



Positive Solutions for a Fractional Thermostat Model via Sum Operators Methods

Ling Bai¹ and Chengbo Zhai^{1*}

¹School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanxi University, Shanxi Province, China

*Corresponding author

Abstract

In this paper, we consider a fractional thermostat model involving Caputo fractional derivatives. Based on recent fixed point theorems of sum operators on cones, we give the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the model and we can construct an iterative scheme to approximate the unique solution. In the last section, we list two concrete examples to illustrate our main results.

Keywords: fractional thermostat model, Caputo fractional derivative, Green's function, fixed point theorems of sum operators

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34B18, 34B15

1. Introduction

It is well-known that fractional differential equations arise in many fields, such as economics, mechanics, physics and biological sciences, etc; for more details we refer the reader to [2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and the references therein. Many authors have investigated the existence of positive solutions for fractional differential equation boundary value problems, see [4, 5, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21, 22, 24] and the references therein. Besides, the uniqueness of positive solutions for fractional problems has been studied widely, see [14, 15, 19, 20, 21, 22, 24] for instance.

In [9], the authors studied the following fractional boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -{}^c D_a^\alpha u(t) = f(t, u(t)), & 0 < t < 1, \\ u'(0) = 0, \quad \beta {}^c D_1^{\alpha-1} u(1) + u(\eta) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, ${}^c D_a^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order α , $\beta > 0$, $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $f : [0, 1] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function. Some existence results were established by using Guo-Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem. But the uniqueness of solutions was not studied in [9]. For other related results to the problem, see [2, 3, 8, 10] for example.

In [2], the authors studied the following fractional thermostat model:

$$\begin{cases} -{}^c D_a^\alpha u(t) = y(t), & a < t < b, \\ u'(a) = 0, \quad \beta {}^c D_a^{\alpha-1} u(b) + u(\eta) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\beta > 0$, $a \leq \eta \leq b$. The authors present some Lyapunov-type inequalities for a nonlinear fractional heat equation with nonlocal boundary conditions depending on a positive parameter. As an application, a lower bound for the eigenvalues of corresponding equations was obtained. However, the authors do not provide the existence of the solution in this article.

Inspired by the above works, we mainly consider the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the following fractional thermostat model:

$$\begin{cases} -{}^c D_a^\alpha u(t) = g(t, u(t)) + f(t, u(t)), & a < t < b, \\ u'(a) = 0, \quad \beta {}^c D_a^{\alpha-1} u(b) + u(\eta) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where ${}^c D_a^\alpha$ denotes the Caputo fractional derivative of order α , $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, $\beta > 0$ and $a \leq \eta \leq b$. $f, g : [a, b] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous functions. In this paper, we mainly prove the existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for the corresponding model. Our methods are two fixed point theorems of sum operators on cones. Moreover, we can construct an iterative scheme to approximate the unique positive solution.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect.2, some preliminaries on fractional calculus and fixed point theory are presented. Next, we state and prove our main results in Sect.3 and two examples are provided.

2. Preliminaries and previous results

In this section, we present the basic results about fractional calculus theory which will be used later. We refer the reader to [1,2,3] and the references therein.

We denote by \mathbf{N} the set of positive natural numbers, that is: $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Definition 2.1. [1] Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a given function. For $\alpha > 0$, the Riemann-Liouville fractional integral of order α of f is defined by

$$(I_a^\alpha f)(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} f(s) ds,$$

where $\Gamma(\alpha)$ denotes the classical gamma function.

Definition 2.2. [1] Let $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a given function. For $\alpha > 0$, the Caputo derivative of fractional order α of f is given by

$${}^c D_a^\alpha f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_a^t (t-s)^{n-\alpha-1} f^n(s) ds,$$

where $n = [\alpha] + 1$ and $[\alpha]$ denotes integer part of α .

Consider the fractional boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -{}^c D_a^\alpha u(t) = y(t), & a < t < b, \\ u'(a) = 0, \quad \beta {}^c D_a^{\alpha-1} u(b) + u(\eta) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

where $1 < \alpha < 2$, $\beta > 0$, $a \leq \eta \leq b$, $(a, b) \in \mathbf{R}^2$, and $y \in C[a, b]$.

