



Some Agronomic Studies in Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik)

B. Tuba BİÇER

Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Dicle, Diyarbakir, Turkey
Corresponding author: tbicer@dicle.edu.tr

Geliş Tarihi: 03.12.2013

Düzeltilme Geliş Tarihi: 15.12.2013

Kabul Tarihi: 18.12.2013

Abstract

This study consisted of four different experiments in chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) and lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik): phosphorus fertilizer doses in chickpea and lentil, nitrogen fertilizer doses in chickpea and sowing rates in lentil. Studies were conducted at Diyarbakir in Southeast Anatolia of Turkey over two years (2010-2012). The experiment of phosphorus fertilizer doses in chickpea revealed that the effect of phosphorus on plant height and number of branches plant⁻¹ and 100 seed weight was non-significant and with starter dose of 15 kg P ha⁻¹ initially increased the yield and 30 kg P ha⁻¹ application increased in chickpea. The effect of nitrogen fertilizer doses on plant height, number of branches, pods and seeds plant⁻¹ and grain yield was significant, and the high grain yield was obtained from 20 kg N ha⁻¹. The effect of phosphorus doses on number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹ was significant, but grain yield and harvest index was not significant in lentil. The effect of plant population densities on plant height, number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index was significant. The highest grain yield was obtained in 200 seed m⁻² sowing rates, (compared to low density seeding rate of 100 seed m⁻²) but grain yield from 100 seed m⁻² was low.

Key words: Chickpea, *Cicer arietinum* L., Lentil, *Lens culinaris* Medik., Nitrogen, Phosphorus, Sowing Rate

Nohut (*Cicer arietinum* L.) ve Mercimek (*Lens culinaris* Medik)'te Bazı Agronomik Çalışmalar

Özet

Bu çalışma, nohut (*Cicer arietinum* L.)'ta farklı fosfor ve azot dozları ile mercimekte (*Lens culinaris* Medik) farklı azot dozları ve bitki sıklığı denemesi olarak 4 farklı deneme sonucunu içermektedir. Araştırma, iki yıl süreyle (2010-2012) Diyarbakır'da yürütülmüştür. Araştırma sonuçlarına göre; nohutta farklı fosfor dozlarının bitki boyu, bitkide dal sayısı ve 100 tohum ağırlığına etkisi önemsiz bulunmuştur. İlk başlangıç dozu olan 15 kg P ha⁻¹ ve 30 kg P ha⁻¹ tane verimini arttırmıştır. Farklı azot dozlarının nohutta bitki boyu, bitkide dal, bakla ve tane sayısı ve tane verimine etkisi önemli bulunmuş, 20 kg ha⁻¹ azot uygulaması nohut tane veriminde artış sağlamıştır. Mercimekte farklı fosfor dozlarının bitkide bakla ve tane sayısına etkisi önemli, tane verimi ve hasat indeksine etkisi önemsiz bulunmuştur. Mercimekte farklı bitki sıklıklarının bitki boyu, bitkide bakla ve tane sayısı, tane verimi, biyolojik verim ve hasat indeksine etkisi önemli olup, 200 tohum m⁻² bitki sıklığı en yüksek, 100 tohum m⁻² bitki sıklığı ise en düşük tane verimi vermiştir.

Anahtar Kelime: Nohut, *Cicer arietinum* L., Mercimek, *Lens culinaris* Medik., Azot, Fosfor, Ekim Sıklığı

Introduction

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) crop performance is depended on cultivar performance and environmental conditions (Özer et al., 2010; Andeden et al., 2014). The most important factors limiting chickpea production in Southeast Anatolia of Turkey are low yield, anthracnose disease,

inadequate moisture, late sown and poor soil nutrient availability. Production under dry land farming systems is limited by moisture deficiency and lack of plant available nutrients in the soil. Plant nutrient, suitable cultivars and correct fertilizers have significant effects on yield and yield component (Khan et al., 2008). All of them and

correct consumption of fertilizers lead to optimum uses of soil and environmental factors to produce high yield (Falah, 2002; Sheikh and Siadat, 2003; Kamithi et al., 2009; Khourgamy and Farnia, 2009).

Phosphorus (P) is a key nutrient element required for high and sustained productivity of grain legumes such as chickpea. For instance, low soil phosphorus and poor utilization efficiency of phosphorus is a major constraint limiting the productivity of most grain legumes (Aulakh et al., 2003). Legume crops usually respond well to phosphorus fertilizers (Shukla, 1964) while the response of chickpea is variable (Saxena, 1980). Many studies found a positive yield response of chickpea to phosphorus fertilizer (Johansen and Sahrawat, 1991; Riley, 1994; Islam et al., 2011), however, Chen et al., (2006) reported that the rate of phosphorus required varies according to growth conditions. Johansen and Sahrawat (1991) noted that the optimal rate of phosphorus application for chickpea appears to be in the range of 15-30 kg P ha⁻¹ but mostly in the vicinity of 20 kg P ha⁻¹.

In legumes, it generally has been found that nodulation decreases with an increasing nitrogen (N) supply, although small amounts of available nitrogen during early growth might benefit the symbiosis (Kanayama et al., 1990). In nitrogen deficient soils, application of nitrogen to the crops brings about considerable increase in productivity. In chickpea production, positive response to starter nitrogen dose of 15-20 kg ha⁻¹ has been observed particularly in texturally poor soils, but such a response has not been found in soils with improved texture. Response to phosphorus up to 50-70 kg ha⁻¹ has been observed in soils low in phosphorus availability while response to nitrogen was in general more on soils poor in nodulation. On calcareous soils, grain yield of chickpea was significantly increased by up to 40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹ while there was no response to an additional dose of nitrogen at a rate of 30 kg ha⁻¹ (Kanwar, 1981).

