

Türk. entomol. derg., 2021, 45 (2): 173-184 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.16970/entoted.843178 ISSN 1010-6960 E-ISSN 2536-491X

Original article (Orijinal araştırma)

Combined and individual effects of diatomaceous earth and methyl eugenol against stored products insect pests

Metil öjenol ve diyatom toprağının depolanmış ürün zararlısı böceklere karşı tek başına ve birlikte etkisi

Sait ERTÜRK^{1*}

Abstract

The insecticidal activity of a proprietary diatomaceous earth, Detech, and methyl eugenol was tested with *Sitophilus granarius* (L., 1758), *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky, 1855 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius, 1792) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae), *Tribolium confusum* Jaquelin Du Val, 1868 (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) adults at Stored Products Pest Laboratory of Plant Protection Central Research Institute (Ankara, Turkey) in 2020. A range of doses of Detech (100, 250 and 500 mg kg⁻¹) and methyl eugenol (5, 10 and 15 mg kg⁻¹) were used. To determine insect mortality, at a range of times after treatment, and half-doses of both products were also studied in order to examine simultaneous use for synergistic effect. The greatest effect of Detech on *R. dominica, S. zeamais, S. granarius* and *T. confusum* was observed with 500 mg kg⁻¹ giving 81, 29, 26 and 18% mortality 28 d after treatment (DAT), respectively. Methyl eugenol at 15 mg kg⁻¹ gave complete mortality with *R. dominica* adults 3 DAT. Simultaneous application of both products did not give complete mortality in test insects. The data show that Detech and methyl eugenol are promising treatments for the control of insect pests of stored products.

Keywords: Essential oils, mortality, physical control, stored products insects, synergism

Öz

Tescilli bir diyatom toprağı Detech ve metil öjenolün *Sitophilus granarius* (L., 1758), *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky, 1855 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Fabricius, 1792) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae), *Tribolium confusum* Jaquelin Du Val, 1868 (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) erginlerine karşı Zirai Mücadele Merkez Araştırma Enstitüsü, Depolanmış Ürün Zararlıları Laboratuvarı (Ankara, Türkiye)'nda 2020 yılında test edilmiştir. Bu amaçla farklı dozlarda Detech (100, 250 ve 500 mg kg⁻¹) ve metil öjenol (5, 10 ve 15 mg kg⁻¹) kullanılmıştır. Böceklerin ölüm oranını belirlemek için farklı maruz kalma süreleri kullanılmıştır. Sinerjistik etkiyi belirlemek amacıyla, her iki ürünün de yarı dozları böcekler üzerinde çalışılmıştır. Diyatom toprağı Detech, *R. dominica, S. zeamais, S. granarius* ve *T. confusum* için en yüksek etkisi 500 mg kg-1 dozda, 28 günlük maruziyet süresinin sonunda sırasıyla %81, %29, %26 ve %18 ölüm oranı şeklinde gerçekleşmiştir. 15 mg kg⁻¹'lık metil öjenol dozu, *R. dominica* için günlük maruziyetten sonra %100 ölüm oranı sağlamıştır. Her iki ürünün aynı anda kullanılması, üzerinde çalışılan böceklerde tam bir ölüm oranına ulaşmamıştır. Elde edilen veriler, Detech ve metil öjenol kullanımının depolanmış ürün zararlısı böcekler ile mücadelede ümit var sonuçlar verdiğini ortaya koymuştur.

Anahtar sözcükler: Uçucu yağlar, ölüm oranı, fiziksel kontrol, depolanmış ürün zararlısı böcekler, sinerjizm

¹ Plant Protection Central Research Institute, Department of Entomology, 06172, Yenimahalle, Ankara, Turkey

^{*} Corresponding author (Sorumlu yazar) e-mail: saiterturk@gmail.com

Received (Alınış): 19.12.2020 Accepted (Kabul ediliş): 15.03.2021 Published Online (Çevrimiçi Yayın Tarihi): 03.04.2021

Introduction

The confused flour beetle, Tribolium confusum Jaquelin Du Val, 1868 (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), the maize weevil. Sitophilus zeamais Motschulsky, 1855 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), the lesser grain borer, Rhyzopertha dominica (Fabricius, 1792) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae), and the granary weevil, Sitophilus granarius (L., 1758) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), are some of the most destructive insect pests of the stored grain. The damage of these insects is important in terms of both quality and quantity loss of the product. Sitophilus granarius, S. zeamais and R. dominica are known as primary pests because they can easily break the seeds with their mandibles. Tribolium confusum is known as a secondary pest; it can easily infest damaged grain kernels and feed on different commodities (Aitken, 1975; Mewis & Ulrichs, 2001; Gałęcki et al., 2019). They not only consume the grain, but also contaminate the commodity with their body parts, feces, and the excretions, the latter of which may cause a bad smell in the commodity (Houssou et al., 2009). Additionally, by altering the microflora, they may cause mycotoxin formation on the products, which are dangerous especially for warm-blooded living organisms (Dawson et al., 2004; Richard et al., 2007). The inability to consume the harvested grain immediately, the demands of the food industry, mills, government and nongovernment organizations are important in determining storage period. Loss of grain and related commodities seriously threat global food security and availability (Oliveira, et al., 2014). It is predicted that the human population will reach 9.1 billion by the year 2050, with the concomitant increased needs for food production (FAO, 2009).

Currently, synthetic residual insecticides and fumigants are most commonly used to control stored products pests worldwide. However, several negative effects, such as the environmental contamination and toxicity to warm-blooded organisms, pesticides residues and pest resistance have arisen from their continuous use. Therefore, research has focused on developing alternative control measures (Halliday et al., 1988; Pacheco et al., 1990; Arthur, 1996; Ribeiro et al., 2003; Boulogne et al., 2012; Boyer et al., 2012; Barres et al., 2016; Hubert et al., 2018).

Inert dusts and botanical derivatives are among of the most promising alternatives to replace synthetic insecticides (Ferizli et al., 2012; Islam & Rahman, 2016; Ertürk et al., 2017; Alkan et al., 2019a, b, c, 2020a, b; Ertürk et al., 2020; Korunic & Fields, 2020; Zhanda et al., 2020). Diatomaceous earth (DE) is a kind of inert dust that is composed mostly of amorphous silica and several oxides of Al, Ca, Mg, Fe, K, Na and Ti. DE originated from single-cell phytoplankton that lived in salty or freshwater sources (Vayias & Athanassiou, 2004; Ashraf et al., 2016; Adarkwah et al., 2017; Baliota & Athanassiou, 2020). The fossils of these tiny creatures turned into diatom rocks and DE is obtained from these rocks by fine grinding. Unlike synthetic chemicals, the mode of action of DE is non-chemical. The main cause of insect mortality occurs by desiccation due to water loss from the entire body. DE particles absorb the epicuticular lipids from insect cuticles, which is a waterproof layer of the integument, consequently, insect mortality is caused by desiccation (Zacher & Kunike, 1931; Ebeling, 1971; Korunic, 1998; Prasantha et al., 2015). However, the use of DE has been limited due to the decrease in the hectoliter weight of the product, non-target effects on workers, and damage to machinery and equipment (Merget et al., 2002).