Lemma 2.3. [2] Suppose that $u \in C^2[a, b]$ is a solution to (2.1) if and only if

$$u \in C[a, b], u(x) = \int_a^b G(t, s) y(s) ds, \quad a < t < b,$$

where G is the Green's function given by:

$$G(t, s) = \beta + H_\eta(s) - H_t(s) \tag{2.2}$$

and for $r \in [a, b]$, $H_r : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is the function defined as

$$H_r(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{(r-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, & a \leq s \leq r \leq b, \\ 0, & a \leq r \leq s \leq b. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 2.4. [2] The Green's function given by (2.2) satisfies the following properties:

(i) G is continuous in $[a, b] \times [a, b]$;

(ii) We have:

$$\max \{G(t, s) : a \leq t, s \leq b\} = \beta + \frac{(\eta - a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\min \{G(t, s) : a \leq t, s \leq b\} = \beta - \frac{(b - \eta)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}. \tag{2.4}$$

Suppose that E is a real Banach space which is partially ordered by a cone $P \subset E$. We say that $x \leq y$ if and only if $y - x \in P$. $\overset{\circ}{P}$ denotes the interior of P . An operator $A : P \rightarrow P$ is increasing if $x \leq y$ implies $Ax \leq Ay$ for $x, y \in P$. For $x, y \in E$, the notation $x \sim y$ means that there exist $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ such that $\lambda x \leq y \leq \mu x$. Clearly, \sim is an equivalence relation. Given $h > \theta$ (i.e., $h \geq \theta$ and $h \neq \theta$), we denote by P_h the set $P_h = \{x \in E | x \sim h\}$. It is easy to see that $P_h \subset P$.

Definition 2.5. [15] An operator $A : E \rightarrow E$ is said to be homogeneous if it satisfies

$$A(\lambda x) = \lambda Ax, \quad \forall \lambda > 0, x \in E.$$

An operator $A : P \rightarrow P$ is said to be sub-homogeneous if it satisfies $A(tx) \geq tAx$ for all $t > 0, x \in P$.

Our main tools are the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.6. [4] Let M be nonempty closed convex subset of $\overset{\circ}{P}$, $A : \overset{\circ}{P} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$ and $B : M \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$, such that

(i) A is increasing, and there exists $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ such that $A(tx) \geq t^\alpha A(x)$ for any $x \in \overset{\circ}{P}, t \in (0, 1)$;

(ii) B is continuous, and $B(M)$ resides in a compact subset of $\overset{\circ}{P}$;

(iii) $x = Ax + By$ and $y \in M$ implies $x \in M$.

Then there exists $x^* \in M$ such that $(A + B)x^* = x^*$.

Lemma 2.7. [15] Let P be a normal cone, $A : P \rightarrow P$ be an increasing β -concave operator and $B : P \rightarrow P$ be an increasing sub-homogeneous operator. Assume that

(i) there is $h > \theta$ such that $Ah \in P_h$ and $Bh \in P_h$;

(ii) there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $Ax \geq \delta_0 Bx$ for $x \in P$.

Then the operator equation $Ax + Bx = x$ has a unique solution x^* in P_h . Moreover, constructing the sequence $y_n = Ay_{n-1} + By_{n-1}, n = 1, 2, \dots$ for any initial value $y_0 \in P_h$, we have $y_n \rightarrow x^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Main results

In this paper, we work in Banach space $E = C[a, b]$, equipped with the norm:

$$\|u\| = \max \{|u(t)| : t \in [a, b]\}.$$

Let P be the cone in E given by:

$$P = \{u \in C[a, b] \mid u(t) \geq 0, t \in [a, b]\}.$$

$\overset{\circ}{P}$ denotes the interior of P , then

$$\overset{\circ}{P} = \{u \in C[a, b] \mid u(t) > 0, t \in [a, b]\}.$$

Take $R > 0$, $C_2 = \beta - \frac{(b-\eta)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, $d = \max_{\substack{a \leq t \leq b \\ 0 \leq u \leq \infty}} |g(t, u)|$, $e = \max_{\substack{a \leq t \leq b \\ 0 \leq u \leq R}} |f(t, u)|$.

