



Kenmotsu Manifolds with Generalized Tanaka-Webster Connection

Bilal Eftal Acet¹, Selcen Yüksel Perktas^{1*}, Erol Kılıç²

¹*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Adiyaman University, 02040
Adiyaman, Turkey
sperktas@adiyaman.edu.tr*

²*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, İnönü University, 44280
Malatya, Turkey*

Abstract

The object of the present paper is to study generalized Tanaka-Webster connection on a Kenmotsu manifold. Some conditions for φ -conformally flat, φ -conharmonically flat, φ -concircularly flat, φ -projectively flat, φ - W_2 flat and φ -pseudo projectively flat Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to generalized Tanaka-Webster connection are obtained.

Keywords: Kenmotsu Manifold, Einstein Manifold, Curvature Tensor, Tanaka-Webster Connection.

Genelleştirilmiş Tanaka-Webster Konneksiyonlu Kenmotsu Manifolddar

Özet

Bu çalışmada bir Kenmotsu manifold üzerinde genelleştirilmiş Tanaka-Webster konneksiyonu çalışıldı. Genelleştirilmiş Tanaka-Webster konneksiyonuna sahip φ -conformally flat, φ -conharmonically flat, φ -concircularly flat, φ -projectively flat, φ - W_2 flat ve φ -pseudo projectively flat Kenmotsu manifoldlar için bazı şartlar elde edildi.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Kenmotsu Manifold, Einstein Manifold, Eğrilik Tensörü, Tanaka-Webster Konneksiyon.

1. Introduction

In [10], Tanno classified connected almost contact metric manifolds whose automorphism groups possess the maximum dimension. For such manifolds, the sectional curvature of plane sections containing ξ is a constant c and it was proved that they can be divided into three classes [10]:

- (i) Homogeneous normal contact Riemannian manifolds with $c > 0$,
- (ii) Global Riemannian products of a line or a circle with a Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature if $c = 0$,
- (iii) A warped product space $\mathbb{R} \times_f \mathbb{C}$ if $c < 0$.

It is known that the manifolds of class (i) are characterized by admitting a Sasakian structure. The differential geometric properties of the manifolds of class (iii) investigated by Kenmotsu [5] and the obtained structure is now known as Kenmotsu structure. In general, these structures are not Sasakian [5]. Kenmotsu manifolds have been studied by many authors such as De and Pathak [2], Jun, De and Pathak [4], Özgür and De [6], Yıldız and De [14], Yıldız, De and Acet [15] and many others.

On the other hand, the Tanaka-Webster connection [9,12] is the canonical of fine connection defined on a non-degenerate pseudo-Hermitian CR-manifold. Tanno [11] defined the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection for contact metric manifolds by the canonical connection which coincides with the Tanaka-Webster connection if the associated CR-structure is integrable.

In this paper, Kenmotsu manifolds with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection are studied. Section 2 is devoted to some basic definitions. In section 3, we find the expression for curvature tensor (resp. Ricci tensor) with respect to generalized Tanaka-Webster connection and investigate relations between curvature tensor (resp. Ricci tensor) with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection and curvature tensor (resp. Ricci tensor) with respect to Levi-Civita connection. In section 4, conformal curvature tensor of generalized Tanaka-Webster connection is studied. In section 5, it is proved that a φ -conharmonically flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection is an η -Einstein manifold. Section 6 and 7, contain some results for φ -concurvally flat and φ -projectively flat Kenmotsu manifolds with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection, respectively. In section 8, we study φ - W_2 flat Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. In the last section, we show that

φ -pseudo projectively flat Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to generalized Tanaka-Webster is an η -Einstein manifold.

2. Preliminaries

We recall some general definitions and basic formulas for late use.

Let $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact Riemannian manifold, where φ is a $(1,1)$ – tensor field, ξ is the structure vector field, η is a 1 – form and g is the Riemannian metric. It is well known that the (φ, ξ, η, g) structure satisfies the conditions [1]

$$\varphi\xi = 0, \eta(\varphi X) = 0, \eta(\xi) = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$\varphi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi \quad (2)$$

$$g(X, \xi) = \eta(X) \quad (3)$$

$$g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (4)$$

for any vector field X and Y on M . Moreover, if

$$(\nabla_X \varphi)Y = -g(X, \varphi Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\varphi X \quad (5)$$

$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi, \quad (6)$$

where ∇ denotes Levi-Civita connection on M , then $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called a Kenmotsu manifold.

