Sprayed Intraperitoneal and Incisional Lidocaine Reduces Early Postoperative Pain After Ovariohysterectomy in Dogs
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ABSTRACT
In the present study, it was aimed to investigate the effect of intraperitoneal and incisional sprayed lidocaine on postoperative stress, oxidative stress and pain in dogs undergoing ovariohysterectomy. The control group (n=12) received intraperitoneal and incisional sprayed 0.9 % NaCl, whereas the preparation of lidocaine (8.8 mg/kg) with the equal volume of 0.9 % NaCl following calculation of the individual doses was sprayed in the experiment group (n=12). Modified Melbourne pain assessment was performed before sedation (T), at the end of surgery (T0), 30 minutes after surgery (T½), and at 1 (T1), 2 (T2), 4 (T4) and 6 (T6) hours after the end of surgery. Venous blood samples were collected to measure the concentrations of serum cortisol, total oxidant status (TOS) and total antioxidant status (TAS) at related times. Oxidative stress index (OSI) was calculated by the determination of the rate of TOS/TAS. The concentrations of cortisol, TAS and OSI did not show any significant difference between groups (p > 0.05). The concentration of TOS was the highest at T1 in the experiment group (p<0.05). The pain scores in the experiment group were lower (p<0.05) than those detected at T2 (p<0.05), T4 (p<0.01) and T6 (p<0.01) in the control group. In conclusion, it was stated that the treatment of intraperitoneal and incisional sprayed lidocaine is effective on postoperative pain management in dogs undergoing ovariohysterectomy.
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***

Intraperitoneal ve İnsizyonel Sprey Lidokain Uygulaması Köpeklerde Ovaryohisterektomi Sonrası Erken Postoperatif Ağrıyı Azaltır

ÖZ
Sunulan çalışmada, ovariobisterektomi yapılan köpeklerde intraperitoneal ve insizyonel sprey lidokain uygulamanın operasyon sonrası stres, oksidatif stres ve ağrı üzerinde etkilerinin araştırılması amaçlandı. Kontrol grubuna (n=12) sprey şeklinde intraperitoneal ve insizyonel % 0.9 NaCl uygulananırken, deneme grubu (n=12) bireysel doz hesaplamasını takiben eşit doza % 0.9 NaCl ile hazırlanmış lidokain (8,8 mg/kg) aldı. Modifiye Melbourne ağrı skorlaması sedasyon öncesi (T), operasyon bitimi (T0), operasyondan 30 dakika (T½), 1 (T1), 2 (T2), 4 (T4) ve 6 (T6) saat sonra gerçekleştirildi. İlgili zamanlarda venöz kan örnekleri alınarak, serum kortizol, total oksidan durum (TOD) ve total antioksidan durum (TAD) ölçümü yapıldı. Oksidatif stres indeksi (OSI) TOD/TAD oranına göre belirlendi. Kortizol, TAD ve OSI değerlerinin gruplar arasında istatistiksel olarak fark oluşturmadığı belirlendi (p > 0.05). Deneme grubunda TOD düzeyinin T1 zamanında en yüksek seviyede olduğu gözlandı (p<0.05). Deneme grubundaki ağrı skorlarının kontrol grubundaki T2 (p<0.05), T4 (p<0.01) ve T6 (p<0.01) zamanlarına göre daha düşük olduğu tespit edildi. Sonuç olarak, ovariobisterektomi yapılan köpeklerde intraperitoneal ve insizyonel sprey lidokain uygulamanın postoperatif ağrı yönetiminde etkili olduğu ifade edildi.
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INTRODUCTION