Define

$$M = \left\{ u \in \overset{\circ}{P} \mid \|u\| \leq R \right\},$$

where R satisfies $C_2(b-a)(d-e) \leq R$, then M is closed and convex in $\overset{\circ}{P}$.

From Lemma 2.6, we know that the solution of the problem (1.1) can be expressed as

$$u(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)[g(s, u(s)) + f(s, u(s))]ds. \quad (3.1)$$

For the convenience, we define two operators:

$$Au(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)g(s, u(s))ds,$$

$$Bu(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds.$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $\beta\Gamma(\alpha) > (b-\eta)^{\alpha-1}$ and $f, g : [a, b] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous. In addition,

(H₁) $g(t, u) : [a, b] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is increasing in u with $g(t, 0) \neq 0$, $\sup\{g(t, u) : t \in [a, b], u \in [0, \infty)\} < +\infty$, and there exists a $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, such that $g(t, \lambda u) \geq \lambda^\gamma g(t, u)$ for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $u \geq 0$;

(H₂) when $u > 0$, $f(t, u) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [a, b]$.

Then the problem (1.1) has a positive solution $u \in M$.

Proof. We apply Lemma 2.3 to discuss that the problem (1.1) has a positive solution in $C[a, b]$.

First, we will show that $A : \overset{\circ}{P} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$, $B : M \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$. In fact, for $u \in \overset{\circ}{P}$, then $u(t) > 0, t \in [a, b]$ and by (H₁) and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} Au(t) &= \int_a^b G(t, s)g(s, u(s))ds \\ &\geq \int_a^b \left(\beta - \frac{(b-\eta)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right)g(s, 0)ds \\ &= \left(\beta - \frac{(b-\eta)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right) \int_a^b g(s, 0)ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(t, 0) \neq 0$ for $t \in [a, b]$ and $g(t, 0)$ is continuous, we obtain $\int_a^b g(s, 0)ds > 0$. Hence, $Au \in \overset{\circ}{P}$, that is, $A : \overset{\circ}{P} \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$.

For $u \in M$, then $u(t) > 0$ for $t \in [a, b]$, then by (H₂) and Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} Bu(t) &= \int_a^b G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds \\ &\geq \int_a^b \left(\beta - \frac{(b-\eta)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\right)f(s, u(s))ds > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $B : M \rightarrow \overset{\circ}{P}$.

It is clear to show that A is increasing. In fact, from Lemma 2.4 and (H₁),

$$Au_1(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)g(s, u_1(s))ds \leq \int_a^b G(t, s)g(s, u_2(s))ds = Au_2(t)$$

for $u_1, u_2 \in \overset{\circ}{P}$ with $u_1 \leq u_2$. Also from (H₁),

$$\begin{aligned} A(\lambda u)(t) &= \int_a^b G(t, s)g(s, \lambda u(s))ds \\ &\geq \int_a^b G(t, s)\lambda^\gamma g(s, u(s))ds \\ &= \lambda^\gamma (Au)(t). \end{aligned}$$

We know $A(\lambda u) \geq \lambda^\gamma Au$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

For the second step, we will prove that B is a completely continuous operator in M . From the continuity and nonnegativity of $G(t, s)$ and $f(t, u)$, $B : P \rightarrow P$ is continuous.

Let $\Omega \subset P$ be bounded, there is a constant $C_1 > 0$ such that $\|u\| \leq C_1$ for all $u \in \Omega$. Set $L_1 = \max_{\substack{a \leq t \leq b \\ u \in \Omega}} f(t, u) + 1$, then use Lemma 2.4, for $u \in \Omega$,

$$(Bu)(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)f(s, u(s))ds \leq L_1 \int_a^b G(t, s)ds \leq N,$$

where $N = L_1(\beta + \frac{(\eta-a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)})(b-a)$. Therefore, B is uniformly bounded.

