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#### Abstract

In this paper, we propose a new inertial self-adaptive subgradient extragradient algorithm for approximating common solution in the set of pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and the set of fixed point of finite family of quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive multivalued mappings in real uniformly convex Banach spaces and uniformly smooth Banach spaces. Strong convergence of the iterative scheme is established. Our results generalizes and improves several recent results annouced in the literature.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $E^{*}$ be the dual of $E$. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$. The equilibrium problem is to find $\bar{z} \in C$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\bar{z}, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall \quad y \in C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bifunction with property $g(x, x)=0 \forall x \in C$. The equilibrium problem (1) was introduced by Blum and Oettli [5]. We denote by $E P(g, C)$ to be the set solutions of equilibrium problem (1), i.e.

$$
E P(g, C)=\{\bar{z} \in C: g(\bar{z}, y) \geq 0 \quad \forall y \in C\}
$$

[^0]Equilibrium problem (1) generalizes many important problems such as variational inequality problem, optimization problem, complementarity problem, fixed point problem, see, for example, [5, 28].

A map $T: E \rightarrow E$ is said to be nonexpansive if $\|T x-T y\| \leq\|x-y\| \forall x, y \in E$. A point $x \in E$ is said to be a fixed point of $T$ if $x=T x$. The set of fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $F(T)$, i.e $F(T)=\{x \in E: x=T x\}$. $T$ is called quasi nonexpansive $\|T x-z\| \leq\|x-z\| \quad \forall x \in E, z \in F(T)$.

Let $C B(E)$ be a family of nonempty closed and bounded subsets of $E$ and $T: E \rightarrow C B(E)$ be a multivalued mapping. A point $z \in E$ is called a fixed point of $T$ if $z \in T z$. We denote by $F(T)$ the set of all fixed points of $T$ i.e $F(T)=\{z \in E: z \in T z\}$. A point $z \in F(T)$ is called an asymptotic fixed point of $T$ if there exists a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ such that $x_{n} \rightharpoonup z$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} d\left(x_{n}, T x_{n}\right)=0$. The set of all asymptotic fixed points of $T$ is denoted by $\tilde{F}(T)$.
A multi-valued mapping $T: E \rightarrow C B(E)$ is called relatively nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset, \quad F(T)=\tilde{F}(T)$ and $\phi(z, p) \leq \phi(z, x) \quad \forall x \in E, \quad p \in T x, \quad z \in F(T)$.
$T$ is said to be quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $\phi(z, p) \leq \phi(z, x) \quad \forall x \in E, \quad p \in T x, \quad z \in F(T)$.
$T$ is said to be closed if for any sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ in $E$ with $x_{n} \rightarrow x$ and $\left\{w_{n}\right\} \subset T\left(x_{n}\right)$ with $w_{n} \rightarrow y$, then $y \in T(x)$.

Remark 1.1. Observe that from the above definitions, the class of quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive multi-valued mappings contains the class of relatively nonexpansive multi-valued mappings which require a strong restriction $\tilde{F}(T)=F(T)$. Furthermore if $E$ is a real Hilbert space $H$, the class of quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings coincides with the class of quasi nonexpansive mappings which inturn contains the class of nonexpansive mappings.

Due to their importance, various methods have been imployed to approximate solutions of equilibrium and fixed point problems (see, for example, [3, 18, 19, 35, 36] and the references contained therein). One of the common methods use is the proximal point method in which the convergence analysis has been considered when the bifunction $g$ is monotone see [26]. However the proximal point method is not valid when the underlying bifunction $g$ is pseudomonone see Wen, 41.
Another method use is the extragradient-like method [1, 17, 22, 23, 25, 34, 39] which involved two strongly convex optimization problem defined over the constrained set $C$ and the Lipschitz-type condition imposed on the bifunction $g$. Moreover to solve the two strongly convex problem over the constrained set $C$ in each iteration can be complicated especially if $C$ is not simple. Motivated by this, Censor et al. [7] introduced a method called subgradient extragradient for approximating solutions of variational inequality problem in a real Hilbert space $H$, in which one projection was taken over constructed subpace which can easily be computed. Hieu [21] extended the subgradient extragradient method equilibrium problems in a real Hilbert spaces $H$, the author proposed the following algorithm;

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in H  \tag{2}\\
y_{n}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\lambda f\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}: y \in C\right\} \\
z_{n}=\operatorname{argmin}\left\{\lambda f\left(y_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}: y \in T_{n}\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=\alpha_{n} x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}, n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T_{n}=\left\{v \in H:\left\langle\left(x_{n}-\lambda w_{n}\right)-y_{n}, v-y_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0\right\}, w_{n} \partial_{2} f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ and $\lambda, \alpha_{n}$ satisfy the following conditions;

1. $0<\lambda<\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 \mathrm{c}_{1}}, \frac{1}{2 \mathrm{c}_{2}}\right\}$
2. $0<\alpha_{n}<1, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{n}=0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_{n}=+\infty$.

The author proved strong convergence of the iterative sequence (2) to the solution of the equilibrium problem. Recently, Dadashi et al. [14] used subgradient extragradient method to approximate solution of pseudomonotone equilibrium problem in real Hilbert spaces.

