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#### Abstract

The object of the present paper is to study the Riemannian solitons on $(L C S)_{n}$ manifolds and we observed in this case the Riemann soliton on $M$ is shrinking, steady or expanding according to $\alpha^{2}-\rho$ being positive, zero or negative respectively. Here also we discussed the Riemann solitons in $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold admitting (i) $R \cdot C=0, R \cdot K=0$, (ii) $E \cdot C=0, E \cdot K=0$, (iii) $R \cdot R=0, R \cdot P=0, R \cdot E=0, R \cdot P^{*}=0, R \cdot \mathcal{M}=0$, $R \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}=0, R \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}^{*}=0$, (iv) $E \cdot R=0, E \cdot P=0, E \cdot E=0, E \cdot P^{*}=0, E \cdot \mathcal{M}=0$, $E \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}=0$ and $E \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}^{*}=0$.( for all $i=1,2, \ldots .9$ ). We found that the Riemann soliton on $M$ is shrinking, steady or expanding according to the conditions (i) $\alpha^{2}-\rho$ being positive, zero or negative respectively, (ii) $\left[k(n-1)(n-2)\left(1+\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-k r-r\right]$ being positive, zero or negative respectively and (iv) $\alpha^{2}-\rho$ being negative, zero or positive. But for the condition (iii) the Riemann soliton on $M$ is always steady.


## 1. Introduction

In 1982, R. S. Hamilton [1] first introduced the notion of Ricci flow. This concept generalized to the idea of Riemann flow ( [2], [3]). Keeping the tune with Ricci soliton, Hirica and Udriste [4] introduced and discussed Riemann soliton. Recently various geometer like Venkatesha, Devaraja and Aruna ( [5] and [6]) have studied Riemann soliton. The Ricci flow is an evolution equation for metrics on a Riemannian manifold defined ( [2], [3]) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} G(t)=-2 R(g(t)), \quad t \in[0, I] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G=\frac{1}{2} g \circledast g, \circledast$ is the Kularni-Nomizu product and $R$ is the Riemann curvature tensor associated to the metric $g$. For $(0,2)$-tensors $\alpha$ and $\beta$, the kKulkarni-Nomizu product $(\alpha \circledast \beta)$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
(\alpha \circledast \beta)(Y, U, V, Z)= & \alpha(Y, V) \beta(U, Z)+\alpha(U, Z) \beta(Y, V) \\
& -\alpha(Y, Z) \beta(U, V)-\alpha(U, V) \beta(Y, Z) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The authors Stepanov and Tsyganok [7] characterize the Riemann soliton in terms of infinitesimal harmonic transformation. The Riemann soliton is a smooth manifold $M$ together with Riemannian metric $g$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 R+\lambda(g \circledast g)+\left(g \circledast £_{W} g\right)=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $W$ is a potential vector field, $£_{V}$ denotes the Lie-derivative along the vector field $W$ and $\lambda$ is a constant. The Riemann soliton also corresponds to the Riemann flow as a fixed point, and on the space of Riemannian

[^0]metric modulo diffeomorphism they can be seen as a dynamic system. A Riemann soliton is called expanding, steady and shrinking when $\lambda>0, \lambda=0$ and $\lambda<0$ respectively.

Throughout our manuscript, we denote by $Q, S$ and $r$ the Ricci operator, the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature, respectively.

Definition 1 Let $T$ and $D$ be two tensors of type (0, 4). A Riemannian (or semi-Riemannian) manifold is said to be $D$-semisymmetric type if $T(X, Y) \cdot D=0$ for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, the set all vector fields of the manifold $M$ where $T(X, Y)$ acts on $D$ as derivation of tensor algebra. The above condition is often written as $T \cdot D=0$. Especially, if we consider $T=D=R$, then the manifold is called semisymmetric [8]. Details about the semisymmetry and other conditions of semisymmetry type are available in : [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14] and also references therein.

