



Some Fixed Point Theorems for Cyclic Mapping in a Complete B-metric-like Space

Shengquan Weng, Xingyan Liu, Zengfu Chao

Faculty of Science, Yibin University, Yibin, Sichuan, 644600, China

Abstract

In recent years, the research of fixed point theorem is a hot topic all the time. In this paper, we proposed the notion of a new mapping, that is, a general LW-type Lipschitz cyclic mapping, in complete b-metric-like spaces. Then, we obtained the existence and uniqueness theorems of its fixed point. Moreover, we give some examples to illustrate the main results in this paper.

Keywords: b-metric-like space, fixed point theorem, cyclic mapping.

2010 MSC: 47H09, 47H10, 47J25.

1. Introduction

Fixed point theory play an important role in the field of functional analysis, even in the whole mathematical field. With the development of generation of metric space ([1, 2, 3, 11, 12, 13]), the fixed point theory is further extended.

Next, we introduce the concept of a metric space as follows.

Definition 1.1. Let X be a nonempty set, $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that for all $x, y \in X$ the following three conditions satisfy:

(d1) $d(x, y) \geq 0$, $d(x, y) = 0 \iff x = y$;

(d2) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;

(d3) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$.

Then, the pair (X, d) is called a metric space.

Example 1 Usually, we define the metric by the absolute value, that is, $d(x, y) = |x - y|$. It is easy to verify that a metric defined like this satisfies the conditions d1 – d3.

Email addresses: ss1dstg@1qq.com (Shengquan Weng), 1125221477@qq.com (Xingyan Liu), 53475633@qq.com (Zengfu Chao)

The concept of b-metric-like space was introduced in [4] as follows.

Definition 1.2. A b-metric-like on a nonempty set X is a function $D : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$ and a constant $K \geq 1$ the following three conditions hold true:

(D1) if $D(x, y) = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$;

(D2) $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$;

(D3) $D(x, y) \leq K(D(x, z) + D(z, y))$.

The pair (X, D) is called a b-metric-like space.

Example 2 Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and let $r : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by $r(x, y) = (x + y)^2$. It is easy to know that $s = 2$ and $(X, r, 2)$ is a complete b-metric-like space.

Example 3 Let $X = C([0, T])$ be the set of real continuous functions on $[0, T]$. We endow X with the b-metric-like

$$R_\infty(u, v) = \sup_{t \in [0, T]} (|u(t)| + |v(t)|)^2, \text{ for all } u, v \in X.$$

Clearly, $(X, R_\infty, 2)$ is a complete b-metric-like space.

In a metric space, Banach contractions principle is a classical result in fixed point theory. Here, we state the concept of contractive mapping as follows.

Definition 1.3. Let X be a metric space, $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping, if there exists a constant $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$, the following condition is satisfied:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y).$$

Then, T is called a contractive mapping.

It is natural that Banach contractions principle [5] is extended in kinds of metric space, for example, partial metric space, b-metric space, b-metric-like space and so on.

In 2017, Lei and Wu [6] proposed the concept of LW -type cyclic mapping in a complete b-metric-like space (especially, the meaning of b-metric-like is as Definition 1.2) as follows.

Definition 1.4. Let (X, r) be a b-metric-like space, G_1, G_2 be nonempty closed sets in (X, r) . If (B, S) is a pair semi-cyclic mapping in $G_1 \times G_2$ and exists some nonnegative real constants γ, δ, t such that for all $x \in G_1, y \in G_2$ satisfy the following condition:

$$\beta r(x, Bx) + \delta r(y, Sy) + tr(Bx, Sy) \leq r(x, y).$$

Then, (B, S) is called as a LW -type cyclic mapping.

They obtained the one main fixed point theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.1 Suppose that (X, r) is a complete b-metric-like space. Let (B, S) be a general LW -type cyclic mapping, and G_1, G_2 be nonempty closed sets in (X, r) . If $\beta + \delta + t > s, t > 1$, then there exists a unique $x^* \in G_1 \cap G_2$ such that $Bx^* = x^* = Sx^*$, that is, B and S have a unique common fixed point.

In 2018, S.Q.Weng, Y.Zhang and D.P. Wu [7] proposed the concept of LW -type cyclic mapping in a complete b-metric-like space as follows.

Definition 1.5. Let (X, r) be a b-metric-like space, G_1, G_2 be nonempty closed sets in (X, r) . If (B, S) is a pair semi-cyclic mapping in $G_1 \times G_2$ and exists some nonnegative real constants γ, δ, t, L and $L < \gamma + \delta + t$ such that for all $x \in G_1, y \in G_2$ satisfy the following condition:

$$\beta r(x, Bx) + \delta r(y, Sy) + tr(Bx, Sy) \leq Lr(x, y).$$

Then, (B, S) is called as a LW -type cyclic mapping.

