

## Weight-Length Relationships for 39 Fish Species from the North-Eastern Mediterranean Coast of Turkey

Levent Sangun<sup>1,\*</sup>, Erhan Akamca<sup>1</sup>, Mustafa Akar<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Fisheries, Cukurova University, 01330 Balcali, Adana, Turkey

\* Corresponding Author: Tel.: +90. 322 3386893; Fax: +90. 322 3386439;  
E-mail: lsangun@cu.edu.tr

Received 08 November 2005  
Accepted 02 February 2007

### Abstract

Weight-Length relationships (WLRs) were estimated for 39 fish species from the north-eastern Mediterranean coast of Turkey. Samples were collected using trawl and longline gears at depths ranging from 5 to 100 meters. Captures were made between the years 2001 and 2003. The best-represented family was Sparidae with seven species, distantly followed by Serranidae (five species), Bothidae, Centracanthidae, Mullidae, Ophichthidae and Triglidae (two species). The remaining 17 families were represented only by one species. The estimates for the parameter  $b$  of the WLR ( $W = aL^b$ ) ranged between 2.334 and 3.564 with a median of 2.987.

*Key words:* weight-length relationships, fish, North-Eastern Mediterranean

### Introduction

The estimation of population size of a fish stock for the purpose of its rational exploitation often requires knowledge of individual body WLRs in the population (Dulčić and Kraljević, 1996).

WLRs have several applications, namely on fish biology, physiology, ecology and fisheries assessment. In biological studies, WLR enables seasonal variation in fish growth to be followed and the calculation of condition indexes. WLR gives us life history and morphological comparisons between different fish species or between different fish populations from different habitats (Gonçalves *et al.*, 1997; Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995, Santos *et al.*, 2002). This study was conducted to determine WLRs of 39 fish species from the north-eastern Mediterranean Sea coast of Turkey.

### Materials and Methods

Weight-Length data reported in this study were collected between 2001 and 2003 from the north-eastern Mediterranean coast of Turkey. Species were caught by trawl and longline gears at depths, ranging from 5 to 100 meters. Fish species were identified according to Aksiray (1987), Whitehead *et al.*, (1986). The individuals were weighted with a digital balance to an accuracy of 0.01 g and measured with a precision of 0.1 cm for their total length.

The relationship between the length and weight of a fish is usually expressed by the equation,  $W = aL^b$ . Where  $W$  is body weight (g),  $L$  is total length (cm),  $a$  is a coefficient related to body form and  $b$  is an exponent indicating isometric growth when equal to 3 (Edwards, 1976; Draper and Smith, 1981; Beverton and Holt, 1996).

The parameters  $a$  and  $b$  of WLR were estimated by the least-square method from logarithmically transformed data, and the association degree between weight-length variables was calculated by the determination coefficient ( $r^2$ ). The statistical significance level of  $r^2$  and 95% confidence limits of the parameters  $a$  and  $b$  were estimated (Santos *et al.*, 2002).

Values of the exponent  $b$  provide information on fish growth. When  $b=3$ , increase in weight is isometric. When the value of  $b$  is other than 3, weight increase is allometric, (positive allometric if  $b>3$ , negative allometric if  $b<3$ ). The null hypothesis of the isometric growth ( $H_0: b = 3$ ) was tested by t - test, using the statistic:  $t_s = (b-3)/S_b$ , where  $S_b$  is the standard error of the slope, for  $\alpha=0.05$  for testing significant differences among slopes ( $b$ ) between two regressions for the same species (Morey *et al.*, 2003).

### Results and Discussion

For this particular study, 7778 individuals belonging to 39 fish species from 24 families were sampled. The best represented family was Sparidae with seven species, distantly followed by Serranidae (five species) and Bothidae, Centracanthidae, Mullidae, Ophichthidae and Triglidae (two species). The remaining 17 families were represented only by one species.

In numerical terms, the most abundant species were *Pagrus caeruleostictus* with 684 individuals, followed by *Upeneus moluccensis* (651) and *Leiognathus klunzingeri* (632).

The results obtained in the estimation of WLRs of 39 selected fish species, along with several descriptive statistics are given in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Descriptive statistics and W-L relationship parameters for 39 selected fish species of the north-eastern Mediterranean coast of Turkey (N: sample size; W: weight (g); min: minimum; max: maximum; L: length (cm); S.D.: standard deviation; S.E.: standard error; C.I.: confidence interval; *b*: slope)

