Research Article GU J Sci 35(1): 26-40 (2022) DOI: 10.35378 /gujs.820805

Gazi University **Journal of Science**

<http://dergipark.gov.tr/gujs>

Comparison Study on Economic Load Dispatch Using Metaheuristic Algorithm

Widi ARIBOWO^{[*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4059-1293)}

Universitas Negeri Surabaya, Faculty of Engineering, 60231 Surabaya, Jawa Timur, Indonesia

Highlights

- This paper focuses on comparison study on Economic Load Dispatch between Metaheuristic algorithm.
- This paper compares 6 metaheuristic methods.
- STSA is slightly superior.

1. INTRODUCTION

Electric power is a very important requirement in the modern era 4.0. The increasing demand for electric power causes the electric power that must be supplied by the generator to be very large. Renewable energy sources are a determining factor for industrial development that can improve people's living standards. In addition, technological advances and developments have also contributed greatly to the increasing demand for electricity. The increase in electrical energy from the consumption side will be a constraint on the generation side [1].

Planning, managing the generation, and distribution of electrical energy are required to meet the demands of consumers with the aim of increasing the quantity and quality of energy produced. Improving the quality of electrical energy is also very influential in increasing the efficiency and reliability of the system. Optimization of generator scheduling in electric power systems is necessary because the generation and distribution processes in the electric power system require very large costs. Coordination between generators is needed in an effort to optimize the scheduling generator to obtain minimum costs.

Each generator has its own characteristics. The difference in the characteristics of the generating unit causes each unit generators to have different portions in supplying the load of an electric power system. The operating cost of an electric power system is the largest cost of operating an electric company. In electric power system operation, fuel costs occupy the largest cost, namely 80% of the overall operating costs.

Economic Load Dispatch (ELD) is a calculation analysis to obtain a calculation of the minimum cost of a generation which is indispensable to minimize and optimize expenses [2]. ELD is one of the fundamental issues in the operation of the electric power system [3]. Various methods have been presented in solving ELD problems using optimization techniques and programming methods based on mathematical methods. Various methods are often referred to as conventional methods. These include the baseline and participation factor methods, lambda, and gradients. The conventional method becomes a problem when dealing with systems that have nonlinear characteristics. The nonlinear characteristics of a generator include valve-point loading effects or multi-fuel source options for generating units, ramp-rate limitations, prohibited operating zones, and cluttered cost function.

The development of artificial intelligence methods in several decades encourages solving a problem using artificial intelligence computing, including optimization in ELD. Several studies have been presented and have performed well in solving ELD problems. Several artificial intelligence methods particularly the metaheuristic method have been used in solving ED problems in the past 5 years such as the chaotic bat algorithm (CBA) method which is a variant of the basic bat algorithm by entering chaotic sequences to improve its performance [4-7], Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm (ABC) which mimics the intelligent honeybee foraging behavior [8-10], grey wolf optimizer (GWO) inspired by hunting rules wolves and the grey wolf social hierarchy [11-14], and cuckoo search algorithm inspired by the interesting breeding behavior of cuckoo [15-18].

This paper will explore the potential of six metaheuristic methods, namely, Seagull Optimization Algorithm (SOA) [18], marine predator algorithm (MPA) [19], Sine Tree-Seed Algorithm (STSA) [20], Chimp Optimization Algorithm (ChOA) [21], Equilibrium Optimizer (EO) [22], and Giza Pyramids Construction (GPC) [23] in solving ELD problems. The test is using 2 different case studies and is based on several constraints. Testing is using 3 and 6 units of the power system. Several studies on ELD using metaheuristic methods have been reported, but there is still a lot of room to be explored to find the best solution in solving ELD. The contribution of this paper is

- 1. The application of the latest and promising metaheuristic methods in solving ELD problems with constraints. On the other hand, it is presenting the metaheuristic behavior used.
- 2. In-depth analysis of the metaheuristic methods used in this paper including 23 benchmarks.
- 3. Tested the method used in 2 case studies and compared between algorithms

The paper is organized as follows: the second part provides a complete study of ELD and a brief description of the metaheuristic methods used in this paper. Section 3 presents the results and performance comparison analysis of the metaheuristic method. In the last section, a conclusion is drawn.