They obtained the one main fixed point theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.2 Suppose that (X, r) is a complete b-metric-like space. Let (B, S) be a general LW -type Lipschitz cyclic mapping, and G_1, G_2 be nonempty closed sets in (X, r) . If $L \leq t, L = \max\{\beta, \delta\}, \beta \neq \delta$, and $s \in [1, \frac{L+t}{|\beta-\delta|})$, then there exists a unique $x^* \in G_1 \cap G_2$ such that $Bx^* = x^* = Sx^*$, that is, B and S have a unique common fixed point.

In 2019, S.Q.Weng [8] proposed the concept of a general LW -type cyclic mapping in a complete b-metric-like space as follows.

Based on this, we propose the notion of a mapping, and named it as a general LW -type cyclic mapping as follows.

Definition 1.6. Let (X, r) be a b-metric-like space, G_1, G_2 be nonempty closed sets in (X, r) . If (B, S) is a pair semi-cyclic mapping in $G_1 \times G_2$ and exists some nonnegative functions γ, δ, t such that for all $x \in G_1, y \in G_2$ satisfy the following condition:

$$\beta(r(x, y))r(x, Bx) + \delta(r(x, y))r(y, Sy) + t(r(x, y))r(Bx, Sy) \leq r(x, y),$$

where $\gamma, \delta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $t : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$. Then, (B, S) is called as a general LW -type cyclic mapping.

They obtained the one main fixed point theorem as follows.

Theorem 1.3 Suppose that (X, r) is a complete b-metric-like space. Let (B, S) be a general LW -type cyclic mapping, and G_1, G_2 be nonempty closed sets in (X, r) . If it exists some λ such that the following conditions are satisfied: (1) $\max\{\frac{1-\beta(z)}{\delta(z)+t(z)}, \frac{1-\delta(z)}{\beta(z)+t(z)}, z \in [0, \infty)\} \leq \lambda < 1$, (2) $\lambda s < 1$. Then there exists a unique $x^* \in G_1 \cap G_2$ such that $Bx^* = x^* = Sx^*$, that is, B and S have a unique common fixed point.

Based on this, inspired by *Definition 1.5* and *Definition 1.6*, we propose the notion of a mapping, and named it as a general LW -type cyclic mapping as follows.

Definition 1.7. Let (X, r) be a b-metric-like space, G_1, G_2 be nonempty closed sets in (X, r) . If (B, S) is a pair semi-cyclic mapping in $G_1 \times G_2$ and exists some nonnegative functions γ, δ, t and a nonnegative real number L such that for all $x \in G_1, y \in G_2$ satisfy the following condition:

$$\beta(r(x, y))r(x, Bx) + \delta(r(x, y))r(y, Sy) + t(r(x, y))r(Bx, Sy) \leq Lr(x, y),$$

where $\gamma, \delta : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$, $t : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$. Then, (B, S) is called as a general LW -type Lipschitz cyclic mapping.

Later, we will show that the number L is constrained by function $t(x)$ within a range.

In fact, it is easy to know that the general LW -type Lipschitz cyclic mapping is more general than the LW -type cyclic mapping. In fact, it is obvious that a general LW -type Lipschitz cyclic mapping is a general LW -type cyclic mapping when $L = 1$. Therefore, we extended the previous research work.

2. Preliminaries

We collect some necessary definitions, which will be used in next section.

Definition 2.1. [4] Let (X, r) be a b-metric-like space, and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of points of X . A point $x \in X$ is said to be the limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(x, x_n) = r(x, x)$, and we say that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent to x and denote it by $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the previous, we have given the definition of the quasi-B metric space, now we give the definition of cauchy sequence and convergence in the b-like-metric space.

Definition 2.2. [4] Let (X, r) be a b-metric-like space.

(i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.

(ii) A b-metric-like space (X, r) is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges to $x \in X$ so that

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} r(x_n, x_m) = r(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(x_n, x).$$

Definition 2.3. [4] Let G_1, G_2 be nonempty sets of metric space, if $B(G_1) \subset G_2$, and $S(G_2) \subset G_1$, then the mapping $(B, S) : G_1 \times G_2 \rightarrow G_2 \times G_1$ is called as a pair semi-cyclic mapping, where B is said to be a lower semi-cyclic mapping, S is said to be an upper semi-cyclic mapping. If $B = S$, then B is said to be a cyclic mapping.