As an alternative to synthetic pesticides, plant derivatives are recognized as safer and it has been known for a long time that plant metabolites have biological activity against insects (Obeng-Ofori & Reichmuth, 1997; Chang et al., 2009; Regnault-Roger et al., 2012; Dwivedy et al., 2015; Jankowska et al., 2017). Pesticides obtained from essential oils of botanical origin are a promising control option for stored product pests (Upadhyay et al., 2018). To ensure the successful sustainability of pest control, bioactive products from plants can be used in integrated pest management programs (Regnault-Roger et al., 2012; Mossa, 2016). Plants have many secondary metabolites that are synthesized for defense against harmful organisms. Methyl eugenol (ME) is a phenylpropanoid derived from eugenol and is found in more than 450 species across 80 plant families (Herrmann & Weaver, 1999; Tan & Nishida, 2012). ME causes death by inhibiting acetylcholine esterase, an essential enzyme in insect nervous systems (Lee et al., 2001).

DE and ME have mostly been studied separately, so there is a gap in the literature regarding their combined effect on stored product pests. Therefore, this study was conducted to investigate the synergistic effect of these agents against *T. confusum*, *S. granarius*, *R. dominica* and *S. zeamais*, as well as to reduce the potential risks arising from their individual use.

Materials and Methods

Insects

Adults of *S. granarius* and *S. zeamais* were reared on whole wheat and maize, respectively. Rearing of *T. confusum* and *R. dominica* was performed on cracked wheat with 5% brewer's yeast (by weight) (Athanassiou et al., 2016). In the experiments mixed-sex insects between 7 and 28 d old were used. All insects were incubated in climate cabinet at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C and $60 \pm 5\%$ RH in the dark (Nüve ID 501, Ankara, Turkey). The laboratory experiments were conducted in the stored products pest laboratory at Plant Protection Central Research Institute, Ankara, Turkey in 2020.

Diatomaceous earth and methyl eugenol

A proprietary DE product, Detech, was used in this study. This product comes from the Central Anatolia Region in Turkey from light-gray soil and consists of Fe₂O₃ 1.50%, Al₂O₃ 4.70%, CaO 4.75%, SiO₂ 80.6%, MgO 0.85%, K₂O 0.50%, Na₂O 0.40% and TiO₂ <0.01% (Bayram et al., 2020) with an average granule size of 14 mm (Sağlam et al., 2020). ME (\geq 98% purity, CAS Number: 93-15-2) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Company (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Contact activity bioassays

The insecticidal activity of Detech and ME against adults of four stored product insects was evaluated by direct application to the grain. For this purpose, white soft winter wheat, *Triticum aestivum* L. (Poaceae), and maize, *Zea mays* L. (Poaceae), were weighed into 2-L plastic bags. Detech was applied as a dust at 100, 250 and 500 mg kg⁻¹ of grain and ME was used in liquid form at 5, 10 and 15 ml kg⁻¹ grain. The Detech and ME were added to the plastic bags separately. The plastic bags were closed and shaken to achieve equal distribution (Ertürk et al., 2017). To determine the synergistic effect of half doses of both agents, Detech and ME were applied to wheat and maize according to the method described by Khorrami et al., (2018). Also, the label rate of K-Obiol EC25 (25 g/L deltamethrin plus 250 g/L piperonyl butoxide, Bayer AG, Leverkusen, Germany) and untreated grain were prepared (Table 1). For each observation, separate PVC vials were used. Twenty g of treated grain was added to into each PVC vials (7 x 5 cm) with 20 adult insects and then the vials were incubated at 25°C and 60 ± 5% RH. Mortality of insects was assessed 1, 2, 3, 7, 14, 21 and 28 d after of treatment (DAT). The experiments were included three replicates per treatment, and the experiments were repeated three times.

| Dose (mg kg ⁻¹) |
|-----------------------------|
| 100 |
| 250 |
| 500 |
| 5 |
| 10 |
| 15 |
| DE 50+ ME 2.5 |
| DE 125 + ME 5 |
| DE 250 + ME 7.5 |
| Label Rate |
| - |
| |

Table 1. Treatments used in the bioassays

Statistical analysis

Data from the dose screening tests were first converted to percentage mortality and then arcsine transformation (Zar, 1999; Warton & Hui, 2011). Tukey's multiple comparison test was used to reveal the differences between the treatments at 5% significance level. To determine the relationship between treatments, MINITAB Release 18 was used with a general linear model (Mckenzie & Goldman, 2004).

Results

The ANOVA (treatment \times dosage \times observation time \times insect) revealed that interaction between the experimental factors tested including different rates of Detech and ME against the insect species were significant (Table 2).

For Detech, the greatest effect on *S. granarius* was obtained at 500 mg kg⁻¹ with a 25.9% mortality 28 DAT, whereas the lowest rate of 100 mg kg⁻¹ did not cause any mortality 1 DAT ($F_{10,88} = 34.5$, P < 0.05). The doses of 250 and 500 mg kg⁻¹ Detech gave similar mortality results (Table 3). The highest insecticidal efficacy of Detech applied at 100, 250 and 500 mg kg⁻¹ on *S. zeamais* were found at 28 DAT, with the highest mortalities being 12, 19 and 29%, respectively ($F_{10,88} = 101$, P < 0.05). Mortality caused by 100 mg kg⁻¹ DAT was negligible ($F_{10,88} = 26.4$, P < 0.05) (Table 4). For *T. confusum*, the highest adult mortality with 100 mg kg⁻¹ Detech 28 DAT was <1% ($F_{10,88} = 146$, P < 0.05). Mortality did not exceed 18% for the three doses tested (Table 5). Unlike other insect species, the highest mortality for *R. dominica* was at 28 DAT for all doses. The highest mortalities for 100, 250 and 500 mg kg⁻¹ Detech 28 DAT were 58, 74 and 81%, respectively. Two DATI, there was no mortality, but by 3 DAT, the lowest mortality <1% at 500 mg kg⁻¹ was recorded (Table 6).

