2 \sigma \alpha]} \quad \text{and} \quad N = \frac{1}{4\psi_3},$$

where $\psi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$, are given by (7).

For $\eta = \zeta \cos \theta e^{i\theta}$ ($0 < \zeta \leq 1, |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$). Therefore, from Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.1

Example 3.7. Let the function f given by (1) belongs to the class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, q}(\zeta \cos \theta e^{i\theta}, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$, then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{|\beta x| \sqrt{|\beta x|} \zeta \cos \theta}{\sqrt{|[2\zeta \cos \theta e^{i\theta} \beta^2 x^2 (1+2\gamma)\psi_3 - (\gamma+1)^2 (\beta \zeta \cos \theta e^{i\theta} + \rho)\psi_2^2] \beta x^2 - \sigma \alpha (\gamma+1)^2 \psi_2^2}|}},$$

$$|a_3| \leq \frac{|\beta x| \zeta \cos \theta}{2(2\gamma+1)\psi_3} + \frac{(\beta \zeta x \cos \theta)^2}{(\gamma+1)^2 \psi_2^2},$$

and

$$|a_3 - \mu a_2^2| \leq |\eta| |\beta x| (|M + N| + |M - N|),$$

where

$$M = \frac{(1-\mu)(\beta x)^2 \zeta \cos \theta e^{i\theta}}{2[(2\zeta \cos \theta e^{i\theta} (2\gamma+1)\psi_3 - (\gamma+1)^2 (\beta \zeta \cos \theta e^{i\theta} - 2\rho)\psi_2^2] \beta x^2 - (\gamma+1)^2 \psi_2^2 \sigma \alpha]}, \quad N = \frac{1}{4(2\gamma+1)\psi_3},$$

where $\psi_k, k \in \{2, 3\}$, are given by (7).

Remark 3.8. We mention that all the above estimations for the coefficients $|a_2|$, $|a_3|$, and Fekete-Szegő problem for the function class $\mathcal{K}_{\Sigma}^{\lambda, q}(\eta, \gamma, b, c, m, x)$ are not sharp. To find the sharp upper bounds for the above functionals remains an interesting open problem, as well as those for $|a_n|, n \geq 4$.

References

- [1] M.H. Abu Risha, M.H. Annaby, M.E.H. Ismail and Z.S. Mansour, Linear q -difference equations, Z. Anal. Anwend., 26(2007), 481-494.
- [2] D.A. Brannan, J. Clunie and W.E. Kirwan, Coefficient estimates for a class of star-like functions, Canad. J. Math., 22(3)(1970), 476-485.
- [3] D.A. Brannan and T.S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, in: S. M. Mazhar, A. Hamoui, N. S. Faour (Eds.), Mathematical Analysis and its Applications, Kuwait; February 18-21, 1985, in: KFAAS Proceedings Series, vol. 3, Pergamon Press(Elsevier Science Limited), Oxford, 1988, pp. 53-60; see also Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 31(2)(1986), 70-77.
- [4] T. Bulboacă, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations. Recent Results, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, (2005).
- [5] P.L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg and Tokyo, (1983).
- [6] S.M. El-Deeb, Maclaurin coefficient estimates for new subclasses of bi-univalent functions connected with a q -analogue of Bessel function, Abstract Appl. Anal., (2020), Article ID 8368951, 1–7, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8368951>.
- [7] S.M. El-Deeb, T. Bulboacă and B.M. El-Matary, Maclaurin coefficient estimates of bi-univalent functions connected with the q -derivative, Mathematics, 8(2020), 1–14, <https://doi.org/10.3390/math8030418>.
- [8] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, Basic hypergeometric series (with a Foreword by Richard Askey). Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 35(1990).
- [9] A.F. Horadam, Jacobsthal representation polynomials, Fibonacci Quart. 35 (1997), 137– 148.
- [10] A. F. Horadam and J. M. Mahon, Pell and Pell-Lucas polynomials, Fibonacci Quart. 23 (1985), 7–20.
- [11] Hürçüm and E.G. Kocer, On some properties of Horadam polynomials, Internat. Math. Forum. 4 (2009), 1243–1252.
- [12] F.H. Jackson, On q -functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 46(2)(1909), 253-281, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0080456800002751>
- [13] F.H. Jackson, On q -definite integrals, Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., 41(1910), 193-203.
- [14] T. Koshy, Fibonacci and Lucas Numbers with Applications, A Wiley Interscience Publication, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 2001.
- [15] A. Lupas, A guide of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials, Octagon Math. Mag. 7 (1999), 2–12.
- [16] S.S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations. Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 225, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York and Basel, (2000).
- [17] Z. Nehari, Conformal Mapping, McGraw-Hill, New York, NY, USA, (1952).
- [18] S. Porwal, Confluent hypergeometric distribution and its applications on certain classes of univalent functions of conic regions, Kyungpook Math. J., 58(2018), 495-505.
- [19] S. Porwal and S. Kumar, Confluent hypergeometric distribution and its applications on certain classes of univalent functions, Afr. Mat., 28(2017), 1-8.
- [20] E.D. Rainville, Special functions, The Macmillan Co., New York, 1960.
- [21] H.M. Srivastava, Certain q -polynomial expansions for functions of several variables. I and II, IMA J. Appl. Math. 30(1983), 205-209.

-
- [22] H.M. Srivastava, Univalent functions, fractional calculus, and associated generalized hypergeometric functions, in *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications* (H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Editors), Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), pp. 329-354, John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, (1989).
 - [23] H.M. Srivastava, Operators of basic (or q -) calculus and fractional q -calculus and their applications in *Geometric Function theory of Complex Analysis*, Iran J Sci Technol Trans Sci 44(2020), 327–344.
 - [24] H.M. Srivastava and P.W. Karlsson, *Multiple Gaussian hypergeometric series*, Wiley, New York, (1985).
 - [25] H.M. Srivastava, A.K. Mishra and P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 23(10)(2010), 1188-1192.