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Abstract. We prove a factorization theorem for multilinear operators acting in topological products of spaces of (scalar) $p$-summable sequences through a product. It is shown that this class of multilinear operators called product factorable maps coincides with the well-known class of the zero product preserving operators. Due to the factorization, we obtain compactness and summability properties by using classical functional analysis tools. Besides, we give some isomorphisms between spaces of linear and multilinear operators, and representations of some classes of multilinear maps as $n$-homogeneous orthogonally additive polynomials.

1. Introduction

The objective of the paper is to present a factorization theorem for multilinear operators defined on the topological product of spaces of $p$-summable sequences through the product of (multiple) scalar sequences. Such a factorization has been studied for multilinear operators defined on Banach algebras and vector lattices, and in the last years it has been studied for Banach spaces (see [1,6,12] and references therein).

Factorization through a product is closely related to a property that is called zero product preservation, or orthosymmetry in the case of vector lattices, for which orthogonality is used to generalize the notion of having product equal to 0, that is just given for the case of function lattices. This property states that a multilinear map $B : X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n \to Y$ is 0-valued whenever $x_i \otimes x_j = 0$ for some $x_i \in X_i$, $x_j \in X_j$ ($i, j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$), where $\otimes : X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n \to G$ is a specific map.
called product. For multilinear operators acting in Banach algebras, this factorization gives useful results for the weighted homomorphisms and derivations, where algebraic multiplication is considered as the specific map (see [2] and references therein). For Riesz spaces, such a factorization is used to obtain interesting results regarding powers of vector lattices, in which orthogonality is involved (see [4, 6, 7] and references therein).

Recently, the author together with other mathematicians have investigated the class of multilinear operators acting in the topological product of Banach function spaces and integrable functions factoring through the pointwise product and the convolution operation, respectively (see [12, 14]). Motivated by these ideas, in this paper we introduce the notion of product factorability for multilinear operators defined on topological products of spaces of (scalar) $p$-summable sequences, and we prove that this class coincides with the class of zero product preserving multilinear maps.

This paper is organised as follows: after some preliminaries and notations, in Section 2 we give the definitions of the specific map product and product factorability for multilinear operators with a necessary and sufficient requirement. Section 3 includes the main result of the paper, which as we said above, states that for a particular product and multilinear operators defined on the topological product of spaces of $p$-summable sequences, the class of product factorable maps is the same as the class of zero product preserving maps. In the sequel, some isometries between multilinear operators and linear operators are presented. Section 4 concerns compactness and summability properties based on classical functional analysis properties and theorems of product factorable maps. Section 5 is devoted to give a generalization of the main factorization theorem by using isomorphism between Banach spaces and $\ell^p$ spaces. In the last section, some isometries between product factorable multilinear maps and orthogonally additive $n$-homogeneous polynomials are given as an application, and the paper is finished with an example related to diagonal forms.

Throughout the paper, the standard notations from the Banach space theory are used. Nevertheless, before going any further let us describe some of them. The capital letters $X$, $Y$, $Z$ will denote the Banach spaces over the scalar field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. We write $B_X$ for the unit ball of a Banach space $X$. $X^*$ denotes the topological dual of the Banach space $X$. The notations $E = Y$ and $E \cong Y$ mean $E$ and $Y$ are isometric and isomorphic, respectively.

Operator (linear, multilinear or polynomial) indicates continuous operator. $\mathcal{L}^n(X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n, Y)$ denotes the Banach space of $n$-linear maps endowed with the norm

$$\|T\| = \sup\{\|T(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\| : x_i \in B_{X_i}, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$ 

It will be denoted by $\mathcal{L}^n(X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n)$, respectively, $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ if $Y = \mathbb{R}$, respectively, $n = 1$. 

For a positive real number $p \geq 1$, $\ell^p$ is the Banach space of all scalar valued absolutely $p$-summable sequences with the norm $\|(x_i)\|_p = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^p)^{1/p}$ and $\ell^\infty$ shows the Banach space of all bounded sequences endowed with the norm $\|(x_i)\|_\infty = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |x_i|$. 

$\chi_{\{1,2,\ldots,m\}}$ will denote the sequence $\{1,\ldots,1,0,0,0,\ldots\}$ and $\chi_{\{j\}}$ shows the elements of standard basis of the space $\ell^p$ whose coordinates are all zero, except $j$th that equals 1.

For brevity we will write $\times^n_{i=1} X_i$ for the Cartesian product space $X_1 \times \ldots \times X_n$ and $\times^n X$ for the $n$-fold Cartesian product of the Banach space $X$.

A linear operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called $(p,q)$-summing if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for every choice of the elements $x_1,\ldots,x_m \in X$ and for all positive integers $m$, 

$$
\left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|T(x_i)\|_Y^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c \sup_{x^* \in B_{X^*}} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} |\langle x_i, x^* \rangle|_q \right)^{1/q}.
$$

The space of $(p,q)$-summing operators from $X$ to $Y$ is denoted by $\Pi_{p,q}(X,Y) = -\Pi_q(X,Y)$, if $p = q$.

Recall that a Banach space $E$ is said to have the Schur property whenever weak convergent and norm convergent sequences coincide in it. A Banach space $E$ has the Dunford-Pettis property if every linear operator from $E$ into a Banach space $F$ maps weakly compact sets to norm compact ones.

Recall that an (linear, multilinear or polynomial) operator is called (weakly) compact if it maps the unit ball to a relatively (weakly) compact set.