Family / Species	N	W, mean±S.D. ( <i>W</i> <sub>min</sub> - <i>W</i> <sub>max</sub> )	L, mean±S.D. ( <i>L</i> <sub>min</sub> - <i>L</i> <sub>max</sub> )	W-L equation	Determination Coefficient ( <i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> )	S.E. of <i>b</i> <sup>a</sup> (95% C.I. of <i>b</i> )	Growth Type
<b>Balistidae</b>							
<i>Balistes capriscus</i>	123	34.98±28.45 (3.25 – 121.58)	12.03±4.09 (5.9 – 40.9)	$W = 0.0678L^{2.429}$	0.89**	0.126 (2.179 – 2.678)	Allometric (-)
<b>Bleniidae</b>							
<i>Blennius ocellaris</i>	31	23.17±11.58 (4.27 – 41.14)	10.98±2.35 (6.8 – 17.2)	$W = 0.0411L^{2.605}$	0.95**	0.169 (2.261 – 2.950)	Allometric (-)
<b>Bothidae</b>							
<i>Bothus podas</i>	90	8.56±8.90 (2.38 – 47.14)	8.89±2.40 (6.2 – 15.7)	$W = 0.0096L^{3.002}$	0.98**	0.063 (2.877 – 3.128)	Isometric
<i>Arnoglossus laterna</i>	291	7.88±3.15 (0.94 – 16.49)	9.58±1.48 (4.5 – 13.4)	$W = 0.0122L^{2.835}$	0.95**	0.055 (2.158 – 2.943)	Allometric (-)
<b>Bregmacerotidae</b>							
<i>Bregmaceros atlanticus</i>	16	1.73±0.48 (1.06 – 2.57)	6.75±0.48 (5.95 – 7.6)	$W = 0.0032L^{3.289}$	0.83**	0.585 (2.034-4.543)	Allometric (+)
<b>Citharidae</b>							
<i>Citharus linguatula</i>	338	18.12±10.17 (2.00 - 57.50)	13.15±2.74 (6.5 – 21.3)	$W = 0.0114L^{2.819}$	0.98**	0.350 (2.751 – 2.888)	Allometric (-)
<b>Cynoglossidae</b>							
<i>Cynoglossus simusarabici</i>	96	12.85±6.50 (4.75 – 39.17)	11.81±2.03 (8.2 – 18.2)	$W = 0.0308L^{2.414}$	0.91**	0.112 (2.192 – 2.637)	Allometric (-)
<b>Carangidae</b>							
<i>Trachurus mediterraneus</i>	373	19.87±11.83 (2.46 - 60.59)	13.15±2.19 (7-19.1)	$W = 0.0128L^{2.810}$	0.88**	0.078 (2.656 – 2.964)	Allometric (-)
<b>Centracanthidae</b>							
<i>Spicara maena</i>	298	18.36±7.86 (5.26 – 55.23)	11.96±1.57 (8.7 – 17.1)	$W = 0.0080L^{3.093}$	0.91**	0.082 (2.932 – 3.254)	Isometric
<i>Spicara smaris</i>	176	19.13±8.18 (5.12 – 52.64)	11.99±1.67 (7.5 – 16.9)	$W = 0.0288L^{2.594}$	0.92**	0.085 (2.426 – 2.761)	Allometric (-)
<b>Engraulidae</b>							
<i>Engraulis encrasicolus</i>	392	11.14±6.28 (2.00 – 34.99)	11.37±2.29 (7 – 17)	$W = 0.0156L^{2.661}$	0.96**	0.040 (2.581-2.740)	Allometric (-)
<b>Gadidae</b>							
<i>Merluccius merluccius</i>	29	53.06±26.12 (14.20 – 11.63)	22.09±4.21 (13.2 - 31)	$W = 0.0337L^{2.353}$	0.93**	0.184 (1.975 – 2.731)	Allometric (-)
<b>Haemulidae</b>							
<i>Pomadasys incisus</i>	23	44.80±17.24 (23.31 – 86.09)	15.01±1.91 (11.9 - 19)	$W = 0.0199L^{2.834}$	0.97**	0.162 (2.497 – 3.171)	Isometric
<b>Leiognathidae</b>							
<i>Leiognathus klunzingeri</i>	632	5.23±3.09 (0.43 – 15.49)	7.27±1.46 (1.9 – 10)	$W = 0.0075L^{3.224}$	0.97**	0.035 (3.158- 3.294)	Allometric (+)
<b>Monacanthidae</b>							
<i>Stephanolepis diaspros</i>	52	25.61±12.14 (8.19 – 55.12)	10.89±1.77 (7.3 – 14.2)	$W = 0.0276L^{2.832}$	0.98**	0.092 (2.647 – 3.018)	Isometric
<b>Mullidae</b>							
<i>Mullus barbatus</i>	451	17.75±12.36 (4.96 – 106.26)	11.64±2.15 (8.2 – 22)	$W = 0.0032L^{3.060}$	0.94**	0.051 (2.959 – 3.160)	Isometric
<i>Upeneus moluccensis</i>	651	13.70±11.31 (3.41 - 69.90)	10.79±2.01 (7-18)	$W = 0.0024L^{3.564}$	0.98**	0.027 (3.511 – 3.617)	Allometric (+)
<b>Ophichthidae</b>							
<i>Echelus myrus</i>	14	116.36±57.46 (4.19 – 213.68)	52.41±11.41 (30.9 – 67.5)	$W = 0.0131L^{2.277}$	0.98**	0.151 (1.947 – 2.607)	Allometric (-)
<i>Ophisurus serpens</i>	41	71.24±44.36 (2.7 – 172.40)	36.58±8.58 (12.1 – 50.1)	$W = 0.0015L^{2.959}$	0.99**	0.790 (2.799 – 3.119)	Isometric
<b>Scombridae</b>							
<i>Scomber japonicus</i>	11	52.81±14.53 (41.75 – 93.06)	18.83±1.35 (17.1 - 22)	$W = 0.0056L^{3.113}$	0.95**	0.353 (2.317 – 3.913)	Isometric
<b>Serranidae</b>							
<i>Ephinephelus aeneus</i>	24	409.74±262.43 (45.48 – 855.32)	30.98±8.44 (16 – 42.2)	$W = 0.0120L^{2.987}$	0.99**	0.037 (2.909 – 3.064)	Isometric
<i>Ephinephelus marginatus</i>	48	135.39±94.33 (16.95 – 411.90)	20.165±4.17 (13.1 – 29.4)	$W = 0.0116L^{3.065}$	0.91**	0.208 (2.647 – 3.484)	Isometric
<i>Serranus cabrilla</i>	126	26.24±17.28 (3.56 – 74.49)	12.65±3.05 (7.1 – 18.9)	$W = 0.0662L^{3.220}$	0.98**	0.054 (3.113 – 3.328)	Allometric (+)
<i>Serranus hepatus</i>	573	9.96±3.70 (1.69 - 38.56)	8.42±1.10 (4.8 - 13)	$W = 0.0143L^{3.044}$	0.95**	0.041 (2.963 – 3.125)	Isometric
<i>Serranus scriba</i>	8	45.61±11.46 (32.73 – 65.51)	14.96±1.04 (13.6 - 17)	$W = 0.0044L^{3.409}$	0.95**	0.441 (2.331 – 4.487)	Allometric (+)