Ovariohysterectomy is a common surgical procedure in female dogs (Gunay et al. 2011, Yilmaz et al. 2014, Kibar et al. 2019, Korkmaz et al. 2019) due to its potential benefits, including the prevention of unwanted pregnancies, mammary tumour formation, pyometra and the presence of vaginal discharge due to pyometra (Davidson et al. 2004). Internationally, veterinary canine ovariohysterectomies are performed under routine surgical protocols and in controlled environments that produce relatively comparable amounts of stress and tissue injury. Apart from the use of analgesics, medical intervention is generally limited (Gautier et al. 2019). The wide spectrum of unfavorable alterations in normal body homeostasis following surgery is collectively referred to as surgical stress (Anup et al. 1999, 2000). The major source of stress for the animal is not only the surgery procedure itself, but also surgery-associated parameters such as human handling, anesthesia-induced dysphoria, pain, analgesia and mid or long term care in a hospitalization unit (Nenadovic et al. 2017). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that oxidative stress is another unexpected postoperative condition of ovariohysterectomy (Lee and Kim 2014). Cumulatively, tissue damage, oxidative stress and pain during and after surgery may lead to poor postoperative outcomes (Sies 1997).

It has been reported that ovariohysterectomies on dogs are generally performed without specific treatment for pain by practitioners (Carpenter et al. 2004). The reasons for this approach include the expense of analgesics, the data recording requirements of those analgesic drugs, concerns that the recovery process might be impaired (Lamont et al. 2000, Carpenter et al. 2004) and the difficulties in pain recognition (Carpenter et al. 2004). Local anesthetics have been widely used in the veterinary field due to its analgesia potency by regional blockade, easy accessible property and comparatively inexpensive price. Lidocaine is considered a good option as a local analgesic due to its extended time of activity (Wilson et al. 2004). The anesthetic and analgesic effects and postoperative pain relief of various treatment methods of lidocaine have previously been reported, including line block (McKune et al. 2014), local infiltration to mesovarium (Bubalo et al. 2008), intravenous (Tsai et al. 2013, Lu et al. 2016), intraperitoneal (Kibar et al. 2019, Carpenter 2004) and incisional (Carpenter 2004). However, the data of sprayed intraperitoneal and incisional lidocaine treatment under the combination of xylazine and ketamine anesthesia are limited. Therefore, the present study was aimed to demonstrate the effect of sprayed intraperitoneal and incisional lidocaine treatment on the management of postoperative pain and oxidative stress in dogs undergoing ovariohysterectomy.

MATERIALS and METHODS

A total of 24 bitches of various breeds referred to the university animal hospital for elective ovariohysterectomy were used in the study. Animals weighing 26±1.2 kg were randomly separated in two groups. All procedures were approved by the Local Ethic Committee of Afyon Kocatepe University (AKUHADYEK-159-17). All animals were kept at the hospitalization unit of the animal hospital and food or water consumption was not allowed for the eight hours immediately before ovariohysterectomy.

Anesthesia and Surgery Protocol

Sedation was performed by 0.045 mg/kg subcutaneous (s.c.) atropine (Atropin, Vetaş, Turkey) 30 minutes (min) prior to the injection of xylazine HCl (2-3 mg/kg intramuscular (i.m.); Alfazyme 2%, Egevet, Turkey). Meloxicam (0.2 mg/kg, s.c., Maxicam, Sanovel, Turkey) was injected following the sedation. Induction of anesthesia was continued by 10 mg/kg i.m. ketamine HCl (Alfamyn 2%, Egevet, Turkey). An intravenous (IV) catheter was introduced into the cephalic vein for further blood sampling and fluid therapy. Intravenous lactated Ringer's solution (10 mL/kg/h) was provided throughout the procedure. All surgeries were performed by the same surgeon from median line in a routine manner to avoid bias between the groups as previously described elsewhere (Korkmaz et al. 2019). Briefly, the ventral abdomen was prepared aseptically for ovariohysterectomy and a midline incision (1.5 - 2.5 cm) was performed. The uterine ligament was held by a uterine hook following the incision of line alba to reach the abdominal cavity. The cranial part of both ovaries and cervix uteri were ligatured. In the experiment group (n=12), lidocaine (8.8 mg/kg, Lidain %2, Alke, Turkey) was prepared using the equal volume of saline following calculation of the individual doses. During each step of removing the ovaries and the entire uterus, lidocaine was sprayed to the related parts as well as the left and right or cranial and caudal parts of the abdominal cavity and finally, to the incision line just before closing the skin. The control group received only sprayed saline. The durations of anesthesia and surgery were recorded. The animals were observed for signs of lidocaine toxicity during the postoperative process. Postoperative care was maintained by daily injections of penicillin + streptomycin (20 mg/kg, i.m. Penoksal, Vilsan, Turkey) for five consecutive days. Sutures were removed ten days after surgery.