Optimum plant population density in lentil is an important factor to realize the potential yields as it directly affects plant growth and development (Turk et al., 2003; Saleem et al., 2012). In our region, lentil producers are choice high plant populations since they suppose that high seed rates are high yield. However, sometimes high seed rates are resulted in diseases and lodging. Earlier studies show that lentil yields are remarkably stable over a wide range of population densities. The plants are able to fill available space by initiating lateral branches and, thus, can compensate for poor emergence and thin stands (Muehlbauer, 1973; Morrison and Muehlbauer, 1986; Salem et al., 2012). Salem et al., (2012), in southern Australia, reported that lentil yields

improved by increasing sowing rates beyond those currently practiced (100-125 plants m⁻²), and even higher sowing rates might be optimum where growing conditions are unfavorable and individual plant growth is limited. On the other hand, McDonald et al., (2007) reported that increasing the plant population densities of lentil is a more effective means of suppressing weed growth and increasing grain yield. The study was conducted to assess the effect of phosphorus in lentil and chickpea, nitrogen application in chickpea and sowing rates in lentil on seed yield and its components at Diyarbakir.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted at the experimental farm of the Faculty of Agriculture, Dicle University, Diyarbakir, Southeastern Anatolia of Turkey, during 2010-2012. This study included on agronomic studies in lentil and chickpea varieties. Four different experiments were set up. i. phosphorus doses in chickpea: five phosphorus, triple superphosphate (P₂O₅), doses (0, 15, 30, 40 and 70 kg ha⁻¹) and two chickpea cultivars (Aziziye 94, Gökçe and a line (N540)). ii. nitrogen doses in chickpea: four nitrogen (ammonium nitrate) doses (0, 20, 40 and 60 kg ha⁻¹) and two chickpea cultivars and two lines (Gökçe, Diyar 95, N275 and N218). iii. phosphorus doses in lentil: five phosphorus, triple superphosphate (P₂O₅), doses (0, 15, 30, 40 and 70 kg ha⁻¹) and two lentil cultivars (Firat 87 and Şakar). iiiii. sowing rates in lentil: five sowing rates (100, 150, 200, 250 and 300 seed m⁻²) and two lentil cultivars (Firat 87 and Şakar).

The soil properties of experiment area are a clay-loam, moderate in organic matter content (1.2%). pH:7.6 and low in phosphorus contents (16.15 kg P ha⁻¹). Normal phosphorus content of South East Anatolia of Turkey soils is insufficient for phosphorus by 65% (30-60 kg ha⁻¹ to 60-90 kg ha⁻¹). Phosphorus fertilizer application is necessary in experimental land (16.15 kg P ha⁻¹) due to phosphorus deficiency in soil (Eyupoglu, 1999). Climatic conditions of growing period, from November to June, were given in Table 1. Total rainfall during growing season (March to June) was 303 mm during first year and 76 mm during second year of experiment. 2012 growing season had higher temperature and less rainfall than 2011 year. Winter of the 2012 year was prevailing more cold and snowy than 2011 (Table 1).

All experiments were arranged as a factorial, split-plot design, within a randomized complete-block design with three replicates over two years. Plots were 4 m length with 6 rows. In the chickpea experiments, crop was sown in the

second week of March 2011 and 2012. Phosphorus and Nitrogen fertilizers applied at sown, and seed rate was 40 seeds m⁻². Plants were harvested in the second and first week of July of the 2011 and 2012 years. In the lentil experiments, crop was sown in the second week of November 2010 and 2011.

Phosphorus fertilization applied at planting, and plants were harvested in the first week of June of the 2011 and 2012. Experimental data was separately evaluated. The data were statistically analyzed by using MSTATC computer package program.

Table 1. Climatic conditions in 2011-2012 crop seasons at Diyarbakir Turkey

| Months | Years | Temperature (°C) | | | Precipitation (mm) | Humidity (%) |
|----------|-----------|------------------|------|------|--------------------|--------------|
| | | Mean | Max. | Min. | | |
| November | 2011 | 6.4 | 18.4 | -7.1 | 73.0 | 58.5 |
| | 2012 | 12 | 23.8 | -0.2 | 83.2 | 77.4 |
| | Long-term | 9.5 | 22.9 | -2.6 | 54.1 | 66.0 |
| December | 2011 | 2.3 | 11.9 | -8.1 | 40.2 | 73.9 |
| | 2012 | 5.1 | 16.0 | -4.7 | 160.8 | 85.4 |
| | Long-term | 4.1 | 15.2 | -7.2 | 70.7 | 75.0 |
| January | 2011 | 3.5 | 12.2 | -7 | 40.0 | 73.1 |
| | 2012 | 2.4 | 11.0 | -8.6 | 78.3 | 85.0 |
| | Long-term | 1.7 | 12.1 | -9.1 | 71.9 | 76.0 |
| February | 2011 | 4.7 | 15.5 | -7.5 | 49.9 | 69.1 |
| | 2012 | 1.9 | 14.8 | -8.4 | 74.4 | 68.0 |
| | Long-term | 3.6 | 15.4 | -8.7 | 68.0 | 72.0 |
| March | 2011 | 9.0 | 22.4 | -4.5 | 46.6 | 56.1 |
| | 2012 | 5.1 | 18.1 | -5.8 | 44.0 | 59.0 |
| | Long-term | 8.2 | 21.5 | -4.5 | 65.4 | 65.0 |
| April | 2011 | 12.9 | 22.0 | -0.5 | 209 | 75.6 |
| | 2012 | 15.2 | 27.8 | 2.0 | 26.2 | 58.0 |
| | Long-term | 13.8 | 27.3 | 0.9 | 69.8 | 63.0 |
| May | 2011 | 17.6 | 32.2 | 7.5 | 80.1 | 67.8 |
| | 2012 | 19.6 | 33.0 | 8.6 | 41.0 | 58.0 |
| | Long-term | 19.2 | 33.2 | 5.8 | 41.8 | 55.0 |
| June | 2011 | 25.4 | 38.9 | 11.7 | 13.6 | 38.3 |
| | 2012 | 27.7 | 41.7 | 9.4 | 7.0 | 27.8 |
| | Long-term | 26.1 | 38.7 | 10.8 | 7.9 | 35.0 |