\*\*  $P < 0.01$ ; \*  $P < 0.05$

Table 1. (Continued)

Family / Species	N	W, mean±S.D. ( $W_{min}$ - $W_{max}$ )	L, mean±S.D. ( $L_{min}$ - $L_{max}$ )	W-L equation	Determination Coefficient ( $r^2$ )	S.E. of $b^a$ (95% C.I. of $b$ )	Growth Type
<b>Sparidae</b>							
<i>Boops boops</i>	172	40.62±15.49 (10.66-110.76)	16.77±1.90 (11.20-21.1)	$W = 0.0072 L^{3.083}$	0.93**	0.096 (2.894-3.271)	Isometric
<i>Diplodus annularis</i>	154	30.12±7.37 (14.4-51.45)	12.14±0.99 (10.3-15)	$W = 0.0370 L^{2.677}$	0.90**	0.110 (2.459-2.895)	Allometric (-)
<i>Diplodus sargus</i>	36	113.81±70.38 (22.13-309.50)	17.96±3.48 (11.2-25.3)	$W = 0.0108 L^{3.166}$	0.99**	0.064 (3.036-3.297)	Allometric (+)
<i>Pagellus acarne</i>	83	37.46±8.35 (16.49-57.43)	14.48±1.04 (11-17)	$W = 0.0186 L^{2.841}$	0.91**	0.146 (2.551-3.131)	Isometric
<i>Pagellus erythrinus</i>	222	24.65±15.12 (1.97-61.91)	12.36±2.82 (7.9-31.58)	$W = 0.0145 L^{2.905}$	0.94**	0.072 (2.763-3.047)	Isometric
<i>Pagrus caeruleostictus</i>	684	21.06±15.03 (2.36-125.26)	11.32±2.52 (5.5-20.4)	$W = 0.0125 L^{2.995}$	0.97**	0.031 (2.934-3.056)	Isometric
<i>Sparus aurata</i>	298	87.99±44.64 (15.01-236.30)	18.22±2.99 (10.3-31.8)	$W = 0.0220 L^{2.835}$	0.90**	0.080 (2.678-2.992)	Allometric (-)
<b>Synodontidae</b>							
<i>Saurida undosquamis</i>	416	36.41±17.99 (5.67-122.73)	17.6±2.7 (10.6-26.1)	$W = 0.0039 L^{3.159}$	0.96**	0.044 (3.073-3.246)	Allometric (+)
<b>Tetraodontidae</b>							
<i>Lagocephalus lagocephalus</i>	27	70.77±50.39 (13.85-198.18)	15.80±2.93 (12.3-22.5)	$W = 0.0066 L^{3.302}$	0.85**	0.408 (2.461-4.142)	Allometric (+)
<b>Trachinidae</b>							
<i>Trachinus draco</i>	54	17.16±12.33 (4.51-53.18)	13.05±2.97 (9-20)	$W = 0.0052 L^{3.090}$	0.99**	0.044 (3.002-3.178)	Isometric
<b>Triglidae</b>							
<i>Chelidonichthys lucernus</i>	474	22.14±16.18 (2.79-154.32)	13.26±2.40 (6.7-24.5)	$W = 0.0166 L^{2.743}$	0.95**	0.043 (2.659-2.828)	Allometric (-)
<i>Chelidonichthys lastoviza</i>	75	20.04±17.57 (2.49-85.86)	11.59±3.08 (6.5-19.3)	$W = 0.0085 L^{3.079}$	0.99**	0.049 (2.981-3.176)	Isometric
<b>Trichiuridae</b>							
<i>Trichiurus lepturus</i>	84	53.35±27.68 (14.19-167.95)	42.95±6.05 (20.5-58.8)	$W = 0.0083 L^{2.334}$	0.73**	0.238 (1.860-2.809)	Allometric (-)
<b>Uranoscopidae</b>							
<i>Uranoscopus scaber</i>	92	56.54±55.85 (2.15-307.96)	14.08±3.94 (5.2-24.7)	$W = 0.0103 L^{3.153}$	0.99**	0.056 (3.042-3.265)	Allometric (+)