In this case, it is well known that [5]

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X \quad (7)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = -2n\eta(X), \quad (8)$$

where S denotes the Ricci tensor. From (7), we can easily see that

$$R(X, \xi)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X \quad (9)$$

$$R(X, \xi)\xi = \eta(X)\xi - X. \quad (10)$$

Since $S(X, Y) = g(QX, Y)$, we have

$$S(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(Q\varphi X, \varphi Y),$$

where Q is the Ricci operator.

Using the properties (2) and (8), we get

$$S(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = S(X, Y) + (2n)\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (11)$$

by virtue of $g(X, \varphi Y) = -g(\varphi X, Y)$ and $Q\varphi = \varphi Q$. Also we have

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y). \quad (12)$$

A Kenmotsu manifold M is said to be η -Einstein if its Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (13)$$

for any vector fields X and Y , where a and b are functions on M .

The generalized Tanaka-Webster connection [11] $\bar{\nabla}$ for a contact metric manifold M is defined by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + (\nabla_X \eta)Y \cdot \xi - \eta(Y)\nabla_X \xi + \eta(X)\varphi Y, \quad (14)$$

for all vector fields X and Y , where ∇ is Levi-Civita connection on M .

By using (6), the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\bar{\nabla}$ for a Kenmotsu manifold is given by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X + \eta(X)\varphi Y, \quad (15)$$

for all vector fields X and Y .

3. Curvature Tensor

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold. The curvature tensor \bar{R} of M with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is defined by

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = \bar{\nabla}_X \bar{\nabla}_Y Z - \bar{\nabla}_Y \bar{\nabla}_X Z - \bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]}Z. \quad (16)$$

Then, in a Kenmotsu manifold, we have

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z = R(X, Y)Z + g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y, \quad (17)$$

where $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]}Z$ is the curvature tensor of M with respect to Levi-Civita connection ∇ .

Theorem 3.1 *In a Kenmotsu manifold, Riemannian curvature tensor with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\bar{\nabla}$ has following properties*

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)Z + \bar{R}(Y, Z)X + \bar{R}(Z, X)Y = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, V) + \bar{R}(Y, X, Z, V) = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, V) + \bar{R}(X, Y, V, Z) = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, V) - \bar{R}(Z, V, X, Y) = 0, \quad (21)$$

where $\bar{R}(X, Y, Z, V) = g(\bar{R}(X, Y)Z, V)$.

The Ricci tensor \bar{S} and the scalar curvature $\bar{\tau}$ of the manifold M with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\bar{\nabla}$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{S}(X, Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n g(\bar{R}(e_i, X)Y, e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n g(\bar{R}(\varphi e_i, X)Y, \varphi e_i) \\ &\quad + g(\bar{R}(\xi, X)Y, \xi)\end{aligned}\tag{22}$$

$$\bar{\tau} = \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{S}(e_i, e_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{S}(\varphi e_i, \varphi e_i) + \bar{S}(\xi, \xi),\tag{23}$$

respectively, where $\{e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi\}$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$, is an orthonormal φ -basis of M .

Lemma 3.1 *Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\bar{\nabla}$. Then, we have*

$$\bar{R}(X, Y)\xi = \bar{R}(\xi, X)Y = \bar{R}(\xi, X)\xi = 0\tag{24}$$

$$\bar{S}(X, \xi) = 0,\tag{25}$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in TM$.

Moreover, on a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold M , we have

$$\bar{S}(X, Y) = S(X, Y) + 2ng(X, Y)\tag{26}$$

$$\bar{\tau} = \tau + 4n^2 + 2n,\tag{27}$$

where S and τ denote the Ricci tensor and scalar curvature of Levi-Civita connection ∇ , respectively. From (26), it is obvious that \bar{S} is symmetric.