2. MATERIAL METHOD

2.1. Economic Load Dispatch

Problems that often arise in a power system are fluctuating every certain time period. To supply loads economically, the economic load dispatch (ELD) calculation is carried out for each of the large loads. Economic load dispatch (ELD) is the big issue that must be resolved in the operation of the electric power system. Economic load dispatch is defined as the process of allocating generating power to a generator so that the system at load can be supplied economically. In general, the cost function of each generating unit can be formulated mathematically as an objective function, as given in the equation:

$$
M_t = \sum_{i=1}^n M_i(D_i) \quad , \tag{1}
$$

The cost in Equation (1) can be derived in quadratic form as in Equation (2) for minimization purposes [24].

$$
M_i(D_i) = a_j D_i^2 + b_j D_i + c_j \t\t(2)
$$

where D_t is the total cost in R/h . *i* is the sum of the generators, D_i is the power of the *i* th generator expressed in MW. $M_i(D_i)$ is the cost of generating D_i . *n* is total number of generators in the power system. a_j , b_j and c_i are the cost coefficients of the *j*th generator. There are several things that need to be considered when conducting economic dispatch. one of which is the load request. The total power generated by a generator must equal the load demand plus losses:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} D_i = D_D + D_L ,
$$

(3)

$$
D_L = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} D_j B_{jk} D_k \quad , \tag{4}
$$

where D_i and D are the real power generations at the j th and k th buses. B_{ik} is the loss coefficient that is constant under certain conditions. The power generated by the generator must be between its rating (*Pmin* and *Pmax*). The limits for each generator can be written:

$$
D_i^{min} \le D_i \le D_i^{max} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n) \tag{5}
$$

where D_i^{min} is the lowest limit of the *i* th generator output power. D_i^{max} is the maximum output power of the i th generator. The cost function is required an estimate of the optimal power unit value while minimizing objective criteria (O_c) ,

$$
O_c = \sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i(D_i) + 1000 \times abs(\sum_{i=1}^{n} M_i(D_i) - D_D - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} P_j B_{jk} P_k).
$$
(6)

2.2. A Seagull Optimization Algorithm (SOA)

The Seagull Optimization Algorithm is a metaheuristic algorithm that duplicates the life of gulls. Seagulls have a group habitat. Seagulls are omnivorous because they eat reptiles, earthworms, insects, fish etc. Seagulls are intelligent animals. This is helping the seagull to hunt for prey. Seagulls have migratory and hunting behavior. Seagulls are migrated in search of a new abundant source of food. This can be explained as follows:

- To avoid collisions between seagulls when migrating in groups, the seagulls will form a formation
- The seagulls that have the best survival will have followers
- The strongest seagull will be a reference in improving the initial position in migration

The SOA method generally has two exploration and exploitation processes.

Migration (exploration)

In the migration process, the seagulls will move from one place to another. The motion of seagull can be seen in Figure 1. In this process, several criteria must be met, namely:

- Reduce crashes The reference value is used to calculate the position of the new search agent. This is to avoid collisions between seagulls
- Following the best seagull direction In the first phase which has the aim of avoiding collisions between individuals. In this second phase, the seagull will follow the best individual.
- Stay tight to the best seagull. The last phase of migration is for the seagulls to form by getting closer to the best seagull.

Attacking (exploitation)

In the exploitation process, the seagulls have a spiral motion when attacking their prey. They use their weight and wings. It is used as a variation when attacking and migrating.

Figure 1. The basic movement of seagull a) Reduce Crashes Formation, b) The Phase of Following the best seagull direction and c) Stay tight to the best seagull [18]

2.3. Marine Predators Algorithm (MPA)

The marine predator algorithm (MPA) is a new algorithm that is inspired by the behavior of predators and prey patterns in the sea. MPA has algorithms that naturally manage search strategies and optimal ranking policies between predators and prey in marine ecosystems. These creatures usually seek food continuously. Search symbols are represented by prey and predators. This is due to predators looking for prey. On the other hand, the prey is looking for food. The MPA algorithm has three main stages in optimizing by considering the speed ratio and the duplication of constituent elements. The phase in the MPA can be illustrated in Figure 2.