In 2013, Kundu and Shaikh [15] investigated the equivalency of various geometric structures. They have established the following conditions:
i) $R \cdot C=0$ and $R \cdot K=0$ are equivalent and named such a class by $C_{1}$;
ii) $E \cdot C=0$ and $E \cdot K=0$ are equivalent and named such a class by $C_{2}$,
iii) $R \cdot R=0, R \cdot P=0, R \cdot E=0, R \cdot P^{*}=0, R \cdot \mathcal{M}=0, R \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}=0$ and $R \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}^{*}=0$ (for all $i=1,2, \ldots, 9$ ) are equivalent and named such a class by $C_{3}$;
iv) $E \cdot R=0, E \cdot P=0, E \cdot E=0, E \cdot P^{*}=0, E \cdot \mathcal{M}=0, E \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}=0$ and $E \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}^{*}=0$ (for all $i=1,2, \ldots, 9$ ) are equivalent and named such a class by $C_{4}$; where the concircular curvature tensor $E$ [16], conformal curvature tensor $C$ [17], conharmonic curvature tensor $K$ [18], projective curvature tensor $P$ [16], M-projective curvature tensor $\mathcal{M}$ [19], $\mathcal{W}_{i}$-curvature tensor, $i=1,2, \ldots, 9$ ([19], [20], [21]) and $\mathcal{W}_{i}^{*}$-curvature tensor, $i=1,2, \ldots, 9$ [19] are defined respectively by

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{r}{n(n-1)}\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} V_{1}\right),  \tag{4}\\
& C\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{n-2}\left[\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} Q V_{1}\right)+\left(Q U_{1} \wedge_{g} V_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{r}{(n-1)}\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{5}\\
& K\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{n-2}\left[\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} Q V_{1}\right)+\left(Q U_{1} \wedge_{g} V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{6}\\
& P\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{n-1}\left(U_{1} \wedge_{S} V_{1}\right),  \tag{7}\\
& \mathcal{M}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2(n-1)}\left[\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} Q V_{1}\right)+\left(Q U_{1} \wedge_{g} V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{8}\\
& \mathcal{W}_{0}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} Q V_{1}\right),  \tag{9}\\
& \mathcal{W}_{0}^{*}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} Q V_{1}\right),  \tag{10}\\
& \mathcal{W}_{1}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(U_{1} \wedge_{S} V_{1}\right),  \tag{11}\\
& \mathcal{W}_{1}^{*}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(U_{1} \wedge_{S} V_{1}\right) \text {, }  \tag{12}\\
& \mathcal{W}_{2}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[\left(Q U_{1} \wedge_{g} V_{1}\right)\right.  \tag{13}\\
& \mathcal{W}_{2}^{*}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[\left(Q U_{1} \wedge_{g} V_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} Q V_{1}\right)-\left(U_{1} \wedge_{S} V_{1}\right)\right], \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{W}_{3}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) & =R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(V_{1} \wedge_{g} Q U_{1}\right),  \tag{15}\\
\mathcal{W}_{3}^{*}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) & =R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left(V_{1} \wedge_{g} Q U_{1}\right),  \tag{16}\\
\mathcal{W}_{5}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) & =R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} Q V_{1}\right)-\left(U_{1} \wedge_{S} V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{17}\\
\mathcal{W}_{5}^{*}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) & =R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[\left(U_{1} \wedge_{g} Q V_{1}\right)-\left(U_{1} \wedge_{S} V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{18}\\
\mathcal{W}_{7}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) & =R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[\left(Q U_{1} \wedge_{g} V_{1}\right)-\left(U_{1} \wedge_{S} V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{19}\\
\mathcal{W}_{7}^{*}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) & =R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[\left(Q U_{1} \wedge_{g} V_{1}\right)-\left(U_{1} \wedge_{S} V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{20}\\
\mathcal{W}_{4}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1} & =R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}-\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) Q V_{1}-g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Q Z_{1}\right],  \tag{21}\\
\mathcal{W}_{4}^{*}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1} & =R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}+\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) Q V_{1}-g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Q Z_{1}\right],  \tag{22}\\
\mathcal{W}_{6}\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1} & =R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}-\frac{1}{(n-1)}\left[S\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) U_{1}-g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Q Z_{1}\right], \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{1} \wedge_{B} V_{1}\right) Z_{1}=B\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) U_{1}-B\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) V_{1} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The present paper is structured as follows. After introduction, in Section 2, we briefly recall some known results for $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds. In section 3, we discussed Riemann solitons in $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds and we obtained in this case the Riemann soliton on $M$ is shrinking, steady or expanding according to $\alpha^{2}-\rho$ being positive, zero or negative respectively. Here also we studied the Riemann solitons $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold admitting $R \cdot C=0$, $R \cdot K=0, E \cdot C=0, E \cdot K=0, R \cdot R=0, R \cdot P=0, R \cdot E=0, R \cdot P^{*}=0, R \cdot \mathcal{M}=0, R \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}=0$, $R \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}^{*}=0, E \cdot R=0, E \cdot P=0, E \cdot E=0, E \cdot P^{*}=0, E \cdot \mathcal{M}=0, E \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}=0$ and $E \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}^{*}=0$.

