

Usability of ICD-10 coding system: urogenital disease percentages and use rates for related codes

Kodlama sisteminin kullanılabilirliği, ürogenital hastalık yüzdeleri ve ilgili kodların kullanım oranları

Huseyin Kocan¹ , Mustafa Kadihasanoglu² 

¹ Department of Urology, Kanuni Sultan Suleyman Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

² Department of Urology, Istanbul Training and Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

ÖZET

Amaç: ICD -10 sağlık hizmeti sunan kuruluşlarda yaygın olarak kullanılmaktadır. Hastalıkların tanısında kullanılan bu kodlama sistemi bize ulusal ve uluslararası tanıları standartize etmemize olanak tanıyarak, hastalıkların görülme oranlarının kolayca belirlenmesine olanak tanıyarak ilgili sağlık politikaları için yön gösterici olabilmektedir. Amaç 1 yıl içinde hastanemize başvuran tüm hastalar için kullanılan ICD-10 tanı kodlarının miktarı, ürogenital sistem hastalıklarıyla ilgili ICD-10 oranları, ürogenital sistem hastalık dağılımını belirlemektir.

Gereç ve Yöntemler: 15/04/2018-15/04/2019 tarihleri arasında Kanuni Sultan Süleyman Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesinde tüm birimlerinde tedavi olan ve bunların tanı ve tedavisinde kullanılmış olan toplam 3.764.124 ICD -10 Kodları kayıt altına alındı. Ürogenital sistem için kullanılan 174.448(%5) ICD-10 kod ve ürogenital hastalıkları için belirlenmiş olan ve hiç kullanılmayan kodlar kayıt altına alındı.

Bulgular: Ürogenital hastalıklar ile ilgili 196 ICD-10 kodlama sisteminden 43(%22) tanesinin kullanılmadığı, 1 yıllık süreçte toplam kodlama sisteminin %5 i Ürogenital sistem hastalıklarıyla ilgili olduğu ve bunun %50 oranında enfeksiyon hastalıklarıyla ilgiliydi.

Sonuçlar: Sonuçlarımız diğer çalışmalar ile uyumluluk göstermektedir ve ICD kod kullanımı benzer nedenlerden ana tanı kodlarında yoğunlaşmaktadır. WHO'nün standartize edeceği ICD-10 kod kullanımı sertifikasyon programları düzenlenmesi ulusal ve uluslararası doğru verilerin sağlanmasına katkı sağlayacaktır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: ICD-10 kod, ürogenital sistem hastalıkları, WHO, epidemioloji

ABSTRACT

Objectives: The ICD-10 coding system is commonly used in organizations providing health services in many countries. This coding system used for diagnosis of diseases is standardized for national and international diagnoses with the aim of determining incidence rates for diseases and acting as a guide for determination of health policies. To determine the amount of ICD-10 diagnosis codes used for all patients attending our hospital in a 1-year period, the rates of ICD-10 related to urogenital system diseases and the distribution of urogenital system diseases.


This study was approved by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of University of Health Sciences, Istanbul Education and Research Hospital. (Approval number: 2019/1843, May 24). All research was performed in accordance with relevant guidelines/regulations, and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Corresponding Author: Huseyin Kocan, Atakent Mah. Turgut Özal Bulvarı No:46/1, 34303 Istanbul / Turkey

GSM: +90 505 404 89 03 **e-mail:** drhkocan@gmail.com

Received: June 11, 2020 - **Accepted:** December 22, 2020



This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. 

Material and Methods: From 15/04/2018 to 15/04/2019, a total of 3,764,124 ICD-10 codes were recorded for diagnosis and treatment in all units of Kanuni Sultan Süleyman Education and Research Hospital. Of these, 174,448 (5%) were ICD-10 codes for the urogenital system and urogenital diseases and unused codes were recorded. .

Results: Of the 196 ICD-10 codes related to urogenital diseases, 43 (22%) were not used, with 5% of total codes within a 1-year period related to urogenital system diseases and of these 50% were related to infectious diseases.