Results and Discussion

Phosphorus doses in chickpea: Mean values for the effect of phosphorus doses on agronomic traits in chickpea are given at Table 2. Analysis of variance revealed that the effect of phosphorus application on plant height was not significant, but cultivar, year and cultivar × phosphorus doses were significant. Maximum plant height (49.3 cm) was obtained from N540 × 70 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. However, short plant height value was 42.8 cm in Gökçe × 70 kg P ha⁻¹ (Table 2). Since phosphorus content of the soil of experimental area was deficient, crop response could be expected, but effects were inconsistent and small. However, Dahiya et al., (1993) reported that phosphorus applications

increased plant height. Number of branches plant⁻¹ showed different response to phosphorus doses, although statistically was not significant, compared with control (0 kg P ha⁻¹). The effect of phosphorus fertilizer application on number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹ was significant. The highest number of pods and seeds were observed at 30 and 70 kg P ha⁻¹ compared with to control and 15 kg P ha⁻¹ in this study. The 100 seed weight was not affected by phosphorus doses. Maximum 100 seed weight was observed in Aziziye 94. Cultivar × doses interaction was significant. Gökçe had positive response to phosphorus doses for 100 seed weight, from 39.74 in 0 kg P ha⁻¹ g to 42.06 g in 70 kg P ha⁻¹ (Table 2). Khourgami and Farnia (2009) reported in Iran,

grain yield, number of seeds pod plant⁻¹ and 100 seed weight of chickpea were increased by phosphorus applications. Basir et al., (2008)

showed that as compared to other phosphorus treatments 60 kg P ha⁻¹ significantly improved agronomic traits.

Table 2. The effect of phosphorus doses on agronomic traits in for chickpea

| Factors | PH (cm) | NBP (number) | NPP (number) | NSP (number) | NHSW (g) | GY (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|--------------------------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|
| Phosphorus doses kg ha ⁻¹ | | | | | | |
| Control | 46.0 | 2.59 | 14.0 | 13.8 | 41.07 | 1152 |
| 15 | 45.6 | 2.42 | 12.9 | 13.5 | 40.89 | 1256 |
| 30 | 46.5 | 2.72 | 15.6 | 16.4 | 41.8 | 1339 |
| 40 | 46.0 | 2.41 | 14.1 | 14.2 | 41.49 | 1283 |
| 70 | 46.0 | 2.43 | 15.6 | 15.6 | 41.79 | 1178 |
| <i>LSD</i> | - | - | 0.98** | 0.7** | - | 72** |
| Cultivars | | | | | | |
| Gökçe | 44.0 | 2.38 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 41.09 | 1475 |
| N540 | 47.7 | 3.12 | 13.5 | 13.6 | 36.61 | 927.8 |
| Aziziye 94 | 46.3 | 2.18 | 15.4 | 15.6 | 46.52 | 1321 |
| <i>LSD</i> | 0.79* * | 0.16** | 0.37** | 1.2** | 0.78* | 64** |
| Cultivar × dose interactions | | | | | | |
| Control | 44.2 | 2.61 | 13.4 | 13 | 39.74 | 1376 |
| Gökçe ×15 | 44.1 | 2.11 | 12.7 | 12.5 | 40.44 | 1455 |
| Gökçe ×30 | 44.2 | 2.38 | 17.4 | 18.9 | 40.5 | 1633 |
| Gökçe ×40 | 45.0 | 2.31 | 13.6 | 14 | 42.71 | 1540 |
| Gökçe ×70 | 42.8 | 2.31 | 15.3 | 15.1 | 42.06 | 1373 |
| Control | 47.6 | 2.96 | 13.5 | 13 | 36.97 | 849 |
| N540 ×15 | 48.0 | 2.95 | 10.5 | 10.9 | 36.31 | 948 |
| N540 ×30 | 47.5 | 3.51 | 14.4 | 14.5 | 36.47 | 946 |
| N540 ×40 | 46.1 | 3.00 | 14.3 | 14.2 | 35.33 | 1089 |
| N540 ×70 | 49.3 | 2.66 | 14.7 | 15.4 | 37.99 | 805 |
| Control | 46.1 | 2.2 | 15.1 | 15.3 | 46.52 | 1230 |
| Aziziye 94 ×15 | 44.6 | 2.2 | 15.8 | 16.6 | 45.93 | 1365 |
| Aziziye 94 ×30 | 47.8 | 2.26 | 15.2 | 15.7 | 48.42 | 1437 |
| Aziziye 94 ×40 | 46.8 | 1.91 | 14.4 | 14.1 | 46.44 | 1219 |
| Aziziye 94 ×70 | 46.0 | 2.31 | 16.9 | 16.3 | 45.3 | 1355 |
| <i>LSD</i> | 1.86* * | - | 1.01** | 1.37** | - | 133** |
| Years | | | | | | |
| 1 | 52.3* * | 2.51 | 17.46** | 18.07** | 43.44** | 1267.1* |
| 2 | 39.7 | 2.52 | 11.51 | 11.26 | 39.37 | 1215.6 |