Definition 2.4. [9] If \prec is a partially ordered in b-metric-like spaces (X, r) , then (X, r, \prec) is a partially ordered b-metric-like space.

3. Main results

Next, we give main results in this section.

Theorem 3.1 Suppose that (X, r) is a complete b-metric-like space. Let (B, S) be a general LW -type cyclic mapping, and G_1, G_2 be nonempty closed sets in (X, r) and $G_1 \cap G_2$. If it exists some λ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\max\{\frac{L-\beta(z)}{\delta(z)+t(z)}, \frac{L-\delta(z)}{\beta(z)+t(z)}, z \in [0, +\infty)\} \leq \lambda < 1$,
- (2) $\lambda s < 1$,
- (3) $L \in [1, t(z)]$.

Then there exists a unique $x^* \in G_1 \cap G_2$ such that $Bx^* = x^* = Sx^*$, that is, B and S have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. The sequence $\{x_n\}$ is defined as follows:

$$x_0 \in G_1, x_1 = Bx_0, x_2 = Sx_1, x_3 = Bx_2, x_4 = Sx_3, \dots, n \geq 0.$$

Step 1 Prove that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence. Because (B, S) be a general LW -Type cyclic mapping, thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} Lr(x_0, x_1) &\geq \beta(r(x_0, x_1))r(x_0, Bx_0) + \delta(r(x_0, x_1))r(x_1, Sx_1) + t(r(x_0, x_1))r(Bx_0, Sx_1) \\ &= \beta(r(x_0, x_1))r(x_0, x_1) + \delta(r(x_0, x_1))r(x_1, x_2) + t(r(x_0, x_1))r(x_1, x_2), \end{aligned}$$

so we obtain

$$r(x_1, x_2) \leq \frac{L - \beta(r(x_0, x_1))}{\delta(r(x_0, x_1)) + t(r(x_0, x_1))} r(x_0, x_1). \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} Lr(x_2, x_1) &\geq \beta(r(x_2, x_1))r(x_2, Bx_2) + \delta(r(x_2, x_1))r(x_1, Sx_1) + t(r(x_2, x_1))r(Bx_2, Sx_1) \\ &= \beta(r(x_2, x_1))r(x_2, x_3) + \delta(r(x_2, x_1))r(x_1, x_2) + t(r(x_2, x_1))r(x_3, x_2) \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(x_2, x_3) &\leq \frac{L - \delta(r(x_2, x_1))}{\beta(r(x_2, x_1)) + t(r(x_2, x_1))} r(x_1, x_2) \\ &\leq \frac{L - \delta(r(x_2, x_1))}{\beta(r(x_2, x_1)) + t(r(x_2, x_1))} \cdot \frac{L - \beta(r(x_2, x_1))}{\delta(r(x_2, x_1)) + t(r(x_2, x_1))} r(x_0, x_1) \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Because of $\max\{\frac{1-\beta(z)}{\delta(z)+t(z)}, \frac{1-\delta(z)}{\beta(z)+t(z)}, z \in [0, +\infty)\} \leq \lambda < 1$, then

$$\max\left\{\frac{L - \beta(r(x_i, x_{i-1}))}{\delta(r(x_i, x_{i-1})) + t(r(x_i, x_{i-1}))}, \frac{L - \delta(r(x_i, x_{i-1}))}{\beta(r(x_i, x_{i-1})) + t(r(x_i, x_{i-1}))}, x_i \in X, i \in N\right\} \leq \lambda < 1. \quad (3.3)$$

By (3.2) and (3.3), let $r(x_0, x_1) = C$, we have

$$r(x_2, x_3) \leq \lambda^2 C. \quad (3.4)$$

Let $m > n, \forall m, n \in N$, by $\lambda s < 1$, this shows

$$\begin{aligned} r(x_n, x_m) &\leq sr(x_n, x_{n+1}) + s^2r(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + \dots + s^{m-n}r(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &\leq sL^n r(x_0, z_1) + s^2\lambda^{n+1}r(x_0, x_1) + \dots + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1}r(x_0, z_1) \\ &= [s\lambda^n + s^2\lambda^{n+1} + \dots + s^{m-n}\lambda^{m-1}]C \\ &= [(s\lambda) + (s\lambda)^2 + \dots + (s\lambda)^{m-n}]\lambda^{n-1}C \\ &= \frac{1 - (s\lambda)^{m-n}}{1 - (s\lambda)} s\lambda^n C \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1 - (s\lambda)} \lambda^{n-1} C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

From (3.4) (3.5), let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r(x_n, x_m) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