## 2. Properties of $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold

Let $\left(M^{n}, g\right)$ be a Lorentzian manifold admitting a unit timelike concircular vector field $\xi$, called the characteristic vector field of the manifold. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\xi, \xi)=-1 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\xi$ is a unit concircular vector field, there exists a non-zero 1-form $\eta$ such that for

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(U_{1}, \xi\right)=\eta\left(U_{1}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

the equation of the following form holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{U_{1}} \eta\right) V_{1}=\alpha\left[g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+\eta\left(U_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)\right] \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all vector fields $U_{1}, V_{1}$, where $\nabla$ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric $g$ and $\alpha$ is a non-zero scalar function, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U_{1}} \alpha=\left(U_{1} \alpha\right)=d \alpha\left(U_{1}\right)=\rho \eta\left(U_{1}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\rho$ being a certain scalar function. If we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi U_{1}=\frac{1}{\alpha} \nabla_{U_{1}} \xi \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

then from (27) and (29) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi U_{1}=U_{1}+\eta\left(U_{1}\right) \xi \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which it follows that $\phi$ is a symmetric $(1,1)$ tensor field. Thus the Lorentzian manifold $M^{n}$ together with the unit timelike concircular vector field $\xi$, its associated 1 -form $\eta$ and the $(1,1)$ tensor field $\phi$ is said to be a Lorentzian concircular structure manifold, in brief, $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold. In an $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold, the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\eta(\xi)=-1, \phi \circ \xi=0  \tag{31}\\
\eta\left(\phi U_{1}\right)=0, g\left(\phi U_{1}, \phi V_{1}\right)=g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+\eta\left(U_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)  \tag{32}\\
\eta\left(R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}\right)=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\left[g\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(U_{1}\right)-g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)\right]  \tag{33}\\
R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) \xi=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\left[\eta\left(V_{1}\right) U_{1}-\eta\left(U_{1}\right) V_{1}\right]  \tag{34}\\
R\left(\xi, U_{1}\right) V_{1}=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\left[g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) \xi-\eta\left(V_{1}\right) U_{1}\right]  \tag{35}\\
S\left(U_{1}, \xi\right)=(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \eta\left(U_{1}\right) \tag{36}
\end{gather*}
$$

for any vector fields $U_{1}, V_{1}, Z_{1}$.
In view of (33), from (4), (5), (6), (7) and (24) one can easily bring out the followings:

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(C\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& =\eta\left(C\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}\right) \\
& =\left[\frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}+\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\right]\left[g\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(U_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\quad g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)\right]-\frac{1}{n-2}\left[S\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(U_{1}\right)-S\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{37}\\
& g\left(K\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& \left.=\eta\left(K\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}\right]\left[g\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(U_{1}\right)-g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{n-2}\left[S\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(U_{1}\right)-S\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{38}\\
& g\left(E\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& =\eta\left(E\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}\right) \\
& =\left[\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-\frac{r}{n(n-1)}\right]\left[g\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(U_{1}\right)-g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)\right],  \tag{39}\\
& g\left(P\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& =\eta\left(P\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}\right) \\
& =\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\left[g\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(U_{1}\right)-g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)\right] \\
& -\frac{1}{n-1}\left[S\left(V_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(U_{1}\right)-S\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right)\right] . \tag{40}
\end{align*}
$$

Definition 2 An n-dimensional (LCS $)_{n}$-manifold is said to be an $\eta$-Einstein manifold if the Ricci curvature tensor $S$ is of the form

$$
S=a g+b \eta \otimes \eta
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are smooth functions on $M^{n}$ and $\eta$ is a 1-form.
In particular, if $b=0$, then $M^{n}$ is said to be an Einstein manifold.

## 3. Riemann solitons admitting semi-symmetric strucres in $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds

In this section we consider a $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $\left(M^{n}, g, \phi, \xi, \eta\right)$ admits an Riemann soliton. Then taking account (2), into (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \\
= & 2 R\left(Y_{1}, U_{1}, V_{1}, Z_{1}\right)+2 \lambda\left[g\left(Y_{1}, V_{1}\right) g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right)-g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)\right] \\
& +\left[g\left(Y_{1}, V_{1}\right) £_{\xi} g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right)+g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) £_{\xi} g\left(Y_{1}, V_{1}\right)-g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) £_{\xi} g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) £_{\xi} g\left(Y_{1}, Z(4)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we express the Lie derivative along $\xi$ on $M$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(£_{\xi} g\right)\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) \\
= & £_{\xi}\left(g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)\right)-g\left(£_{\xi} U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-g\left(U_{1}, £_{\xi} V_{1}\right) \\
= & £_{\xi} g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)-g\left(\left[\xi, U_{1}\right], V_{1}\right)-g\left(U_{1},\left[\xi, V_{1}\right]\right) . \tag{42}
\end{align*}
$$