Assessment of concentrations of blood cortisol, total oxidant status, total antioxidant status and oxidative stress index

Blood samples were collected following the each pain assessment process. Blood samples were immediately centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes and then sera were stored at -20°C until further analysis of the
concentrations of cortisol, total oxidant status (TOS) and total antioxidant status (TAS). The analysis of TOS and TAS (Erel 2004, Erel 2005) before sedation (T), at the end of surgery (T0), 30 minutes after surgery (T½) and at 1 (T1), 2 (T2) and 4 (T4) hours after the end of surgery and as well as the cortisol at T, T0, T½, T1, T2, T4 and T6 was performed by commercial kits using ELISA method (Table 1). Oxidative stress index (OSI) was determined by division of the values of TOS (μmol H2O2 Eq/L) to TAS (mmol Trolox Eq/L) (Baysal et al. 2012).

Assessment of pain scoring
Modified Melbourne Pain Scale (MMPS) was used for the evaluation of pain by the same person, who did not know the groups in the study (Table 2). This blind assessment was performed at T, T0, T½, T1, T2, T4 and T6. Butorphanol (0.2 mg/kg, i.v., Butomidor, Richter Pharma A.G. Wels, Austria) was prepared as a rescue analgesic at any time, when the MMPS was scored higher than 9 points.

Statistics
The distribution of normality of data was analysed by Shapiro-Wilk normality test. It was found that all data had the normal distribution. Therefore, differences in duration of surgery, pain scores and the concentrations of cortisol, TOS and TAS as well as OSI rates detected during measurement times between the groups were compared by using t test. A repeated measures two way ANOVA test was used to compare differences within the groups (SPSS 16.0). Values were described by mean ± Standard Error Mean (SEM). The data were considered to be significantly different at p < 0.05.

Table 1: The information of sensitivity, coefficient of variations and provider of commercial test kits for the measurement of cortisol, total oxidant status (TOS) and total antioxidant status (TAS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Coefficient of variations</th>
<th>Provider</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intraassay</td>
<td>Interassay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol</td>
<td>2.5 ng/ml</td>
<td>8.1 %</td>
<td>6.6 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAS</td>
<td>4μmol/L</td>
<td>3.3 %</td>
<td>2.8 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOS</td>
<td>1.20 mmol/L</td>
<td>3.9 %</td>
<td>3.2 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Modified Melbourne Pain Scoring Scale

Dog Name/ID: __________ Date: __________ Time Point: __________ Breed: __________
Total UMPS Score: __________
Category and descriptor Score __________

From outside the cage
Vocalization (choose only one)*
Not vocalizing 0
Slight vocalization but dysphoric 1
Intermittent vocalization 2
Continuous vocalization 3

Posture
a) Guarding or protecting affected area 2
b) Position (choose only one)
Lateral recumbency 0
Sternal recumbency 1
Sitting, standing, or comfortable 1
Standing with head hanging 2
Moving 1
Abnormal posture and/or uncomfortable, continuous position change 2

Activity (choose one)
At rest 0
Sleeping 0
Semi-conscious 0
Awake 1
RESULTS

Duration of ovariohysterectomy in the control group was 25.50±3.50 minutes, whereas it was 26.30±3.35 minutes in the experiment group. It was found that the duration of surgery did not differ significantly between groups. It was observed that the concentrations of cortisol in the control group slightly increased until T2 and decreased after T4. However, these changes were not significant statistically (p>0.05). A similar pattern was also observed in the experiment group but only the concentrations detected at T1 and T2 were higher (p<0.001) than those obtained at T (Table 3). Although lower concentrations of cortisol were detected at T4 and T6 in the experiment group as compared to the control group, the differences at other measurement times between the control and the experiment groups were not significant statistically (p>0.05) (Figure 1).