One problem of the aforemention results was the computation of the Lipschitz constants $c_{1}, c_{2}$ of the bifunction $f$ which sometimes is difficult to estimate. Motivated by this, very recently, Yang and Liu [42] introduced a new step size, in the subgradient extragradient method for pseudomonotone equilibrium problem and fixed point of quasi nonexpansive mapping in a real Hilbert space. They proved strong convergence of the following iterative sequence without the prior knowledge of the Lipschitz-type constants of the bifunction $f$.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{0} \in H  \tag{3}\\
y_{n}=\underset{y \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{\lambda_{n} f\left(x_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
T_{n}=\left\{v \in H:\left\langle\left(x_{n}-\lambda_{n} w_{n}\right)-y_{n}, v-y_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0\right\} \\
z_{n}=\underset{y \in T_{n}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{\lambda_{n} f\left(y_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|x_{n}-y\right\|^{2}\right\}, \\
t_{n}=\alpha_{n} x_{0}+\left(1-\alpha_{n}\right) z_{n}, \\
x_{n+1}=\beta_{n} z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) S t_{n}, n \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $S$ is quasi nonexpansive map, $w_{n} \in \partial_{2} f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right), \lambda_{0}, \mu \in(0,1)$ and $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ are real sequences satisfying some conditions and

$$
\lambda_{n+1}= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\frac{\mu\left(\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)}{2\left(f\left(x_{n}, z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right)}, \lambda_{n}\right\}, & f\left(x_{n}, z_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)-f\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)>0, \\ \lambda_{n}, & \text { Otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

They proved strong convergence of (3) to common point in the set of fixed point of quasi nonexpansive mapping and set of pseudomonotone equilibrium problems.
Recently, inertial method which was introduced by Polyak [30] to speed up the rate of convergence of the iteration methods has been considerably attracting interest of reseachers, (see, for example, [4, 8, (9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 27, 29, 31, 37, 40] and the references contained therein).
Motivated by the above results, the purpose of this paper is to propose an inertial self-adaptive subgradient extragradient algorithm for approximating common solution in the set of pseudomonotone equilibrium problem and the set of fixed point of finite family of quasi- $\phi-$ nonexpansive multivalued mappings in real uniformly convex Banach spaces and uniformly smooth Banach spaces. The step size $\eta_{n}$ is chosen self adaptively and estimates of Lipschizt-type constants are dispensed with.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $E^{*}$ be the dual of $E$. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$. We denote by $J: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ the normalized duality mapping defined by

$$
J(x)=\left\{f^{*} \in E^{*}:\left\langle x, f^{*}\right\rangle=\|x\|^{2}=\left\|f^{*}\right\|^{2}\right\}
$$

where $\langle.,$.$\rangle denotes the duality pairing between the element of E$ and that of $E^{*}$. It is well known that $J(x)$ is nonempty for each $x \in E$, see [36]. We denote weak and strong convergence by $\Delta$ and $\rightarrow$ respectively.
Let $S(E)$ be a unit sphere centered at the origin. A Banach space is said to be strictly convex if $\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|<1$, whenever $x, y \in S(E)$ and $x \neq y$. The modulus of convexity of $E$ is defined by

$$
\delta_{E}(t)=\inf \left\{1-\frac{1}{2}\|x+y\|:\|x\|=1=\|y\|,\|x-y\| \geq \epsilon\right\}, \forall t \in[0,2] .
$$

$E$ is called uniformly convex if $\delta_{E}(t) \geq 0 \forall t \in[0,2]$ and $p$-uniformly convex if there exists a constant $c_{p}>0$ such that $\delta_{E}(t) \geq c_{p} t^{p} \forall t \in[0,2]$. Note that every $p$-uniformly convex Banach space is uniformly convex and every uniformly convex is strictly convex and reflexive. The modulus of smoothness $\rho_{E}(\tau):[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is defined by

$$
\rho_{E}(\tau)=\sup \left\{\frac{\|x+\tau y\|+\|x-\tau y\|}{2}-1:\|x\|=\|y\|=1\right\} .
$$

$E$ is said to be uniformly smooth if $\frac{\rho_{E}(\tau)}{\tau} \rightarrow 0$ as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and $E$ is $q$-uniformly smooth if there exists $d_{q}>0$ such that $\rho_{E}(\tau) \leq d_{q} \tau^{q}$. It is well known that if $E$ is $q$-uniformly smooth, then $q \leq 2$ and $E$ uniformly smooth. Furthermore every uniformly smooth Banach space is smooth. We know that (see, for example, [10]) if $E$ is smooth, strictly convex and reflexive, then $J$ is single-valued, one-to-one and onto respectively and $J^{-1}$ is also single-valued, one-to-one, onto and it is the duality mapping from $E^{*}$ into $E$. In addition if $E$ is uniformly smooth, then the norm on $E$ is fréchet differentiable and $J$ is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of $E$ and $E$ is uniformly smooth if and only if $E^{*}$ is uniformly convex.
Let $E$ be a smooth Banach space and $C$ be a closed convex subset of $E$. The function $\phi: E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x, y)=\|x\|^{2}-2\langle x, J y\rangle+\|y\|^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in E, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called Lyapunov bifunction introduced by Alber [2], where $J$ is the normalized duality mapping. Observe from the definition of $\phi$ in (4) above, we have that,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi(x, y)=\phi(x, z)+\phi(z, y)+2\langle x-z, J z-J y\rangle, \quad \forall x, y, z \in E, \quad \text { and }  \tag{5}\\
(\|x\|-\|y\|)^{2} \leq \phi(x, y) \leq(\|x\|+\|y\|)^{2}, \quad \forall x, y \in E \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Follwing Alber [2], the generalized projection $\Pi_{C}: E \rightarrow C$ is a mapping defined by

$$
\Pi_{C}(x)=\arg \min _{y \in C} \phi(y, x) \quad \forall x \in E
$$

Remark 2.1. (1) If $E$ is a Hilbert space, then $\phi(y, x)=\|y-x\|^{2}$, and the generalized projection reduces to metric projection $P_{C}$ of $E$ onto $C$.
(2) If $E$ is smooth and strictly convex, then $\phi(x, y)=0$ if and only if $x=y \forall x, y \in E$, see, for example, [36]