Next, for $u \in M$ and $t_1, t_2 \in [a, b]$, let $L_2 = \max_{\substack{a \leq t \leq b \\ u \in M}} f(t, u)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(Bu)(t_2) - (Bu)(t_1)| &= \left| \int_a^b G(t_2, s)f(s, u(s))ds - \int_a^b G(t_1, s)f(s, u(s))ds \right| \\ &= \left| \int_a^b [G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)]f(s, u(s))ds \right| \\ &\leq L_2 \int_a^b |G(t_2, s) - G(t_1, s)| ds \\ &\leq L_2 \left[\int_a^{t_1} \frac{(t_1 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds - \int_a^{t_2} \frac{(t_2 - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \right] \\ &= \frac{L_2}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} [(t_1 - a)^\alpha - (t_2 - a)^\alpha], \end{aligned}$$

then $(Bu)(t_1) \rightarrow (Bu)(t_2)$ as $t_1 \rightarrow t_2$. So we claim that B is equi-continuous. Hence, $B(M)$ is precompact by Ascoli-Arzelà theorem. Since $(Bu)(t) > 0$, $B(M)$ resides in a compact subset of $\overset{\circ}{P}$.

For all $v \in M$, let $u = Au + Bv$, by Lemma 2.6, we need to prove $u \in M$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t) &= \int_a^b G(t, s)g(s, u(s))ds + \int_a^b G(t, s)f(s, v(s))ds \\ &\leq \int_a^b \left(\beta + \frac{(\eta - a)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \right) |g(s, u(s)) + f(s, v(s))| ds \\ &\leq C_2(d + e)(b - a) = R, \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\|u\| \leq R$, which shows $u \in M$.

So, assumption (iii) of Lemma 2.6 is satisfied, hence the problem (1.1) has a solution $u \in M$ by using Lemma 2.6. \square

Let $h(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)ds$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \int_a^b (\beta + H_\eta(s) - H_t(s))ds \\ &= \int_a^b \beta ds + \int_a^b H_\eta(s)ds - \int_a^b H_t(s)ds \\ &= \beta(b - a) + \int_a^\eta \frac{(\eta - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds - \int_a^t \frac{(t - s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} ds \\ &= \beta(b - a) + \frac{(\eta - a)^\alpha - (t - a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $\beta\Gamma(\alpha) > (b - \eta)^{\alpha-1}$ and $f, g : [a, b] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are continuous. In addition,

(H₃) $f, g : [a, b] \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ are increasing in u , $\min_{a \leq t \leq b} f(t, \beta(b - a) + \frac{(\eta - a)^\alpha - (b - a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}) > 0$;

(H₄) $f(t, \lambda u) \geq \lambda f(t, u)$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1), t \in [a, b], u \in [0, +\infty)$, and there exists a constant $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $g(t, \lambda u) \geq \lambda^\gamma g(t, u)$ for all $t \in [a, b], \lambda \in (0, 1), u \in [0, \infty)$;

(H₅) there exists a constant $\delta_0 > 0$ such that $g(t, u) \geq \delta_0 f(t, u), t \in [a, b], u \geq 0$.

Then the problem (1.1) has a unique positive solution u^* in P_h , where

$$h(t) = \beta(b - a) + \frac{(\eta - a)^\alpha - (t - a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}, \quad t \in [a, b].$$

Moreover, for any initial value $u_0 \in P_h$, the sequence

$$u_{n+1}(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s)g(s, u_n(s))ds + \int_a^b G(t, s)f(s, u_n(s))ds, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

satisfies $u_n(t) \rightarrow u^*(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. From Theorem 3.1, we easily prove that $A : P \rightarrow P$ and $B : P \rightarrow P$. Next we verify that operators A and B satisfy assumptions of Lemma 2.7.

Obviously, A is an increasing operator. Let $u_1(t) \leq u_2(t)$, $t \in [a, b]$, by (H_3) and Lemma 2.4,

$$Bu_1(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s) f(s, u_1(s)) ds \leq \int_a^b G(t, s) f(s, u_2(s)) ds = Bu_2(t),$$

so we know that B is increasing.