2. Norm Preserving Products and Product Factorability

Let $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$ and $Z$ be Banach spaces. Consider a Banach space valued $n$-linear map $\otimes : X_1 \times X_2 \times \ldots \times X_n \rightarrow Z$ written by 

$$
(\chi_{x_1}, \chi_{x_2}, \ldots, \chi_{x_n}) \mapsto \otimes(\chi_{x_1}, \chi_{x_2}, \ldots, \chi_{x_n}) = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \ldots \otimes x_n
$$

for all $x_i \in X_i$ ($i = 1,2,\ldots,n$).

This particular map is called norm preserving product ($n,p$ product for short) if the inclusion $B_Y \subseteq \otimes(B_{X_1} \times B_{X_2} \times \ldots \times B_{X_n})$ holds and for every $x_i \in X_i$ ($i = 1,\ldots,n$) and we have that

$$
\| \otimes(\chi_{x_1}, \chi_{x_2}, \ldots, \chi_{x_n}) \|_Z = \inf \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \|x_i'\|_{X_i} : x_i' \in X_i, \; i = 1,\ldots,n \right\},
$$

where the infimum is taken over all $\otimes(\chi_{x_1}, \chi_{x_2}, \ldots, \chi_{x_n}) = \otimes(\chi_{x_1}', \chi_{x_2}', \ldots, \chi_{x_n}')$ (see [12, Definition 2.1]).

Example 1. Some norm preserving products:
The usual convolution operation \( * \) from the product \( L^2(T) \times L^2(T) \) of Hilbert space of integrable functions to the Wiener algebra \( \mathcal{W}(T) \) is a norm preserving product (see [11, Remark 2.1] and references there in for the calculations).

Let \((\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\) be a \( \sigma \)-finite measure space and let \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{r} \) and \( p_i, r \geq 1. \) Then the pointwise product \( \odot \) defined on \( L^{p_i}(\mu) \times \cdots \times L^{p_n}(\mu) \) to \( L^r(\mu) \) is a norm preserving product (see [12, Section 4]).

A multilinear operator \( B : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \to Y \) is called \( \odot \)-factorable for the n.p. product \( \odot \) if it can be factored through the product \( \odot : X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n \to Z \) and a linear operator \( T : Z \to Y \) such that \( B(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = T \circ \odot(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \) for all \( x_i \in X_i (i = 1, \ldots, n) \) (see [12 Def. 2.2]).

Thus, for a certain continuous linear operator \( T : Z \to Y, \) the map \( B \) admits a factorization as the form:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n & \xrightarrow{B} & Y \\
\downarrow \otimes & & \\
\downarrow T & & \\
Z & \xrightarrow{\odot} & \\
\end{array}
\]

The author proved in [12 Lemma 2.3.] that a necessary and sufficient condition for the \( \odot \)-factorability of a multilinear operator \( B : X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \to Y \) is given by the existence of a constant \( k > 0 \) satisfying the following inequality

\[
\left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} B(x_1^i, x_2^i, \ldots, x_n^i) \right\|_Y \leq k \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_1^i \otimes x_2^i \otimes \cdots \otimes x_n^i \right\|_Z \tag{1}
\]

for every finite sets of vectors \( \{x_j^i\}_{i=1}^{m} \subset X_j (j = 1, 2, \ldots, n). \)

A multilinear map \( B : X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \to Y \) is called zero product preserving (or zero \( \odot \)-preserving) if

\[
B(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \text{ if } x_k \odot x_l = 0 \text{ for some } x_k \in X_k, x_l \in X_l
\]

where \( k, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \) and \( k \neq l. \)

The class of zero \( \odot \)-preserving multilinear operators is a Banach space endowed with the usual operator norm. The Banach space of \( n \)-linear zero \( \odot \)-preserving operators defined on the \( X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n \) to \( Y \) will be denoted by \( \mathcal{L}_0^n(X_1 \times X_2 \times \cdots \times X_n, Y) \).

3. Product Factorability of Multilinear Maps acting in Sequence Spaces

Now, we will give the main theorem of the paper that states the class of zero product preserving maps defined on \( \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} \) to the Banach space \( Y \) are equal to the class of the product factorable operators.
Remark 2. Let \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{r} \) for \( 1 \leq r, p_i < \infty \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\). The product \( \odot : \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} \to \ell^{r} \) defined by
\[
 x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n = \{ x^1(k) \cdot \ldots \cdot x^n(k) \}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{ x(k) \}_{k=1}^{\infty} = x \in \ell^{r}
\]
for all \( x_i \in \ell^{p_i} \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\) is a norm preserving product. Indeed, consider a \( \{ x(k) \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in B_{\ell^{r}} \). We can write \( x(k) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x(k)|^{r/p_i} \text{sign}(x(k)) \) for all \( k \in \mathbb{N} \), where \text{sign} denotes the signum function. Since
\[
 \| (|x(k)|^{r/p_i} \text{sign}(x(k))) \|_{p_i} = \left( \sum |x(k)|^{r/p_i} \text{sign}(x(k)) |^{p_i} \right)^{1/p_i} = \left( \sum |x(k)|^{r/p_i} \right)^{1/p_i} = \| x(k) \|_{r/p_i} \leq 1,
\]
we get \( \{ |x(k)|^{r/p_i} \text{sign}(x(k)) \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^{p_i} \) and \( B_{\ell^{r}} \subseteq \bigodot (B_{\ell^{p_1}} \times B_{\ell^{p_2}} \times \ldots \times B_{\ell^{p_n}}) \). Now, let us show the equality given in the definition of the n.p. product. Take into account sequences \( x_i = \{ x_i(k) \}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^{p_i} \) \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \) such that \( x_1 \odot x_2 \odot \ldots \odot x_n = x \).