Phase 1: High-Speed Value ($v \ge 10$)

The predator will wait and watch the movement of the prey. Meanwhile, prey will explore the area in search of food. This phase occurs early. Similar to other metaheuristic methods, MPA is population-based. In phase 1, a mathematical model can be as follows:

$$
\overrightarrow{SS_i} = \overrightarrow{X_b} \otimes (\overrightarrow{Elute_i} - \overrightarrow{X_b} \otimes \overrightarrow{Prey_i}) \ i = 1, 2, ..., n
$$
\n⁽⁷⁾

$$
\overrightarrow{Prey}_i = \overrightarrow{Prey}_i + C \times \overrightarrow{rand} \otimes \overrightarrow{Ss}_i \tag{8}
$$

where $\overrightarrow{S_{s_i}}$ is the step size or the velocity of movement. The $\overrightarrow{X_b}$ notation is a vector containing random numbers following the normal distribution that represents the movements of the brownian. \overrightarrow{rand} is a uniformly conditioned random number vector with values ranging from 0 to 1 and $C = 0.5$ is a constant number.

Phase 2: Unit Speed Value ($v \approx 1$)

In this phase, predators and prey will be in the same position. They have identical velocity. In this phase, there will be a shift from the character of exploration to exploitation. The composition between the prey as exploration and predators as exploitation will be in the same number.

- For the first half of the population

$$
\overrightarrow{S_{\Sigma_i}} = \overrightarrow{X_L} \otimes (\overrightarrow{Elute_i} - \overrightarrow{X_L} \otimes \overrightarrow{Prey_i}) \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n/2
$$
\n(9)

$$
\overrightarrow{Prey}_i = \overrightarrow{Prey}_i + C \times \overrightarrow{rand} \otimes \overrightarrow{Ss}_i \tag{10}
$$

- For the second half of the population

$$
\overrightarrow{S_{\delta_i}} = \overrightarrow{X_b} \otimes (\overrightarrow{X_b} \otimes \overrightarrow{Elite_i} - \overrightarrow{Prey_i}) \ i = n/2, \dots n \tag{11}
$$

$$
\overrightarrow{Prey}_i = \overrightarrow{Prey}_i + C \times Ac \otimes \overrightarrow{Ss}_i \tag{12}
$$

$$
Ac = \left(1 - \frac{Iter}{Max_iter}\right)^{(2\frac{Iter}{Max_iter})}
$$
\n⁽¹³⁾

where $\overrightarrow{R_L}$ is a random vector shaped from the levy allocation which depicts the levy motion. Ac is an adaptive controller that is employed to maintain the steps of predator action.

Phase 3: In Low-Speed Value (v=0.1)

The last phase is synonymous with high exploitation. The predator will accelerate faster than the prey.

$$
\overrightarrow{S_{\mathcal{S}_i}} = \overrightarrow{X_L} \otimes (\overrightarrow{X_L} \otimes \overrightarrow{Elute_i} - \otimes \overrightarrow{Prey_i}) \quad i = 1 \dots n \tag{14}
$$

$$
\overrightarrow{Prey}_i = \overrightarrow{Prey}_i + C \times Ac \otimes \overrightarrow{Ss}_i \tag{15}
$$

Figure 2. The Three MPA Optimization Phases [19]

2.4. A Sine Tree-Seed Algorithm (STSA)

The STSA method is to improve the ability of the Tree-Seed Algorithm (TSA) based on the inspiration of the Sine Cosine algorithm (SCA). TSA has weaknesses in optimizing multimodal and high-order objective functions [20]. Seeds have an important role in the distribution and search for optimal value. This is not optimal due to random and simple seed production. Poor seed production will result in optimization results that are not in accordance with the optimal solution. The STSA method modifies the the number of seeds (ns) value so that it can be processed according to changes in the function evaluations (FE) value. This has an effect on the amount that impacts on the best solution finding model. Population-based concept in STSA using trees and seeds which can be formulated as follows:

$$
T_{i,j}^{t+1} = T_{j,min}^t + r_{i,j}^t \times (U_{j,max}^t - U_{j,min}^t)
$$
 (16)

$$
S_{i,j}^{t+1} = T_{i,j}^t + \alpha_{i,j}^t \times (S_{j,max}^t - T_{r,j}^t)
$$
\n(17)

$$
S_{i,j}^{t+1} = T_{i,j}^{t+1} + \beta_{i,j}^t \times (T_{i,j}^t - T_{r,j}^t)
$$
\n(18)

$$
S_{j,max}^t = \min \left(\int \overrightarrow{(T_{l,j}^{t+1})} \right) \tag{19}
$$

where the vector of the tree is $T_{i,j}^{t+1}$. The higher bound of the search space is $U_{j,max}^t$. The lower bound of the search space is $U_{j,\min}^t$. The random value with range [0,1] generated for each dimension is $r_{i,j}^t$. The vector of the seed is $S_{i,j}^{t+1}$. $\beta_{i,j}^t$ are the scaling element which generated in series of [-1, 1] randomly. The vector of top tree area is $S_{j,max}^t$. The vector of a tree randomly selected is $T_{r,j}^t$.

2.5. Chimp Optimization Algorithm (ChOA)

The Chimp Optimization Algorithm (ChOA) is imbued by the individual ingenuity and sexual motivation of chimpanzees in group hunting. This is different from other social predators. ChOA is modeled to further ease the two problems of slow convergence speed and trapped local optima in solving high dimensional problems. Chimpanzee colonies have four kinds of tasks, namely driver, barrier, hunter, and attacker. This is to push the hunt. The driver has a duty to monitor his prey without chasing it. The barrier is an obstacle to the motion of the prey by setting up a barrier. The chaser will pursue the prey without attack. The attacker is to forecast the fleeing way of the prey in anear area.The appropriate ChOA specifications are established [21]. The ChOA algorithm has four main stages, namely:

• Driving and chasing the prey

During the exploration and exploitation stages, prey is hunted. The driver and chaser mathematical equation can be modeled as follows:

$$
x = |y. s_{prey} - m. s_{chimp}|
$$
\n(20)

$$
s_{chimp}(t+1) = s_{prey}(t) - z \tag{21}
$$

where the number of current iteration is *t*. The coefficient vectors are x*, y,* and *z*. The vector of prey position is s_{prev} . The position vector of a chimp is s_{chimp} .

Exploration phase

Chimpanzee movements in attack can be described in 2 models, namely: 1. the chimpanzee will explore the prey area (driver, barrier, and chaser), and 2. the chimp will surround the prey area. lastly, the attacker will condition the hunt. At the start of the iteration, the prey position and the chaser position are assumed to be the same. On the other hand, the position of the driver, barrier, and chaser will be set with reference to the position of the attacker. the best position will be a reference in updating the position of the chimpanzee.

- Exploitation phase In this phase, the chimps will stop hunting when the prey stops moving. The chimps will start attacking the prey. New chimpanzee position between current position and prey position.
- Improved the exploitation phase using the social incentive (sexual motivation)

The movement exploration and exploitation of chimpanze can be ilustrated in Figure 3.

Figure 3. The Basic Movement of Chimpanzee Colonies a) Exploration phase and b) Exploitation phase [21]

2.6. Equilibrium Optimizer (EO)

The Equilibrium Optimizer (EO) metaheuristic method is inspired by the volume-control mass stability design taken to assess dynamic and equilibrium conditions. Each object with its concentration is to serve as a search agent. randomly, the search agent updates the concentration with respect to the best solution, namely the candidate equilibrium. it aims to achieve a state of equilibrium (optimal results) [22].