** : 0.01. * : 0.05 significant levels, PH (cm): Plant height, NBP (number): Number of branches plant, NPP (number): Number of pods plant, NSP (number): Number of seeds plant, NHSW (g): 100 Seed weight, GY (kg ha⁻¹): Grain yield

Grain yield was increase up to 16 and 12% with the application of 30 and 40 kg P ha⁻¹, respectively, when compared to control dose. Chickpea cultivars showed low response to phosphorus application (Table 2). The low

response may be result of spring sown and under rainfed condition. Johansen and Sahrawat (1991) reported that chickpea is usually grown under rainfed conditions therefore reduced available water in soil profile could be the reason for less

response of chickpea plants to phosphorus application. Also, Riley (1994) found that, in the clay soil of Australia, application of 100 kg P ha⁻¹ had no effect on yield. In our study, the grain yield

of chickpea showed a positive response to phosphorus fertilizers from control to 40 kg P ha⁻¹ (Table 2).

Table 3. The effect of nitrogen doses on agronomic traits in chickpea

| Factors | PH (cm) | NBP (number) | NPP (number) | NSP (number) | NHSW (g) | GY (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------|------------------------------|
| | Nitrogen doses (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | |
| Control | 45.29 | 2.38 | 18.17 | 16.73 | 39.07 | 1299 |
| 20 | 44.33 | 2.47 | 19.83 | 19.24 | 39.6 | 1345 |
| 40 | 44.79 | 2.83 | 13.35 | 14.06 | 39.15 | 1194 |
| 60 | 42.5 | 3.04 | 16.32 | 15.62 | 39.27 | 1104 |
| <i>LSD</i> | 1.93** | 0.35** | 1.47** | 1.49** | - | 9.05** |
| Cultivars | | | | | | |
| N275 | 43.79 | 2.64 | 15.92 | 15.32 | 40.05 | 1324 |
| N218 | 46.71 | 2.52 | 16.78 | 15.74 | 35.75 | 1233 |
| Diyar 95 | 44.63 | 2.86 | 16.75 | 16.1 | 39.76 | 1013 |
| Gökçe | 41.79 | 2.69 | 18.23 | 18.49 | 41.52 | 1373 |
| <i>LSD</i> | 2.97** | - | 1.31** | 1.38** | 1.69** | 8.31** |
| Cultivar × nitrogen interactions | | | | | | |
| Control | 42.67 | 2.75 | 18.08 | 16.6 | 40.18 | 1353 |
| N275×20 | 44.17 | 2.48 | 17.48 | 15.37 | 40.39 | 1380 |
| N275×40 | 44.33 | 2.73 | 17.4 | 16.22 | 39.98 | 1226 |
| N275×60 | 44 | 2.61 | 19.73 | 18.75 | 39.67 | 1336 |
| Control | 49 | 2.26 | 16.08 | 15.18 | 36.07 | 1301 |
| N218×20 | 46.17 | 2.25 | 20.03 | 19.65 | 34.69 | 1312 |
| N218×40 | 47.17 | 2.65 | 19.93 | 19.2 | 35.83 | 1293 |
| N218×60 | 44.5 | 2.95 | 23.25 | 22.93 | 36.41 | 1027 |
| Control | 45 | 2.36 | 13.03 | 13.03 | 38.74 | 1068 |
| Diyar 95×20 | 46.83 | 2.71 | 14.48 | 14.58 | 40.42 | 1217 |
| Diyar 95×40 | 46.33 | 3.38 | 14.2 | 14.82 | 39.7 | 968 |
| Diyar 95×60 | 40.33 | 3 | 11.7 | 13.8 | 40.17 | 796 |
| Control | 44.5 | 2.16 | 16.47 | 16.48 | 41.28 | 1473 |
| Gökçe×20 | 40.17 | 2.43 | 15.12 | 13.35 | 42.9 | 1472 |
| Gökçe×40 | 41.33 | 2.58 | 15.45 | 14.18 | 41.1 | 1288 |
| Gökçe×60 | 41.17 | 3.6 | 18.25 | 18.47 | 40.82 | 1257 |
| <i>LSD</i> | 3.85** | 0.70** | 2.94** | 2.77** | - | 18.11** |
| Years | | | | | | |
| 1 | 49.71** | 2.45 | 19.87** | 19.71** | 40.41** | 1254 |
| 2 | 38.96 | 2.91** | 13.96 | 13.16 | 38.13 | 1218 |

** : 0.01, * : 0.05 significant levels, PH (cm): Plant height, NBP (number): Number of branches plant, NPP (number): Number of pods plant, NSP (number): Number of seeds plant, NHSW (g): 100 Seed weight, GY (kg ha⁻¹): Grain yield

Differences among years were significant. This result may be due to different climatic conditions. There are many researchers reported that grain yield was affected by years or locations under phosphorus fertilization (Chen et al., 2006).