4. φ -Conformally Flat Kenmotsu Manifold With Generalized Tanaka-Webster Connection

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. The conformal curvature tensor [13] of M is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{C}(X, Y)V &= \bar{R}(X, Y)V - \frac{1}{2n-1} \left(\bar{S}(Y, V)X - \bar{S}(X, V)Y \right. \\ &\quad \left. + g(Y, V)\bar{Q}X - g(X, V)\bar{Q}Y \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{\bar{\tau}}{2n(2n-1)} (g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y).\end{aligned}\tag{28}$$

By using (17), (26) and (27) in (28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{C}(X, Y)V &= R(X, Y)V + g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y \\ &- \frac{1}{2n-1} \begin{pmatrix} S(Y, V)X + 2ng(Y, V)X \\ -S(X, V)Y - 2ng(X, V)Y \\ +g(Y, V)QX + 2ng(Y, V)X \\ -g(X, V)QY - 2ng(X, V)Y \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{2n(2n-1)} (g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Definition 4.1 A differentiable manifold M satisfying the condition

$$\varphi^2 \bar{C}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0, \quad (30)$$

is called φ -conformally flat.

It can be easily seen that $\varphi^2 \bar{C}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0$ holds if and only if

$$g(\bar{C}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = 0, \quad (31)$$

for any $X, Y, U, V \in TM$.

In view of (28), φ -conformally flatness means that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{R}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) &= \frac{1}{2n-1} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{S}(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -\bar{S}(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ +\bar{S}(\varphi X, \varphi V)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ -\bar{S}(\varphi Y, \varphi V)g(\varphi X, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix} \\ &- \frac{\bar{\tau}}{2n(2n-1)} \begin{pmatrix} g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Using (17), (26) and (27), from (32) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &g(R(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) + g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) - g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n-1} \begin{pmatrix} S(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ +2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -S(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ -2ng(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ +S(\varphi X, \varphi V)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ +2ng(\varphi X, \varphi V)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ -S(\varphi Y, \varphi V)g(\varphi X, \varphi U) \\ -2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi V)g(\varphi X, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix} \\ &- \frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{2n(2n-1)} \begin{pmatrix} g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Choosing $\{e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi\}$ as an orthonormal φ -basis of M and contraction of (33) with respect to X and V we obtain

$$S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) + 2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \left(\begin{aligned} &(2n-2)S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ &+ (8n^2 + \tau - 2n)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \end{aligned} \right) - \frac{\tau + 4n^2 + 2n}{2n(2n-1)} ((2n-1)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)), \quad (34)$$

for any vector fields Y and U on M . From equations (4) and (11), we get

$$S(Y, U) = \left(\frac{\tau + 2n}{2n} \right) g(Y, U) - \left(\frac{\tau + 4n^2 + 2n}{2n} \right) \eta(Y)\eta(U),$$

which implies that M is an η -Einstein manifold.

Therefore, we have the following.

Theorem 4.1 *Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional φ -conformally flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Then M is an η -Einstein manifold.*

5. φ -Conharmonically Flat Kenmotsu Manifold With Generalized Tanaka-Webster Connection

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. The conharmonic curvature tensor [3] of M is defined by

$$\bar{K}(X, Y)V = \bar{R}(X, Y)V - \frac{1}{2n-1} \left(\begin{aligned} &\bar{S}(Y, V)X - \bar{S}(X, V)Y \\ &+ g(Y, V)\bar{Q}X - g(X, V)\bar{Q}Y \end{aligned} \right). \quad (35)$$

By using (17), (26) and (27), we obtain from (35)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K}(X, Y)V &= R(X, Y)V + g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2n-1} \left(\begin{aligned} &S(Y, V)X + 2ng(Y, V)X \\ &-S(X, V)Y - 2ng(X, V)Y \\ &+g(Y, V)QX + 2ng(Y, V)X \\ &-g(X, V)QY - 2ng(X, V)Y \end{aligned} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Definition 5.1 *A differentiable manifold M satisfying the condition*

$$\varphi^2 \bar{K}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0, \quad (37)$$

is called φ -conharmonically flat.

It can be easily seen that $\varphi^2 \bar{K}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0$ holds if and only if

$$g(\bar{K}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = 0, \quad (38)$$

for any $X, Y, U, V \in TM$.

