

RESEARCH ARTICLE

A note on Terai's conjecture concerning primitive Pythagorean triples

Maohua Le¹, Gökhan Soydan^{*2}

¹Institute of Mathematics, Lingnan Normal College, Zhangjiang, Guangdong, 524048 China ²Department of Mathematics, Bursa Uludağ University, Görükle Campus, 16059 Bursa, Turkey

Abstract

Let f, g be positive integers such that f > g, gcd(f,g) = 1 and $f \neq g \pmod{2}$. In 1993, N. Terai conjectured that the equation $x^2 + (f^2 - g^2)^y = (f^2 + g^2)^z$ has only one positive integer solution (x, y, z) = (2fg, 2, 2). This is a problem that has not been solved yet. In this paper, using elementary number theory methods with some known results on higher Diophantine equations, we prove that if $f = 2^r s$ and g = 1, where r, s are positive integers satisfying $2 \nmid s, r \geq 2$ and $s < 2^{r-1}$, then Terai's conjecture is true.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). 11D61

Keywords. polynomial-exponential Diophantine equation, generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation, primitive Pythagorean triple

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{N} be the sets of integers and positive integers, respectively. Let (a, b, c) be a primitive Pythagorean triple with $2 \mid a$. Then we have

$$a = 2fg, \ b = f^2 - g^2, \ c = f^2 + g^2 \ f, g \in \mathbb{N},$$

$$f > g, \ \gcd(f, g) = 1, \ f \neq g \pmod{2}.$$
 (1.1)

Many of the unsolved problems in number theory are related to the properties of such triples. In 1993, N. Terai [11] proposed the following conjecture about the generalized Ramanujan-Nagell equation with primitive Pythagorean triples.

Conjecture 1.1. Let (a, b, c) be a primitive Pythagorean triple with $2 \mid a$. Then the equation

$$x^2 + b^y = c^z \tag{1.2}$$

has only one solution (x, y, z) = (a, 2, 2).

This is a problem that is far from resolved. So far it has only been proved for a few special cases, mainly where b or c is an odd prime power (see Section 2.3 of [7]). In practical terms, Conjecture 1.1 has been verified in the following cases:

(i) (N. Terai [11]) $b \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $b^2 + 1 = 2c$, b and c are odd primes satisfying some conditions.

^{*}Corresponding Author.

Email addresses: lemaohua2008@163.com (M. Le), gsoydan@uludag.edu.tr (G. Soydan)

Received: 16.09.2020; Accepted: 30.01.2021

- (ii) (X.-G. Chen and M.-H. Le [2]) $b \not\equiv 1 \pmod{16}$, $b^2 + 1 = 2c$, b and c are odd primes.
- (iii) (M.-H. Le [5]) $b \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, $b > 8 \cdot 10^6$, c is an odd prime power.
- (iv) (P.-Z. Yuan [12]; P.-Z. Yuan and J.-B. Wang [13]) $b \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}$, c is an odd prime power.
- (v) (M.-H. Le [6]; J.-Y. Hu and H. Zhang [4]) $b \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$, b or c is an odd prime power.

Recently, a survey paper on the conjecture of Terai has been published by G. Soydan, M. Demirci, I.N. Cangül and A. Togbé (see [10] for the details about this conjecture).

By (1.1), (1.2) can be expressed as

$$x^{2} + (f^{2} - g^{2})^{y} = (f^{2} + g^{2})^{z}, \ x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(1.3)

In this paper, using elemantary number theory methods with some known results on higher Diophantine equations, we deal with (1.3) in a case where neither b or c is a prime power. We prove the following result:

Theorem 1.2. If f, g satisfy

$$f = 2^{r}s, \ g = 1, \ r, s \in \mathbb{N}, \ 2 \nmid s, \ r \ge 2, \ s < 2^{r-1},$$
(1.4)

then (1.3) has only one solution $(x, y, z) = (2^{r+1}s, 2, 2)$.

Thus it can be seen that if f, g satisfy (1.4), then Conjecture 1.1 is true.

2. Preliminaries

Let n be a positive integer.