By the generalization of Hölder's inequality it is easily seen that
\[
 \| x_1 \odot x_2 \odot \ldots \odot x_n \|_r \leq \| x_1 \|_{p_1} \| x_2 \|_{p_2} \ldots \| x_n \|_{p_n}.
\]

Now, let us show the inverse. Since for all \( k \), we can write
\[
 x(k) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x(k)|^{r/p_i} \text{sign}(x(k)), \quad \text{we get} \quad \| (|x(k)|^{r/p_i} \text{sign}(x(k))) \|_{p_i} = \| (x(k)) \|_{r/p_i}.
\]
Therefore
\[
 \| x \|_r = \| (x(k)) \|_r = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \| (|x(k)|^{r/p_i} \text{sign}(x(k))) \|_{p_i}.
\]
Thus, we get \( \| x \|_r = \inf \{ \| x_1 \|_{p_1} \| x_2 \|_{p_2} \ldots \| x_n \|_{p_n} \} \) and \( \odot \) is an n.p. product from \( \ell^{p_1} \times \ell^{p_2} \times \ldots \times \ell^{p_n} \) to \( \ell^{r} \).

Theorem 3. Let \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{r} \) for \( 1 \leq r, p_i < \infty \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\). For a multilinear operator \( B : \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} \to \ell^{r} \) the following statements imply each other.

1. The operator \( B \) is zero \( \odot \)-preserving.
2. The operator \( B \) is \( \odot \)-factorable.
3. There is a constant \( k > 0 \) such that for every finite sets of sequences \( \{ x_1, \ldots, x_m \} \subset \ell^{p_i} \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\), the following inequality holds:
\[
 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} B(x_j^1, x_j^2, \ldots, x_j^n) \right\|_Y \leq k \left\| \prod_{j=1}^{m} x_j^1 \odot x_j^2 \odot \ldots \odot x_j^n \right\|_r.
\]

Thus, \( B \) admits the following factorization for a unique linear operator \( T : \ell^{r} \to \ell^{r} \);
\[
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} & \xrightarrow{B} & \ell^{r} \\
 \odot & \downarrow & \text{T} \\
 & \ell^{r}. & \end{array}
\]
Proof. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Assume that $B$ is zero $\circ$-preserving. Let us write the sequences $x_i \in \ell_p^n (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ in the form $x_i = \{x_i^j(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_i^j(k) \chi_{\{k\}}$, then

$$x_1 \circ \ldots \circ x_n = \{x_1^1(k) \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^1(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_1^1(k) \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^1(k) \cdot \chi_{\{k\}}$$

Since $\chi_{\{k\}} \circ \chi_{\{l\}} = 0$ whenever $k \neq l$, the following equality is obtained

$$B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = B\left( \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} x_1^1(k_1) \chi_{\{k_1\}}, \ldots, \sum_{k_n=1}^{\infty} x_n^1(k_n) \chi_{\{k_n\}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k_1=1}^{\infty} x_1^1(k_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sum_{k_n=1}^{\infty} x_n^1(k_n) B(\chi_{\{k_1\}}, \ldots, \chi_{\{k_n\}})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_1^1(k) \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^1(k) B(\chi_{\{k\}}, \ldots, \chi_{\{k\}})$$

$$= B\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_1^1(k) \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^1(k) \chi_{\{k\}}, \chi_{\{k\}}, \ldots, \chi_{\{k\}} \right)$$

by the zero product preservation property of $B$.

For every natural number $m$, let us define the map $B_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = B(x_1 \circ \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}, \ldots, x_n \circ \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}})$ for all $x_i \in \ell_p^n (i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$. It is easily seen that the sequence $\{B_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ consists of well-defined, multilinear continuous maps. Since $x_i \circ \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}} = x_i \circ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \chi_{\{k\}} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_i^j(k) \chi_{\{k\}}$, by the zero $\circ$-preservation property of $B$

$$B_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = B(x_1 \circ \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}, \ldots, x_n \circ \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}})$$

$$= B\left( \sum_{k_1=1}^{m} x_1^1(k_1) \chi_{\{k_1\}}, \ldots, \sum_{k_n=1}^{m} x_n^1(k_n) \chi_{\{k_n\}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{k_1=1}^{m} x_1^1(k_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \sum_{k_n=1}^{m} x_n^1(k_n) B(\chi_{\{k_1\}}, \ldots, \chi_{\{k_n\}})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_1^1(k) \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^1(k) B(\chi_{\{k\}}, \ldots, \chi_{\{k\}})$$

$$= B\left( \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_1^1(k) \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n^1(k) \chi_{\{k\}}, \sum_{k=1}^{m} \chi_{\{k\}}, \ldots, \sum_{k=1}^{m} \chi_{\{k\}} \right).$$

Thus, for all $m$, the map $B_m$ is written as

$$B_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = B\left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_1^j \cdots x_n^j \chi_{\{j\}}, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \chi_{\{j\}}, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{m} \chi_{\{j\}} \right)$$

$$= B(x_1 \circ \ldots \circ x_n \circ \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}, \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}, \ldots, \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}})$$
for all \( x_i \in \ell^p \) \((i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)\).