If M is a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional φ -conharmonically flat Kenmotsu manifold then we have

$$g(\bar{R}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{S}(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -\bar{S}(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ +\bar{S}(\varphi X, \varphi V)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ -\bar{S}(\varphi Y, \varphi V)g(\varphi X, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (39)$$

in view of (35). By using (17), (26) and (27) in (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & g(R(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) + g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) - g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n-1} \begin{pmatrix} S(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ +2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -S(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ -2ng(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ +S(\varphi X, \varphi V)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ +2ng(\varphi X, \varphi V)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ -S(\varphi Y, \varphi V)g(\varphi X, \varphi U) \\ -2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi V)g(\varphi X, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

Since $\{e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi\}$ is an orthonormal basis of vector fields on M , a suitable contraction of (40) with respect to X and V gives

$$S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) + 2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U) = \frac{1}{2n-1} \begin{pmatrix} (2n-2)S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ +(8n^2 + \tau - 2n)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (41)$$

for any vector fields Y and U on M .

From equations (4) and (11), we get

$$S(Y, U) = (\tau + 4n^2)g(Y, U) - (\tau + 4n^2 + 2n)\eta(Y)\eta(U),$$

which implies that M is an η -Einstein manifold.

Hence, we have the following.

Theorem 5.1 *Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional φ -conharmonically flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Then M is an η -Einstein manifold.*

6. φ -Concircularly Flat Kenmotsu Manifold With Generalized Tanaka-Webster Connection

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. The concircular curvature tensor [13] of M is defined by;

$$\bar{Z}(X, Y)V = \bar{R}(X, Y)V - \frac{\bar{\tau}}{2n(2n-1)}(g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y). \quad (42)$$

From (17), (27) and (42), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Z}(X, Y)V &= R(X, Y)V + g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y \\ &\quad - \frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{2n(2n-1)}(g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y). \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Definition 6.1 A differentiable manifold M satisfying the condition

$$\varphi^2 \bar{Z}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0, \quad (44)$$

is called φ -concircularly flat.

It is obvious that (44) holds if and only if

$$g(\bar{Z}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = 0, \quad (45)$$

for any $X, Y, U, V \in TM$.

On a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional φ -concircularly flat Kenmotsu manifold, we obtain

$$g(\bar{R}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = \frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{2n(2n-1)} \begin{pmatrix} g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (46)$$

by virtue of (42). Using (17) in the last equation above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &g(R(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) + g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) - g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ &= \frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{2n(2n-1)} \begin{pmatrix} g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Taking into account the orthonormal φ -basis $\{e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi\}$ of M and contraction of (47) gives

$$S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) + 2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U) = \frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{2n(2n-1)}((2n-1)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)), \quad (48)$$

for any vector fields Y and U on M .

From (4) and (11), we get

$$S(Y, U) = \left(\frac{\tau+2n}{2n}\right)g(Y, U) - \left(\frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{2n}\right)\eta(Y)\eta(U),$$

which implies that M is an η -Einstein manifold.

Therefore we have the following.

Theorem 6.1 *Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional φ -conircularly flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Then M is an η -Einstein manifold.*

7. φ -Projectively Flat Kenmotsu Manifold With Generalized Tanaka-Webster Connection

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. The projective curvature tensor [13] of M is defined by

$$\bar{P}(X, Y)Z = \bar{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n}(\bar{S}(Y, Z)X - \bar{S}(X, Z)Y). \quad (49)$$

By using (17) and (26), from (49) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2n} \begin{pmatrix} S(Y, Z)X + 2ng(Y, Z)X \\ -S(X, Z)Y - 2ng(X, Z)Y \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Definition 7.1 *A differentiable manifold M satisfying the condition*

$$\varphi^2 \bar{P}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0, \quad (51)$$

is called φ -projectively flat.

One can easily see that $\varphi^2 \bar{P}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0$ holds if and only if

$$g(\bar{P}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = 0, \quad (52)$$

for any $X, Y, U, V \in TM$.

In view of (49), on a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional φ -projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold, we have

$$g(\bar{R}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = \frac{1}{2n} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{S}(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -\bar{S}(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (53)$$

Then from (53), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& g(R(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) + g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) - g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\
&= \frac{1}{2n} \begin{pmatrix} S(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ +2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -S(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ -2ng(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{54}
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (17) and (26).

Choosing $\{e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi\}$ as an orthonormal φ -basis of M and so by suitable contraction of (54) with respect to X and V we obtain

$$S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) + 2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U) = \frac{1}{2n} \begin{pmatrix} (2n-1)S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ +(4n^2 - 2n)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{55}$$

for any vector fields Y and U on M .