Lemma 2.1 (Theorems 1.75 and 1.76 of [8]). For any complex numbers α and β , we have

$$\alpha^n + \beta^n = \sum_{i=0}^{[n/2]} (-1)^i \begin{bmatrix} n\\ i \end{bmatrix} (\alpha + \beta)^{n-2i} (\alpha\beta)^i.$$

where

$$\begin{bmatrix} n\\i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(n-i-1)!n}{(n-2i)!i!} \in \mathbb{N}, \ i = 0, 1\cdots, [n/2],$$
(2.1)

[n/2] is the integer part of n/2.

Lemma 2.2 (The equality (2.35) of [3]). If $2 \nmid n$, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^{(n-1)/2} (-1)^i \binom{n}{2i} = (-1)^{(n^2-1)/8} 2^{(n-1)/2}.$$

Lemma 2.3 ([9]). Every solution (X, Y, Z) of the equation

$$X^{2} + Y^{2} = Z^{n}, \ X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{N}, \ \gcd(X, Y) = 1, \ 2 \mid Y$$
 (2.2)

can be expressed as

$$Z = u^{2} + v^{2}, \ u, v \in \mathbb{N} \ \gcd(u, v) = 1, \ 2 \mid v,$$
$$X + Y\sqrt{-1} = \lambda_{1}(u + \lambda_{2}v\sqrt{-1})^{n} \ \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in \{1, -1\}$$

Lemma 2.4 ([1]). If $n \ge 4$, then the equation

$$X^4 + Y^2 = Z^n, \ X, Y, Z \in \mathbb{N}, \ \gcd(X, Y) = 1$$
 (2.3)

has no solutions.

Lemma 2.5. Let r, s be positive integers satisfying $2 \nmid s$ and $s < 2^{r-1}$. Then the equation $2^{2r}s^2 + 1 = u^2 + v^2, \ u, v \in \mathbb{N}, \ \gcd(u, v) = 1, \ 2^r \mid v$ (2.4)

has only the solution

$$(u,v) = (1,2^r s). \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. We now assume that (u, v) is a solution of (2.4). Since $2^r \mid v$, we have $2 \nmid u$ and

$$v = 2^r t, \ t \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.6}$$

Substitute (2.6) into (2.4), we get

$$2^{2r}(s^2 - t^2) = u^2 - 1. (2.7)$$

When u = 1, by (2.7), we have t = s. Hence, by (2.6), we get (2.5).

When u > 1, let $\zeta = (-1)^{(u-1)/2}$. Then $u - \zeta$ and $u + \zeta$ are positive integers satisfying

$$u - \zeta \equiv 0 \pmod{4}, \ u + \zeta \equiv 2 \pmod{4}.$$
 (2.8)

Since $u^2 - 1 = (u - \zeta)(u + \zeta)$, by (2.7) and (2.8), we get $u - \zeta \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r-1}}$. So we have

$$u = 2^{2r-1}\ell + \zeta, \ \ell \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$$(2.9)$$

Substitute (2.9) into (2.7), we get

$$s^{2} - t^{2} = \ell(2^{2r-2}\ell + \zeta).$$
(2.10)

Therefore, by (2.10), we have

$$s^{2} - 1 \ge s^{2} - t^{2} \ge 2^{2r-2} + \zeta \ge 2^{2r-2} - 1,$$

whence we get $s \ge 2^{r-1}$, a contradiction. Thus, (2.4) has only the solution (2.5). The lemma is proved.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We now assume that (x, y, z) is a solution of (1.3), and f, g satisfy (1.4). Then we have

$$x^{2} + (2^{2r}s^{2} - 1)^{y} = (2^{2r}s^{2} + 1)^{z}, \ x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.1)

Since $2^{2r}s^2 - 1$ and $2^{2r}s^2 + 1$ are both odd, by (3.1), we get

$$2 \mid x. \tag{3.2}$$

Further, since $2^{2r}s^2 - 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$, $2^{2r}s^2 + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ by (3.2), we get from (3.1) that