Now, for all natural number \( m \) and every \( x = x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n \), define the map
\[
T_m : \ell^r \to Y \text{ by } T_m(x) = T_m(x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n) = B_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n).
\]
Then, it is seen that for all \( m \), the map \( T_m \) is well-defined, linear and continuous operator. Indeed, the linearity is seen by the linearity in the first variable of the map \( B_m \). Let us show the continuity of the map \( T_m \):
\[
\|T_m(x)\|_Y = \|B_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\|_Y
\]
\[
= \|B(x_1 \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}, \ldots, x_n \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}})\|_Y
\]
\[
\leq \|B\|\|x_1 \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}\| \ldots \|x_n \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}\|
\]
\[
\leq \|B\|\|x_1\| \ldots \|x_n\|,
\]

since this holds for all representations of the sequence \( x \), it is seen that \( \|T_m(x)\|_Y \leq \|B\|\|x\| \), by the definition of n.p. product. For all \( m \), the operator \( T_m \) is independent of the representation of the sequence \( x \). Indeed, let us assume \( x = x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n = x'_1 \odot \ldots \odot x'_n \), then it is seen that
\[
T_m(x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n) = \lim_{m \to \infty} T_m(x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n)
\]
\[
= \lim_{m \to \infty} B(x_1 \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}, \ldots, x_n \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}})
\]
\[
= B(\lim_{m \to \infty} x_1 \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}, \ldots, \lim_{m \to \infty} x_n \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}})
\]
\[
= B(x_1, \ldots, x_n).
\]

On the other hand, the set of operators \( \{T_m\}_{m=1}^\infty \) is pointwise convergent for each \( x = x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n \in \ell^r \). By the separate continuity of the multilinear map \( B \), this is seen as follows;
\[
\lim_{m \to \infty} T_m(x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n) = \lim_{m \to \infty} B_m(x_1, \ldots, x_n)
\]
\[
= \lim_{m \to \infty} B(x_1 \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}, \ldots, x_n \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}})
\]
\[
= B(\lim_{m \to \infty} x_1 \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}}, \ldots, \lim_{m \to \infty} x_n \odot \chi_{\{1, \ldots, m\}})
\]
\[
= B(x_1, \ldots, x_n).
\]

Thus, \( \{T_m(x)\}_{m=1}^\infty \) converges to \( B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) for all \( x \in \ell^r \) such that \( x = x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n \) for the elements \( x_i \in \ell^p \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\). Let us define the pointwise limit \( T(x) = \lim_{m \to \infty} T_m(x) \). It is clear that the limit map \( T \) is well-defined and linear. Besides it is continuous by the uniform boundedness theorem.

Summing up, the linear bounded map \( T : \ell^r \to Y \) defined by \( T(x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n) = B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \) is the desired map.

(2) \implies (3) is obtained by Lemma 2.3, given in [12].

Lastly, let us show (3) implies (1). Consider the sequences \( x_i \in \ell^p \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\) such that \( x_k \odot x_l = 0 \) for some different \( k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). This implies \( x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n = 0 \). Therefore, zero \( \odot \)-preservation is seen by Inequality [2] given in the statement (3).
The above theorem gives an isometry between the spaces $L^n_0(\times_{i=1}^n \ell^{p_i}, Y)$ and $L(\ell^r, Y)$.

**Theorem 4.** The correspondence $B \longleftrightarrow T$ is an onto isometry between the Banach spaces $L^n_0(\times_{i=1}^n \ell^{p_i}, Y)$ and $L(\ell^r, Y)$.

Particularly for $Y = \mathbb{R}$, we get $L^n_0(\times_{i=1}^n \ell^{p_i}) = (\ell^r)^*$.

**Proof.** It is easily seen that the map $L^n_0(\times_{i=1}^n \ell^{p_i}, Y) \to L(\ell^r, Y)$ is linear. Now, let us show the isometry.

$$
\|B\| = \sup_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \times_{i=1}^n B_{\ell^{p_i}}} \|B(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\|_Y \\
= \sup_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \times_{i=1}^n B_{\ell^{p_i}}} \|T(x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n)\|_Y \\
\geq \sup_{x=x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n \in B_{\ell^r}} \|Tx\|_Y = \|T\|.
$$

For the converse inequality:

$$
\|T\| = \sup_{x \in B_{\ell^r}} \|Tx\|_Y = \sup_{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \times_{i=1}^n B_{\ell^{p_i}}} \|B(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\| \leq \|B\|,
$$

where $x_i = \{x^i(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty} = \{|x(k)|^{r/p_i} \text{sgn}(x(k))\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

It is easily seen that the map $B \to T$ is onto, since an $n$-linear map $B_T$ is obtained for every linear map $T$ by defining $T(x) = B(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ for all $x = x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n \in \ell^r$ for the n.p product $\odot : \times_{i=1}^n \ell^{p_i} \to \ell^r$.

**Corollary 5.** As a result of the above isometry, the following isometries are given for particular $p_i$ values.

- $L^n_0(\times_{i=1}^n \ell^p, Y) = L(\ell^{p/n}, Y)$, where $p > n$.
- $L^n_0(\times_{i=1}^n \ell^1, Y) = L(\ell^1, Y)$
- $L^n_0(\times_{i=1}^n \ell^p) = (\ell^{p/n})^* = \ell^{p/(p-n)}$
- $L^n_0(\times_{i=1}^n \ell^1) = (\ell^1)^* = \ell^\infty$.

4. **Compactness and Summability Inquiries for $\odot$-Factorable Maps**

In this section, we investigate compactness and summability for $\odot$-factorable multilinear operator that are based on the classical analysis properties and theorems like Dunford Pettis property, well-known Grothendieck’s theorem or cotype related properties.