From equations (4) and (11), we get

$$S(Y, U) = -2ng(Y, U),$$

which implies that M is an Einstein manifold.

Hence, we have the following.

Theorem 7.1 *Let M be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional φ -projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Then M is an Einstein manifold.*

8. φ - W_2 Flat Kenmotsu Manifold With Generalized Tanaka-Webster Connection

In [7] Pokhariyal and Mishra have introduced new tensor fields, called W_2 and E -tensor field, in a Riemannian manifold and study their properties.

The curvature tensor W_2 is defined by

$$W_2(X, Y, Z, V) = R(X, Y, Z, V) + \frac{1}{n-1} (g(X, Z)S(Y, V) - g(Y, Z)S(X, V)),$$

where S is a Ricci tensor of type $(0,2)$.

Let M be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. The \bar{W}_2 -curvature tensor of M is defined by

$$\bar{W}_2(X, Y)Z = \bar{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n} (g(Y, Z)\bar{Q}X - g(X, Z)\bar{Q}Y). \tag{56}$$

By using (17) and (27) in the last equation above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{W}_2(X, Y)Z &= R(X, Y)Z + g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2n} \left(g(Y, Z)QX + 2ng(Y, Z)X \right) \\ &\quad \left(-g(X, Z)QY - 2ng(X, Z)Y \right). \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Definition 8.1 A differentiable manifold M satisfying the condition

$$\varphi^2 \bar{W}_2(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0, \quad (58)$$

is called φ - W_2 flat.

It can be easily seen that $\varphi^2 \bar{W}_2(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0$ holds if and only if

$$g(\bar{W}_2(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = 0, \quad (59)$$

for any $X, Y, U, V \in TM$.

In view of (56), φ - W_2 flatness on a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold means that

$$g(\bar{R}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = \frac{1}{2n} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{S}(\varphi X, \varphi V)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ -\bar{S}(\varphi Y, \varphi V)g(\varphi X, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (60)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} &g(R(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) + g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) - g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n} \begin{pmatrix} S(\varphi X, \varphi V)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ +2ng(\varphi X, \varphi V)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ -S(\varphi Y, \varphi V)g(\varphi X, \varphi U) \\ -2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi V)g(\varphi X, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

via (17), (26) and (60).

Let $\{e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi\}$ be an orthonormal φ -basis of M . If we contract (61) with respect to X and V we get

$$S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) + 2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U) = \frac{1}{2n} \begin{pmatrix} (\tau + 4n^2)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ -S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (62)$$

for any vector fields Y and U on M .

From equations (4) and (11), then we get

$$S(Y, U) = \left(\frac{\tau}{2n+1} \right) g(Y, U) - \left(\frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{2n+1} \right) \eta(Y)\eta(U),$$

which implies that M is an η -Einstein manifold.

Therefore, we have the following.

Theorem 8.1 Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional φ - W_2 flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Then M is an η -Einstein manifold.

9. φ -Pseudo Projectively Flat Kenmotsu Manifold with Generalized Tanaka-Webster Connection

Prasad [8] defined and studied a tensor field \bar{P} on a Riemannian manifold of dimension n , which includes projective curvature tensor P . This tensor field \bar{P} is known as pseudo-projective curvature tensor.

In this section, we study pseudo-projective curvature tensor in a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\bar{\nabla}$ and we denote this curvature tensor with $\bar{P}\bar{P}$.

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. The pseudo-projective curvature tensor $\bar{P}\bar{P}$ of M with generalized Tanaka-Webster connection $\bar{\nabla}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}\bar{P}(X, Y)V &= a\bar{R}(X, Y)V + b(\bar{S}(Y, V)X - \bar{S}(X, V)Y) \\ &\quad - \frac{\bar{\tau}}{(2n+1)} \left(\frac{a}{2n} + b \right) (g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y), \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

where a and b are constants such that $a, b \neq 0$.