This implies from (3.6) that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Due to, (X, r) is a complete b-metric-like space, then there exists a sequence $x \in X$ such that

$$x_n \rightarrow x \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Therefore,

$$x_{2n} \rightarrow x; \quad x_{2n+1} \rightarrow x \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Because $\{x_{2n}\} \subset G_1$, $\{x_{2n+1}\} \subset G_2$, and G_1, G_2 is closed, then

$$x \in G_1 \cap G_2.$$

Step 2 Prove that x is a fixed point of the mapping B and S , that is, $Bx = x = Sx$. Because (B, S) be a general LW -type cyclic mapping, then we get that

$$\beta(r(x, x))r(x, Bx) + \delta(r(x, x))r(x, Sx) + t(r(x, x))r(Bx, Sx) \leq Lr(x, x),$$

Due to $r(x, x) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} r(x_m, x_n)$. Then, by (3.6) we have

$$r(x, Bx) = 0, \quad r(x, Sx) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

It implies that

$$Bx = x = Sx. \quad (3.8)$$

Step 3 Prove that the mappings B and S have a unique common fixed point. Now, let $x, x^* \in X$ are the common fixed points of mappings B and S in X . Then, by Definition 1.8 and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(x, x^*) &\geq \beta(r(x, x^*))r(x, Bx) + \delta(r(x, x^*))r(x^*, Sx^*) + t(r(x, x^*))r(Bx, Sx^*) \\ &\geq \beta(r(x, x^*))r(x, x) + \delta(r(x, x^*))r(x^*, x^*) + t(r(x, x^*))r(x, x^*) \\ &= t(r(x, x^*))r(x, x^*). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$Lr(x, x^*) \geq t(r(x, x^*))r(x, x^*).$$

Due to, $L \in [1, t(x)]$, that is $L < t(x)$, this is a contradiction. Thus, we obtain that

$$r(x, x^*) = 0, \quad \text{that is, } x = x^*.$$

This completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.2 Suppose that (X, r) is a complete partially ordered b-metric-like space. Let (B, S) be a general LW -type Lipschitz cyclic mapping, and G_1, G_2 be nonempty closed sets in (X, r) and $G_1 \cap G_2$. If the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\max\left\{\frac{1-\beta(z)}{\delta(z)+t(z)}, \frac{1-\delta(z)}{\beta(z)+t(z)}, z \in [0, +\infty)\right\} \leq \lambda < 1$,
- (2) $\lambda s < 1$,
- (3) $L \in [1, t(z)]$.

Then there exists a unique $x^* \in G_1 \cap G_2$ such that $Bx^* = x^* = Sx^*$, that is, B and S have a unique common fixed point.

4. Illustration of Results

In this section, we will give a concrete example to illustrate the effectiveness of a general LW -type cyclic mapping and show the rationality of the obtained theorems.

Example 4.1 Consider $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$, $G_1 = \{0, 1\}$, $G_2 = \{1, 2\}$ and let $r : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} r(0, 0) = 0, \quad r(1, 1) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad r(2, 2) = \frac{15}{4}, \quad r(0, 1) = r(1, 0) = \frac{3}{4}, \\ r(0, 2) = r(2, 0) = \frac{3}{2}, \quad r(1, 2) = r(2, 1) = 3. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that (X, r) is a complete b -metric-like space with constant $s = \frac{4}{3}$. This can see the reference[10]. Moreover, the mapping B and S be defined as $B(0) = 2, B(1) = 1, S(1) = 1, S(2) = 1$.

According to the definition of a general LW -type cyclic mapping, we will choose proper functions β, δ, t to satisfy the conditions in Theorem 3.1.

We recall the definition of a general LW -type cyclic mapping, that is,

$$\beta(r(x, y))r(x, Bx) + \delta(r(x, y))r(y, Sy) + t(r(x, y))r(Bx, Sy) \leq Lr(x, y),$$

and let

$$\beta(x) = \frac{x}{10+x}, \quad \delta(x) = \frac{x^2}{10+x^2}, \quad t(x) = 1+x.$$

In fact, we need to find a proper number λ to satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.1 as follows:

- (1) $\max\{\frac{1-\beta(z)}{\delta(z)+t(z)}, \frac{1-\delta(z)}{\beta(z)+t(z)}, z \in [0, +\infty)\} \leq \lambda < 1$,
- (2) $\lambda s < 1$.
- (3) $L \in [1, t(z)]$.