Definition 2.2. (see [6, 24]) The subdifferential of $f, \partial f$ is the mapping $\partial f: E \rightarrow 2^{E^{*}}$ defined by

$$
\partial f(x)=\left\{x^{*} \in E^{*}: f(y)-f(x) \geq\left\langle y-x, x^{*}\right\rangle \forall y \in E\right\} \text { for all } x \in E
$$

Remark 2.3. It is known that if the function $f$ is proper, lower semicontinuous and convex, then for each $x \in D(f)$ the subdifferential $\partial f(x)$ is a nonempty closed convex set, where $D(f)$ is the domain of $f$.

Definition 2.4. A bifunction $g: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be;

1. $\gamma$-strongly monotone on $C$ if there exists $\gamma>0$ such that

$$
g(x, y)+g(y, x) \leq-\gamma\|x-y\|^{2} \forall x, y \in C
$$

2. Monotone if

$$
g(x, y)+g(y, x) \leq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in C
$$

## 3. Pseudomonotone if

$$
g(x, y) \geq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad g(y, x) \leq 0 \forall x, y \in C
$$

It is clear from Definition 2.4, that $(1) \Rightarrow(2) \Rightarrow(3)$. To solve the equilibrium problem, we assume the bifunction $g: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following conditions;
(D1) $g(x, x)=0$ for every $x \in C$;
(D2) $g(x,$.$) is convex, lower semicontinuous and subdifferentiable on E$;
(D3) $g$ is pseudomonotone on $C$;
(D4) $g$ is jointly continuous on $E \times C$ in the sense that if $x \in E y \in C$ and $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ are two sequences such that $x_{n} \rightarrow x, y_{n} \rightarrow y$, then $g\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow g(x, y) ;$
(D5) $g(x, y)+g(y, z) \geq g(x, z)-c_{1} \phi(y, x)-c_{2} \phi(z, y) \forall x, y, z \in C$ and some $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$.
In the sequel we will need the following lemmas:
Lemma 2.5. 43 Let $E$ be a real uniformly smooth and uniformly convex Banach space. Let $T: E \rightarrow 2^{E}$ be a closed quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive multivalued mapping, then $F(T)$ is closed and convex.

Lemma 2.6. [39] Assume the bifunction $g$ satisties (D1)-(D4), then the set $E P(g, C)$ of solutions of the equilibrium problems is closed and convex.
Lemma 2.7. [38] Let $C$ be a nonempty subset of $E$ and $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and subdifferentiable function, then $f$ is minimized at $x \in C$ if and only if

$$
0 \in \partial f(x)+N_{C}(x)
$$

where $N_{C}(x)$ is the normal cone to $C$ at $x \in C$, i.e.

$$
N_{C}(x)=\left\{\zeta \in E^{*}:\langle y-x, \zeta\rangle \leq 0 \forall y \in C\right\}
$$

Lemma 2.8. [10] Let $E$ be a reflexive Banach space and $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are two convex functions such that dom $f \cap \operatorname{dom} g \neq \emptyset$ and $f$ is continuous, then

$$
\partial(f+g)=\partial f(x)+\partial g(x), \quad \forall x \in E
$$

Lemma 2.9. [2] Let $E$ be a strictly convex, smooth and reflexive Banach space and let $K$ be a nonempty closed and convex subset of $E$. Let $x \in E$, then

$$
\phi\left(y, \Pi_{C} x\right)+\phi\left(\Pi_{C} x, x\right) \leq \phi(y, x) \quad \forall y \in C
$$

Lemma 2.10. 44 Let $E$ be a uniformly convex Banach space and $r>0$, then there exists a strictly increasing, continuous and convex function $f:[0,2 r] \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ such that $f(0)=0$ and

$$
\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i} x_{i}\right\|^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{2}-\alpha_{i} \alpha_{j} f\left(\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{i} \in(0,1), \sum_{i=1}^{N} \alpha_{i}=1$ and $x_{i} \in B_{r}(0), \forall i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$,
Lemma 2.11. [26] Let $E$ be a smooth and uniformly convex Banach space and let $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences in $E$. If either $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ or $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and $\phi\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $x_{n}-y_{n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

## 3. Main Results

In this section we propose the following inertial hybrid self adaptive subgradient extragradient algorithm in a real uniformly convex Banach space $E$ which is also uniformly smooth;