| Table 2. ANOVA for | different applications | of Detech a | nd methyl | eugenol | against | Sitophilus | oryzae, | Sitophilus | granarius, | Tribolium |
|--------------------|------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|------------|---------|------------|------------|-----------|
| confusum and | d Rhyzopertha domini | ca | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

| Source | DF | F- Value | P-Value |
|--|------|----------|---------|
| Treatment | 2 | 8746.1 | <0.05 |
| Dosage | 2 | 175.5 | <0.05 |
| Insect | 3 | 532.8 | <0.05 |
| Observation time | 7 | 631.9 | <0.05 |
| Treatment * Dosage | 4 | 44.6 | <0.05 |
| Treatment * Insect | 6 | 131.1 | <0.05 |
| Treatment * Observation time | 14 | 114.7 | <0.05 |
| Dosage * Insect | 6 | 46.0 | <0.05 |
| Dosage * Observation time | 14 | 2.1 | <0.05 |
| Insect * Observation time | 21 | 24.8 | <0.05 |
| Treatment * Dosage * Insect | 12 | 16.9 | <0.05 |
| Treatment * Dosage * Observation time | 28 | 2.7 | <0.05 |
| Treatment * Insect * Observation time | 42 | 30.3 | <0.05 |
| Dosage * Insect * Observation time | 42 | 2.4 | <0.05 |
| Treatment * Dosage * Insect * Observation time | 84 | 1.5 | <0.05 |
| Error | 2304 | | |
| Total | 2591 | | |

| Treatment | | 1 DAT | | 2 DAT | | 3 DAT | | 5 D/ | 5 DAT | | 7 DAT | | 14 DAT | | 21 DAT | | Т |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|----------------|-----------|------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----|
| | 100 | 0.0±0.0 | c*B * ∙ | 1.1±0.4 | deAB | 3.7±1.2 | deAB | 5.9±1.2 | cdA | 6.7±1.4 | cdeA | 6.7±1.4 | cdA | 9.5±1.2 | cdeA | 11.4±1.2 | cdA |
| DE (mg kg ⁻¹) | 250 | 0.0±0.0 | cC | 1.1±0.9 | eBC | 6.1±0.7 | deABC | 6.7±1.4 | cdABC | 7.7±1.0 | cdABC | 8.6±1.1 | cdAB | 15.3±1.3 | bcdeA | 21.1±3.7 | cdA |
| | 500 | 0.1±0.0 | cD | 3.2±1.0 | deCD | 6.6±1.5 | deBC | 17.1±0.4 | bcAB | 22.5±0.6 | bcA | 24.9±0.5 | bcA | 24.9±0.5 | bcdA | 25.9±0.6 | bcA |
| ME (mg kg ⁻¹) | 5 | 0.1±0.0 | bcC | 13.1±1.6 | dB | 31.5±0.6 | cAB | 36.9±1.1 | bA | 42.4±1.2 | bA | 44.6±1.4 | bA | 44.6±1.4 | bA | 53.6±1.3 | bA |
| | 10 | 0.5±0.3 | cD | 37.4±1.5 | cC | 67.4±1.6 | bB | 93.4±1.5 | aA | 93.4±1.5 | aA | 93.4±1.5 | aA | 94.2±1.3 | aA | 96.3±1.2 | aA |
| | 15 | 5.9±1.2 | bC | 82.8±2.6 | bB | 98.1±1.5 | aA | 98.5±1.2 | aA | 98.9±0.9 | aA | 99.9±0.4 | aA | 99.9±0.4 | aA | 99.9±0.4 | aA |
| | 50+2.5 | 0.0±0.0 | cA | 0.1±0.0 | eA | 0.8±0.4 | eA | 1.5±0.8 | dA | 3.5±1.8 | deA | 4.8±3.1 | dA | 4.8±3.1 | deA | 7.6±3.1 | dA |
| DE+ME (mg kg ⁻¹) | 125+5 | 0.1±0.0 | cC | 0.8±.0.3 | eBC | 5.8±1.3 | deABC | 7.4±1.6 | cdAB | 10.3±1.4 | cdA | 10.3±1.4 | cdA | 10.3±1.4 | cdeA | 15.2±1.4 | cdA |
| | 250+7.5 | 0.8±0.1 | bcC | 4.9±1.7 | deBC | 16.1±1.5 | cdAB | 19.0±1.9 | bcAB | 23.9±1.4 | bcAB | 26.4±1.2 | bcA | 27.4±1.3 | bcA | 27.4±1.3 | bcA |
| Control | | 0.0±0.0 | сВ | 0.0±0.0 | eВ | 0.0±0.0 | eВ | 0.0±0.0 | dB | 0.0±0.0 | eВ | 1.1±0.9 | dAB | 2.2±1.4 | eA | 2.2±1.4 | dA |
| Insecticide | e | 47.9±0.8 | aB | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |

Table 3. Mortality (%, mean ± SE) of Sitophilus granarius exposed to wheat grain treated with Detech and methyl eugenol 1 to 28 d after treatment

* Means followed by the same lowercase letter within columns are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

*Means followed by the same uppercase letter within rows are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

DAT, d after treatment; DE, Detech, ME: methyl eugenol, Insecticide, K-Obiol EC 25.

Table 4. Mortality (%, mean ± SE) of Sitophilus zeamais exposed to maize grain treated with Detech and methyl eugenol 1 to 28 d after treatment

| Treatment | | 1 DA | 1 DAT | | 2 DAT | | 3 DAT | | 5 DAT | | 7 DAT | | 14 DAT | | 21 DAT | | Т |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|------------|----|
| DE (mg kg ⁻¹) | 100 | 0.1±0.0 | c*B [♦] | 0.5±0.2 | cAB | 1.1±0.9 | bAB | 1.1±0.9 | bAB | 2.0±1.0 | bAB | 4.4±1.4 | bAB | 8.0±1.9 | bA | 12.4±2.5bA | bA |
| | 250 | 0.5±0.2 | сВ | 1.1±0.9 | сВ | 1.1±0.9 | bB | 2.0±1.0 | bB | 3.0±1.5 | bAB | 9.7±1.8 b | bAB | 16.0±1.6 | bA | 19.4±3.8 | bA |
| | 500 | 1.1±0.8 | сВ | 3.3±1.9 | сВ | 3.3±1.9 | bAB | 3.3±1.9 | bAB | 3.7±2.4 | bAB | 10.3±1.4 | bAB | 16.7±0.8 | bAB | 28.7±1.6 | bA |
| ME (mg kg ⁻¹) | 5 | 21.5±1.7 | bC | 79.6±1.6 | bB | 96.5±1.8 | aA | 97.1±1.6 | aA | 99.5±0.7 | aA | 99.5±0.7 | aA | 99.5±0.7 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |
| | 10 | 22.1±3.6 | bB | 96.9±2.6 | aA | 99.5±0.7 | aA | 99.9±0.4 | aA | 99.9±0.4 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |
| | 15 | 30.0±1.2 | bB | 98.0±1.0 | aA | 99.9±0.4 | aA | 99.9±0.4 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |
| | 50+2.5 | 0.0±0.0 | сВ | 0.1±0.0 | сВ | 0.1±0.0 | bB | 0.1±0.0 | bB | 0.1±0.0 | bB | 0.5±0.2 | bB | 2.2±2.0 | bA | 16.7±1.4 | bA |
| DE+ME (mg kg ⁻¹) | 125+5 | 0.0±0.0 | cA | 0.8±0.1 | cA | 0.8±0.1 | bA | 0.8±0.1 | bA | 0.8±0.1 | bA | 1.0±0.4 | bA | 7.4±1.6 | bA | 18.7±1.3 | bA |
| | 250+7.5 | 0.5±0.2 | сВ | 1.5±1.2 | сВ | 1.5±1.2 | bB | 1.5±1.2 | bB | 1.5±1.2 | bB | 4.9±1.7 | bAB | 8.2±1.8 | bAB | 20.5±0.4 | bA |
| Control | | 0.3±0.1 | сВ | 0.4±0.1 | сВ | 0.4±0.1 | bB | 0.4±0.1 | bB | 0.4±0.1 | bB | 1.8±1.0 | bAB | 2.4±1.2 | bAB | 2.4±1.2 | bA |
| Insecticide | | 65.9±2.7 | aB | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |

* Means followed by the same lowercase letter within columns are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

*Means followed by the same uppercase letter within rows are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

DAT, d after treatment; DE, Detech, ME: methyl eugenol, Insecticide, K-Obiol EC 25.

| Treatment | | 1 DAT | | 2 DA | 2 DAT | | 3 DAT | | 5 DAT | | 7 DAT | | 14 DAT | | 21 DAT | | Т |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----|
| DE (mg kg ⁻¹) | 100 | 0.0±0.0 | b*A◆ | 0.0±0.0 | cA | 0.0±0.0 | eA | 0.0±0.0eA | eA | 0.0±0.0 | dA | 0.0±0.0dA | dA | 0.0±0.0dA | dA | 0.5±0.7 | eA |
| | 250 | 0.0±0.0 | bB | 0.0±0.0 | bB | 0.0±0.0 | eВ | 0.0±0.0 | eВ | 0.0±0.0 | dB | 0.1±0.4 | dB | 0.1±0.4 | dB | 3.2±1.0 | dA |
| | 500 | 0.0±0.0 | bB | 0.1±0.0 | сВ | 0.1±0.0 | eВ | 0.5±0.1 | eВ | 0.5±0.1 | cdB | 0.5±0.1 | cdB | 0.5±0.1 | cdB | 18.3±1.5 | bA |
| ME (mg kg ⁻¹) | 5 | 0.0±0.0 | bC | 1.6±1.1 | bcC | 9.1±3.0 | cdB | 29.2±0.7 | сВ | 46.5±0.4 | bB | 87.6±2.4 | bA | 95.3±2.2 | bA | 98.9±1.4 | aA |
| | 10 | 0.0±0.0 | bD | 1.9±1.5 | bcD | 18.7±2.5 | bcD | 31.6±1.8 | cC | 63.1±1.1 | bB | 98.9±1.4 | bA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |
| | 15 | 0.5±0.3 | bE | 9.6±2.2 | bD | 39.3±1.2 | bC | 63.6±1.8 | bB | 97.7±1.8 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |
| | 50+2.5 | 0.0±0.0 | bA | 0.0±0.0 | cA | 0.0±0.0 | eA | 0.1±0.0 | eA | 0.1±0.0 | dA | 0.1±0.1 | dA | 0.5±0.2 | cdA | 0.5±0.2 | deA |
| DE+ME (mg kg ⁻¹) | 125+5 | 0.0±0.0 | bA | 0.5±0.1 | cA | 0.5±0.1 | dA | 0.5±0.1 | deA | 0.5±0.1 | cdA | 0.5±0.1 | cdA | 0.8±0.2 | cdA | 1.8±0.2 | dA |
| | 250+7.5 | 0.1±0.0 | bA | 0.5±0.2 | cA | 0.5±0.2 | deA | 1.1±0.9 | dA | 3.2±1.0 | cA | 3.2±1.0 | cA | 3.2±1.0 | cA | 7.2±1.0 | cA |
| Control | | 0.0±0.0 | bA | 0.0±0.0 | cA | 0.0±0.0 | eA | 0.0±0.0 | eA | 0.0±0.0 | eA | 0.1±0.0 | dA | 0.1±0.0 | dA | 1.1±0.9 | eA |
| Insecticide | e | 45.3±0.6 | aB | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |

Table 5. Mortality (%, mean ±SE) of *Tribolium confusum* exposed to wheat grain treated with Detech and methyl eugenol 1 to 28 d after treatment

* Means followed by the same lowercase letter within columns are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

*Means followed by the same uppercase letter within rows are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

DAT, d after treatment; DE, Detech, ME: methyl eugenol, Insecticide, K-Obiol EC 25.

Table 6. Mortality (%, mean ± SE) of *Rhyzopertha dominica* exposed to wheat grain treated with Detech and methyl eugenol 1 to 28 d after treatment

| Treatment | | 1 DAT | | 2 DAT | | 3 DA | 3 DAT | | 5 DAT | | 7 DAT | | λT | 21 D/ | ΑT | 28 DAT | |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|------------------|-----------|----|-----------|-------|-------------|-------|-----------|-------|-----------|-----|-----------|------|-----------|-----|
| DE (mg kg ⁻¹) | 100 | 0.0±0.0 | c*C [♦] | 0.0±0.0 | cC | 0.0±0.0 | bC | 0.5±0.2 | cC | 3.5±1.8 | cdC | 28.1±2.0 | сВ | 54.0±1.2 | bA | 57.6±1.6 | bcA |
| | 250 | 0.0±0.0 | cC | 0.0±0.0cC | cC | 0.0±0.0 | bC | 0.8±0.3 | bcC | 12.7±0.8 | bcB | 23.7±1.7 | cdB | 56.8±0.5 | bA | 73.6±0.2 | bcA |
| | 500 | 0.0±0.0 | cE | 0.0±0.0 | cE | 0.5±0.2 | bDE | 9.5±1.2 | bD | 31.6±0.5 | bC | 53.4±0.6 | bBC | 67.0±1.5 | bAB | 80.8±2.9 | bA |
| ME (mg kg⁻¹) | 5 | 4.4±2.5 | bcC | 82.7±2.8 | bB | 98.7±1.8 | aA | 99.7±0.8 | aA | 99.7±0.8 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |
| | 10 | 10.7±1.9 | bC | 88.9±1.5 | aВ | 99.5±0.7 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |
| | 15 | 16.5±2.4 | bB | 99.7±0.8 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |
| | 50+2.5 | 0.0±0.0 | cD | 0.0±0.0 | cD | 0.0±0.0 | bD | 1.1±0.9 | bcCD | 3.0±1.5 | bcC | 17.1±0.4 | bcB | 29.2±0.7 | bcAB | 50.0±0.6 | bcA |
| DE+ME (mg kg ⁻¹) | 125+5 | 0.0±0.0 | cD | 0.0±0.0 | cD | 0.1±0.0 | bD | 3.0±1.5bcCD | bcCD | 15.3±1.3 | cdBC | 20.0±1.9 | cdB | 30.2±0.9 | cdAB | 66.4±1.8 | bcA |
| | 250+7.5 | 0.0±0.0 | cC | 0.0±0.0 | cC | 0.5±0.1 | bC | 4.4±1.4 | bcC | 16.5±2.4 | bcC | 38.5±0.6 | cdB | 48.8±0.6 | cdB | 79.6±1.7 | bA |
| Control | | 0.0±0.0 | сВ | 0.0±0.0 | сВ | 0.1±0.0 | bB | 0.5±0.7 | cAB | 0.5±0.7 | dAB | 0.5±0.7 | dAB | 0.5±0.7 | dAB | 1.0±0.3 | dA |
| Insecticide |) | 64.8±2.8 | aB | 100.0±0.0 | аA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA | 100.0±0.0 | aA |

* Means followed by the same lowercase letter within columns are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

*Means followed by the same uppercase letter within rows are not significantly different at P < 0.05.