Conclusion: ICD coding at our hospital mostly uses main diagnostic codes. The use of sub-diagnostic codes is very low. To resolve the difficulties in using sub-diagnostic codes and to standardize WHO practices, ICD code practical certification programs for health personnel will contribute to providing accurate data nationally and internationally.

Keywords: ICD-10 code, urogenital system diseases, WHO, epidemiology

INTRODUCTION

The International Classification of Disease (ICD) system was developed for accurate follow-up of diseases in a population. Studies about coding related to diseases extend back to 1763.(1) In 1948, the World Health Organization (WHO) gained responsibility for an international coding system for diseases. (1,2) Historically updated, the developed ICD coding system was published as ICD-10 in 1992.(2) This coding system used for diagnosis of diseases aimed to standardize national and international disease diagnoses. Additionally, this system aimed to add convenience to many areas like clinical research, financial analysis, statistics, risk management and health policies.(1,2) ICD-10 has an alpha-numeric code structure. The ICD-10 classification structure has five levels. Each level is a detailed form of the level above.

The aim was to determine the total ICD-10 code amounts used in all units of İstanbul Kanuni Sultan Süleyman Education and Research hospital during a 1-year period, the amount of ICD-10 codes related to urogenital system diseases and the distribution of urogenital diseases to determine the usability of the ICD-10 coding system for urogenital system diseases.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

From 15/04/2018 to 15/04/2019, a total of 3,764,124 ICD-10 codes were recorded for diagnosis and treatment in all units of Kanuni Sultan Süleyman Education and Research Hospital. There were 174,448 (5%) ICD-10 codes for the urogenital system and urogenital diseases and unused codes were recorded.

RESULTS

Within a 1-year period, 174,448 (5%) ICD-10 codes were used for urogenital system diseases. The distribution of urologic diseases according to ICD-10 is shown in Figure 1. Of the 196 ICD-10 codes related to urogenital diseases, 43 (22%) were not used. The unused ICD-10 codes are shown in Figure 2. There were 87,077 ICD-10 codes (50%) used for urogenital infections and the distribution is shown in Figure 3. For stone disease related to the urogenital system, 30,733 (18%) ICD-10 codes were used and the distribution is shown in Figure 4. There were a total of 13,790 ICD-10 codes (8%) used for benign tumors of the urogenital system, with the distribution shown in Figure 5. A total of 1115 ICD-10 codes (1%) were used for urogenital system malignant tumors, with the distribution shown in Figure 6. The most used ICD-10 code for urogenital diseases was general codes. For example, N30, cystitis, was the most entered code for urogenital infections, N23, renal colic, was the most favoured code for the patients with urolithiasis. The distribution of all ICD-10 codes used in urological practice are presented in Figure-7.




Figure 1. ICD-10 codes and rates used for urogenital system diseases.

C60.1 C60.2 C60.8 C60.9 C62.0 C63 C63.0 C63.2 C63.7 C63.8 C63.9 C65 C67.3 C67.5 C67.6 C67.7 C67.8
C68.0 C68.1 C68.8 C68.0 C68.1 C68.8 D29.4 D29.7 D30.0 D30.4 D30.7 D30.9 N22.0 N30.3 N35.1 N36.3
N41.2 N41.9 N42.1 N42.2 N48.0 N48.5 N49.0 N49.9 N50.1 N51.8

Figure 2. ICD-10 codes for the urogenital system that are not used




Figure 3. Distribution of ICD-10 codes for urogenital system infections.




Figure 4. Distribution of ICD-10 codes for urolithiasis.




