

# Genetic Architecture of Morpho-Physiological Traits in Wheat Accessions under Terminal Heat Stress

Sachin SHEHRAWAT Yogender KUMAR\*

Wheat and Barley Section, Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar-125004 (Haryana), India

\* Corresponding author e-mail: yogenderkgulia@gmail.com

#### Citation:

Shehrawat S., Kumar Y., 2021. Genetic Architecture of Morpho-Physiological Traits in Wheat Accessions under Terminal Heat Stress. Ekin J. 7(1):34-42, 2021.

Received: 05.08.2020

Accepted: 18.10.2020

Published Online: 29.01.2021

Printed: 30.01.2021

#### ABSTRACT

This study investigated genetic variability, character association and path analysis for 12 morphological and 6 physiological traits in fourty wheat accessions at CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar during *rabi* 2018-19 growing season. The mean sum of squares due to genotypes were highly significant for all the morpho-physiological characters studied hereby indicates enough variability for selection of heat tolerant genotypes for further crop improvement. Phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation were recorded highest for grain yield followed by biological yield, peduncle length and 1000 grain weight, signifying scope for genetic improvement through selection. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was reflected for grain yield, peduncle length, biological yield, 1000 grain weight and plant height. Correlation studies showed significant and positive association of grain yield with biological yield, 1000 grain weight, harvest index, SPAD 1, SPAD 2, grains per spike, spike length, peduncle length, NDVI 2, NDVI 1 and tillers per plant. Biological yield exerted the highest positive direct effect on grain yield followed by harvest index, SPAD1 and peduncle length. Hence, due emphasis should be given to these attributes for genetic improvement in wheat under heat stress condition.

Keywords: Genetic variability, correlation, path analysis, wheat, heat stress.

#### Introduction

Wheat (Triticum aestivum L), a self-pollinating annual plant in the true grass family Poaceae, is the largest cereal crop extensively grown as staple food in the world. It is one of the most important export and strategic cool-season cereal crop in the world in terms of production and utilization (Guin et al. 2019). Globally, India has the largest area under wheat cultivation and is the second largest producer after China. (USDA, 2017). Nationally, wheat is the second most important food crop after rice (Dey, 2020). It is cultivated extensively in North-Western and Central Zones. India has reported a record production of 101.20 million tonnes wheat from an area of 29.55 million hectare with a productivity of 3424 kg/ha during the crop season 2018-19. In Haryana, 11.65 million tonnes wheat was produced on an area of 2.51 million hectare with average productivity of 4643 kg/ha (ICAR-IIWBR, 2019).

In India, rice-wheat cropping system is spread over 11 million hectares in the Indo-Gangetic Plains and is important for national food security, but due to the long duration of basmati rice, sowing time of wheat in this region is pushed beyond the month of November. Wheat delayed sowing causes supra-optimal thermal stress at the reproductive phase (Preeti et al. 2016a). The prevalence of reproductive stage at heat stress has been found to be more detrimental in wheat production as compared to early heat stress due to its direct effect on grain number and grain weight (Nawaz et al. 2013). Low latitude zones, where around 100 million hectares of wheat is cultivated, are predominantly heat prone areas worldwide (Braun et al. 2010). In India the most significant impacts of high temperature are being experienced in the Gangetic plains zone in the form of shorter winters and the onset of significantly higher temperatures much earlier than normal (Chandra et al. 2017).

Therefore, heat can influence the different stages of crop growth during crop cultivation in Indo-Gangetic Plains (Preeti et al. 2016b).

To adapt new wheat varieties to the future climate change, we need to understand how they respond to elevated temperatures and how tolerance to heat can be improved (Halford 2009). Therefore, there is need to exploit the existing genetic variability in wheat for developing high yielding and good quality varieties under changing climatic scenario (Kant et al. 2014). Identification of genetically superior parents is an important pre-requisite for developing promising genotypes for effective transfer of targeted genes controlling both quantitative and qualitative traits in the resultant progenies. Thus, the estimation of genetic parameters like heritability and genetic advance is essential for a breeder which helps in understanding the magnitude, nature and interaction of genotype and environmental variation of the traits.