It has been proved that the operator A is a γ -concave operator in Theorem 3.1. For any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ and $u \in P$, from (H_4) ,

$$B(\lambda u)(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s) f(s, \lambda u(s)) ds \geq \lambda \int_a^b G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds = \lambda B(u)(t),$$

this is, $B(\lambda u) \geq \lambda Bu$ for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $u \in P$. So the operator B is sub-homogeneous.

Now we show that $Ah \in P_h$ and $Bh \in P_h$. Set

$$h_{\max} = \max \{h(t) : t \in [a, b]\} = \beta(b-a) + \frac{(\eta-a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)},$$

$$h_{\min} = \min \{h(t) : t \in [a, b]\} = \beta(b-a) + \frac{(\eta-a)^\alpha - (b-a)^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)},$$

then $h_{\max} \geq h_{\min} > 0$. Denote $d_1 = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} g(t, h_{\max})$, $d_2 = \min_{a \leq t \leq b} g(t, h_{\min})$, $e_1 = \max_{a \leq t \leq b} f(t, h_{\max})$, $e_2 = \min_{a \leq t \leq b} f(t, h_{\min})$.

From (H_3) and Lemma 2.4,

$$Ah(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s) g(s, h(s)) ds \leq \max_{a \leq s \leq b} |g(s, h_{\max})| \int_a^b G(t, s) ds = d_1 h(t),$$

$$Ah(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s) g(s, h(s)) ds \geq \min_{a \leq s \leq b} |g(s, h_{\min})| \int_a^b G(t, s) ds = d_2 h(t).$$

From (H_3) and (H_5) , we can obtain

$$d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \delta_0 e_2 > 0,$$

$$d_2 h(t) \leq Ah(t) \leq d_1 h(t), \quad t \in [a, b],$$

so $Ah \in P_h$. Similarly,

$$e_2 h(t) \leq Bh(t) \leq e_1 h(t),$$

from (H_3) we easily prove $Bh \in P_h$. Hence the condition (i) of Lemma 2.7 is satisfied. In the following we show that the condition (ii) of Lemma 2.7 is satisfied. For $u \in P$, from (H_5) ,

$$Au(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s) g(s, u(s)) ds \geq \delta_0 \int_a^b G(t, s) f(s, u(s)) ds = \delta_0 Bu(t).$$

Then we get $Au \geq \delta_0 Bu$, $u \in P$. Finally, an application of Lemma 2.7 implies: the operator equation $Au + Bu = u$ has a unique solution u^* in P_h . That is, the problem (1.1) has a unique positive solution u^* in P_h . Moreover, for any initial value $u_0 \in P_h$, we construct a sequence

$$u_{n+1}(t) = \int_a^b G(t, s) g(s, u_n(s)) ds + \int_a^b G(t, s) f(s, u_n(s)) ds, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

then $u_n(t) \rightarrow u^*(t)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Example 3.3. Consider the fractional boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -{}^c D_{\frac{3}{5}}^{\frac{3}{5}} u(t) = g(t, u(t)) + f(t, u(t)), & \frac{1}{3} < t < 1, \\ u'(\frac{1}{3}) = 0, & \frac{4}{5} {}^c D_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{3}} u(1) + u(\frac{2}{3}) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where $a = \frac{1}{3}$, $b = 1$, $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$, $\beta = \frac{4}{5}$, $\eta = \frac{2}{3}$, $f(t, u) = \frac{1}{2} t^2 \sin u + \frac{3}{4}$,

$$g(t, u) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{u+t^2}, & 0 \leq u \leq 1, \\ 1+t^3, & u > 1, \end{cases}$$