Taking L as $1 + \frac{t(z)-1}{2}$, that is $L = 1 + \frac{t(z)-1}{2}$, next, we will find λ by some concrete calculation, we will find λ by some concrete calculation. Recall that the mapping B and S be defined as $B(0) = 2, B(1) = 1, S(1) = 1, S(2) = 1$, so we obtain that it should take z as $r(0, 1), r(0, 2), r(1, 1), r(1, 2)$.

If we choose $z = r(1, 1) = \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\beta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{11}, \quad \delta\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{5}{11}, \quad t\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{3}{2},$$

by $L \in [1, \frac{3}{2}]$ and let $L = \frac{5}{4}$, thus,

$$\max\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{35}{74}\right\} = \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda < 1.$$

If we choose $z = r(0, 1) = \frac{3}{4}$, then

$$\beta\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{7}{43}, \quad \delta\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{25}{169}, \quad t\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) = \frac{7}{4},$$

by $L \in [1, \frac{7}{4}]$ and let $L = \frac{11}{8}$, thus,

$$\max\left\{\frac{413}{638}, \frac{1659}{2566}\right\} = \frac{417}{638} \leq \lambda < 1.$$

If we choose $z = r(0, 2) = \frac{3}{2}$, then

$$\beta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{5}{23}, \quad \delta\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{13}{49}, \quad t\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{5}{2},$$

by $L \in [1, \frac{5}{2}]$ and let $L = \frac{7}{4}$, thus,

$$\max\left\{\frac{141}{250}, \frac{291}{542}\right\} = \frac{291}{542} \leq \lambda < 1.$$

If we choose $z = r(1, 2) = 3$, then

$$\beta(3) = \frac{4}{13}, \quad \delta(3) = \frac{10}{19}, \quad t(3) = 4,$$

by $L \in [1, 4]$ and let $L = \frac{5}{2}$, thus,

$$\max\left\{\frac{57}{112}, \frac{75}{172}\right\} = \frac{57}{112} \leq \lambda < 1.$$

From above, it shows that we can choose $\lambda \in [\frac{291}{542}, \frac{3}{4})$, by virtue of $s = \frac{4}{3}$, and we obtain that $\lambda s < 1$. This implies that the conditions given in Theorems 3.1 are feasible and meaningful. At the same time, we can know that the mappings B and S have a unique common fixed point under that conditions.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No.11704329 and Sichuan Provincial Department of Science and Technology No.412-0217004201.

References

- [1] S.G.Matthews: Partial metric topology. In: Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications. Ann. New York Acad. Sci., vol. 728, pp. 183-197 (1994).
- [2] A.H.Amini, Metric-like spaces, partial metric spaces and fixed points. J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012, Article ID204 (2012).
- [3] S.Czerwik, Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav. 1, 5-11 (1993).
- [4] Alghamdi et al.: Fixed point and coupled fixed point theorems on b-metric-like spaces. J. Ine. App. 2013, 2013(1):402.
- [5] S.Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations integrales, Fundamenta Mathematicae,3(1922), 133-181.
- [6] M.Lei., D.P.Wu, Fixed Point Theorems Concerning New Type Cyclic Maps in Complete B-metric-like Spaces, J.Chengdu University Inf Tec. 1.32(2017):82-85.
- [7] Sh.Weng,Y.Zhang, D.P.Wu, Fixed Point Theorems of LW-Type Lipschitz Cyclic Mappings in Complete B-Metric-Like Spaces, Int.J.Res.Ind.Eng.Vol.7,No.2(2018)136-146.
- [8] S.Q.Weng, Some Fixed Point Results Involving a General LW-Type Cyclic Mapping in Complete B-Metric-Like Spaces, Int.J.Res.Ind.Eng.Vol.8,No.3(2019) 262-273.
- [9] H.Aydi, A.Felhi, S.Sahmim, On common fixed points for (α, ψ) -contractions and generalized cyclic contractions in b-metric-like spaces and consequences. J.Nonlinear. Sci. Appl, 9(2016)2492-2510.
- [10] H.K.Nashinea., Z.Kadelburg.:Existence of Solutions of Cantilever Beam Problem via $(\alpha - \beta - FG)$ -Contractions in b-Metric-Like Spaces. Filomat 31,11 (2017), 3057-3074.
- [11] A.Fulga., E.Karapinar., G.Petruşel, On Hybrid Contractions in the Context of Quasi-Metric Spaces, Mathematics,8(2020),675.
- [12] C.Chifu, E.Karapinar, G.Petruşel, Fixed point results in varepsilon-chainable complete b-metric spaces, J.Fixed Point Theory, Volume 21, No.2,2020, 453-464, July 1st, 2020.
- [13] E.Karapinar, C.Chifu, Results in wt-Distance over b-Metric Spaces, Mathematics,8(2020),220.