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta_{1}>0, \mu \in(0,1), x_{0}, x_{1} \in C_{1}=E,  \tag{7}\\
\theta_{n}=x_{n}+\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right), \\
y_{n}=\underset{y \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{\eta_{n} g\left(\theta_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(y, \theta_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{z \in E:\left\langle J \theta_{n}-\eta_{n} w_{n}-J y_{n}, z-y_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0\right\}, \\
z_{n}=\underset{y \in \Gamma_{n}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{\eta_{n} g\left(y_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(y, \theta_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
u_{n}=J^{-1}\left(\beta_{n} J z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\gamma_{n, 0} J \theta_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} J t_{n, i}\right]\right), \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: \phi\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, \theta_{n}\right)\right\}, \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $w_{n} \in \partial_{2} g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right), t_{n, i} \in T_{i} \theta_{n}, T_{i}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, N$ are quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive multivalued mappings and

$$
\eta_{n+1}= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\frac{\mu\left(\phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)+\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)}{2\left(g\left(\theta_{n}, z_{n}\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right)}, \eta_{n}\right\}, & g\left(\theta_{n}, z_{n}\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)>0 \\ \eta_{n}, & \text { Otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Observe, it is obvious from (7) that $C \subseteq \Gamma_{n}$. Also using algorithm (7), we have the following Lemmas:
Lemma 3.1. The sequence $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ is a monotone nonincreasing and has a lower bound $\min \left\{\frac{\mu}{2 \max \left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}}, \eta_{1}\right\}$,
Proof. It is clear that $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ is a monotone nonincreasing sequence. By condition (D5), we get

$$
\frac{\mu\left(\phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)+\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)}{2\left(g\left(\theta_{n}, z_{n}\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right)} \geq \frac{\mu\left(\phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)+\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)}{2\left(c_{1} \phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)+c_{2} \phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)} \geq \frac{\mu}{2 \max \left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}}
$$

Hence $\left\{\eta_{n}\right\}$ has a lower bound $\min \left\{\frac{\mu}{2 \max \left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}}, \eta_{1}\right\}$. Consequently the $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{n}$ exists.
Lemma 3.2. Let $y_{n}$ be defined as in algorithm (7). Then $\forall n \geq 1$ and $y \in C$ we have

$$
\eta_{n} g\left(\theta_{n}, y\right)-\eta_{n} g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right) \geq\left\langle y-y_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle
$$

Proof. Let $n \geq 0$ and $y \in C$, then by Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, we get

$$
0 \in \eta_{n} \partial_{2} g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{1} \phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)+N_{C}\left(y_{n}\right)
$$

Therefore there exists $w \in \partial_{2} g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ and $\bar{w} \in N_{C}\left(y_{n}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\eta_{n} w+J y_{n}-J \theta_{n}+\bar{w} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w \in \partial_{2} g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\theta_{n}, y\right) \geq g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\left\langle y-y_{n}, w\right\rangle \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (8) and Definition of $N_{C}\left(y_{n}\right)$, we get

$$
\left\langle y-y_{n},-\eta_{n} w-J y_{n}+J \theta_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n}\left\langle y-y_{n}, w\right\rangle \geq\left\langle y-y_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by (9) and (10), we obtain

$$
\eta_{n} g\left(\theta_{n}, y\right)-\eta_{n} g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right) \geq\left\langle y-y_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle
$$

Lemma 3.3. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of real uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space $E$. Let $T_{i}: E \rightarrow 2^{E}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, N$ be finite family of quasi- $\phi-$ nonexpansive multivalued mappings. Assume $g$ satisfies (D1)-(D5) and $\mathcal{F}=E P(g, C) \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$, $\left\{y_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ be defined as in algorithm (7), then

$$
\phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)-\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $x^{*} \in \mathcal{F}$, then from Definition of $z_{n}$, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, we get

$$
0 \in \eta_{n} \partial_{2} g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{1} \phi\left(z_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)+N_{\Gamma_{n}}\left(z_{n}\right)
$$

Hence $0=\eta_{n} \bar{w}_{n}+J z_{n}-J \theta_{n}+\bar{w}$ for some $\bar{w}_{n} \in \partial_{2} g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)$ and $\bar{w} \in N_{\Gamma_{n}}\left(z_{n}\right)$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{w}=-J z_{n}-\eta_{n} \bar{w}_{n}+J \theta_{n} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Definition of normal cone $N_{\Gamma_{n}}\left(z_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y-z_{n}, \bar{w}\right\rangle \leq 0 \forall y \in \Gamma_{n} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (11) and 12, we obtain

$$
\eta_{n}\left\langle y-z_{n}, \bar{w}_{n}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle y-z_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J z_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in \Gamma_{n}
$$

Since $x^{*} \in \mathcal{F} \subset E P(g, C) \subset C \subset \Gamma_{n} \subset E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n}\left\langle x^{*}-z_{n}, \bar{w}_{n}\right\rangle \geq\left\langle x^{*}-z_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J z_{n}\right\rangle \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand since $\bar{w}_{n} \in \partial_{2} g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(y_{n}, y\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right) \geq\left\langle y-z_{n}, \bar{w}_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in E \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, combining $\sqrt{13}$ and $(\sqrt{14})$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n}\left(g\left(y_{n}, x^{*}\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right) \geq\left\langle x^{*}-z_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J z_{n}\right\rangle \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $g$ is pseudomonotone, we have $g\left(y_{n}, x^{*}\right) \leq 0$. Thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.-2 \eta_{n} g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right) & \geq 2\left\langle x^{*}-z_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J z_{n}\right\rangle-2 \eta_{n} g\left(y_{n}, x^{*}\right) \\
& \geq 2\left\langle x^{*}-z_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J z_{n}\right\rangle \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $w_{n} \in \partial_{2} g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)$, then

$$
g\left(\theta_{n}, y\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right) \geq\left\langle y-y_{n}, w_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in E
$$