and $g(t, u) \geq 0$ is increasing in u with $g(t, 0) = t^2 \neq 0$, where $(t, u) \in [\frac{1}{3}, 1] \times [0, \infty)$, and there exist $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$, such that $g(t, (\lambda u)) = \sqrt{\lambda u + t^2} \geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (\sqrt{u + t^2}) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} g(t, u)$, when $0 \leq u \leq 1$; $g(t, \lambda u) = 1 + t^3 \geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} g(t, u)$, when $u > 1$ for all $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. It is obvious that $f(t, u) = \frac{1}{2} t^2 \sin u + \frac{3}{4}$ is continuous on $[\frac{1}{3}, 1] \times [0, \infty)$, when $u > 0$, $f(t, u) \neq 0$ for all $t \in [\frac{1}{3}, 1]$. And $C_2 = 0.1316$, $b - a = \frac{2}{3}$, $d = 2$, $e = \frac{1}{2} \sin 1 + \frac{3}{4}$ and $R = C_2 \cdot (d + e)(b - a) = 0.1316 \times [2 + (\frac{1}{2} \sin 1 + \frac{3}{4})] \times \frac{2}{3} = 0.2782$ is bounded. So all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled. Therefore, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that the boundary value problem (3.2) has a positive solution.

Example 3.4. Consider the fractional boundary value problem:

$$\begin{cases} -{}^c D_{\frac{2}{3}}^{\frac{3}{2}} u(t) = u^{\frac{1}{2}}(t) + \frac{u(t)}{1+u(t)} q(t) + t^3 + n, & \frac{1}{3} < t < \frac{2}{3}, \\ u'(\frac{1}{3}) = 0, \quad 2{}^c D_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{1}{2}} u(\frac{2}{3}) + u(\frac{2}{3}) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where $a = \frac{1}{3}$, $b = \frac{2}{3}$, $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$, $\beta = 2$, $\eta = \frac{2}{3}$, where $n > 0$ is a constant, $q: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is continuous with $q \neq 0$. In this example, take $0 < m < n$ and let

$$g(t, u) = u^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^3 + m, \quad f(t, u) = \frac{u}{1+u} q(t) + n - m.$$

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{2}, \quad q_{\max} = \max \{q(t) : t \in [a, b]\}.$$

Obviously, $q_{\max} > 0$, $f, g: [a, b] \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous and increasing in u . $f(t, \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}[(\frac{1}{27})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (\frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}]}) \geq n - m > 0$. Besides, for $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $t \in [a, b]$, $u \in [0, +\infty)$, we have

$$g(t, \lambda u) = (\lambda u)^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^3 + m \geq \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} (u^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^3 + m) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} g(t, u),$$

$$f(t, \lambda u) = \frac{\lambda u}{1 + \lambda u} q(t) + n - m \geq \frac{\lambda u}{1 + u} q(t) + \lambda(n - m) = \lambda f(t, u).$$

In addition, if we take $\delta_0 \in (0, \frac{m}{q_{\max} + n - m}]$, then we have

$$g(t, u) = u^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^3 + m \geq m = \frac{m}{q_{\max} + n - m} (q_{\max} + n - m) \geq \delta_0 \left[\frac{u}{1+u} q(t) + n - m \right] = \delta_0 f(t, u).$$

Therefore, all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied. This implies that (3.3) has a unique positive solution in P_h , where

$$h(t) = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{4\sqrt{\pi}[(\frac{1}{27})^{\frac{1}{2}} - (t - \frac{1}{3})^{\frac{1}{2}}]}{3\pi}.$$

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we proved the existence and the uniqueness of solution for the fractional thermostat model involving Caputo fractional derivatives (1.1) under different conditions. Our methods are two recent fixed point theorems of sum operators. Moreover, we can give a sequence to approximate the unique solution. As applications, we list two concrete examples to illustrate our main results.

Acknowledgement

This paper was supported financially by Shanxi Province Science Foundation (201901D111020).