Figure 5. Distribution of ICD-10 codes for urogenital system benign tumors




Figure 6. Distribution of ICD-10 codes for urogenital system malign tumors




Figure 7. **a** urogenital system malignant tumors
b urogenital system benign tumors
c diseases related to urogenital system stone disease
d other urogenital system diseases
e urogenital system infections

DISCUSSION

This study focused on the main diagnosis codes for disease coding supporting the problems defined for the ICD coding system. According to results of this study, the physicians who work at a governmental hospital, used mostly general ICD-10 codes instead of specific codes. The most used ICD-10 code for urogenital infections was N30, cystitis, in this study and N23, renal colic, for the patients with urinary stone disease. Another important results of this study was the narrow dispersion of the used ICD-10 codes. Forty-three (%22) of all ICD-10 codes for urogenital disorders was not entered by physicians and the most used ICD-10 codes were general codes.

The aim of the universally accepted ICD coding system, including internationally accepted revisions and updates, is to ease comparability of international morbidity data, to allow more efficient use of international resources and to create a coding system with reduced omissions and errors (2,3). The ICD-10 revision presents a 21st century classification (3). In recent years, many countries commonly use this coding system for research and surveillance (4). In Turkey, health organizations have commonly used the ICD coding system for the last 20 years. One of the basic problems with this coding system is that due to the excess of thousands of disease code numbers, it appears codes are written for a broader disease group instead of the real code for the disease. Another problem with this coding system is that use is made more difficult as it requires definition according to all criteria of a disease (3).

A study by Jetté et al. found 92.4% correct use of the diagnostic coding in the International Classification of Disease (9th edition) for male infertility (5).

A study of the emergency service found the ICD-10 coding system used for epilepsy had 100% positive predictive value (PPV), with 75% PPV found for epilepsy subtypes (6). Our data focused on main diagnostic codes and a reduction in this rate was observed for disease coding subtypes.

A study by Tollefson et al. assessed International Classification of Disease (9th edition) data for functional outcomes like urine leakage and erectile dysfunction after radical prostatectomy and found weak correlation with confirmed survey data (7).

Additionally, another problem related to coding is that many countries modify the ICD codes independent of the WHO which appears to be a significant obstacle to comparison of international data (4).

The World Health Organization updates and revises the ICD coding system. Based on present problems, updating and planning training programs will increase the success rates for use of ICD coding.

CONCLUSION

Regulation of standardized ICD-10 code use certification programs for health professionals by WHO will contribute to ensuring accurate national and international data. Our data show urogenital system infections have an important place in clinical practice of urology.

ETHICS COMMITTEE PERMISSION

Permission was granted by the Clinical Research Ethics Committee of University of Health Sciences, İstanbul Education and Research Hospital. Decision no: 1843, date 24/05/2019.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author reports no conflicts of interest. The author alone is responsible for the content and writing of the paper.

REFERENCES

1. Hirsch JA, Nicola G, et al. ICD-10: History and Context. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2016 Apr;37(4):596-9. doi: 10.3174/ajnr. A4696. Epub 2016 Jan 28.
2. World Health Organization: History of the Development of the ICD. <http://www.who.int/classifications/ICD/en/HistoryOfICD.pdf>. Accessed. November 4, 2015.

3. Gersenovic M. The ICD family of classifications. *Methods Inf Med* 1995;34:172–175.
4. Jetté N, Quan H, Hemmelgarn B, et al. IMECCHI Investigators. The development, evolution, and modifications of ICD-10: challenges to the international comparability of morbidity data. *Med Care* 2010;48:1105-10. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181ef9d3e.
5. Khandwala YS, Zhang CA, Li S, Cullen MR, Eisenberg ML. Validity of Claims Data for the Identification of Male Infertility. *Curr Urol Rep* 2017;18:68. doi: 10.1007/s11934-017-0714-7.
6. Jetté N, Reid AY, Quan H, Hill MD, Wiebe S. How accurate is ICD coding for epilepsy? *Epilepsia* 2010;51:62-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2009.02201.x. Epub 2009 Jul 20.
7. Tollefson MK, Gettman MT, Karnes RJ, Frank I. Administrative data sets are inaccurate for assessing functional outcomes after radical prostatectomy. *J Urol* 2011;185:1686-90. doi: 10.1016/j.juro.2010.12.039. Epub 2011 Mar 21.