4.1. **Compactness of $\odot$-Factorable operators.** By the definition of norm preserving product, it is seen that a $\odot$-factorable multilinear map $B : \times_{i=1}^n \ell^{p_i} \to Y$ is (weakly) compact if and only if the linear operator $T : \ell^r \to Y$ appearing in the factorization is (weakly) compact. Now, we will give more specific compactness implications for $\odot$-factorable maps.
Corollary 6. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{r}$ for $1 \leq r, p_i < \infty$ and $i = 1, \ldots, n$. For a $\circ$-factorable multilinear operator $B : \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} \rightarrow Y$, we have the following compactness results;

1) For $r > 1$, the map $B$ is weakly compact.
2) If $r = 1$ and $Y$ is reflexive, then the map $B$ is compact.
3) For $1 \leq s < r < \infty$ and $Y = \ell^s$, the map $B$ is compact.

Proof. (1) This is easily seen by the weakly compactness of the factorization operator $T : \ell^r \rightarrow Y$ which is defined on the reflexive space $\ell^r$.

(2) $B$ factors through the linear map $T : \ell^r \rightarrow Y$ that is weakly compact due to reflexivity of the space $Y$. In addition, $T$ – hence $B$ – is compact by the Dunford-Pettis property of the space $\ell^1$.

(3) Since the linear operator $T : \ell^r \rightarrow \ell^s$ is compact whenever $1 \leq s < r < \infty$ by the Pitt’s theorem, the map $B$ is so also (see [9, Chapter 12]).

Corollary 7. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ for $1 \leq p_i < \infty$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) and let $B : \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} \rightarrow Y$ be a $\circ$-factorable multilinear operator. For a set $A \subset \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i}$, $B(A)$ is norm compact if $\{x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n : (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \ell^{p_1} \times \ldots \times \ell^{p_n}\}$ is weakly compact.

Proof. The $\circ$-factorable multilinear operator $B$ factors through a linear map $T : \ell^1 \rightarrow Y$. Since $\circ(A)$ is weakly compact, $B(A) = T \circ \circ(A)$ is weakly compact. Hence it is compact by the Dunford-Pettis property of $\ell^1$.

4.2. Summability Properties of $\circ$-Factorable Operators. Now, let us look at the summability properties of $\circ$-factorable maps.

Theorem 8. Let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ for $1 \leq p_i < \infty$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$). The followings imply each other for a Hilbert-space valued multilinear map $B : \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} \rightarrow H$.

i) The map $B$ is $\circ$-factorable,

ii) There is a constant $k > 0$ such that for every finite sets $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\} \subset \ell^{p_i} (i = 1, \ldots, n)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\|B(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^m)\right\|_H \leq k \sup_{z \in B_{\ell^\infty}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left|\langle x_j^1 \odot \ldots \odot x_j^m, z' \rangle\right|,

$$

iii) For all $x_i \in \ell^{p_i} (i = 1, \ldots, n)$ there is a regular Borel measure $\eta$ over $B_{\ell^\infty}$ such that

$$\|B(x_1, \ldots, x_n)\|_H \leq K \int_{B_{\ell^{\infty}}} |\langle x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n, z' \rangle| \, d\eta(z').$$

Besides, $B$ factors through a completely continuous linear operator due to the Dunford-Pettis property of the space $\ell^1$ whenever one of the above holds.

Proof. i) $\Rightarrow$ ii) Since the map $B$ is $\circ$-factorable, it factors through the linear map $T : \ell^1 \rightarrow H$. Since $L(\ell^1, H) = \Pi_1(\ell^1, H)$ by a result of the Grothendieck’s Theorem,
we obtain $T$ is a 1-summing operator and thus, $B$ satisfies the inequality given in statement (ii).

ii) $\Rightarrow$ iii) The integral domination given in the third statement is clearly obtained by Pietsch Domination Theorem (see [9, Theorem 2.12]).

iii) $\Rightarrow$ i) If the map $B$ has the integral domination then it is seen that $B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ whenever $x_k \otimes x_l = 0$ for some different $k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Thus, $B$ is zero $\odot$-preserving and it is $\odot$-factorable by the main theorem of the paper. $\square$

We obtain a weaker result by considering some cotype-related properties. It is known that cotype 2 for a Banach space implies the Orlicz property (see [8, Section 8.9]). Assume that $Y$ has Orlicz property and let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = 1$ for $1 \leq p_i < \infty$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The following domination inequality holds for an $n$-linear $\odot$-factorable map $B : \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} \rightarrow Y$

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\| B(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n) \right\|_{Y}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sup_{\varepsilon_j = \{1, -1\}} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon_j x_j^1 \odot \ldots \odot x_j^n \right\|$$

for all finite sets $\{ x_1^i, \ldots, x_m^i \} \subset \ell^{p_i}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$).

Lastly, we will give some results for $\odot$-factorable maps that are $\ell^p$-space valued. We will use Littlewood inequality that states $L(\ell^{1}, \ell^{4/3}) = \Pi_{4/3, 1}(\ell^1, \ell^{4/3})$ (see [8, Section 34.12]): if $B$ is defined on $\times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i}$ to $\ell^{4/3}$, then

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left\| B(x_j^1, \ldots, x_j^n) \right\|_{4/3} \right)^{3/4} \leq k \sup_{z \in B_{\ell^{4/3}}} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left( x_j^1 \odot \ldots \odot x_j^n, z \right) \right|$$

for all finite sets $\{ x_j^i \}_{j=1}^{m} \subset \ell^{p_i}$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$).