There are three phases presented for updating a particle. It is operated independently. The first phase is referred to as the equilibrium concentration. Selection of the best solution runs randomly based on groups. This group is called the equilibrium group. The second phase serves as a direct search mechanism. It is based on the difference in concentration between the particles and the equilibrium state. Particles act as explorers to search for regions globally. The third phase is called the generation rate phase. In this phase, the particles mostly serve as exploiters or pure solutions. However, sometimes the particles act as explorers as well. At the beginning of the iteration, the population based on the number of particles and dimensions is randomly and uniformly selected in the search space. Mathematical equations can be formulated as follows:

$$
P_i^{initial} = P_{min} + rand (P_{max} - P_{min}) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots n
$$
\n⁽²²⁾

where the initial concentration vector of the ith particle is $P_i^{initial}$. The minimum and maximum rates for the dimensions are P_{min} And P_{max} . A random vector in the interval [0, 1] is denoted by *rand*. the number of particles as a population is represented by *n.*

2.7. Giza Pyramids Construction (GPC)

Giza Pyramids Construction (GPC) is inspired by the ancient past and features a good metaheuristic algorithm to handle many problems. The inspiration of antiquity is to observe and reflect on the legacy of the past in order to understand the optimal methods, technologies, and strategies of that era[23]. The proposed algorithm is controlled by the movement of workers and pushing stone blocks on the road.

Workers have to arrange the scattered stone blocks and push them into the place of installation. Ramps are used to move blocks of stone by taking into account slope and friction. The workers are tried to find the best position to move the stone blocks. The workers will always be updated to balance the ability of workers to move stone blocks. There are some GPC rules as follows:

- 1. The pyramids is build using a straight-on ramp
- 2. Suppose that only one ramp is used.
- 3. Ramp angle with a horizon of less than 15° and may vary.
- 4. The solution comes from the resultant position of the worker and the stone block. Because the worker was actually pushing a stone block.
- 5. Friction is taken into account in stone block displacement but not considered for workers.
- 6. During the construction process, workers are renewed and placed in new area

2.8. Mathematical Benchmark Functions

The first step is to consider 23 literary mathematical functions. The mathematical function consists of 7 unimodal F1-F7, 6 Multimodal F8-F13, and 10 fixed-dimensional multimodal functions F14-F23. The functions are presented in Figure 4. The number of iterations and the population used are 25 and 50, respectively.

Figure 4. The Convergence Curve of Benchmark Function Comparison a) F1, b) F2, c) F3, d) F4, e) F5, f) F6, g) F7, h) F8, i) F9, j) F10, k) F11, l) F12, m) F13, n) F14, o) F15, p) F16, q) F17, r) F18, s) F19, t) F20, u) F21, v) F22 and w) F23

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Several studies have been carried out using metaheuristic methods and numerical programming methods to get the best solution from ELD with various constraints. The metaheuristic method presented will be verified for its feasibility and robustness in solving ELD problems. it uses three case studies with different characteristics. It is using a population size of 50 to determine performance and distinguish variations during the iteration process and convergence characters. Next, we present a comparison between the solution quality and computational efficiency of this method with performance other methods. The test of the metaheuristic method on ELD used 2 case studies, namely case 1 with 3 power systems and case 2 with 6 power systems. Power system data are derived from reference literature.

3.1. Case Study with 3 Power Systems

The first study is used three power system units to determine the performance of the metaheuristic method to determine the best power plant in this power system. In this study, load requirements data (PD) 150 MW are used. More complete characteristics of the cost coefficient and generating capacity taking into account the transmission loss coefficient can be seen in Table 1. It is a system with 3 thermal power systems known as the P1, P2, and P3 generators. The scheme of 3 generators with 5 buses can be seen in Figure 5

Figure 5. Single Line Diagram of The Electric Power System 3 Generators - 5 Buses [25]

Table 1. Power system data 3 units

P_1 (MW)	α_i (\$/h)	β_i (\$/MW h)	γ _i (\$/MW ² h)	(MW) $\mathop{\text{min}}$	(MW) D 「max
	0.008	00. $\overline{ }$	200	10	85
P ₂	0.009	6.30	180	10	80
P_3	0.007	6.80	140		70

Loss coefficient matrix B is used to obtain transmission line losses and meet transmission capacity limits. Software code written in Matlab software is using a computer with an AMD A6 processor with a RAM capacity of 4 GB.