 $2 \mid y.$ (3.3) Also, by (3.1) and (3.3), we have $(2^{2r}s^2 + 1)^z > (2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^y \ge (2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^2 > (2^{2r}s^2 + 1),$ whence we get

$$z \ge 2. \tag{3.4}$$

By (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$x^{2} = (2^{2r}s^{2} + 1)^{z} - (2^{2r}s^{2} - 1)^{y}$$

= $2^{2r}s^{2}\left((z+y) + 2^{2r}s^{2}\left(\sum_{i=2}^{z} {z \choose i}(2^{2r}s^{2})^{i-2} - \sum_{j=2}^{y}(-1)^{j} {y \choose j}(2^{2r}s^{2})^{j-2}\right)\right).$ (3.5)

We see from (3.5) that $2^r s \mid x$ and

$$\left(\frac{x}{2^r s}\right)^2 \equiv z + y \pmod{2^{2r} s^2}.$$

So we have

$$x = 2^r s x_1, \ x_1 \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.6}$$

and

$$x_1^2 \equiv z + y \pmod{4}. \tag{3.7}$$

On the other hand, we see from (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) that (2.2) has a solution

$$(X, Y, Z) = ((2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2}, x, 2^{2r}s^2 + 1)$$
(3.8)

for n = z. Applying Lemma 2.3 to (3.8), we have

$$2^{2r}s^2 + 1 = u^2 + v^2, \ u, v \in \mathbb{N}, \ \gcd(u, v) = 1, \ 2 \mid v$$
(3.9)

and

$$(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2} + x\sqrt{-1} = \lambda_1(u + \lambda_2 v\sqrt{-1})^z, \ \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \{1, -1\}.$$
(3.10)

When $2 \nmid z$, we get from (3.10) that

$$(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2} = u \left| \sum_{i=0}^{(z-1)/2} (-1)^i {\binom{z}{2i}} u^{z-2i-1} v^{2i} \right|$$
(3.11)

and

$$x = v \left| \sum_{i=0}^{(z-1)/2} (-1)^i {\binom{z}{2i+1}} u^{z-2i-1} v^{2i} \right|.$$
(3.12)

Since $2 \mid v$ and $2 \nmid z$, we have $2 \nmid u$ and

$$2 \not\mid \sum_{i=0}^{(z-1)/2} (-1)^i \binom{z}{2i+1} u^{z-2i-1} v^{2i}.$$
(3.13)

Hence, by (3.6), (3.12) and (3.13), we get

$$2^r \mid v \tag{3.14}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, we deduce from (3.9) and (3.14) that u and v satisfy (2.5). Substitute (2.5) into (3.11), we have

$$(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2} = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{(z-1)/2} (-1)^i {\binom{z}{2i}} (2^{2r}s^2)^i \right|.$$
(3.15)

Since $y/2 \ge 1$ and $2^{2r}s^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{2r}s^2 - 1}$, by (3.15), we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^{(z-1)/2} (-1)^i \binom{z}{2i} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r}s^2 - 1}.$$
(3.16)

Further, by Lemma 2.2, we obtain from (3.16) that

$$2^{(z-1)/2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r}s^2 - 1}.$$
(3.17)

But, since $2^{2r}s^2 - 1$ is an odd integer with $2^{2r}s^2 - 1 > 1$, (3.17) is impossible. So, we have

$$2 \mid z. \tag{3.18}$$

We see from (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.18) that (2.2) has a solution

$$(X, Y, Z) = \left((2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2}, x, (2^{2r}s^2 + 1)^{z/2} \right)$$
(3.19)

for n = 2. Applying Lemma 2.3 to (3.19), we get

$$(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2} = U^2 - V^2, \ x = 2UV, \ (2^{2r}s^2 + 1)^{z/2} = U^2 + V^2, U, V \in \mathbb{N}, \ U > V \ \gcd(U, V) = 1, \ U \not\equiv V \pmod{2}.$$
(3.20)