According to this experiment results, chickpea cultivars showed low response to phosphorus application, since the chickpea experiment exposed to drought stress due to late sown. Also phosphorus fertilization completely could not be

effective since late sown caused short growing season. Early sown and supply irrigation can be

advisable for more effectiveness phosphorus intake in this region.

Table 4. The effect of phosphorus doses on agronomic traits in lentil

| Factors | PH (cm) | NBP (number) | NPP (number) | NSP (number) | GY (kg ha ⁻¹) | BY (kg ha ⁻¹) | HI (%) |
|------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| Phosphorus doses | | | | | | | |
| Control | 29.75 | 2 | 15.57 | 21.19 | 2105 | 6162 | 0.37 |
| 15 | 32.67 | 1.9 | 20.35 | 24.95 | 2155 | 6095 | 0.37 |
| 30 | 31.83 | 2.1 | 20.92 | 26.06 | 2200 | 6367 | 0.37 |
| 45 | 33.17 | 1.9 | 21.39 | 28.48 | 2222 | 6720 | 0.37 |
| 75 | 34.58 | 2.2 | 18.95 | 27.03 | 2065 | 6389 | 0.37 |
| <i>LSD</i> | 2.82* | | 2.47** | 3.94** | - | 45.5** | - |
| Cultivars | | | | | | | |
| Şakar | 29.46 | 1.8 | 19.54 | 25.9 | 21957 | 5851 | 0.38 |
| Fırat 87 | 35.33 | 2.3 | 19.32 | 25.18 | 21028 | 6843 | 0.36 |
| <i>LSD</i> | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | |
| Cultivar × dose interactions | | | | | | | |
| Control | 27.83 | 1.6 | 15.03 | 17.42 | 2095 | 5627 | 0.36 |
| Şakar × 15 | 28.17 | 1.6 | 20.23 | 27.8 | 2229 | 5728 | 0.4 |
| Şakar × 30 | 29.67 | 1.8 | 23.5 | 27.4 | 2247 | 5729 | 0.39 |
| Şakar × 45 | 30.67 | 1.9 | 20.72 | 28.23 | 2228 | 6053 | 0.39 |
| Şakar × 75 | 31 | 2.1 | 18.25 | 28.68 | 2180 | 6117 | 0.39 |
| Control | 31.67 | 2.3 | 16.1 | 24.97 | 2115 | 6698 | 0.37 |
| Fırat 87 × 15 | 37.17 | 2.3 | 20.47 | 22.1 | 2081 | 6463 | 0.35 |
| Fırat 87 × 30 | 34 | 2.4 | 18.33 | 24.72 | 2152 | 7005 | 0.35 |
| Fırat 87 × 45 | 35.67 | 2.1 | 22.07 | 28.73 | 2216 | 7388 | 0.36 |
| Fırat 87 × 75 | 38.17 | 2.3 | 19.65 | 25.38 | 1949 | 6662 | 0.36 |
| <i>LSD</i> | 3.99 | 0.32 | 3.5** | 5.57** | 13.94** | 64.42** | |
| Years | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 35.66** | 35.66** | 25.44** | 34.68** | 24374** | 6356 | 0.38 |
| 2 | 29.13 | 29.13 | 13.42 | 16.4 | 18611 | 6341 | 0.36 |

** : 0.01, * : 0.05 significant levels PH (cm): Plant height, NBP (number): Number of branches plant, NPP (number): Number of pods plant, NSP (number): Number of seeds plant, NBSW (g): 100 Seed weight, GY (kg ha⁻¹): Grain yield, BY (kg ha⁻¹): Biological Yield, HI (%): Harvest index

Nitrogen doses in chickpea: Mean values for the effect of nitrogen doses on agronomic traits in chickpea are given in Table 3. Analysis of variance revealed that the effect of nitrogen application on plant height, number of branches, pods and seeds plant⁻¹ and grain yield was significant. Cultivar × nitrogen doses interaction was significant for all traits, except 100 seed weight (Table 3).

Although the effect of nitrogen fertilization on plant height was significant and negative, the effect was small. Control dose had maximum plant height (45.29 cm), but the lowest value (42.5 cm) was obtained from 60 kg N ha⁻¹ (Table 3). Earlier researcher reported that the effect of urea

treatment on plant height was notable (Aliloo et al., 2012), and that application of 100 kg urea ha⁻¹ increased plant height by 30.9%, compared to control (Namvar et al., 2011a). Cultivar × N dose interaction was important; while line N218 only had the high value in control dose (0 kg N ha⁻¹) other cultivars had taller plant height in high N doses. Differences among years for plant height were significant, low rainfall (in 2012 year) shortened plant height. Nitrogen fertilizer had a significant effect on number of branches and the highest dose (60 kg N ha⁻¹) produced higher branch (3.04) than other treatments. In high nitrogen dose, plant height was decreased, but number of