Letting $y=z_{n}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \eta_{n}\left(g\left(\theta_{n}, z_{n}\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right) \geq 2 \eta_{n}\left\langle z_{n}-y_{n}, w_{n}\right\rangle \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe as $z_{n} \in \Gamma_{n}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
2\left\langle z_{n}-y_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \leq 2 \eta_{n}\left\langle z_{n}-y_{n}, w_{n}\right\rangle \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (16), (17), (18) and (5), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \eta_{n}\left(g\left(\theta_{n}, z_{n}\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \geq 2\left\langle z_{n}-y_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle+2\left\langle x^{*}-z_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J z_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =-2\left\langle z_{n}-y_{n}, J y_{n}-J \theta_{n}\right\rangle-2\left\langle x^{*}-z_{n}, J z_{n}-J \theta_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =-\left(\phi\left(z_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)-\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)-\phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\left(\phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right)-\phi\left(z_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)+\phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)-\phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)+\phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, from (19) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right) & \leq \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)-\phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right) \\
& +2 \eta_{n}\left(g\left(\theta_{n}, z_{n}\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the Definition of $\eta_{n}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right) & \leq \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)-\phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right) \\
& +\frac{2 \eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \eta_{n+1}\left(g\left(\theta_{n}, z_{n}\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)-\phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right) \\
& +\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}}\left(\mu\left(\phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)+\phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)-\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of real uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach space $E$. Let $T_{i}: E \rightarrow 2^{E}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, N$ be finite family of closed quasi- $\phi-$ nonexpansive multivalued mappings. Assume $g$ satisfies (D1)-(D5) and $\mathcal{F}=E P(g, C) \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n, i}\right\}$ be real sequences such that $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} \in(0,1), \gamma_{n, i} \in(\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ and $\gamma_{n, 0}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i}=$ 1. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (7) converges strongly to $p^{*}=\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} x_{0}$.

Proof. The proof is divided in to steps;
Step 1: We show $\mathcal{F}=E P(g, C) \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right)$ is closed and convex. By Lemma 2.5, $\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$ is closed and convex and by Lemma 2.6, $E P(g, C)$ is closed and convex, therefore $\mathcal{F}=E P(g, C) \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right)$ is closed and convex.

Step 2: Here we show $C_{n}, \forall n \geq 1$ is closed and convex;
Observe $C_{1}=C$ is closed and convex. Assume $C_{n}$ is closed and convex for some $n>1$, then

$$
\phi\left(z, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(z, \theta_{n}\right)
$$

is equivalent to

$$
2\left\langle z, J \theta_{n}-J u_{n}\right\rangle \leq\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}
$$

Thus, we obtain $C_{n+1}$ is closed and convex and therefore $C_{n}$ is closed and convex $\forall n \geq 1$. This shows that the iterative sequence generated by $(7)$ is well defined.

Step 3: We show $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n} \forall n \geq 1$.
It is clear that $\mathcal{F} \subset C=C_{1}$. Suppose $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n}$ for some $n>1$. Then for any $x^{*} \in \mathcal{F} \subset C_{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x^{*}, u_{n}\right)= & \phi\left(x^{*}, J^{-1}\left(\beta_{n} J z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\gamma_{n, 0} J \theta_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} J t_{n, i}\right]\right)\right) \\
= & \left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x^{*}, \beta_{n} J z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0} J \theta_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} J t_{n, i}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\|\beta_{n} J z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\gamma_{n, 0} J \theta_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} J t_{n, i}\right]\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \beta_{n}\left\langle x^{*}, J z_{n}\right\rangle-2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0}\left\langle x^{*}, J \theta_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i}\left\langle x^{*}, J t_{n, i}\right\rangle+\beta_{n}\left\|J z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|\gamma_{n, 0} J \theta_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} J t_{n, i}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq & \left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \beta_{n}\left\langle x^{*}, J z_{n}\right\rangle-2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0}\left\langle x^{*}, J \theta_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i}\left\langle x^{*}, J t_{n, i}\right\rangle+\beta_{n}\left\|J z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0}\left\|J \theta_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i}\left\|J t_{n, i}\right\|^{2} \\
= & \beta_{n} \phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0} \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} \phi\left(x^{*}, t_{n, i}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $t_{n, i} \in T_{i} \theta_{n}$ and $T_{i}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, N$ are quasi- $\phi-$ nonexpansive multivalued mappings, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x^{*}, u_{n}\right) & \leq \beta_{n} \phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0} \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right) \\
& =\beta_{n} \phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.3, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x^{*}, u_{n}\right) \leq & \beta_{n}\left[\phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)-\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)\right] \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right) \\
= & \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\beta_{n}\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)-\beta_{n}\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n}+1} \mu=\mu$ and $0<\mu<1$, then there exists a natural number $N_{0}$ such that $0<\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu<1 \forall n \geq N_{0}$. Thus, $\forall n \geq N_{0}$, we have

$$
\phi\left(x^{*}, u_{n}\right) \leq \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right),
$$

which implies $x^{*} \in C_{n+1}$, that is $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n+1}$. Hence $\mathcal{F} \subset C_{n} \forall n \geq 1$.
Step 4: We prove $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy sequence.
Since $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n} \forall n \geq 1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right), \forall n \geq 1 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also by Lemma 2.9, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}, x_{0}\right) & \leq \phi\left(x^{*}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(x^{*}, x_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \phi\left(x^{*}, x_{0}\right), \forall n \geq 1 \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