Further, by (3.20), we have

$$(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^y = (U^2 - V^2)^2 \ge (U + V)^2 > U^2 + V^2 = (2^{2r}s^2 + 1)^{z/2} > (2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{z/2},$$
 whence we get

$$y > \frac{z}{2}.\tag{3.21}$$

By (3.3), (3.7) and (3.18), we have

$$2 \mid x_1.$$
 (3.22)

Hence, by (3.7) and (3.22), we get

$$z + y \equiv 0 \pmod{4}. \tag{3.23}$$

If $4 \mid z$, then from (3.23) we get $4 \mid y$. It follows from (3.1) that (2.3) has a solution $(X, Y, Z) = ((2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/4}, x, 2^{2r}s^2 + 1)$ for n = z. But, since $4 \mid z$ and $z \ge 4$, by Lemma 2.4, it is impossible. So we have

$$z \equiv y \equiv 2 \pmod{4}. \tag{3.24}$$

Since $2 \mid z$, by (3.10), we have

$$(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2} = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{z/2} (-1)^i \binom{z}{2i} u^{z-2i} v^{2i} \right|$$
(3.25)

and

$$x = uv \left| \sum_{i=0}^{z/2-1} (-1)^i {\binom{z}{2i+1}} u^{z-2i-2} v^{2i} \right|.$$
(3.26)

Since $2 \nmid u, 2 \mid v$ and $2 \mid \mid z$ by (3.24), we have

$$2 \parallel \sum_{i=0}^{z/2-1} (-1)^{i} {\binom{z}{2i+1}} u^{z-2i-2} v^{2i}.$$
(3.27)

Hence, by (3.6), (3.22), (3.26) and (3.27), we get (3.14). Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, we see from (3.9) and (3.14) that u and v satisfy (2.5).

Substitute (2.5) into (3.25), we have

$$(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2} = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{z/2} (-1)^i {\binom{z}{2i}} (2^{2r}s^2)^i \right|.$$
(3.28)

Let

$$\theta = 1 + 2^r s \sqrt{-1}, \ \bar{\theta} = 1 - 2^r s \sqrt{-1}.$$
(3.29)

By (3.28) and (3.29), we get

$$(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \theta^z + \bar{\theta}^z \right|.$$
(3.30)

Further let

$$\alpha = \theta^2, \ \beta = \bar{\theta}^2. \tag{3.31}$$

By (3.29) and (3.31), we have

$$\alpha + \beta = -2(2^{2r}s^2 - 1), \ \alpha\beta = (2^{2r}s^2 + 1)^2.$$
(3.32)

Since z/2 is odd by (3.24), applying Lemma 2.1 to (3.30), we get from (3.32) that

$$(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2-1} = \left| \frac{\alpha^{z/2} + \beta^{z/2}}{\alpha + \beta} \right|$$

= $\left| \sum_{j=0}^{(z/2-1)/2} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} z/2\\ j \end{bmatrix} (-2(2^{2r}s^2 - 1))^{z/2-2j-1} (2^{2r}s^2 + 1)^{2j} \right|$
= $\left| \sum_{j=0}^{(z/2-1)/2} (-1)^j \begin{bmatrix} z/2\\ (z/2-1)/2 - j \end{bmatrix} (4(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^2)^j (2^{2r}s^2 + 1)^{z/2-2j-1} \right|.$ (3.33)

If y > 2, then we have

$$y \ge 6 \tag{3.34}$$

by (3.24). Since

$$\begin{bmatrix} z/2\\(z/2-1)/2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{z}{2}$$
 (3.35)

by (2.1), we see from (3.33), (3.34) and (3.35) that

$$\frac{z}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2r}s^2 - 1}.$$
(3.36)

Let p be an odd prime divisor of $2^{2r}s^2 - 1$, and let

$$p^{\gamma} \parallel \frac{z}{2}, \ p^{\delta} \parallel 2^{2r} s^2 - 1, \ p^{\delta_j} \parallel 2j + 1, \ j \ge 1.$$
 (3.37)

Then we have

$$\delta_j \le \frac{\log(2j+1)}{\log p} \le j, \ j \ge 1.$$
 (3.38)