It was seen that the concentration of TOS detected at T slightly increased at subsequent measurement times in the control group but all those changes were not significant statistically (p>0.05) (Table 4). The concentrations of TOS detected in the experiment group showed that the highest concentration of TOS was at T1 (p<0.05) and this was statistically similar to the other measurement times, except T. In addition, the TOS value at T1 in the experiment group was higher (p<0.05) than those detected in the control group. On the other hand, the concentrations of TAS did not show any significant difference within or between the groups (p>0.05) (Table 5).

The OSI value in the control group increased at the end of surgery and was higher at other measurement times than those detected at the sedation time, but those changes were not statistically significant (p>0.05). Similarly, non-significant higher values at T1 and T4 in the experiment group were observed. Moreover, there was no significant difference between groups (p>0.05) (Table 6).

The scores of pain assessment initiated at the end of surgery in the control and the experiment groups are shown in Table 7. Accordingly, it was observed that the pain scores gradually increased until T4 (p<0.001) and remained high in the control group, whereas the pain scores in the experiment group increased (p<0.001) until T1 and remained high (Table 7). However, the comparison of pain scores between control and experiment groups revealed that pain scores obtained at T2 (p<0.05), T4 (p<0.01) and T6 (p<0.01) in the control group were higher than those detected in the experiment group (Figure 2).
Table 3: The concentrations of cortisol (ng/dL) detected before sedation (T), at the end of surgery (T0), 30 minutes after surgery (T <\text{1/2}) and at 1 (T1), 2 (T2), 4 (T4) and 6 (T6) hours after the end of surgery following the pain assessment process (Mean±SEM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Sampling Time (hour)</th>
<th>Control (n=12)</th>
<th>Experiment (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>136.45 ± 21.19</td>
<td>100.34 ± 12.36&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 0</td>
<td>184.96 ± 24.28</td>
<td>187.44 ± 26.73&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T ½</td>
<td>196.69 ± 29.62</td>
<td>206.98 ± 38.77&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 1</td>
<td>212.36 ± 31.23</td>
<td>223.80 ± 24.98&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 2</td>
<td>241.45 ± 33.48</td>
<td>266.77 ± 27.18&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4</td>
<td>239.64 ± 24.74</td>
<td>200.32 ± 22.36&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 6</td>
<td>211.12 ± 28.41</td>
<td>158.62 ± 20.71&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Small (<sup>a</sup>) letters in superscript indicate significant differences (p<0.001) within the experiment group.

Table 4: The concentrations of total oxidant status (TOS) (μmol/L) detected before sedation (T), at the end of surgery (T0), 30 minutes after surgery (T <\text{1/2}) and at 1 (T1), 2 (T2) and 4 (T4) hours after the end of surgery following the pain assessment process (Mean±SEM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Sampling Time (hour)</th>
<th>Control (n=12)</th>
<th>Experiment (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.21</td>
<td>2.72 ± 0.37&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 0</td>
<td>3.77 ± 0.51</td>
<td>4.47 ± 0.72&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T ½</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.68</td>
<td>3.54 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 1 *</td>
<td>3.13 ± 0.41</td>
<td>4.93 ± 0.61&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 2</td>
<td>3.44 ± 0.43</td>
<td>3.42 ± 0.34&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4</td>
<td>3.82 ± 0.69</td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.58&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Small (<sup>ab</sup>) letters in superscript indicate significant differences (p<0.05) within the experiment group. * indicates significant difference (p<0.05) between control and experiment groups.