DAT, d after treatment; DE, Detech, ME: methyl eugenol, Insecticide, K-Obiol EC 25.

The efficacy of ME on test species was revealed by differences in mortality. The greatest effect on *S. granarius* was nearly complete mortality with 15 ml kg⁻¹ 28y DAT ($F_{10,88} = 69.7$, P < 0.05). One DAT, complete mortality was not seen in *S. granarius*, the minimum mortality was <14% at 5 ml kg⁻¹ ($F_{10,88} = 34.5$, P < 0.05)

(Table 3). For *S. zeamais,* complete mortality was recorded 7 DAT at 15 ml kg⁻¹ ($F_{10,88} = 175$, P < 0.05). The minimum insecticidal effect was with 5 ml kg⁻¹ gave 227% mortality 1 DAT ($F_{10,88} = 26.4$, P < 0.05) (Table 4). For *T. confusum,* complete mortality was observed in 14 DAT with 15 ml kg⁻¹ ($F_{10,88} = 227$, P < 0.05). At the same dose, the mortality was <1% 1 DAT ($F_{10,88} = 99.5$, P < 0.05) (Table 5). With *R. dominica,* the lowest mortality of 4% was observed 1 DAT at 5 ml kg⁻¹ ($F_{10,88} = 29.6$, P < 0.05). Complete mortality with all the three doses was observed from 14 DAT (Table 6).

No mortality was observed at the lowest combined dose of the agents (50 mg kg⁻¹ Detech plus 2.5 ml kg⁻¹ ME) 1 DAT ($F_{10,88} = 34.5$, P < 0.05) with *S. granarius*. The greatest effect of the combined products (250 mg kg⁻¹ Detech plus 7.5 ml kg⁻¹ ME) on *S. granarius* was 27% mortality 28 DAT ($F_{10,88} = 69.7$, P < 0.05) (Table 3). The combined reduced doses had a different effect on *S. zeamais*. The greatest mortality (250 mg kg⁻¹ Detech plus 7.5 ml kg⁻¹ ME) of 29% was observed 28 DAT ($F_{10,88} = 101$, P < 0.05). Increasing dose and time resulted in increased insect mortality (Table 4). With *T. confusum*, mortality with combined products ranged from 0 to 7% (Table 5). There was no mortality observed for *R. dominica* 2 DAT. The lowest mortality 3 DAT was <1% with 125 mg kg⁻¹ Detech plus 5 ml kg⁻¹ ME. The highest morality of 80% was observed 28 DAT with 250 mg kg⁻¹ Detech plus 7.5 ml kg⁻¹ ME (Table 6). The mortality with K-Obiol EC 25 varied from 45 to 66% 1 DAT. However, complete mortality was observed from 2 DAT onwards. The mortality in the control did not exceed ~2%.

Discussion

The present study showed that different doses of Detech and ME had different efficacy against T. confusum, R. dominica, S. granarius and S. zeamais. The highest mortality of 81% for R. dominica was with Detech at 500 mg kg⁻¹ 28 DAT. In a study conducted with diatomaceous earth products, Insecto and SilicoSec, mortality of R. dominica adults in different types of grain treated with 750 mg kg⁻¹ varied between 63 and 97% 14 DAT (Kavallieratos et al., 2005). Similarly, Protector, another commercial DE product, did not give complete mortality in *R. dominica* adults in wheat treated with 0.5 g kg⁻¹ 14 DAT (Baldassari et al., 2008). However, in the present study not all of the tested doses of Detech gave complete mortality of S. zeamais, R. dominica, T. confusum and S. granarius. Doumbia et al. (2014) shown that a complete mortality was achieved with S. zeamais adults 2 DAT in maize treated with DE at 3 g kg⁻¹. The mode of action of diatomaceous earth is known as abrasion of the insect cuticle and death occurs due to dehydration (Carlson & Ball, 1962; Ebeling, 1971; Krzyzowski et al., 2019). So, this effect may be due to the use of relatively low dose in the present study. Unlike other insects treated with Detech, T. confusum had the minimum mortality under similar conditions. Some studies showed that in order to achieve the desired level of mortality of T. confusum, DE doses equal to 500 mg kg⁻¹ or above need to be used (Athanassiou et al., 2004; Athanassiou & Kavallieratos, 2005). The maximum Detech dose used in the present study was 500 mg kg⁻¹. The results obtained for T. confusum are similar to those in the literature. In the experiments with Detech, the desired mortality rates could not be obtained even after the longest time and the highest dose applications. Ashraf et al. (2016) stated that although DE, Inert-PMS, was effective against test insects, shorter periods gave lower mortality and mortality increased with time. The factors include the structure of the epicuticule, insect behavior or mobility, body shape and size of the insects and tolerance for water loss (Ebeling, 1971; Rigaux et al., 2001; Losic & Korunić, 2017, Korunić et al., 2020). Therefore, the tolerance of different insect species to DE products varies. A recent study revealed that Cryptolestes pusillus (Schönherr, 1817) (Coleoptera: Laemophloeidae) was by far more susceptible to DE compared to R. dominica, T. confusum, Tribolium castaneum (Herbst, 1797) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae), Oryzaephilus surinamensis (L., 1758) (Coleoptera: Silvanidae), S. oryzae and S. granarius (Baliota & Athanassiou, 2020). In the present study, as in the previous studies with different DEs, T. confusum was as most tolerant species to Detech. It is also known that increased RH reduces the efficacy of the DEs (Mewis & Ulrichs, 2001; Ertürk, 2020). The present study was conducted at a constant temperature and RH, therefore, no comparison could be made in the efficacy at different temperature and RH.