branches increased. Cultivar \times nitrogen dose interaction was significant (Table 3). Namvar et al., (2011b) reported that increasing of nitrogen fertilizer from 0 to 100 kg urea ha⁻¹ enhanced the number of branches per plant by 26.61 and 50.05%, respectively. Number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹ was affected by nitrogen doses. 20 kg N ha⁻¹ was produced more pods and seeds compared with control and other doses. Maximum N fertilizer dose (60 kg N ha⁻¹) had less pods and seeds, and nitrogen dose increased had negative effects for these traits. While N218 \times 60 kg N ha⁻¹ produced more pods and seeds, other cultivars produced less pods and seeds in the same dose. Gökçe had more pods and seeds than other cultivars (Table 3). Namvar et al., (2011a) reported that the highest number of total pods per plant was recorded in 100 kg urea ha⁻¹ application that showed no significant difference with those in 75 kg urea ha⁻¹. In Table 3, year effect was significant, and second experiment year had low value. This result can be due to climatic conditions, which second year had higher temperature and less rainfall than first year (Table 1). The high grain yield was obtained from 20 kg N ha⁻¹. N dose increased was decreased the yield. Cultivar \times N dose interaction was significant. Gökçe had high grain yield and it was showed high response to nitrogen doses (Table 3). Kamithi et al., (2009) reported that nitrogen fertilizer application also had significant effects on chickpea grain yield. The highest grain yield production by desi chickpea under 20 and 40 kg N ha⁻¹, respectively, in the long rain seasons. During the short rain season, increasing nitrogen application rates also increased grain yield. Kanvwar, (1981) reported that response to phosphorous up to 50-70 kg ha⁻¹ has been observed in soils low in phosphorus availability while response to nitrogen was in general more on soils poor in nodulation. Kamithi et al., (2009) said that chickpea yield increased with increase in the fertilizer rates. Nitrogen fertilizer application beyond 35 kg N ha⁻¹ caused a declined in the grain yield. Results indicated that application of 20 kg ha⁻¹ N fertilizer as starter can be beneficial to improve yield components and final yield.

Phosphorus doses in lentil: Mean values for the effect of phosphorus doses on agronomic traits in lentil were given at Table 4.

Analysis of variance revealed that the effect of phosphorus application on plant height significant. Plant height results different but small, it ranged from 29.75 cm in control group to 34.58 cm in 70 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹. Number of branches plant⁻¹ was statistically did not significant. Cultivar \times phosphorus interaction was significant. Maximum number of branches (2.4) Firat 87 \times 30 kg P ha⁻¹

had compared to Şakar \times 30 kg P ha⁻¹ (1.8). The effect of phosphorus on number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹ was significant. Number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹ increased with the increasing of phosphorus application rate. Response to phosphorus was positive for these traits. When minimum number of pods and seeds was observed in control (15.57 and 21.19, respectively), maximum number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹ (21.39 and 28.48, respectively) was observed in 45 kg P ha⁻¹ (Table 4). Although grain yield and harvest index was no affected by phosphorus fertilization, response to doses was positive but erratic. Grain yield increased with the increasing of phosphorus doses (from control to 45 kg P ha⁻¹), however the least grain yield was obtained from maximum dose (2065 kg ha⁻¹). Cultivar \times dose interaction was significant for grain yield. While Şakar \times 30 kg P ha⁻¹ had high grain yield (2247 kg ha⁻¹), Firat \times 45 kg P ha⁻¹ had high grain yield (1949 kg ha⁻¹). Biological yield increased with the increasing of P doses. The highest value (6720 kg ha⁻¹) was recorded in 45 kg P ha⁻¹ doses. Control and start dose, 15 kg P ha⁻¹, had minimum values (6162, 6095 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). Cultivar \times dose interaction was significant for biological yield. While Şakar showed almost same response to all doses, Firat 87 showed increasingly positive response. Firat 87 \times 45 kg P ha⁻¹ dose had maximum value (7388 kg ha⁻¹).

Sowing rates in lentil: mean values for the effect of sowing rates on agronomic traits in lentil were given at Table 5. The effect of population densities on plant height, number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹, grain yield, biological yield and harvest index was significant. Plant height values in different sowing rates was different, erratic and small, and plant height in 200 and 300 seed m⁻² in sowing rates were a little taller (33.33 cm and 33.75 cm, respectively) than other ones. Number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹ was affected by sowing rates. Number of pods and seeds plant⁻¹ were tending to decrease with increasing plant density. The decrease in pods plant⁻¹ at 250 and 300 seed m⁻² was attributed to increased competition among plant and environment factors, also this may cause reduced the number of effective branches. 100 seed m⁻² was produced more pods (20.04) and seeds (26.95) compared with high sowing rates. Maximum sowing rates (300 seed m⁻²) had less pods (14.96 pods) and seeds (18.58 seeds). Cultivar \times plant population interaction was significant for these traits. The effect of sowing rates on grain yield and biological yield was significant. The highest grain yield (2100 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained in 200 seed m⁻² sowing rates. 100 seed m⁻² of grain yield was low. Sowing rates more than 200 seed m⁻² caused low grain yield.

Generally, grain yield was tending to decreasing with increasing sowing rates. High sowing rates lead to severe competition between plants. The high yield resulted from higher number of pods and seed per unit area produced. However, the higher sowing rate causes higher inter-plant competition and results in poor individual plant. The highest grain yield (2106 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained from 200 seed m⁻² sowing rates (Table 5). Kantar et al., (1994) reported that although increasing

sowing density resulted in high yields, seed yield increased only marginally after 85 kg seed ha⁻¹ seeding rate. Selim, (1999) reported that high plant density may lead to competition among plants and increase risk of disease and lodging of the crop, resulting in reduced grain yield. On the other hand, low and scattered plant populations are unable to utilize the resources efficiently and often produce low yields (Salem et al., 2012).