References

- [1] Kilbas, A.A., Srivastava, H.M., Trujillo, J.J., *Theory and applications of fractional differential equations*, North-Holland mathematics studies, 204. Elsevier Science B.V, Amsterdam, 2006.
- [2] I. J. Cabrera and J. Rocha and K.B. Sadarangani, Lyapunov type inequalities for a fractional thermostat model, RACSAM Rev. R. Acad. A. Vol:112, No.1 (2018), 17-24.
- [3] J. J. Nieto and J. Pimentel, Positive solutions of a fractional thermostat model, Bound. Value Probl. Vol: 2013, No.1 (2013), 1-11.
- [4] Y. Z. Chen, Krasnoselskii-type fixed point theorems using α -concave operators, J. Fix. Point Theory A. Vol:22, No.3 (2020), 1-8.
- [5] C. Shen and H. Zhou and L. Yang, Existence of positive solutions of a nonlinear differential equation for a thermostat model, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. Vol:41, No.16 (2018), 6145-6154.
- [6] C. F. Li and X. N. Luo and Y. Zhou, Existence of positive solutions of the boundary value problem for nonlinear fractional differential equations, Comput. Math. Appl. Vol:59, No.3 (2010), 1363-1375.
- [7] B. López and J. Rocha and K. Sadarangani, Positive solutions in the space of Hölder functions to a fractional thermostat model, RACSAM Rev. R. Acad. A. Vol:113, (2019), 2449-2460.
- [8] C. Shen and H. Zhou and L. Yang, Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions of a fractional thermostat model with a parameter, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. Vol:39, No.15 (2016), 4504-4511.
- [9] M. Jleli and B. Samet, Lyapunov-type inequalities for a fractional differential equation with mixed boundary conditions, Math. Inequal. Appl. Vol:18, No.2 (2015), 443-451.
- [10] D. O'Regan and B. Samet, Lyapunov-type inequalities for a class of fractional differential equations, J. Inequal. Appl. Vol:2015, No.1 (2015), 1-10.
- [11] A.C. Thompson, On certain contraction mappings in a partially ordered vector space, Proc. Am. Math.Soc. Vol:14, No.3 (1963), 438-443.
- [12] Y. He, Existence and multiplicity of positive solutions for singular fractional differential equations with integral boundary value conditions, Adv. Differ. Equ. Vol:2016, No.1 (2016), 1-14.
- [13] M. Jiang and S. Zhong, Successively iterative method for fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, Appl. Math. Lett. Vol:38, (2014), 94-99.
- [14] C.M. Su and J.P. Sun and Y.H. Zhao, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for BVP of nonlinear fractional differential equation, Int. J. Differ. Eq. Vol:2017, (2017), 1-7.
- [15] C. Yang and C.B. Zhai, Uniqueness of positive solutions for a fractional differential equation via a fixed point theorem of a sum operator, Electron. J. Differ. Eq. Vol:2012, No.70 (2012), 808-826.
- [16] J.R. Yue and J.P. Sun and S. Zhang, Existence of positive solution for BVP of nonlinear fractional differential equation, Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc. Vol:2015, (2015), 1731-1747.
- [17] X. L. Zhang and L. Wang and Q. Sun, Existence of positive solutions for a class of nonlinear fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions and a parameter, Appl. Math. Comput. Vol:226, No.1-2 (2014), 708-718.
- [18] Y. Sun and M. Zhao, Positive solutions for a class of fractional differential equations with integral boundary conditions, Appl. Math. Lett. Vol:34, No.1 (2014), 17-21.

- [19] A. Ardjouni, A. Djoudi.: Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for first-order nonlinear Liouville Caputo fractional differential equations, *São Paulo J. Math. Sci.* Vol:14, No.3 (2020), 381-390.
- [20] C.B. Zhai and R.T. Jiang, Unique solutions for a new coupled system of fractional differential equations, *Adv. Differ. Equ.* Vol:2018, No.1 (2018), 1-12.
- [21] Y.Q. Wang and Y.H. Wu, Existence of uniqueness and nonexistence results of positive solution for fractional differential equations integral boundary value problems, *J. Funct. Space.* Vol:2018, (2018), 1-7.
- [22] H. Baghani and J. Alzabut and J. Farokhi-Ostad, et al, Existence and uniqueness of solutions for a coupled system of sequential fractional differential equations with initial conditions, *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper.* Vol:11, (2020), 1-11.
- [23] C.B. Zhai and X.L. Zhu, Unique solution for a new system of fractional differential equations. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* Vol:2019, No.1 (2019), 1-19.
- [24] T. Zhu, Existence and uniqueness of positive solutions for fractional differential equations, *Bound. Value. Probl.* Vol:2019, No.1 (2019), 1-11.