The present results indicate that ME is a potential and effective plant-derived synthetic chemical insecticide to minimize pests damage in stored products, such as wheat and maize. In present study, S. zeamais was the most susceptible insect to ME 1 DAT. However, from 2 DAT onwards, the highest mortality was obtained with R. dominica, the most susceptible species to ME. ME is a benzene derivative and has a high insecticidal activity. The fact that ME has more methoxy groups is a feature that increases its knockdown effect (Smith et al., 2002). The presence of a double bond in the side chain of the aromatic ring in ME, an analog of eugenol, and the substitution of the methoxy group is positively correlated with toxicity (Mossa, 2016; Imai & Masuda, 2017). Norambuena et al. (2016) reported that the main essential oil components of Laureliopsis philippiana (Looser) Schodde (Atherospermataceae) were ME (61%) and safrole (17%) and the aessential oil toxicity ranged from low to high as S. granarius < S. zeamais < Sitophilus oryzae (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). In the present study, similar to the previous study, S. zeamais was found to be more sensitive to ME than S. granarius. The insecticidal activity of ME essential oil increased with increasing dose and time. Previous studies showed that mortality of insect species even in the same genus could be different (Ertürk et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2017; Guo et al., 2017; Alkan 2020a, b). In the present study, T. confusum was the most tolerant species to ME and this was similar to other studies (Shojaei et al., 2017). However, the differences are thought to be due to the physiological properties of insects. With the expectation of an increased efficacy of DE and ME together, both agents were also investigated in combination at half doses. Based on the results, it is considered that a Detech and ME combination is feasible against R. dominica but it was not effective against T. confusum, S granarius and S. zeamais. In a previous study, Form N, a mixture of SilicoSec and other ingredients was reported to provide higher mortality of S. oryzae, R. dominica and T. castaneum than DE alone, especially in barley (Paponja et al., 2020). Korunic & Fields (2020) revealed that the simultaneous usage of diatomaceous earth with dill essential oil, silica gel, pyrethrin, disodium octaborate tetrahydrate and yeast were more effective than DE alone. The combined application of DE and ME in maize and wheat, in the present study did not affect the test insects at the desired level. It is thought that the low effect obtained by using Detech and ME together may be due to the low concentrations used. Therefore, it was assumed that insects were not sufficiently in contact with the DE and ME. One of the most important factors limiting the use of DE in the protection of stored grain is the necessity for high concentrations for successful insect control. Therefore, reducing the amount of DE while maintaining its effectiveness is an important challenge.

The result of the present study indicates a possible synergistic effect of the combination of DE and ME on *R. dominica, T. confusum, S granarius* and *S. zeamais.* This is particularly important, as such a combination is expected to enhance a DE treatment so it can cause rapid mortality without adverse effects on the physicochemical characteristics of the grain. At the same time, such a formulation can be registered more easily than combinations with synthetic insecticides, as ME is a natural substance. Additional work is needed to clarify the data that would be needed for regulatory approval.

Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges Umut Toprak (Ankara University, Agriculture Faculty, Ankara, Turkey) for his valuable revising the manuscript and Entoteam R&D Food Agriculture Co. for their support.

References

- Adarkwah, C., D. Obeng-Ofori, C. Ulrichs & M. Schöller, 2017. Insecticidal efficacy of botanical food by-products against selected stored-grain beetles by the combined action with modified diatomaceous earth. Journal of Plant Diseases and Protection, 124 (3): 255-267.
- Aitken A. D., 1975. Insect Travelers. Volume I. Coleoptera. Technical Bulletin, Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, No. 31, 191 pp.
- Alkan, M., 2020a. Chemical composition and insecticidal potential of different *Origanum* spp. (Lamiaceae) essential oils against four stored product pests. Turkish Journal of Entomology, 44 (2): 149-163.

- Alkan, M., 2020b. Chemical composition of Achillea millefolium L. (Asteraceae) essential oil and insecticidal effect against Sitophilus granarius (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and Rhyzopertha dominica (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae). Plant Protection Bulletin, 60 (1): 85-93.
- Alkan, M., T. Atay, S. Ertürk & İ. Kepenekci, 2019a. Comparison of bioactivities of native diatomaceous earth against Turkestan cockroach [*Blatta lateralis* Walker (Blattodea: Blattidae)] nymphs. Applied Ecology and Environmental Research, 17 (3): 5987-5994.
- Alkan, M., S. Erturk, T. A. Firat & E. Ciftci, 2019b. Study of insecticidal and behavioral effects and some characteristic of native diatomaceous earth against the bean weevil, *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Fresenius Environmental Bulletin, 28 (4): 2916-2922.
- Alkan, M., S. Ertürk, T. Atay & A. Çağlayan, 2019c. Insecticidal efficacy of local diatomaceous earths against adult and larvae of *Tenebrio molitor* L., 1758 (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Turkish Journal of Entomology, 43 (3): 347-354.
- Arthur, F. H., 1996. Grain protectants: current status and prospects for the future. Journal of Stored Products Research, 32 (4): 293-302.
- Ashraf, M., W. Wakil, F. Hafeez & M. Farooq, 2016. Persistence and insecticidal efficacy of a diatomaceous earth formulation, inert-PMS, in stored wheat grain against *Cryptolestes ferrugineus* (Stephens), *Liposcelis paeta* Pearman, *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) and *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst). Turkish Journal of Entomology, 40 (2): 107-115.
- Athanassiou, C. G. & N. Kavallieratos, 2005. Insecticidal effect and adherence of PyriSec[®] in different grain commodities. Crop Protection, 24 (8): 703-710.
- Athanassiou, C. G., N. G. Kavallieratos & N. S. Andris, 2004. Insecticidal effect of three diatomaceous earth formulations against adults of *Sitophilus oryzae* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) and *Tribolium confusum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) on oat, rye and triticale. Journal of Economic Entomology, 97 (6): 2160-2167.
- Athanassiou, C. G., N. G. Kavallieratos, A. Chiriloaie, T. N. Vassilakos, V. Fatu, S. Drosu & R. Dudoiu, 2016. Insecticidal efficacy of natural diatomaceous earth deposits from Greece and Romania against four stored grain beetles: the effect of temperature and relative humidity. Bulletin of Insectology, 69 (1): 25-34.
- Baldassari, N., C. Prioli, A. Martini, V. Trotta & P. Baronio, 2008. Insecticidal efficacy of a diatomaceous earth formulation against a mixed age population of adults of *Rhyzopertha dominica* and *Tribolium castaneum* as function of different temperature and exposure time. Bulletin of Insectology, 61 (2): 355-360.
- Baliota, G. V. & C. G. Athanassiou, 2020. Evaluation of a Greek diatomaceous earth for stored product insect control and techniques that maximize its insecticidal efficacy. Applied Sciences, 10 (18): 6441.
- Barres, B., A. Micoud, M. F. Corio-Costet, D. Debieu, S. Fillinger, A. S. Walker, C. Délye, J. Grosman & M. Siegwart, 2016. Trends and challenges in pesticide resistance detection. Trends in Plant Science, 21 (10): 834-853.
- Bayram, A., A. A. Isikber, Ö. Sağlam & R. Şen, 2020. "Evaluation of repellency effect of diatomaceous earth formulation (Detech[®]) on three coleopteran stored grain insects, 56-64". 12th Conference of the Working Group Integrated Protection of Stored Product (September 3-6, 2019, Pisa, Italy), 429 pp.
- Boulogne, I., P. Petit, H. Ozier-Lafontaine, L. Desfontaines & G. Loranger-Merciris, 2012. Insecticidal and antifungal chemicals produced by plants: a review. Environmental Chemistry Letters, 10 (4): 325-347.
- Boyer, S., H. Zhang & G. Lempérière, 2012. A review of control methods and resistance mechanisms in stored-product insects. Bull Entomol Res 102 (2): 213-229.
- Carlson, S. D. & H. J. Ball, 1962. Mode of action and insecticidal value of a diatomaceous earth as a grain protectant. Journal of Economic Entomology, 55 (6): 964-970.
- Chang, C. L., I. K. Cho & Q. X. Li, 2009. Insecticidal activity of basil oil, trans-anethole, estragole, and linalool to adult fruit flies of *Ceratitis capitata*, *Bactrocera dorsalis*, and *Bactrocera cucurbitae*. Journal of Economic Entomology, 102 (1): 203-209.
- Dawson, W. A. J. M., M. Jestoi, A. Rizzo, P. Nicholson & G. L. Bateman, 2004. Field evaluation of fungal competitors of *Fusarium culmorum* and *F. graminearum*, causal agents of ear blight of winter wheat, for the control of mycotoxin production in grain. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 14 (8): 783-799.
- Doumbia, M., B. G. Douan, K. E. Kwadjo, D. K. Kra, V. Martel & M. Dagnogo, 2014. Effectiveness of diatomaceous earth for control of *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), *Tribolium castaneum* and *Palorus subdepressus* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Journal of Stored Products Research, 57: 1-5.