From (20) and (21), it follows that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)$ exists. This implies $\left\{\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and from (6) we have that $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Observe from Lemma 2.9

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=\phi\left(x_{n+1}, \Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, x_{n}\right)=0
$$

By Lemma 2.11, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (22) and any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m>n$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)=\phi\left(x_{m}, \Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(x_{m}, x_{0}\right)-\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right)$ exists, we get

$$
\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{m}, x_{n}\right)=0
$$

Again by Lemma 2.11 we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{m, n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{m}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from that the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy in $C \subset E$.
Step 5: We prove $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\theta_{n}-t_{n, i}\right\|=0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-\theta_{n}\right\|=0$.
Observe from the scheme (7),

$$
\left\|\theta_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=\alpha_{n}\left\|x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right\|
$$

Therefore from (23), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\theta_{n}-x_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (23) and (26), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-\theta_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, \theta_{n}\right) & =\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n+1}, J \theta_{n}\right\rangle+\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x_{n+1}-\theta_{n}, J \theta_{n}\right\rangle-\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& =\left(\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|-\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|\right)\left(\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|\right)-2\left\langle x_{n+1}-\theta_{n}, J \theta_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-\theta_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|\right)+2\left|\left\langle x_{n+1}-\theta_{n}, J \theta_{n}\right\rangle\right| \\
& \leq\left\|x_{n+1}-\theta_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|x_{n+1}\right\|+\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|\right)+2\left\|x_{n+1}-\theta_{n}\right\|\left\|J \theta_{n}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$ are bounded and the duality mapping $J$ is uniformly norm-norm continuous on bounded subsets of $E$, it follows from (27) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, \theta_{n}\right)=0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the scheme (7), $x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0} \in C_{n+1} \subset C_{n}$. Hence

$$
\phi\left(x_{n+1}, u_{n}\right) \leq\left(x_{n+1}, \theta_{n}\right)
$$

Therefore from (28), we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(x_{n+1}, u_{n}\right)=0
$$

and consequently by Lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n+1}-u_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (27) and 29, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{n}-\theta_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\phi\left(x^{*}, u_{n}\right) & =\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|^{2}-\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x^{*}, J \theta_{n}-J u_{n}\right\rangle \\
& \leq\left\|\theta_{n}-u_{n}\right\|\left(\left\|\theta_{n}+u_{n}\right\|\right)+2\left\|x^{*}\right\|\left\|J \theta_{n}-J u_{n}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

From (30) and norm-to-norm uniform continuity of $J$ on bounded sets, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\phi\left(x^{*}, u_{n}\right)\right)=0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, from the scheme (7)

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x^{*}, u_{n}\right)= & \phi\left(x^{*}, J^{-1}\left(\beta_{n} J z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\gamma_{n, 0} J \theta_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} J t_{n, i}\right]\right)\right) \\
= & \left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2\left\langle x^{*}, \beta_{n} J z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0} J \theta_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} J t_{n, i}\right\rangle \\
& +\left\|\beta_{n} J z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\gamma_{n, 0} J \theta_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} J t_{n, i}\right]\right\|^{2} \\
\leq & \left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \beta_{n}\left\langle x^{*}, J z_{n}\right\rangle-2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0}\left\langle x^{*}, J \theta_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i}\left\langle x^{*}, J t_{n, i}\right\rangle+\beta_{n}\left\|J z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left\|\gamma_{n, 0} J \theta_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} J t_{n, i}\right\|^{2} . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{\theta_{n}\right\}$ is bounded, $t_{n, i} \in T_{i} \theta_{n}, i=1,2, \ldots, N$ and $T_{i}$ are quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive multivalued mappings, it follows that $\left\{t_{n, i}\right\}$ is bounded for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$. Let $r=\max _{1 \leq i \leq N_{n}} \sup _{n \geq 1}\left\{\left\|\theta_{n}\right\|,\left\|t_{n, i}\right\|\right\}$. Since $E$ is uniformly smooth, then $E^{*}$ is unifromly convex, therefore, from 32 and Lemma 2.10, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x^{*}, u_{n}\right) \leq & \left\|x^{*}\right\|^{2}-2 \beta_{n}\left\langle x^{*}, J z_{n}\right\rangle-2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0}\left\langle x^{*}, J \theta_{n}\right\rangle \\
& -2\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i}\left\langle x^{*}, J t_{n, i}\right\rangle+\beta_{n}\left\|J z_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0}\left\|J \theta_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i}\left\|J t_{n, i}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0} \gamma_{n, i} f\left(\left\|J \theta_{n}-J t_{n, i}\right\|\right) \\
= & \beta_{n} \phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0} \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} \phi\left(x^{*}, t_{n, i}\right) \\
& -\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0} \gamma_{n, i} f\left(\left\|J \theta_{n}-J t_{n, i}\right\|\right) \\
\leq & \beta_{n} \phi\left(x^{*}, z_{n}\right)+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0} \gamma_{n, i} f\left(\left\|J \theta_{n}-J t_{n, i}\right\|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi\left(x^{*}, u_{n}\right) \leq & \phi\left(x^{*}, \theta_{n}\right)-\beta_{n}\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)-\beta_{n}\left(1-\frac{\eta_{n}}{\eta_{n+1}} \mu\right) \phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right) \\
& -\left(1-\beta_{n}\right) \gamma_{n, 0} \gamma_{n, i} f\left(\left\|J \theta_{n}-J t_{n, i}\right\|\right) \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