In Table 2, it can be seen the value of the estimated load on each generator of the 3 power systems, the total power generated, costs, and power losses. In Table 2, the STSA method cost is 1597.48152 \$ / h. The value is best compared to other mathematical and metaheuristic methods. The total value of the loss at this optimal dispatch using the STSA method is 152.3419 MW. Figure 6 is a graph of the comparison of the loss transmission at the optimal dispatch. The STSA method has the same the loss at this optimal dispatch as the mathematical method.

P_1 (MW)	Math method	ChOA	SOA	EO	GPC	STSA	MPA
P_1	33.4701	32.576939	32.445926	32.810106	32.821883	32.817346	32.810133
P ₂	64.0974	64.733446	65.335612	64.595012	64.589653	64.582312	64.595079
P_3	55.1011	55.032253	54.568919	54.936924	54.930498	54.942246	54.93683
PL (MW)	2.3419	2.3426382	2.3504572	2.342041	2.3420344	2.3419047	2.3420421
Pi(MW)	152.3419	152.35239 0	152.35046	152.34204	152.34203	152.3419	152.34204
PD MW)	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
Cost(S/h)	1599.98	1597.4823	1597.4894	1597.48152	1597.48152	1597.48152	1597.48152

Table 2. Forecast output power for 3 Power System with PD = 150 MW

Figure 6. Comparison Chart of The Loss Transmission (3 Unit Power System)

In Table 3, it can be seen the comparison of each metaheuristic method tested on 3 power systems with a power demand of 150 MW by entering the calculation of transmission line losses. The report is displayed by entering the worst cost, mean cost, best cost and standard deviation (STD).

P_1 (MW)	Worst cost $(\frac{5}{h})$	Mean cost $(\frac{6}{h})$	Best cost $(\frac{6}{h})$	STD(S/h)
ChOA	1602.717	1597.8107	1597.4823	1.1282
SOA	1608.1434	1598.7501	1597.4894	2.2099
EO	1597.48152	1597.48152	1597.48152	θ
GPC	1598.2839	1597.5364	1597.48152	0.1932
STSA	1597.632	1597.4991	1597.48152	0.0379
MPA	1600.8281	1597.8146	1597.48152	0.8875

Table 3. Comparison of The Cost In 3 Power Systems (PD = 150 MW)

3.2. Case Study with 6 Power Systems

The second test is using 6 units of the power system. This is to obtain an effective comparison between the metaheuristic methods used in this paper. This is to get an estimate of the power load. The 6 unit thermal type power system design data is shown in Table 4. Meanwhile, the transmission loss coefficient matrix is represented by *B*. In this second test, PD of 1263 MW is used. In Figure 7, It can be seen a single line diagram of 6 generators with 26 buses.

P_1 (MW)	α_i (\$/h)	β_i (\$/MW h)	γ_i (\$/MW ² h)	P_{min} (MW)	$P_{max}(MW)$
P_1	0.007	7.00	240	100	500
P ₂	0.0095	10	200	50	200
P_3	0.009	8.5	220	80	300
P_4	0.009	11	200	50	150
P_5	0.008	10.5	220	50	200
P_6	0.0075	12	190	50	120

Table 4. Power System Data 6 Units

Figure 7. Single Line Diagram of The Electric Power System 6 Generators - 26 Buses

		$\left[\begin{array}{cccc} 0.017 & 0.012 & 0.007 & -0.001 & -0.005 & -0.002 \\ 0.012 & 0.014 & 0.009 & 0.001 & -0.006 & -0.001 \end{array}\right]$	
$B = 10^{-3} \times \begin{bmatrix} 0.007 & 0.009 & 0.031 & 0 & -0.01 & -0.006 \ -0.001 & 0.001 & 0 & 0.024 & -0.006 & -0.008 \ -0.005 & -0.006 & -0.010 & -0.006 & 0.129 & -0.002 \ -0.002 & -0.001 & -0.0060 - 0.008 & -0.02 & 0.15 \end{bmatrix}$			

In Table 5, it can be seen the results of the estimated load and cost of generating each power system unit in the 6 case study of the power system. Table 5 shows the power loss, total power and cost. The estimation results using the STSA method obtained the best results compared to other metaheuristic methods in this paper. The value is 15444.0226 (\$ / h). The total value of the loss transmission at the optimal dispatch using the STSA method is 1275.2029 MW. Figure 8 is a graph of the comparison of losses in case 2. The result that is close to the value of the STSA method is the EO method.