5. A Generalization of the $\odot$-Factorable Operators

Let $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{r}$ for $1 \leq r, p_i < \infty$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$). Consider $n$ Banach spaces $X_i$ ($i = 1, \ldots, n$) that are isomorphic to $\ell^{p_i}$ by the isomorphisms $P_i : X_i \rightarrow \ell^{p_i}$. Let us define the product $\odot_{\times_{i=1}^{n} P_i} : \times_{i=1}^{n} X_i \rightarrow \ell^r$ by

$$\odot_{\times_{i=1}^{n} P_i} (f_1, \ldots, f_n) = P_1(f_1) \odot \ldots \odot P_n(f_n), \hspace{0.5cm} f_i \in X_i.$$

This product can be illustrated by the following diagram;
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We will call a multilinear map $B : \times_{i=1}^{n} X_i \rightarrow Y$ is zero $\odot_{\times_{i=1}^{n} P_i}$-preserving if $B(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = 0$ whenever $\odot_{\times_{i=1}^{n} P_i} (f_k, f_l) = P_k(f_k) \odot P_l(f_l) = 0$ for some $k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $k \neq l$. 
Theorem 9. Let \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{r} \) for \( 1 \leq r, p_i < \infty \) \((i = 1, \ldots, n)\). Consider the Banach spaces \( X_i (i = 1, \ldots, n) \) that are isomorphic to \( \ell^{p_i} \) by means of the isomorphisms \( P_i : X_i \to \ell^{p_i} \). For an \( n \)-linear map \( B : \times_{i=1}^{n} X_i \to Y \), the following statements are equivalent.

1. The operator \( B \) is zero \( \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \)-preserving.
2. The map \( B \) is \( \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \)-factorable. That is, there is a linear operator \( T : \ell^r \to Y \) such that \( \overline{B} := T \circ \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \).
3. There exists a \( K > 0 \) such that the inequality below holds for every finite sets \( \{f_1, \ldots, f_n\} \subset X_i (i = 1, \ldots, n)\):
\[
\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} B(f_1, \ldots, f_j) \right\|_Y \leq K \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} P_1(f_1) \odot \ldots \odot P_n(f_n) \right\|_r.
\]

If one of the above is satisfied, then \( B \) admits the following factorization:

\[
\xymatrix{ \times_{i=1}^{n} X_i \ar[r]^{B} & Y \ar@{..>}[d]^T \ar@{..>}[r]^\ell^r & }.
\]

Proof. (1) \( \Rightarrow \) (2) Let us assume that \( B \) is zero \( \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \)-preserving and define the map \( \overline{B} = B \circ \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{-1} : \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} \to Y \). For the sequences \( x_i \in \ell^{p_i} (i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}) \), it is seen that \( \overline{B}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = B \circ \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{-1}(P_i(x_1), \ldots, P_i(x_n)) \), where \( P_i(x_i) = x_i \) for \( f_i \in X_i \). Since \( B \) is zero \( \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \)-preserving, it is obtained that \( \overline{B}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = B(f_1, \ldots, f_n) = 0 \) whenever \( x_k \odot x_l = P_k(f_k) \odot P_l(f_l) = 0 \) for some \( k, l \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \).

This shows zero \( \odot \)-preservation of the map \( \overline{B} \) and therefore \( \overline{B} \) is \( \odot \)-factorable by Theorem 3. So we have that there is a linear operator \( T : \ell^r \to Y \) such that \( \overline{B} = T \circ \odot \circ \). By the definition of \( \overline{B} \), we obtain \( B = \overline{B} \circ \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i = T \circ \odot \circ \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i = T \circ \odot \circ \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \), the desired factorization.

(2) \( \Rightarrow \) (3) If the map \( B \) is \( \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \)-factorable then the map \( \overline{B} = B \circ \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{-1} : \times_{i=1}^{n} \ell^{p_i} \to Y \) is \( \odot \)-factorable. Indeed, for the \( \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \)-factorable map \( B \), there is a linear operator \( T : \ell^r \to Y \) such that \( B := T \circ \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \). Thus, \( \overline{B} = T \circ \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \circ \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{-1} \). For the elements \( f_i \in X_i \) that are \( P_i(f_i) = x_i \in \ell^{p_i} \), we get
\[
\overline{B}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = T \circ \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i \circ \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i^{-1}(x_1, \ldots, x_n)
\]
\[
= T \circ \odot \times_{i=1}^{n} P_i (P_i^{-1}(x_1), \ldots, P_i^{-1}(x_n))
\]
\[
= T(P_1 P_1^{-1}(x_1) \odot \ldots \odot P_n P_n^{-1}(x_n))
\]
\[
= T(x_1 \circ \ldots \circ x_n).
\]

This shows, \( \overline{B} \) is \( \odot \)-factorable. By Lemma 2.3 given in [12] and Theorem 3 the inequality given in the statement (3) is obtained.
(3) ⇒ (1) It is clear that $B$ is zero $\odot_{k=1}^{n} P_i -$preserving under the assumption of the statement (3).

6. Application: Representation As n-homogeneous Polynomial

Recall that an $n$-linear map $B : \times^n X \rightarrow Y$ is called symmetric if
$$B(x_1,...,x_n) = B(x_{\sigma(1)},...,x_{\sigma(n)}) \quad (x_1,...,x_n \in X)$$
for any permutation $\sigma$ of the first $n$ natural numbers. $\mathcal{L}_n^n(\times^n X,Y)$ denotes the space of symmetric multilinear operators defined on $X$ to $Y$.