From (31), 33) and condition $\gamma_{n, i} \in(\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)$, we obtain

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f\left(\left\|J \theta_{n}-J t_{n, i}\right\|\right)=0, \forall i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}
$$

By the property of $f$, we get

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|J \theta_{n}-J t_{n, i}\right\|=0, \forall i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}
$$

Since $J^{-1}$ uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subsets of $E^{*}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\theta_{n}-t_{n, i}\right\|=0, \forall i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also form (33), we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(y_{n}, \theta_{n}\right)=0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(z_{n}, y_{n}\right)=0
$$

By Lemma 2.11, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-\theta_{n}\right\|=0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence step 5 is proved.
Since $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ is Cauchy and $E$ is reflexive Banach space, there exists $p^{*} \in E$ such that $x_{n} \rightarrow p^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As $C$ is closed, we have $p^{*} \in C$.
Step 6: We show $p^{*} \in \mathcal{F}=E P(g, C) \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right)$.
Since $x_{n} \rightarrow p^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then from (26), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|\theta_{n}-p^{*}\right\|=0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (34) and (36), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|t_{n, i}-p^{*}\right\|=0, \forall i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $t_{n, i} \in T_{i} \theta_{n}$ for each $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, then from (36) , 37) and closedness of $T_{i}$, we have $p^{*} \in F\left(T_{i}\right) \forall i \in$ $\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$, i.e. $p^{*} \in \cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)$.
On the other hand

$$
\left\|y_{n}-p^{*}\right\| \leq\left\|y_{n}-\theta_{n}\right\|+\left\|\theta_{n}-p^{*}\right\|
$$

Therefore, using (35) and (36) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|y_{n}-p^{*}\right\|=0 \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 3.2, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{n} g\left(\theta_{n}, y\right)-\eta_{n} g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right) \geq\left\langle y-y_{n}, J \theta_{n}-J y_{n}\right\rangle \forall y \in C \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{n}>\min \left\{\frac{\mu}{2 \max \left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}}, \eta_{0}\right\}>0$, then from 39, 38, 36, conditions (D1) and (D4), we obtain

$$
g\left(p^{*}, y\right) \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \text { i.e. } p^{*} \in E P(g, C)
$$

Step 7: Finally, we show $p^{*}=\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} x_{0}$.
Let $\bar{y}=\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} x_{0}$, then since $p^{*} \in \mathcal{F}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\bar{y}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(p^{*}, x_{0}\right) \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the scheme (7), $x_{n}=\Pi_{C_{n}} x_{0}$. Since $\bar{y} \in \mathcal{F} \subset C_{n}$, we have

$$
\phi\left(x_{n}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(\bar{y}, x_{0}\right)
$$

Also since $\phi(., y)$ is continuous and $x_{n} \rightarrow p^{*}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{0}\right) \leq \phi\left(\bar{y}, x_{0}\right) \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (40) and (41), we have $\phi\left(p^{*}, x_{0}\right)=\phi\left(\bar{y}, x_{0}\right)$. Thus, $p^{*}=\bar{y}=\Pi_{\mathcal{F}} x_{0}$.
This compeletes the proof.

Observe that if $E$ is a real Hilbert space, then by Remark $2.1(1)$ algorithm (7) reduces to the following

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\eta_{1}>0, \mu \in(0,1), x_{0}, x_{1} \in C_{1}=H  \tag{42}\\
\theta_{n}=x_{n}+\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right) \\
y_{n}=\underset{y \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{\eta_{n} g\left(\theta_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\theta_{n}-y\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{z \in H:\left\langle\theta_{n}-\eta_{n} w_{n}-y_{n}, z-y_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0\right\} \\
z_{n}=\underset{y \in \Gamma_{n}}{\operatorname{argmin}}\left\{\eta_{n} g\left(y_{n}, y\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left\|\theta_{n}-y\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
u_{n}=\beta_{n} z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\gamma_{n, 0} \theta_{n}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i} t_{n, i}\right] \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}:\left\|u_{n}-z\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|\theta_{n}-z\right\|^{2}\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=P_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}, n \geq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $w_{n} \in \partial_{2} g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right), t_{n, i} \in T_{i} \theta_{n}, T_{i}, i=1,2,3, \ldots, N$ are quasi nonexpansive multivalued mappings and

$$
\eta_{n+1}= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\frac{\mu\left(\left\|y_{n}-\theta_{n}\right\|^{2}+\left\|z_{n}-y_{n}\right\|^{2}\right)}{2\left(g\left(\theta_{n}, z_{n}\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)\right)}, \eta_{n}\right\}, & g\left(\theta_{n}, z_{n}\right)-g\left(\theta_{n}, y_{n}\right)-g\left(y_{n}, z_{n}\right)>0 \\ \eta_{n}, & \text { Otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Using 42, Theorem 3.4 reduces to the following Corollary;
Corollary 3.5. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $T_{i}: H \rightarrow 2^{H}$, $i=$ $1,2,3, \ldots, N$ be finite family of closed quasi nonexpansive multivalued mappings. Assume $g$ satisfies (D1)(D5) and $\mathcal{F}=E P(g, C) \cap\left(\cap_{i=1}^{N} F\left(T_{i}\right)\right) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\left\{\alpha_{n}\right\},\left\{\beta_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{n, i}\right\}$ be real sequences such that $\alpha_{n}, \beta_{n} \in$ $(0,1), \gamma_{n, i} \in(\epsilon, 1-\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon \in(0,1)$ and $\gamma_{n, 0}+\sum_{i=1}^{N} \gamma_{n, i}=1$. Then the sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ generated by (42) converges strongly to $p^{*}=P_{\mathcal{F}} x_{0}$.