Table 5. The effect of sowing rates on agronomic traits in lentil

| Factors | PH (cm) | NBP (number) | NPP (number) | NSP (number) | GY (kg ha ⁻¹) | BY (kg ha ⁻¹) | HI (%) |
|--------------------------------------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|
| Sowing rates (seed m ⁻²) | | | | | | | |
| 100 | 31.6 | 1.95 | 20.04 | 26.95 | 1805 | 5384 | 33 |
| 150 | 31.1 | 2 | 18.72 | 23.72 | 1919 | 5760 | 33 |
| 200 | 33.3 | 1.81 | 16.71 | 20.25 | 2106 | 5894 | 35 |
| 250 | 32.9 | 1.79 | 18.93 | 20.26 | 2006 | 5745 | 34 |
| 300 | 33.7 | 1.74 | 14.96 | 18.58 | 1928 | 5937 | 32 |
| <i>LSD</i> | 2.21** | - | 2.92** | 3.71** | 8.87** | 40.82** | 0.03* |
| Cultivars | | | | | | | |
| Şakar | 29.83 | 1.74 | 18.3 | 23.74 | 2011 | 5512 | 0.36** |
| Firat 87 | 35.23 | 1.97 | 17.44 | 20.15 | 1893 | 5976 | 0.31 |
| <i>LSD</i> | ** | ** | | ** | ** | ** | |
| Cultivar × sowing rate interactions | | | | | | | |
| Şakar × 100 | 29 | 1.883 | 21.52 | 29.15 | 1750 | 4944 | 0.35 |
| Şakar × 150 | 28.5 | 1.75 | 16.18 | 23.4 | 1960 | 5248 | 0.36 |
| Şakar × 200 | 30.5 | 1.667 | 17.4 | 21.98 | 2157 | 5515 | 0.39 |
| Şakar × 250 | 30.5 | 1.883 | 21.52 | 24.58 | 2253 | 6011 | 0.37 |
| Şakar × 300 | 30.6 | 1.533 | 14.9 | 19.62 | 1932 | 5843 | 0.32 |
| Firat 87 × 100 | 34.2 | 2.017 | 18.57 | 24.75 | 1853 | 5824 | 0.31 |
| Firat 87 × 150 | 33.6 | 2.25 | 21.25 | 24.03 | 1879 | 6273 | 0.29 |
| Firat 87 × 200 | 36.1 | 1.967 | 16.02 | 18.52 | 2054 | 6272 | 0.32 |
| Firat 87 × 250 | 35.3 | 1.7 | 16.35 | 15.93 | 1759 | 5479 | 0.32 |
| Firat 87 × 300 | 36.8 | 1.95 | 15.02 | 17.55 | 1924 | 6032 | 0.31 |
| <i>LSD</i> | 3.12** | 0.42* | 4.13** | 5.33** | 12.55** | 57.3** | 0.05 |
| Years | | | | | | | |
| 1 | 36.20** | 1.73** | 22.72** | 29.23** | 2173** | 5607* | 0.38** |
| 2 | 28.86 | 1.98 | 13.01 | 14.67 | 1733 | 5881 | 0.29 |

** : 0.01, * : 0.05 significant levels PH (cm): Plant height, NBP (number): Number of branches plant, NPP (number): Number of pods plant, NSP (number): Number of seeds plant, NHSW (g): 100 Seed weight, GY (kg ha⁻¹): Grain yield, BY (kg ha⁻¹): Biological Yield, HI (%): Harvest index

Generally, biological yield was positively related to sowing rates, tending to increasing with increasing plant density. High biological yield was obtained both 200 and 300 seed m⁻² sowing rates (Table 5). The effect of sowing rates on biological yield was significant and erratic. Biological yield was tending to increasing with increasing sowing

rates. Harvest index had positive response to increased sowing rates. Maximum harvest index was obtained from 200 seed m⁻² and 250 seed m⁻², 300 seed m⁻² had minimum harvest index (32%). Harvest index was affected by sowing rates, but values were similar between high rates (32%) in 200 seed m⁻² sowing rates and low ones (33%) in

300 seed m⁻² ones (Table 5). In our region, lentil producers wished high plant populations since they suppose that high seed rates are high yield. However, sometimes high seed rates are resulted in diseases and lodging. Kantar et al., (1994) reported that higher harvest index compensated yield disadvantages at lower plant densities.

Conclusion

Grain yield was increase up to 16 and 12% with the phosphorus application of 30 and 40 kg P ha⁻¹, respectively, when compared with control dose. Nitrogen application of 20 kg ha⁻¹ as starter can be beneficial to improve yield components and final yield. Grain yield was tending to decreasing with increasing sowing rates. High sowing rates lead to severe competition between plants. The highest grain yield (2106 kg ha⁻¹) was obtained from 200 seed m⁻² sowing rates for lentil.