Now using (29) in the foregoing equation we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(£_{\xi} g\right)\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)=2 \alpha g\left(\phi U_{1}, V_{1}\right) . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (43) into (41), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \\
= & 2 R\left(Y_{1}, U_{1}, V_{1}, Z_{1}\right)+2 \lambda\left[g\left(Y_{1}, V_{1}\right) g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right)-g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)\right] \\
& +\left[2 \alpha g\left(\phi U_{1}, Z_{1}\right) g\left(Y_{1}, V_{1}\right)+2 \alpha g\left(\phi Y_{1}, V_{1}\right) g\left(U_{1}, Z_{1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2 \alpha g\left(\phi U_{1}, V_{1}\right) g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)-2 \alpha g\left(\phi Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)\right] \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

By the suitable contraction of (45), we get

$$
=\stackrel{0}{ }=S\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+[\lambda(1-n)+\alpha(2-n)] g\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right)+\alpha(2-n) \eta\left(U_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right) .
$$

Taking $U_{1}=V_{1}=\xi$ in (45), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=-\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus we can state
Theorem 1 If $(g, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ is a Riemann soliton on $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold, then it is shrinking, steady or expanding according to the condition $\alpha^{2}-\rho$ being positive, zero or negative respectively.

### 3.1. Riemann solitons on $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds admitting the class $C_{1}$

Here, we consider $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds admitting the condition

$$
\left(R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) \cdot C\right)\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}=0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(R\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) C\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-g\left(C\left(R\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& -g\left(C\left(X_{1}, R\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-g\left(C\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) R\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)=0 \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $V_{1}=X_{1}=e_{i}$ in (47) and taking the summation over $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(R\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) C\left(e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(C\left(R\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(C\left(e_{i}, R\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(C\left(e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) R\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)=0 \tag{48}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (31)-(36) and (37), (48) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
& (n+1) S\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \\
= & {\left[r+\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)(n-1)(n-2)-(n-1)^{2}\right] g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) } \\
& +\left[r-(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho+n+1\right)\right] \eta\left(Y_{1}\right) \eta\left(Z_{1}\right) . \tag{49}
\end{align*}
$$

In view of (45), (49) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0 \\
= & {\left[r+\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)(n-1)(n-2)-(n-1)^{2}+\lambda(n+1)(1-n)+(n+1) \alpha(2-n)\right] g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) } \\
& +\left[r-(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho+n+1\right)+\alpha(n+1)(2-n)\right] \eta\left(Y_{1}\right) \eta\left(Z_{1}\right) \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $Y_{1}, Z_{1}$ by $\xi$ in (50), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(n+1)=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho+n+1\right)+\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)(n-2)-(n-1)^{2} \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we state the following theorem.
Theorem 2 If $(g, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ is a Riemann soliton on $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $M^{n}$ admitting the class $C_{1}$, then it is shrinking, steady or expanding according to the condition $\left[\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho+n+1\right)+\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)(n-2)-(n-1)^{2}\right]$ being negative, zero or positive respectively.

### 3.2. Riemann solitons on $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds admitting the class $C_{2}$

Here, we consider $(L C S)_{n}$ manifolds admitting the condition

$$
\left(E\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) \cdot K\right)\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}=0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(E\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) K\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-g\left(K\left(E\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& -g\left(K\left(X_{1}, E\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-g\left(K\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) E\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)=0 . \tag{52}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $V_{1}=X_{1}=e_{i}$ in (52) and taking the summation over $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(E\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) K\left(e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(K\left(E\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(K\left(e_{i}, E\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(K\left(e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) E\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)=0 \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (31)-(36) and (38), (39), in (53), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{n-1}{(n-2)} S\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)-\left[\frac{r}{n-2}+k^{2}(n-3)\right] g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \\
= & {\left[k^{2}(n-3)+k(n-1)\left(1+\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-\frac{k r}{n-2}\right] \eta\left(Y_{1}\right) \eta\left(Z_{1}\right), } \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
k=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-\frac{(n-1)}{(n-2)}
$$

In view of (45), (54) takes the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& -\left\{\left[r+k^{2}(n-3)(n-2)\right]+(n-1)[\lambda(1-n)+\alpha(2-n)]\right\} g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \\
= & {\left[k^{2}(n-3)(n-2)+k(n-1)(n-2)\left(1+\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-k r+\alpha(n-1)(2-n)\right] \eta\left(Y_{1}\right) \eta\left(Z_{1}\right) . } \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $Y_{1}, Z_{1}$ by $\xi$ in (55)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda(n-1)^{2} \\
= & -\left[k(n-1)(n-2)\left(1+\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-k r-r\right] \tag{56}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we state the following theorem.