Remark 3.6. Theorem 3.4 extends the results of Yang and Liu 42 L from Hilbert space to real uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces and from single valued quasi nonexpansive mappings to finite family of multivalued quas- $\phi$-nonexpansive mappings.

## 4. Numerical example

In this section, we demonstrate Theorem 3.4
Let $E=\mathbb{R}$ with $\|\|=.|$.$| and \langle x, y\rangle=x y$. Let $C=[-40,40]$ and for $i=1,2,3,4$, let $T_{i}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{R}}$ be defined by $T_{i} x=\left[\frac{x}{i+3}, \frac{x}{i}\right]$. It is clear that $0 \in \cap_{i=1}^{4} F\left(T_{i}\right)$. Let $p \in T_{i} x$, then $p=a x$ for some $a, \frac{1}{i+3} \leq a \leq \frac{x}{i}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(0, p) & =|0|^{2}-2\langle 0, p\rangle+|p|^{2} \\
& =|p|^{2}=|a x|^{2}=a^{2}|x|^{2} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{i^{2}}|x|^{2} \\
& \leq|x|^{2} \\
& =|0|^{2}-2\langle 0, x\rangle+|x|^{2} \\
& =\phi(0, x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $T_{i}$ is quasi- $\phi-$ nonexpansive multivalued mapping for each $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$.
Define $g(x, y)=y^{2}+6 x y-7 x^{2}$. It is easy to see $0 \in E P(g, C)$. Also $g$ satisfies (D1), (D2) with $\partial_{2} g(x, y)=$ $2 y+6 x,(\mathrm{D} 3)$ and (D4). If $\phi(x, y)=(x-y)^{2}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(x, y)+g(y, z) & =z^{2}+6 x y+6 y z-7 x^{2}-6 y^{2} \\
& =z^{2}+6 x y-7 x^{2}+6 y z-6 y^{2} \\
& =g(x, z)-3(y-x)^{2}-3(z-y)^{2}+3(z-x)^{2} \\
& =g(x, z)-3 \phi(y, x)-3 \phi(z, y)+3 \phi(z, x) \\
& \geq g(x, z)-3 \phi(y, x)-3 \phi(z, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $g$ satisfies (D5) with $c_{1}=c_{2}=3$. Furthermore if $\eta_{n}=\frac{2}{5}, \mu=\frac{7}{10}, \alpha_{n}=\frac{3}{10}, \beta_{n}=\frac{n}{3 n+2}, \epsilon=\frac{1}{10}, \gamma_{n, 0}=$ $\gamma_{n, 1}=\gamma_{n, 2}=\gamma_{n, 3}=\gamma_{n, 4}=\frac{1}{5}$, then $\epsilon, \mu, \eta_{n}, \alpha_{n}, \beta_{n}$ and $\gamma_{n, i}$ satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 3.4. Therefore scheme 7 takes the following form;

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\theta_{n}=x_{n}+\alpha_{n}\left(x_{n}-x_{n-1}\right)  \tag{43}\\
y_{n}=\frac{1-6 \eta_{n}}{2 \eta_{n}+1} \theta_{n} \\
\Gamma_{n}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{R}:\left\langle J \theta_{n}-\eta_{n} w_{n}-J y_{n}, z-y_{n}\right\rangle \leq 0\right\} \\
z_{n}=\frac{\theta_{n}-6 \eta_{n} y_{n}}{2 \eta_{n}+1} \\
\frac{\theta_{n}}{i+3} \leq t_{n, i} \leq \frac{\theta_{n}}{i}, i=1,2,3,4 \\
\left.u_{n}=\beta_{n} z_{n}+\left(1-\beta_{n}\right)\left[\frac{1}{5} \theta_{n}+\frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^{4} t_{n, i}\right]\right) \\
C_{n+1}=\left\{z \in C_{n}: z \leq \frac{\theta_{n}+u_{n}}{2}\right\} \\
x_{n+1}=\Pi_{C_{n+1}} x_{0}=\frac{\theta_{n}+u_{n}}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using (43) the numerical results using MATLAB is given in Figure 1 and Figure 2.


Figure 1: Convergence process of $\left\{\left(x_{n}\right\}\right.$
with initial points $x_{0}=15, x_{1}=-10$


Figure 2: Convergence process of $\left\{\left(x_{n}\right\}\right.$
with initial points $x_{0}=-35, x_{1}=25$

## 5. Conclusion

We studied an inertial hybrid self-adaptive subgradient extragradient algorithm in a real uniformly convex Banach space which is also uniformly smooth. Strong convergence Theorem was proved to approximate solutions of pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and fixed points of quasi- $\phi$-nonexpansive multivalued mappings. Numerical example was presented to show that our iteratative scheme is implementable.
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