Correlation studies provide better understanding of yield component which helps the plant breeder during selection (Johnson et al. 1955). Path coefficient analysis measures the direct and indirect contribution of independent variables on dependent variables and thus helps breeder in determining the yield components and understanding cause of association between two variables. The information obtains by path coefficient analysis also helps in indirect selection for genetic improvement of yield because direct selection is not effective for low heritable trait like yield. Hence, the present investigation was carried out to evaluate genetic variability and to determine correlation coefficient and path analysis among morpho-physiological traits in wheat accessions under terminal heat stress conditions.

### **Materials and Methods**

The present study was conducted at Research Area of Wheat and Barley Section of Department of Genetics & Plant Breeding, Chaudhary Charan Singh Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar located at an altitude of 215.2 meters above mean sea, latitude of 29° 10' N and at longitude of 75° 46' E. The experimental material consisted of 40 wheat accessions (Table 1) along with four check varieties namely WH 711, WH 542, WH 1124 and HD 3059 raised under optimum input conditions (150 kg N, 60 kg P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> and 25 kg ZnSO<sub>4</sub> per hectare based on soil testing reports). These wheat accessions were received from National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources (NBPGR), New Delhi for screening against terminal heat stress tolerance. The experiment was planted on 24th December under late sown condition in randomized block design (RBD) with 3 replications during rabi season of 2018-19. Each accession was sown in paired rows of 2.5 m length with inter-row and inter-plant distances of 20 and 10 cm, respectively. Observations were recorded at specific stage on five randomly selected plants per accession per replication for 12 morphological traits viz., 1) days to heading, 2) days to maturity, 3) grain filling duration, 4) plant height (cm), 5) peduncle length (cm), 6) tillers per plant, 7) spike length (cm), 8) grains per spike, 9) 1000 grain weight (g), 10) grain yield per plant (g), 11) biological yield per plant (g) and 12) harvest index (%) and 6 physiological traits viz., 1) normalized difference vegetation index at anthesis (NDVI 1), 2) normalized difference vegetation index at 15 days after anthesis (NDVI 2), 3) canopy temperature at anthesis (CT 1), 4) canopy temperature at 15 days after anthesis (CT 2), 5) soil plant chlorophyll development at anthesis (SPAD 1) and 6) soil plant chlorophyll development at 15 days after anthesis (SPAD 2).

The mean performance of each accession was recorded and employed for statistical analysis. Analysis of variance to test the significance for each character was carried out as per methodology advocated by Fisher (1925) and described by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). Phenotypic coefficient of variability (PCV) and genotypic coefficient of variability (GCV) were calculated by the formula given by Burton (1952), heritability in broad sense (h<sup>2</sup>) was calculated by using the formula suggested by Hanson et al. (1956) and genetic advance that is the expected genetic gain was calculated by using the procedure given by (Johnson et al. 1955). Correlation and path coefficients were worked out as per method suggested by Al-Jibouri et al. (1958) and Dewey and Lu (1959), respectively.

### **Results and Discussion**

The mean sum of squares due to genotypes were highly significant for all the morphological and physiological characters studied hereby indicating a wide range of allelic variability, which could be used for selection of heat tolerant genotypes for further crop improvement. The presence of significant variability has also been reported by Mansouri et al. (2018) and Suresh et al. (2018) for various morphological traits and for physiological traits by Sharma et al. (2018) and Sangwan et al. (2018).

Table 2 depicts the estimates of genetic variability parameters for all the characters. In general, the results revealed wide range for all the traits under investigation. Less difference in the estimates of genotypic and phenotypic variances depicted little influence of environment on the expression of traits studied. The estimates of genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation ranged from 2.99 to 24.42% and 3.63 to 26.20%, respectively. The perusal of data revealed highest phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation for grain yield followed by biological yield, peduncle length and 1000 grain weight, indicating availability of enough genetic variability and thus exhibited scope for genetic improvement through selection. However, days to heading and maturity exhibited least phenotypic and genotypic coefficients of variation. Similar findings were also reported by Veeresha and Naik (2016), Neeru et al. (2017), Mansouri et al. (2018) and Rathwa et al. (2018) in wheat.