By (2.1), if $2 \nmid n$, then

$$\binom{n}{(n-1)/2-j} = n \binom{(n-1)/2+j}{2j} \frac{1}{2j+1}, \ j = 0, \cdots, \frac{n-1}{2}.$$
 (3.39)

Hence, by (3.35), (3.37), (3.38) and (3.39), we get

$$p^{\gamma} \parallel \begin{bmatrix} z/2\\(z/2-1)/2 \end{bmatrix} (2^{2r}s^2+1)^{z/2-1}$$
 (3.40)

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} z/2\\ (z/2-1)/2 - j \end{bmatrix} (4(2^{2r}s^2+1)^2)^j (2^{2r}s^2+1)^{z/2-2j-1}$$

$$\equiv \frac{z}{2}(2^{2r}s^2+1)^{z/2-2j-1} \binom{(z/2-1)/2+j}{2j} \frac{4^j (2^{2r}s^2-1)^{2j}}{2j+1}$$

$$\equiv 0 \pmod{p^{\gamma+1}}, \ j = 1, \cdots, \frac{z/2-1}{2}.$$
(3.41)

Therefore, by (3.33), (3.37), (3.40) and (3.41), we obtain

$$(\frac{y}{2}-1)\delta = \gamma. \tag{3.42}$$

Taking p through over all the distinct prime divisors of $2^{2r}s^2 - 1$, by (3.37) and (3.42), we have

$$\frac{z}{2} \equiv 0 \pmod{(2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2 - 1}},$$

whence we get

$$\frac{z}{2} \ge (2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2 - 1}.$$
(3.43)

The combination of (3.21) and (3.43) yields

$$y > (2^{2r}s^2 - 1)^{y/2 - 1}. (3.44)$$

But, by (3.34), (3.44) is false. So we have y = 2.

Since y = 2, by (3.18) and (3.21), we get z = 2. Thus, by (3.1), $(x, y, z) = (2^{r+1}s, 2, 2)$ is the unique solution of (1.3). The theorem is proved.

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank to refere for reading the paper carefully and her/his useful corrections.

References

- [1] M.A. Bennett, J.S. Ellenberg and N.C. Ng, The Diophantine equation $A^4 + 2^{\delta}B^2 = C^n$, Int. J. Number Theory, 6 (2), 311–338, 2010.
- [2] X.G. Chen and M.H. Le, A note on Terai's conjecture concerning Pythagorean numbers, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, 74 (5), 80–81, 1998.
- [3] H.W. Gould, Tables of combinatorial identities, https://web.archive.org/web/ 20190629193344/http://www.math.wvu.edu/~gould/ (Gould's personal webpage).
- [4] J.Y. Hu and H. Zhang, A conjecture concerning primitive Pythagorean triples, Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat. 52 (7), 38–42, 2014.
- [5] M.H. Le, A note on the Diophantine equation $x^2 + b^y = c^z$, Acta Arith. **71** (3), 253–257, 1995.
- [6] M.H. Le, On Terai's conjecture concerning Pythagorean numbers, Acta Arith. 100 (1), 41–45, 2001.
- [7] M.H. Le and G. Soydan, A brief survey on the generalized Lebesgue-Ramanujan-Nagell equation, Surv. Math. Appl. 15, 473–523, 2020.
- [8] R. Lidl and H. Neiderreiter, *Finite Fields*, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [9] L.J. Mordell, *Diophantine equations*, Academic Press, London, 1969.
- [10] G. Soydan, M. Demirci, I.N. Cangül and A. Togbé, On the conjecture of Jeśmanowicz, Int. J. Appl. Math. Stat. 56 (6), 46–72, 2017.
- [11] N. Terai, A note on the Diophantine equation $x^2 + q^m = p^n$, Acta Arith. 63 (4), 351–358, 1993.
- [12] P.Z. Yuan, The Diophantine equation $x^2 + b^y = c^z$, J. Sichuan Univ. Nat. Sci. 41 525–530, 1998 (in Chinese).
- [13] P.Z. Yuan and J.B. Wang, On the Diophantine equation $x^2 + b^y = c^z$, Acta Arith. 84 (2), 145–147, 1998.