Table 5. Forecast Output Power For 6 Power System with PD = 1263 MW

Generator	ChOA	SOA	EO	GPC	STSA	MPA
P_1	430.6253426	430.3783077	438.6744125	416.6701414	444.6499234	433.3745579
P ₂	166.3819825	200	200	200	171.7126716	163.0587002
P_3	254.206883	246.6733607	257.6396762	300	261.1550089	253.5746587
P ₄	150	123.552395	150	150	150	150
P_5	200	155.0460548	159.8288332	143.668211	162.7314736	200
P_6	74.54014127	120	69.07272306	64.93809964	84.95378805	75.74745118
PL (MW)	12.754349	12.650118	12.215645	12.276452	12.202865	12.755368
Pi(MW)	1275.7543	1275.6501	1275.2156	1275.2765	1275.2029	1275.7553
PD (MW)	1263	1263	1263	1263	1263	1263
Cost (\$/h)	15460.2548	15468.3407	15454.82890	15479.01406	15444.0226	15460.0783

Figure 8. Comparison Chart of The Loss Transmission (6 Unit Power System)

Table 6 is the comparison of the worst, average, best and STD costs of the metaheuristic method in this paper by testing 6 units of the power system.

P_1 (MW)	Worst cost $(\frac{6}{h})$	Mean cost $(\frac{6}{h})$	Best cost $(\frac{6}{h})$	STD(S/h)
ChOA	15541.87073	15498.69812	15460.25488	35.10411333
SOA	15627.27653	15546.86006	15468.34076	58.45088829
EO	15499.24175	15471.17991	15454.82892	11.96734174
GPC	15627.27653	15486.37974	15479.01406	29.79223595
STSA	15491.42303	15451.98118	15444.02266	12.08607801
MPA	15556.09063	15483.77228	15460.07834	26.54177549

Table 6. Comparison of the Cost In 6 Power Systems (PD = 1263 MW)