Heritability determines the extent of genetic control of a given trait and its transmission to progeny and, hence has bearing on the selection efficiency of trait concerned. Heritability in broad sense was found to be maximum for 1000 grain weight (94.78%) followed by plant height (93.26%) and was recorded minimum for harvest index (62.32%). The estimates of heritability are more advantageous when expressed in terms of genetic advance. (Johnson et al. 1955) advocated consideration of heritability and genetic advance together for effective selection. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance reflected for grain yield, peduncle length, biological yield, 1000 grain weight and plant height, therefore, the variability present in these traits was of additive nature, which can be better utilized for crop improvement. These findings confirm with the results obtained by Kant et al. (2011), Neeru et al. (2017), Rathwa et al. (2018) and Suresh et al. (2018).

Correlation analysis was done separately for morphological and physiological traits, whose results have been presented in Table 3 and 4, respectively. The results of correlation analysis under heat stress condition revealed significant and positive association of grain yield with biological yield, 1000 grain weight, harvest index, grains per spike, spike length, peduncle length and tillers per plant; and significant negative association with days to heading and days to maturity. Significant positive correlation was also observed for days to heading with days to maturity; grain filling duration with days to maturity, plant height, peduncle length and biological yield; plant height with peduncle length, 1000 grain weight and biological yield; peduncle length with 1000 grain weight and biological yield; spike length with grains per spike and biological yield; grains per spike with 1000 grain weight, biological yield and harvest index; and 1000 grain weight with biological yield. Similar results were also obtained by Mohanty et al. (2016), Islam et al. (2017), Parihar et al. (2018), Suresh et al. (2018) and Sareen et al. (2020) in their studies. Likewise, significant negative correlation was recorded for days to heading with grain filling duration, plant height, peduncle length, tillers per plant and biological yield; days to maturity with spike length, tillers per plant; plant height with harvest index; and peduncle length with grains per spike and harvest index.

The estimates of correlation coefficients among different physiological traits and with grain yield are depicted in Table 4. The results showed significant positive correlation of grain yield with physiological traits viz., NDVI 1, NDVI 2, SPAD 1 and SPAD 2, however CT 1 and CT 2 exhibited significant negative correlation with grain yield. Similar results were also obtained by Lopes and Reynolds (2012) and Kumar et al. (2018). Mohammadi et al. (2012) and Mansouri et al. (2018) also recorded negative correlation between grain yield and canopy temperature. Significant positive correlation was observed for NDVI 1 with NDVI 2, SPAD 1 and SPAD 2; NDVI 2 with SPAD 1 and SPAD 2; CT 1 with CT 2; and SPAD 1 with SPAD 2. Similarly, significant negative correlation was recorded for NDVI 1 and NDVI 2 with CT 2 and CT 1; and CT 2 with SPAD 1 and SPAD 2.

The results of path coefficient analysis for morphological traits are presented in Table 5 and for physiological traits in Table 6. Biological yield (0.856) exerted the highest positive direct effect on grain yield followed by harvest index (0.459) and peduncle length (0.222). Similar results were also reported by (Islam et al. 2017) and (Suresh et al. 2018). The highest negative direct effect on grain yield was recorded for plant height (-0.287). Mohanty et al. (2016) and Suresh et al. (2018) also reported negative direct effect of plant height on grain yield, which support our finding. The results also showed maximum positive indirect effect of 1000 grain weight on grain yield through biological yield, whereas, plant height exhibited highest negative indirect effect via harvest index. The low residual effect (0.013) indicated that most of the variability in grain yield for the genotypes under study has been explained by the independent variables included in the analysis.