**Remark 10.** Let $p \geq n$. It is easily seen that any $\odot$-factorable $n$-linear map $B : \times^n \ell^p \rightarrow Y$ is symmetric. Indeed, the map $B$ factors through the linear map $T : \ell^p/n \rightarrow Y$ and thus
$$B(x_1,...,x_n) = T(x_1 \odot ... \odot x_n) = T(x_{\sigma(1)} \odot ... \odot x_{\sigma(n)}) = B(x_{\sigma(1)},...,x_{\sigma(n)})$$
for all $x_1,...,x_n \in \ell^p$ by the commutativity of the product $\odot$.

In addition to this, a symmetry is obtained for the general version. Let $X$ be isomorphic to the space $\ell^p$ by the isomorphism $P : X \rightarrow \ell^p$. Then any $\odot_{x \in X} -$factorable $n$-linear map $B : \times^n X \rightarrow Y$ is symmetric.

Therefore, the following inclusions hold:
- $\mathcal{L}_0^n(\times^n \ell^p, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n^n(\times^n \ell^p, Y)$,
- $\mathcal{L}_0^n(\times^n X, Y) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_n^n(\times^n X, Y)$ if $X \cong \ell^p$.

We will give a counterexample to show that the symmetry does not imply zero $\odot$-preservation. Consider a bilinear map $B : \ell^p \times \ell^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $B(x_1,x_2) = \sum_{k=1}^{5} x^1(k) \cdot x^2(k)$. It is seen that $B$ is symmetric. For the sequences $x_1 = (1,1,0,1,0,-1,-1,0,0,...)$ and $x_2 = (1,1,1,0,1,1,1,0,0,...)$ in $\ell^p$, it is obtained that $x_1 \odot x_2 = 0$ but $B(x_1,x_2) = 2$, thus $B$ is not zero $\odot$-preserving.

A map $P : X \rightarrow Y$ is called $n$-homogeneous polynomial if it is associated with an $n$-linear symmetric map $B : \times^n X \rightarrow Y$ such that $P(x) = B(x,...,x)$ for all $x \in X$. The class of $n$-homogeneous polynomials is a Banach space under the norm $\| P \| = \sup_{\| x \|_1 = 1} \| P(x) \|$. It will be denoted by $\mathcal{P}(\times^n X,Y)$. We refer the book [10] for more information about polynomials.

An $n$-homogeneous polynomial defined on the Banach algebra $X$ is called orthogonally additive if $P(x+y) = P(x) + P(y)$ whenever $xy = 0$ for $x,y \in X$. Similarly we will call an $n$-homogeneous polynomial defined on the Banach space $X$ orthogonally additive if $P(x+y) = P(x) + P(y)$ whenever $x \odot y = 0$ for $x,y \in X$ and an n.p. product $\odot$. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_0(\times^n X,Y)$ the space of $n$-homogeneous orthogonally additive polynomials from $X$ to $Y$. We will write $\mathcal{P}_0(\times^n X) = Y = \mathbb{R}$.

The Banach space of $n$-homogeneous orthogonally additive polynomials is closely related to the zero product preserving $n$-linear operators and several papers can be found in this direction in the literature (see [9][5][12][15][16] and references therein).

Now we will give a generalization of the isomorphisms between orthogonally additive $n$-homogeneous polynomial forms and sequences given in the papers [15] and [16].
Theorem 11. Let $1 \leq n \leq p < \infty$. There is an onto isometry between the spaces $L(p^n, Y)$ and $\mathcal{P}_0(n^p, Y)$. Particularly, $\mathcal{P}_0(n^p) = (\ell^p(n))^*$ for a scalar field range.

Proof. Consider a linear continuous operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p(n, Y))$. It is seen that $T$ gives a $\ominus$-factorable $n$-linear map $B_T : \times^n \ell^p \to Y$ defined by $T(x) = T(x_1 \ominus \cdots \ominus x_n) = B(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ for all $x_i \in \ell^p (i = 1, \ldots, n)$ such that $x_1 \ominus \cdots \ominus x_n = x \in \ell^p(n)$. Due to the symmetry of the $\ominus$-factorable map $B_T$, an $n$-homogeneous polynomial $P_{B_T} : \ell^p \to Y$ is obtained such that it is orthogonally additive. Indeed, for all $x, y \in \ell^p$

$$P_{B_T}(x + y) = B_T(x + y, \ldots, x + y)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B_T(x, \ldots, x, n-k, y)$$

$$= B_T(x, \ldots, x) + B_T(y, \ldots, y)$$

$$= P_{B_T}(x) + P_{B_T}(y).$$

whenever $x \ominus y = 0$, thus $P_{B_T}$ is orthogonally additive. Thus the linear correspondence $T \to P_{B_T}$ defines an orthogonally additive $n$-homogeneous polynomial $P_{B_T}$ for every $T$ by $T(x^n) = P_{B_T}(x)$, where $x^n = x \ominus \cdots \ominus x$. Let us show the isometry now.

$$\|T\| = \sup_{\|x\|_{\ell^p(n)} \leq 1} \|Tx\| = \sup_{\|x^n\|_{\ell^p} \leq 1} \|P(x^{1/n})\| = \sup_{\|y\|_{\ell^p} \leq 1} \|P(y)\| = \|P\|.$$

For the surjectivity, let us consider an orthogonally additive $n$-homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathcal{P}_0(n, \ell^p, Y)$. This polynomial defines a $1$-homogeneous map $T(x) = P(x^{1/n})$ for all $x = \{x(k)\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \in \ell^p(n)$ where $x^{1/n} = \{ |x(k)|^{1/n} \text{sign}(x(k)) \}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that $x = \{ |x(k)|^{1/n} \text{sign}(x(k)) \cdot \cdots \cdot |x(k)|^{1/n} \text{sign}(x(k)) \}_{k=1}^{\infty} = x^{1/n} \ominus \cdots \ominus x^{1/n} \in \ell^p(n)$.