\*\*  $P < 0.01$ ; \*  $P < 0.05$

The WLRs were highly significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for 39 species. The determination coefficients ( $r^2$ ) ranged between 0.734 for *Trichiurus lepturus* and 0.998 for *Ephinephelus aeneus*. In addition  $r^2$  values were  $> 0.90$  for 34 species (87%),  $> 0.80$  for 4 species (0.10) and  $> 0.70$  for 1 species (0.03).

The  $b$  values ranged from 2.334 for *Trichiurus lepturus* to 3.564 for *Upeneus moluccensis*. The median value of  $b$  was 2.987

In terms of growth type, the results showed that 14 species had negative allometries ( $b < 3$ ), 16 species isometries ( $b = 3$ ) and 9 species positive allometries ( $b > 3$ ). In addition, to the best of our knowledge, no information currently exists on the weight-length relationships of *Bregmaceros atlanticus*, *Echelus myrus*, *Ophisurus serpens* and *Lagocephalus lagocephalus* in the Mediterranean Sea (Fishbase, 2005).

## References

- Aksiray, F. 1987. Turkish Marine Fish Species and Their Identification Keys (2<sup>nd</sup> edition). Publication of University of İstanbul, Turkey, 811 pp. (In Turkish).
- Beverton, R.J.H. and Holt, S.J. 1996. On the Dynamics of Exploited Fish Populations. Chapman and Hall, London, 533 pp.
- Draper, N.R. and Smith, H. 1981. Applied Regression Analysis. Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics, John Wiley and Sons, USA, 709 pp.
- Dulčić, J. and Kraljević, M. 1996. Weight-length relationship for 40 fish species in the Eastern Adriatic (Croatian waters). Fish. Res., 28: 243-251.
- Edwards, A.L. 1976. An Introduction to Linear Regression and Correlation. W.H. Freeman and Company, USA, 213 pp.
- Fishbase 2005. Fishbase (www Database). R. Froese and D. Pauly, (Eds.). World Wide Web Electronic Publications. URL: <http://www.fishbase.org> (last Accessed 13 June 2005).
- Gonçalves, J.M.S., Bentes, L., Lino, P.G., Ribeiro, J., Canário, A.V.M. and Erzini, K. 1997. Weight-length relationship for selected fish species of the small-scale demersal fisheries of the south and south-west coast of Portugal. Fish. Res., 30: 253-256.
- Morey, G., Moranta, J., Massuti, E., Grau, A., Linde, M., Riera, F. and Morales-Nin, B. 2003. Weight-length

- relationships of littoral to lower slope fishes from the Western Mediterranean. *Fisheries Res.*, 62: 89-96.
- Petrakis, G. and Stergiou, K.I. 1995. Weight-length relationship for 33 fish species in Greek waters. *Fisheries Res.*, 21: 465-469.
- Santos, M.N., Gaspar, M.B., Vasconwlos, P.V. and Monteiro, C.C. 2002. Weight-length relationship for 50 selected fish species of the Algarve coast (Southern Portugal). *Fisheries Res.*, 9: 289-295.
- Whitehead, P.J.P., Bauchot, M.L., Hureau, J.C., Nielsen, J. and Tortonese, E. 1986. *Fishes of the Nort-Easten Atlantic and the Mediterranean*. The Chaucer Press, Bungay, Vol: I-II, USA.