If $a = 1$ and $b = \frac{1}{2n+2}$ then (63) takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}\bar{P}(X, Y)V &= \bar{R}(X, Y)V + \frac{1}{2n+2} (\bar{S}(Y, V)X - \bar{S}(X, V)Y) \\ &\quad - \frac{\bar{\tau}}{(2n+2)n} (g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

By using (17), (26) and (27) in (64), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{P}\bar{P}(X, Y)V &= R(X, Y)V + g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2n+2} \begin{pmatrix} S(Y, V)X + 2ng(Y, V)X \\ -S(X, V)Y - 2ng(X, V)Y \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad - \frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{(2n+2)n} (g(Y, V)X - g(X, V)Y). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Definition 9.1 A differentiable manifold M satisfying the condition

$$\varphi^2 \bar{P}\bar{P}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0, \quad (66)$$

is called φ -pseudo projectively flat.

It can be easily seen that $\varphi^2 \bar{P}\bar{P}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U = 0$ holds if and only if

$$g(\bar{P}\bar{P}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) = 0, \quad (67)$$

for any $X, Y, U, V \in TM$.

One can easily see that on a φ -pseudo projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold,

$$\begin{aligned} g(\bar{R}(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) &= \frac{1}{2n+2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{S}(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ -\bar{S}(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{\bar{\tau}}{(2n+2)n} \begin{pmatrix} g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

holds, in view of (63). Using equations (17), (26) and (27) in (68), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &g(R(\varphi X, \varphi Y)\varphi U, \varphi V) + g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) - g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n+2} \begin{pmatrix} S(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ +2ng(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V) \\ -S(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \\ -2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{(2n+2)n} (g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)g(\varphi X, \varphi V) - g(\varphi X, \varphi U)g(\varphi Y, \varphi V)). \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Choosing $\{e_i, \varphi e_i, \xi\}$ as an orthonormal basis of vector fields in M and contracting (69), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) + 2ng(\varphi Y, \varphi U) &= \frac{1}{2n+2} \begin{pmatrix} (1-2n)S(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \\ -(4n^2-2n)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U) \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \frac{\tau+4n^2+2n}{(2n+2)n} ((2n-1)g(\varphi Y, \varphi U)), \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

for any vector fields Y and U on M .

From equations (4) and (11), we get

$$S(Y, U) = \left(\frac{\tau(2n-1)-2n(n+1)}{4n^2+n} \right) g(Y, U) - \left(\frac{\tau(2n-1)+2n(4n^2-1)}{4n^2+n} \right) \eta(Y)\eta(U),$$

which implies that M is an η -Einstein manifold.

Therefore, we have the following.

Theorem 9.1 *Let M be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional φ -pseudo projectively flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the generalized Tanaka-Webster connection. Then M is an η -Einstein manifold.*

Acknowledgement. This paper was supported by Adıyaman University, under Scientific Research Project No. FEFBAP/2012-005. The authors thank to the referees for useful suggestions.

References

- [1] D. E. Blair, Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., 509, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [2] U. C. De, G. Pathak, *Indian J. Pure Applied Math.*, 2004, **35**, 159-165.
- [3] Y. Ishii, *Tensor*, 1957, **7 (2)**, 73-80.
- [4] J. B. Jun, U. C. De, G. Pathak, *J. Korean Math. Soc.*, 2005, **42**, 435-445.
- [5] K. Kenmotsu, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 1972, **24**, 93-103.
- [6] C. Özgür, U. C. De, *Mathematica Pannonica*, 2006, **17 (2)**, 221-228.
- [7] G. P. Pokhariyal, R. S. Mishra, *Yokohama Math. J.*, 1970, **18**, 105-108.
- [8] B. Prasad, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 2002, **94 (3)**, 163-166.
- [9] N. Tanaka, *Japan J. Math.*, 1976, **2**, 131-190.
- [10] S. Tanno, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 1969, **21**, 21-38.
- [11] S. Tanno, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1989, **314 (1)**, 349-379.
- [12] S. M. Webster, *J. Diff. Geo.*, 1979, **13**, 25-41.
- [13] K. Yano, M. Kon, Structures on Manifolds, Series in Pure Mathematics-Vol. 3, World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.
- [14] A. Yıldız, U. C. De, *Differential Geometry- Dynamical System*, 2010, **12**, 289-298.
- [15] A. Yıldız, U. C. De, B. E. Acet, *SUT Journal of Math*, 2009, **45 (2)**, 89-101.