Theorem 3 If $(g, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ is a Riemann soliton on $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $M^{n}$ admitting the class $C_{2}$, then it is shrinking, steady or expanding according to the condition $\left[k(n-1)(n-2)\left(1+\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)-k r-r\right]$ being positive, zero or negative respectively.

### 3.3. Riemann solitons on $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds admitting the class $C_{3}$

Here, we consider $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds admitting the condition

$$
\left(R\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) \cdot R\right)\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}=0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(R\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-g\left(R\left(R\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& -g\left(R\left(X_{1}, R\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-g\left(R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) R\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)=0 \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $V_{1}=X_{1}=e_{i}$ in (57), where $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots, e_{n-1}, e_{n}=\xi\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at each point of the manifold $M^{n}$ and taking the summation over $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(R\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) R\left(e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(R\left(R\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(R\left(e_{i}, R\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(R\left(e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) R\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)=0 \tag{58}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (31)-(36) in (58), finally we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)=-2\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\left[g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)+\eta\left(Y_{1}\right) \eta\left(Z_{1}\right)\right] \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (45), (59) takes the form

$$
=\begin{align*}
& 0 \\
& {\left[\lambda(1-n)+\alpha(2-n)-2\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\right] g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)+\left[\alpha(2-n)-2\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\right] \eta\left(Y_{1}\right) \eta\left(Z_{1}\right) .} \tag{60}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $Y_{1}=Z_{1}=\xi$ in (60), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we state the following theorem.
Theorem $4 \operatorname{If}(g, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ is a Riemann soliton on $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $M^{n}$ admitting the class $C_{3}$, then the Riemann soliton on $M$ is always steady.

### 3.4. Riemann solitons on $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds admitting the class $C_{4}$

Here, we consider $(L C S)_{n}$-manifolds admitting the condition

$$
\left(E\left(U_{1}, V_{1}\right) \cdot R\right)\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}=0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(E\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-g\left(R\left(E\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& -g\left(R\left(X_{1}, E\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-g\left(R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) E\left(\xi, V_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)=0 \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $V_{1}=X_{1}=e_{i}$ in (62) and taking the summation over $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(E\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) R\left(e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(R\left(E\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right) \\
& -\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(R\left(e_{i}, E\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) Y_{1}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} g\left(R\left(e_{i}, Y_{1}\right) E\left(\xi, e_{i}\right) Z_{1}, \xi\right)=0 \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (31)-(36) and (39) in (63), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)=(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right) \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of (45), (64) takes the form

$$
=\begin{align*}
& 0 \\
& =  \tag{65}\\
& {\left[\lambda(1-n)+\alpha(2-n)+(n-1)\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right)\right] g\left(Y_{1}, Z_{1}\right)+\alpha(2-n) \eta\left(U_{1}\right) \eta\left(V_{1}\right) .}
\end{align*}
$$

Replacing $Y_{1}, Z_{1}$ by $\xi$ in (65)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\left(\alpha^{2}-\rho\right) \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we state the following theorems.
Theorem 5 If $(g, \phi, \xi, \eta)$ is a Riemann soliton on $(L C S)_{n}$-manifold $M^{n}$ admitting the class $C_{4}$, then it is shrinking, steady or expanding according to the condition $\alpha^{2}-\rho$ being negative, zero or positive respectively.

## 4. Conclusion

As the semi-symmetric structures (i) $R \cdot C=0$ and $R \cdot K=0$ are equivalent, (ii) $E \cdot C=0$ and $E \cdot K=0$ are equivalent, (iii) $R \cdot R=0, R \cdot P=0, R \cdot E=0, R \cdot P^{*}=0, R \cdot \mathcal{M}=0, R \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}=0$ and $R \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}^{*}=0$ (for all $i=1,2, \ldots, 9$ ) are equivalent, (iv) $E \cdot R=0, E \cdot P=0, E \cdot E=0, E \cdot P^{*}=0, E \cdot \mathcal{M}=0, E \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}=0$ and $E \cdot \mathcal{W}_{i}^{*}=0$ (for all $i=1,2, \ldots, 9$ ) are equivalent, so in a single stroke the properties of Riemann solitons admitting all the semi-symmetric structures have been discussed easily.
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