Table 5: The concentrations of total antioxidant status (TAS) (mmol/L) detected before sedation (T), at the end of surgery (T0), 30 minutes after surgery (T <\text{1/2}) and at 1 (T1), 2 (T2), and 4 (T4) hours after the end of surgery following the pain assessment process (Mean±SEM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Sampling Time (hour)</th>
<th>Control (n=12)</th>
<th>Experiment (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 0</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T ½</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 1</td>
<td>1.96 ± 0.23</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 2</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.86 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4</td>
<td>1.72 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: The oxidative stress index (OSI) [TOS (μmol H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> Eq/L)/TAS (mmol Trolox Eq/L)] detected before sedation (T), at the end of surgery (T0), 30 minutes after surgery (T <\text{1/2}) and at 1 (T1), 2 (T2), and 4 (T4) hours after the end of surgery following the pain assessment process (Mean±SEM).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood Sampling Time (hour)</th>
<th>Control (n=12)</th>
<th>Experiment (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>1.74 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.84 ± 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 0</td>
<td>2.09 ± 0.24</td>
<td>2.41 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T ½</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.36</td>
<td>1.89 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 1</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.38</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 2</td>
<td>1.87 ± 0.24</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4</td>
<td>2.27 ± 0.42</td>
<td>2.65 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7: Distribution of pain scores (Mean±SEM) detected before sedation (T), at the end of surgery (T0), 30 minutes after surgery (T ½) and at 1 (T1), 2 (T2), 4 (T4) and 6 (T6) hours after the end of surgery in the control and experiment groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain Scoring Time (hour)</th>
<th>Control (n=12)</th>
<th>Experiment (n=12)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T 0</td>
<td>0.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>0.08 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T ½</td>
<td>1.41 ± 0.28</td>
<td>1.75 ± 0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 1</td>
<td>2.75 ± 0.39</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 2</td>
<td>4.08 ± 0.33</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4</td>
<td>5.08 ± 0.31</td>
<td>3.83 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 6</td>
<td>5.66 ± 0.25</td>
<td>3.91 ± 0.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Small (abc) letters in superscript indicate significant differences (p<0.001).

Figure 1. Representative changes of concentrations of cortisol (ng/dL) before sedation (T), at the end of surgery (T0), 30 minutes after surgery (T ½) and at 1 (T1), 2 (T2), 4 (T4) and 6 (T6) hours after the end of surgery between the control and experiment groups.

Figure 2. The comparison of pain scores detected before sedation (T), at the end of surgery (T0), 30 minutes after surgery (T ½) and at 1 (T1), 2 (T2), 4 (T4) and 6 (T6) hours after the end of surgery between control and experiment groups.
Ovariohysterectomy is a common elective surgery in which tissue trauma and inflammation due to surgical manipulations cause pain in dogs (Lemke et al. 2002). It has been reported that the postoperative pain following 24 hours changes animal behaviours (Lemke et al. 2002; Tsai et al. 2013). It has been postulated that ovariohysterectomy is also a common model for the evaluation of postoperative pain and efficacy of analgesic drugs, since the surgery is performed in healthy dogs without the evidence of pain (Devitt et al. 2005, Michelsen et al. 2012, Tsai et al. 2013, Yilmaz et al. 2014, Korkmaz et al. 2019). Therefore, the effect of intraperitoneal and incisional administration of lidocaine on postoperative pain was evaluated in dogs undergoing ovariohysterectomy in this study.

It has been reported that standard ovariohysterectomy takes 18.3±3.9 minutes, while 20.8±4 minutes is needed for laparoscopic ovariohysterectomy (Devitt et al. 2005). In another report, it has been stated that ovariohysterectomies are performed by experienced veterinary surgeons in 13 to 28 minutes (Michelsen et al. 2012). In the present study, ovariohysterectomies were performed in 25.50±3.50 minutes and 26.30±3.35 minutes in the control and the experiment groups, respectively. The duration of surgery between groups did not show any significant difference and these durations in both groups were consistent with above-mentioned reports (Devitt et al. 2005, Michelsen et al. 2012).