- Dwivedy, A. K., M. Kumar, N. Upadhyay & N. K. Dubey, 2015. Green chemistry in agricultural pest management programmes. Medicinal Chemistry, (Special Issue): 1-2.
- Ebeling, W., 1971. Sorptive dusts for pest control. Annual Review of Entomology, 16 (1): 123-158.
- Ertürk, S., T. Atay, U. Toprak & M. Alkan, 2020. The efficacy of different surface applications of wettable powder formulation of Detech[®] diatomaceous earth against the rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.) (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal of Stored Products Research, 89: 101725.
- Ertürk, S., A. G. Ferizli & M. Emekci, 2017. Evaluation of diatomaceous earth formulations for the control of rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L.), 1763 (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) in stored rice. Turkish Journal of Entomology, 41 (3): 347-354.
- Ertürk, S., A. Yılmaz, T. Fırat Akdeniz & M. Alkan, 2014. Fumigant effect of trans-anethole and carbon dioxide mixture against to *Rhyzopertha dominica*, *Tribolium castaneum* and *Sitophilus oryzae*. Plant Protection Bulletin, 57 (3): 391-400.
- FAO, 2009. How to feed the world in 2050. (Web page: http://www.fao.org/fileadmin/templates/wsfs/docs/expert_paper/ How_to_Feed_the_World_in_2050.pdf) (Date accessed: October 2020).
- Ferizli, A. G., G. Beriş & M. Emekçi, 2012. Effects of diatomaceous earth on the mortality and progeny production of *Rhyzopertha dominica* (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae). Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 17 (2): 85-94.
- Gałęcki, R., T. Bakuła, M. Wojtacki & K. Żuk-Gołaszewska, 2019. Susceptibility of ancient wheat species to storage pests *Sitophilus granarius* and *Tribolium confusum*. Journal of Stored Products Research, 83: 117-122.
- Guo, S. S., W. J. Zhang, C. X. You, J. Y. Liang, K. Yang, Z. F. Geng & C. F. Wang, 2017. Chemical composition of essential oil extracted from *Laggera pterodonta* and its bioactivities against two stored product insects. Journal of Food Processing and Preservatio, 41 (2): 1-9.
- Halliday, W. R., F. H. Arthur & J. L. Zettler, 1988. Resistance status of red flour beetle (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) infesting stored peanuts in the southeastern United States. Journal of Economic Entomology, 81 (1): 74-77.
- Herrmann, K. M. & L. M. Weaver, 1999. The shikimate pathway. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology, 50 (1): 473-503.
- Houssou, P. A., B. C. Ahohuendo, P. Fandohan, K. Kpodo, D. J. Hounhouigan & M. Jakobsen, 2009. Natural infection of cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* (L.) Walp.) by toxigenic fungi and mycotoxin contamination in Benin, West Africa. Journal of Stored Products Research, 45 (1): 40-44.
- Hubert, J., V. Stejskal, C. G. Athanassiou & J. E. Throne, 2018. Health hazards associated with arthropod infestation of stored products. Annual Review of Entomology, 63 (1): 553-573.
- Imai, T. & R. Masuda, 2017. Insecticidal activities of methyleugenol and β-asarone, from the herbal medicines Saishin and Sekishōkon, and other alkoxy-propenyl-benzene derivatives against the cigarette beetle *Lasioderma serricorne* (Coleoptera: Anobiidae). Applied Entomology and Zoology, 52 (2): 183-188.
- Islam, M. S. & M. M. Rahman, 2016. Diatomaceous earth induced alterations in the reproductive attributes in the housefly *Musca domestica* L. (Diptera: Culicidae). Elixir Applied Zoology, 96 (2016): 41241-41244.
- Jankowska, M., J. Rogalska, J. Wyszkowska & M. Stankiewicz, 2017. Molecular targets for components of essential oils in the insect nervous system-a review. Molecules, 23 (1): 34 (1-20).
- Kavallieratos, N. G., C. G. Athanassiou, F. G. Pashalidou, N. S. Andris & Z^{*}. Tomanovic['], 2005. Influence of grain type on the insecticidal efficacy of two diatomaceous earth formulations against *Rhyzopertha dominica* (F.) (Coleoptera: Bostrychidae). Pest Management Science, 61 (7): 660-666.
- Khorrami, F., O Valizadegan, M. Forouzan & A. Soleymanzade, 2018. The antagonistic/synergistic effects of some medicinal plant essential oils, extracts and powders combined with diatomaceous earth on red flour beetle, *Tribolium castaneum* Herbst (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection, 51 (13-14): 685-695.
- Korunić, Z., 1998. Diatomaceous earths, a group of natural insecticides. Journal of Stored Products Research, 34 (2-3): 87-97.
- Korunić, Z. & P. G. Field, 2020. Evaluation of three new insecticide formulations based on inert dusts and botanicals against four stored-grain beetles. Journal of Stored Products Research, 88: 101633.