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, an effective solution to the Economic Load Dispatch (ELP) problem has been explored by using 6 metaheuristic methods. These methods are Chimp Optimization Algorithm (ChOA), Seagull Optimization Algorithm (SOA), Equilibrium Optimizer (EO), Giza Pyramids Construction (GPC), A Sine Tree-Seed Algorithm (STSA) And Marine Predators Algorithm (MPA). In finding the best and effective solution, testing is used with 2 models, namely with 3 units and 6 units of power systems. From the experiment, it was found that the Sine Tree-Seed Algorithm (STSA) method has the best price compared to other methods in this paper. In test 1, the cost value is 1597.48152 \$ / h. Meanwhile in test 2, the cost value is 15444.0226 \$ / h.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared by the author.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aribowo, W., "Tuning for Power System Stabilizer Using Distributed Time-Delay Neural Network", SINERGI, 22(3): 205-210, (2017).
- [2] Khan, I., Li, Z., Gu, W., Xu, Y., "Distributed control algorithm for optimal reactive power control in power grids", International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems*,* 83: 505–513, (2016).
- [3] Liang, H., Liu, Y., Shen, Y., Li, F., Man, Y., "A Hybrid Bat Algorithm for Economic Dispatch with Random Wind Power", in IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 33(5): 5052-5061, (2018).
- [4] Priyanto, T. K., Maulana, M. F., Giyantara, A., "Dynamic economic dispatch using chaotic bat algorithm on 150kV Mahakam power system", 2017 International Seminar on Intelligent Technology and Its Applications (ISITIA), Surabaya, 116-121, (2017).
- [5] Liang, H., Liu, Y., Shen, Y., Li, F., "A multiobjective chaotic bat algorithm for economic and emission dispatch", 2017 Chinese Automation Congress (CAC), Jinan, 4684-4689, (2017).
- [6] Adarsh, B. R., Raghunathan, T., Jayabarathi, T., Yang, X. S., "Economic dispatch using chaotic bat algorithm", Energy, 96: 666-675, (2016).
- [7] Rabiee, A., Jamadi, M., Mohammadi-Ivatloo, B., Ahmadian, A., "Optimal Non-Convex Combined Heat and Power Economic Dispatch via Improved Artificial Bee Colony Algorithm", Processes, 8, (2020).
- [8] Sharifi, S., Sedaghat, M., Farhadi, P., Ghadimi, N., Taheri, B., "Environmental economic dispatch using improved artificial bee colony algorithm", Evolving Systems, 8: 233–242, (2017).
- [9] Rao, R. K., Srinivas, P., Divakar, M. S. M., Venkatesh, G. S. N. M., "Artificial bee colony optimization for multi objective economic load dispatch of a modern power system", 2016 International Conference on Electrical, Electronics, and Optimization Techniques (ICEEOT), Chennai, 4097-4100, (2016).
- [10] Jayabarathi, T., Raghunathan, T., Adarsh, B. R., Suganthan, P. N., "Economic dispatch using hybrid grey wolf optimizer", Energy, 111: 630-641, (2016).
- [11] Hardiansyah, H., "Grey Wolf Optimizer Applied to Dynamic Economic Dispatch Incorporating Wind Power", Global Journal of Research in Engineering, 20(4-F), (2020).
- [12] Mostafa, E., Abdel-Nasser, M., Mahmoud, K., "Application of mutation operators to grey wolf optimizer for solving emission-economic dispatch problem", 2018 International Conference on Innovative Trends in Computer Engineering (ITCE), Aswan, 278-282, (2018).
- [13] Pradhan, M., Roy, P. K., Pal, T., "Oppositional based grey wolf optimization algorithm for economic dispatch problem of power system", Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 9(4): 2015-2025, (2018).
- [14] Zhao, J., Liu, S., Zhou, M., Guo, X., Qi, L., "Modified cuckoo search algorithm to solve economic power dispatch optimization problems", in IEEE/CAA Journal of Automatica Sinica, 5(4): 794- 806, (2018).
- [15] Nguyen, T.T., Nguyen, T.T., Vo, D. N., "An effective cuckoo search algorithm for large-scale combined heat and power economic dispatch problem", Neural Computing and Applications, 30: 3545–3564, (2018).
- [16] Nguyen, T. T., Vo, D. N., Dinh, B. H., "Cuckoo search algorithm for combined heat and power economic dispatch", International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems, 81: 204-214, (2016).
- [17] Chellappan, R., Kavitha, D., "Economic and emission load dispatch using Cuckoo search algorithm", 2017 Innovations in Power and Advanced Computing Technologies (i-PACT), Vellore, 1-7, (2017).
- [18] Dhiman, G., Kumar, V., "Seagull optimization algorithm: Theory and its applications for largescale industrial engineering problems", Knowledge-Based Systems, 165: 169-196, (2019).
- [19] Faramarzi, A., Heidarinejad, M., Mirjalili, S., Gandomi, A. H., "Marine Predators Algorithm: A nature-inspired metaheuristic", Expert Systems with Applications, 152, (2020).
- [20] Jiang, J., Xu, M., Meng, X., Li, K., "STSA: A sine Tree-Seed Algorithm for complex continuous optimization problems", Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 537, (2020).
- [21] Khishe, M., Mosavi, M.R., "Chimp optimization algorithm", Expert Systems with Applications, 149, (2020).
- [22] Faramarzi, A., Heidarinejad, M., Stephens, B., Mirjalili, S., "Equilibrium optimizer: A novel optimization algorithm", Knowledge-Based Systems, 191, (2020).
- [23] Harifi, S., Mohammadzadeh, J., Khalilian, M., Ebrahimnejad, S., "Giza Pyramids Construction: an ancient-inspired metaheuristic algorithm for optimization", Evolutionary Intelligence, (2020).
- [24] Wood, A. J., Wollenberg, B. F., Power generation operation and control, 2nd edition, (2010).
- [25] Saadat, H., Power system analysis, McGraw-Hill Companies, (2008).