References

- Aliloo, A.A., Khorsandy, H., Mustafavi, H., 2012. Response of chickpea cultivars to nitrogen applications at vegetative and reproductive stages. *Cercetări Agronomice în Moldova*, XLV, 4(152), 49-55.
- Aulakh, M.S., Pasricha, N.S., Bahl, G.S., 2003. Phosphorus fertilizer response in an irrigated soybean-wheat production system on a subtropical semiarid soil. *Field Crops Res.* 80, 99-109.
- Basir, A., Shah, Z., Naeem, M., Bakht, J., 2008. Effect of phosphorus and farm yard manure on agronomic traits of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.). *Sarhad J. Agric.* 24(4), 567-572.
- Chen, C., Jackson, G., Neill, K., Miller, J., 2006. Spring Pea. Lentil and Chickpea Response To Phosphorus Fertilizer. Fertilizer Facts Number 38. Montana State University.
- Dahiya, S., Mehar, S., Singh, M., 1993. Relative growth performances of chickpea genotypes to irrigation and fertilizers application. *Haryana J. Agron.* 9(2), 172-175.
- Eyupoglu, F., 1999. Türkiye Topraklarının Verimlilik Durumu Koy Hiz. G.M. Toprak ve Gubre Arastirma Enstitusu Yay. No:220 ANKARA-Türkiye.
- Falah, S., 2002. Effect of plant densities and soil moisture in yield and yield component of chickpea cultivars. Master Thesis. Isfahan University, Iran.
- Islam, M.S., Mohsan, S., Afzal, S., Akmal, M.A., Khalid, R., 2011. Phosphorus and sulfur application improves the chickpea productivity under rainfed conditions. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.* 13, 713-718.
- Johansen, C., Sahrawat, K.L., 1991. Strategies for Maximizing The Efficiency of Phosphorus Utilization in Cropping Systems Involving Chickpea and Pigeonpea. In 'Phosphorus Nutrition of Grain Legumes in The Semiarid Tropics', ed. Johansen C., Lee K.K., Sahrawat K.L., ICRISAT: Patancheru. Andhra Pradesh. India.
- Kamithi, D.K., Kibe, A.M., Akuja, T.E., 2009. Effects of nitrogen fertilizer and plant population on growth, yield and harvest index (HI) of chickpea under dryland conditions in Kenya. *J. of Applied Biosci.* 22, 1359-1367.
- Kanayama, Y., Watanabe, I., Yamamoto, Y., 1990. Inhibition of nitrogen fixation in soybean plants supplied with nitrate. I. Nitrite accumulation and formation of nitrosylleghaemoglobin in nodules. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 31, 341-346.
- Kantar, F., Caglar, O., Akten, S., 1994. Lentil yields in Erzurum in relation to sowing density. *Atatürk Ü. Zir.Fak.Der.* 25 (3), 390-397.
- Kanwar, J.S., 1981. Trends in consumption and production. Proc. FAI- IFDC Fertilizer Seminar. 11/3: 1-16 FAI, New Delhi, AGR
- Karaköy, T., Erdem, H., Baloch, F.S., Toklu, F., Eker, S., Kilian, B., Özkan, H., 2012. Diversity of macro- and micronutrients in the Seeds of Lentil Landraces. The Scientific World Journal., doi:10.1100/2012/710412.
- Khan, M.A., Fuller, M.P., Baloch, F.S., 2008. Effect of Soil Applied Zinc Sulphate on Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) Grown on a Calcareous Soil in Pakistan. Cereal Research Communications 36(4), 571-582
- Khourgamy, A., Farnia, A., 2009. Effect of phosphorus and zinc fertilization on yield components of chickpea cultivars. *African Crop Sci. Conference Proc.*, 9,205-208.
- McDonald, G.K., Hollaway, K.L., McMurray, L., 2007. Increasing plant density improves weed competition in lentil. *Australian J. of Exp. Agric.* 47(1), 48-56.
- Morrison, K.L., Muehlbauer, F.J., 1986. `Brewer` lentils. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Services, Technical Bulletin No.1408.
- Muehlbauer, F.J., 1973. Seeding rates for 'Tekoa' lentils. Washington Agricultural Experimental Station Circular. No. 565:3.
- Namvar, A., Sharifi, R.S., Khandan, T., 2011a. Growth analysis and yield of chickpea in relation to organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilization. *Ekologij* 57(3), 97-108.

- Namvar, A., Sharifi, R.S., Sedghi, M., Asghari, Z.R., Khandan, T., Eskandarpour, B., 2011b. Study on the effects of organic and inorganic nitrogen fertilizer on yield, yield components, and nodulation state of chickpea. *Communications in Soil Sci. and Plant analysis*. 42(9), 1097-1109.
- Özer, S., Karaköy, T., Toklu, F., Baloch, F.S., Kilian, B., Özkan, H., 2010. Nutritional and physicochemical variation in Turkish kabuli chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) landraces. *Euphytica*. 175, 237–249
- Riley, I.T., 1994. Phosphorus nutrition of large-seeded chickpea cv. Macarena in the Ord River irrigation Area. *Western Australia. Australian J. of Exp. Agric.* 34, 797-801.
- Saleem, A., Zahid, M.A., Javed, H.I., Ali, M.A.A., Saleem R., Saleem, N., 2012. Effect of seeding rate on lentil seed yield under rainfed conditions. *Pakistan J. Agric. Res.* 25(3), 181-185.
- Saxena, M.C., 1980. Recent Advances in Chickpea Agronomy. In *Proceedings of the Int. Workshop on Chickpea Improvement*. Ed. Green J.M., Newne Y.L. and Smithson J.B., 89-96, Hyderabad/India.
- Selim, M.M., 1999. Response of lentil plants to sowing methods and seed rate grown under new reclaimed sandy soil conditions. *Egyptian J. Agron.* 20 (1-2), 153-163
- Shukla, S.C., 1964. Response of gram to nitrogen and phosphatic fertilization. *Indian J. Agron.* 9, 104-112.
- Sheikh H.M., Siadat, M., 2003. Effect of supplemental irrigation on yield of chickpea. Master Thesis. Azad Islamic university, Dezfol.
- Turk, M.A., Tawaha, A.M., El-Shatnawi, M.K.J., 2003. Response of lentil to plant density, sowing date, phosphorus fertilization and ethephon application in the absence of moisture stress. *J. Agron. Crop Sci.* 189,1-6.