- Korunić, Z., A. Liška, P. Lucić, D. Hamel & V. Rozman, 2020. Evaluation of diatomaceous earth formulations enhanced with natural products against stored product insects. Journal of Stored Products Research, 86: 101565.
- Krzyzowski, M., J. Francikowski, B. Baran & A. Babczynska, 2019. Physiological and behavioral effects of different concentrations of diatomaceous earth on common stored product pest *Callosobruchus maculatus*. Journal of Stored Products Research, 82: 110-115.
- Lee, S. E., B. H. Lee, W. S. Choi, B. S. Park, J. G. Kim & B. C. Campbell, 2001. Fumigant toxicity of volatile natural products from Korean spices and medicinal plants towards the rice weevil, *Sitophilus oryzae* (L). Pest Management Science, 57 (6): 548-553.
- Liang, J. Y., W. T. Wang, Y. F. Zheng, D. Zhang, J. L. Wang, S. S. Guo & J. Zhang, 2017. Bioactivities and chemical constituents of essential oil extracted from *Artemisia anethoides* against two stored product insects. Journal of Oleo Science, 66 (1): 71-76.
- Losic, D. & Z. Korunić, 2017. "Diatomaceous Earth, a Natural Insecticide for Stored Grain Protection: Recent Progress and Perspectives, 219-247". In: Diatom Nanotechnology: Progress and Emerging Applications (Ed. D. Losic). Royal Society of Chemistry Publishing, Cambridge, UK, 270 pp.
- Mckenzie, Jr. J. D. & J. M. R. Goldman, 2004. The Student Guide to MINITAB Release 14 + MINITAB Student Release 14 Statistical Software (Book + CD). Pearson Education, Boston, MA. 560 pp.
- Merget, R., T. Bauer, H. Küpper, S. Philippou, H. Bauer, R. Breitstadt & T. Bruening, 2002. Health hazards due to the inhalation of amorphous silica. Archives of Toxicology, 75 (11-12): 625-634.
- Mewis, I. & C. Ulrichs, 2001. Action of amorphous diatomaceous earth against different stages of the stored product pests *Tribolium confusum*, *Tenebrio molitor*, *Sitophilus granarius and Plodia interpunctella*. Journal of Stored Products Research, 37 (2): 153-164.
- Mossa, A. T. H., 2016. Green pesticides: essential oils as biopesticides in insect-pest management. Journal of Environment Science and Technology, 9 (5): 354-378.
- Norambuena, C., G. Silva, A. Urbina, I. Figueroa & J. C. Rodríguez-Maciel, 2016. Insecticidal activity of *Laureliopsis philippiana* (Looser) Schodde (Atherospermataceae) essential oil against *Sitophilus* spp. (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research, 76 (3): 330-336.
- Obeng-Ofori, D. & C. Reichmuth, 1997. Bioactivity of eugenol, a major component of essential oil of *Ocimum suave* (Wild.) against four species of stored-product Coleoptera. International Journal of Pest Management, 43 (1): 89-94.
- Oliveira, C. M., A. M. Auad, S. M. Mendes & M. R. Frizzas, 2014. Crop losses and the economic impact of insect pests on Brazilian agriculture. Crop Protection, 56: 50-54.
- Pacheco, I. A., M. R. Sartori & S. Bolonhezi, 1990. "Resistance to malathion, pirimiphos-methyl and fenitrothion in Coleoptera from stored grains, 1029-1038". Proceedings of the 5th International Working Conference on Stored Product Protection (9-14 September 1990, Bordeaux, France), 2064 pp.
- Paponja, I., V. Rozman & A. Liška, 2020. Natural formulation based on diatomaceous earth and botanicals against stored product insects. Insects, 11 (9): 613.
- Prasantha, B. D. R., C. Reichmuth, C. Adler & D. Felgentreu, 2015. Lipid adsorption of diatomaceous earths and increased water permeability in the epicuticle layer of the cowpea weevil *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) and the bean weevil *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Say) (Chrysomelidae). Journal of Stored Products Research, 64 (Part A): 36-41.
- Regnault-Roger, C., C. Vincent & J. T. Arnason, 2012. Essential oils in insect control: low-risk products in a high-stakes world. Annual Review of Entomology, 57: 405-424.
- Ribeiro, B. M., R. N. C. Guedes, E. E. Oliveira & J. P. Santos, 2003. Insecticide resistance and synergism in Brazilian populations of *Sitophilus zeamais* (Coleoptera: Curculionidae). Journal of Stored Products Research, 39 (1): 21-31.
- Richard, E., N. Heutte, L. Sage, D. Pottier, V. Bouchart, P. Lebailly & D. Garon, 2007. Toxigenic fungi and mycotoxins in mature corn silage. Food and Chemical Toxicology, 45 (12): 2420-2425.
- Rigaux, M., E. Haubruge & P. G. Fields, 2001. Mechanisms for tolerance to diatomaceous earth between strains of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 101 (1): 33-39.

- Sağlam, Ö., R. Şen, H. Bozkurt & A. A. Işıkber, 2020. "Insecticidal efficacy of three commercial diatomaceous earths, Detech[®], Demite[®] and Silicosec[®] against cowpea weevil, *Callosobruchus maculatus* (F.) (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Bruchinae), 47-53". 12th Conference of the Working Group Integrated Protection of Stored Product (September 2019, Pisa, Italy), 429 pp.
- Shojaei, A., K. Talebi, I. Sharifian & S. M. Ahsaei, 2017. Evaluation of detoxifying enzymes of *Tribolium castaneum* and *Tribolium confusum* (Col.: Tenebrionidae) exposed to essential oil of *Artemisia dracunculus* L. Biharean Biologist, 11 (1): 5-9.
- Smith, R. L., T. B. Adams, J. Doull, V. J. Feron, J. I. Goodman, L. J. Marnett & I. G. Sipes, 2002. Safety assessment of allylalkoxybenzene derivatives used as flavouring substances-methyl eugenol and estragole. Food and chemical Toxicology, 40 (7): 851-870.
- Tan, K. H. & R. Nishida, 2012. Methyl eugenol: its occurrence, distribution, and role in nature, especially in relation to insect behavior and pollination. Journal of Insect Science, 12 (1): 1-74.
- Upadhyay, N., A. K. Dwivedy, M. Kumar, B Prakash & N. K. Dubey, 2018. Essential oils as eco-friendly alternatives to synthetic pesticides for the control of *Tribolium castaneum* (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Journal of Essential Oil Bearing Plants, 21 (2): 282-297.
- Vayias, B. J. & C. G. Athanassiou, 2004. Factors affecting the insecticidal efficacy of the diatomaceous earth formulation SilicoSec against adults and larvae of the confused flour beetle, *Tribolium confusum* DuVal (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae). Crop Protection, 23 (7): 565-573.
- Warton, D. I. & F. K. C. Hui, 2011. The arcsine is asinine: the analysis of proportions in ecology. Ecology, 92 (1): 3-10.
- Zacker, F. & G. Kunike, 1931. Untersuchungen uber die insektizide Wirkung von Oxyden und Karbonaten. Arbeiten aus der Biologischen Reichsanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft, 18 (2): 201-231.
- Zar, J. H., 1999. Biostatistical Analysis, Fourth Edition. Published by PrenticeHall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, USA, 929 pp.
- Zhanda, J., B. M. Mvumi & H. Machekano, 2020. Potential of three enhanced diatomaceous earths against *Sitophilus zeamais* Motschulsky and *Prostephanus truncantus* (Horn) on stored maize grain. Journal of Stored Products Research, 87: 101608.