Local anesthetics such as lidocaine have been widely used in the veterinary field however, lidocaine disparately from other analgesic drugs, completely blocks the sensory nerve fibres and inhibits the development of pain by preventing central sensitization (Lemke and Dawson 2000). The pharmacokinetics of lidocaine 2% with the combination of epinephrine (1:200,000-1:400,000) have been showed that plasma concentrations rapidly decrease with no toxic concentrations (Wilson et al. 2004). The high volume of local anesthetics needed for intraperitoneal anesthesia has been observed to cause side effects such as sedation, vomiting, tremors and seizures in a dose dependent manner (Carpenter et al. 2004, Kim et al. 2012). In the present study, it was observed that lidocaine did not cause any of the above-mentioned side effects.

In dogs, major abdominal surgeries such as ovariohysterectomy cause significant hormonal changes in response to surgical manipulation and these changes reach their peak near the end of the surgery or shortly after the recovery from anesthesia. The short-lived stress response to ovariohysterectomy returns to its preoperative values by 5 hours after surgery (Benson et al. 2000) and the concentrations of cortisol returns the baseline value by 12 (Yilmaz et al. 2014) or 24 hours postsurgery (Church et al. 1994, Fox et al. 1994, Benson et al. 2000). Since the hypothalamus-epiphysis-adrenal axis causes a response during environmental changes, anesthesia and surgery (Church et al. 1994), the serum cortisol concentrations seem to be an important stress marker of this response in bitches. Therefore, serum cortisol concentrations were measured to evaluate the postoperative stress response to surgery in the present study. Furthermore, prostaglandins that are produced under the circumstances of stress (Bugajski et al. 2004, Rettori et al. 2009), stimulate the secretion of corticotrophin releasing hormone (CRH), vasopressin and adrenocorticotrophin hormone (ACTH) (Gadek-Michalska et al. 2005) and the release of corticosterone, by acting directly in the adrenal gland (Wang et al. 2000, Mohn et al. 2005). Therefore, the concentrations of cortisol are indirectly decreased by the inhibition of prostaglandin synthesis via cyclooxygenase (COX) enzyme inhibition (Yilmaz et al. 2014). It is well known that meloxicam has been used in dogs for medium to long term treatment of pain and inflammation and has selectivity against COX2 versus COX1 (Distel et al. 1996, Yilmaz et al. 2014). On the other hand, it has been reported that the concentrations of cortisol rise for 2.5 hours following ovariohysterectomy in meloxicam-injected dogs (Yilmaz et al. 2014). In the present study, it is thought that the acute inhibition of prostaglandin in the control and experiment groups could not be achieved because of the injection of meloxicam during premedication. It has been indicated that the concentrations of cortisol increase at one and two hours after standard or laparoscopic ovariohysterectomy as compared to the basal values (Devitt et al. 2005). Moreover, a rapid decrease in the concentrations of cortisol has been reported following the administration of intraperitoneal local anesthetics during laparoscopic ovariohysterectomy in dogs (Kim et al. 2012). In the present study, similar to previous reports (Devitt et al. 2005, Kim et al. 2012, Yilmaz et al. 2014), increasing postoperative concentrations of cortisol in the control and experiment groups was observed. Although the concentrations of the cortisol measured at T4 and T6 in the experiment group were lower than those detected in the control group, the differences were not statistically significant. It was reported that postoperative concentrations of cortisol increased in ovariohysterectomies performed by unexperienced surgeons (Michelsen et al. 2012). Therefore, it is suggested that the administration of lidocaine is not effective to decrease the postoperative concentrations of cortisol under less traumatic surgery circumstances. Additionally, it is postulated that less traumatic manipulations and more reasonable durations of surgery might be enough to control the concentrations of cortisol.

The trauma caused by the surgical procedure is known to support an oxidative process due to ischaemia or reperfusion (Halliwell 1994). Oxidative stress is a condition which is caused by cellular
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