The map $T$ is linear. Indeed, to see this consider the sequences $x'_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x^{1/n} \cdot \chi_{\{k_1\}}$ and $x'_2 = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x^{2/n} \cdot \chi_{\{k_1\}}$ defined by the sequences $x_1, x_2 \in \ell^p(n)$.

Since $(x'_1 + x'_2)^{1/n} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (x^{1/k} + x^{2/k})^{1/n} \cdot \chi_{\{k_1\}}$, by using the $n$-homogeneity and orthogonally additivity of the polynomial $P$, we get that

$$T(x'_1 + x'_2) = P((x'_1 + x'_2)^{1/n}) = P(\sum_{k=1}^{m} (x^{1/k} + x^{2/k})^{1/n} \cdot \chi_{\{k_1\}})$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{m} P((x^{1/k} + x^{2/k})^{1/n} \cdot \chi_{\{k_1\}}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (x^{1/k} + x^{2/k}) P(\chi_{\{k_1\}})$$

$$= P(\sum_{k=1}^{m} (x^{1/k})^{1/n} \cdot \chi_{\{k_1\}}) + P(\sum_{k=1}^{m} (x^{2/k})^{1/n} \cdot \chi_{\{k_1\}})$$

$$= P((x'_1)^{1/n}) + P((x'_2)^{1/n}) = T(x'_1) + T(x'_2).$$
Since \( x_1 = \lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m} x^1(k) \cdot \chi_{\{k\}} \) and \( x_2 = \lim_{m \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{m} x^2(k) \cdot \chi_{\{k\}} \), it is obtained that

\[
T(x_1 + x_2) = T\left( \lim_{m \to \infty} x'_1 + \lim_{m \to \infty} x'_2 \right) \\
= \lim_{m \to \infty} T(x'_1 + x'_2) = \lim_{m \to \infty} (T(x'_1) + T(x'_2)) \\
= T(x_1 + x_2).
\]

Thus, every orthogonally additive \( n \)-homogeneous polynomial \( P \) defines a linear map \( T \in \mathcal{L}(\ell^p/\ell^n, Y) \). We can illustrate this isometry by the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\xymatrix{
\ell^p \ar[rr]^P \ar[dr]_{\Delta_n} & & Y, \\
\times^n\ell^p \ar[ru]^{\circ T} & & \ell^n/\ell^n
}
\end{array}
\]

where \( \Delta_n \) is the canonical embedding called diagonal mapping from \( \ell^p \) to \( \times^n\ell^p \) used to define the \( n \)-homogeneous polynomials.

Particularly, every \( n \)-homogeneous polynomial form \( P \) in \( \mathcal{P}_0^n(\ell^p) \) is represented by a sequence in the space \( \ell^n(\ell^{p-n}) \).

**Corollary 12.** \( \mathcal{L}(\ell^1, Y) = \mathcal{P}_0^n(\ell^n, Y) \) and every orthogonally additive \( n \)-homogenous polynomial \( P : \ell^n \to \mathbb{R} \) is represented by a bounded scalar valued sequence.

From Corollary 5, Theorem 11 and Corollary 12, we get the following isometries;

\[
\begin{align*}
\ast & \mathcal{L}_0^n(\times^n\ell^p, Y) = \mathcal{P}_0^n(\ell^p, Y), \text{ where } p \geq n. \\
\ast & \mathcal{L}_0^n(\times^n\ell^n, Y) = \mathcal{P}_0^n(\ell^n, Y) \\
\ast & \mathcal{L}_0^n(\times^n\ell^p) = \mathcal{P}_0^n(\ell^p) \\
\ast & \mathcal{L}_0^n(\times^n\ell^n) = \mathcal{P}_0^n(\ell^n).
\end{align*}
\]

We can give some isomorphisms for the \( \circ \times^p \)-factorable maps as follows;

**Corollary 13.** Let \( 1 \leq n \leq p < \infty \) and \( P : E \to \ell^p \) is an isomorphism. There is an isomorphism between the spaces \( \mathcal{L}(\ell^p/\ell^n, Y) \) and \( \mathcal{P}_0^n(E, Y) \). Particularly, \( \mathcal{P}_0^n(E) = (\ell^p/\ell^n)^* \) for a scalar field range.

Let us finish the paper with an example.

**Example 14.** Let \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{p_i} = \frac{1}{r} \) for \( 1 \leq r, p_i < \infty \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \). Recall that a multilinear form \( B : \times^n_{i=1} \ell^{p_i} \to \mathbb{C} \) defined by \( B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \alpha_k \cdot x^1_k \cdots x^n_k \) is called diagonal operator, where \( \{\alpha_k\} \) is a bounded sequence. Clearly, it is seen by the definition that \( B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = 0 \) whenever \( x_k \odot x_l = 0 \) for some \( k, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \).

Therefore, it is zero product preserving and there is a linear form \( T : \ell^r \to \mathbb{C} \) such that \( B(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = T(x) \), where \( x_1 \odot \ldots \odot x_n = x \). Besides, if we consider \( p_i = \ldots = p_n = p \), then we obtain that the zero product preserving map \( B : \times^n\ell^p \to \mathbb{C} \),
has a factorization through the linear form $T : \ell^p/n \to \mathbb{C}$. Since this gives the symmetry of the form $B : \times^n\ell^p \to \mathbb{C}$, we get the diagonal map $B$ is associated with an orthogonally additive $n$-homogeneous diagonal polynomial form $P : \ell^p \to \mathbb{C}$.
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