The path analysis for physiological characters revealed that the traits SPAD 1 had maximum direct effect on grain yield, followed by SPAD 2 and NDVI 1. These results are similar to the findings of Mądry et al. (2015), Neeru et al. (2017) and Khanal et al. (2020). The trait SPAD 2 had highest positive, while CT 2 recorded highest negative indirect effect on grain yield through SPAD 1. A residual factor of 0.749 depicted



that six physiological parameters used in the study were unable to account for a major portion of the variability present in grain yield. It also denotes that other possible independent variables which were not included in the study had a significant effect on grain yield. No value of direct effect exceeded one indicating that inflation due to multicollinearity was minimal. The traits *viz.*, biological yield, harvest index, peduncle length and SPAD 1 exhibited true positive association, while canopy temperature exhibited true negative association with grain yield as the values of their genotypic correlation coefficients and direct effect on grain yield are fairly close to each other. Consequently, it is suggested that these parameters can be considered as key components for wheat improvement under heat stress.

Sr. No.	Accessions	Accession No.	Sr. No.	Accessions	Accession No.
1	DT 5	IC 335583	21	DT 139	IC 335968
2	DT 25	IC 335966	22	DT 142	IC 111844
3	DT 46	EC 609336	23	DT 147	IC 445528
4	DT 54	EC 276983	24	DT 150	IC 535772
5	DT 83	IC 296756	25	DT 151	IC 535518
6	DT 101	IC 543401	26	DT 153	EC 276814
7	DT 102	EC 277323	27	DT 154	IC 543364
8	DT 104	EC 276920	28	DT 168	EC 276864
9	DT 106	IC 534137	29	DT 169	IC 547701
10	DT 109	IC 402058	30	DT 171	EC 295392
11	DT 110	IC 276717	31	DT 175	EC 478016
12	DT 113	IC 542124	32	DT 176	EC 299085
13	DT 114	EC 313735	33	DT 177	EC 573837
14	DT 116	EC 519498	34	DT 178	IC 128664
15	DT 122	EC 577722	35	DT 181	EC 577619
16	DT 124	IC 47337	36	DT 183	IC 535848
17	DT 125	EC 609337	37	DT 187	IC 445522
18	DT 126	EC 445157	38	DT 190	IC 335932
19	DT 127	EC 609574	39	DT 191	EC 519501
20	DT 137	IC 35143	40	DT 192	EC 13263
Check Variety 1	WH 711		Check Variety 3	WH 1124	
Check Variety 2	WH 542		Check Variety 4	HD 3059	

Table 1. List of wheat genotypes used in the study.

Traits	Mean ± SE	Range	GCV (%)	PCV (%)	Heritability (bs) (%)	Genetic Advance (% of mean)
$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}^{+}$	$83.93 \pm 1.77$	75.00 - 93.33	4.99	6.18	65.23	8.30
DM	$120.72\pm1.44$	115.00 - 129.67	2.99	3.63	67.76	5.06
GFD	$30.77 \pm 1.08$	22.67 - 37.67	8.81	10.70	67.79	14.95
РН	$104.59\pm2.71$	75.07 - 145.27	16.70	17.29	93.26	33.22
PL	$36.47 \pm 1.11$	21.90 - 49.90	18.70	19.43	92.59	37.06
SL	$10.81\pm0.33$	8.63 - 13.37	9.07	10.53	74.26	16.10
TIL	$7.25\pm0.27$	5.27 - 9.20	10.10	12.02	70.68	17.50
GPS	$53.68 \pm 1.35$	43.67 - 62.73	8.95	9.95	80.76	16.56
TGW	$32.98\pm0.78$	20.91 - 43.76	17.37	17.84	94.78	34.83
BY	$27.74 \pm 1.61$	16.97 - 36.90	19.51	21.94	79.09	35.75
GY	$8.59 \pm 0.47$	5.10 - 14.10	24.42	26.20	86.83	46.87
HI	$31.27\pm2.10$	18.13 - 41.95	14.96	18.95	62.32	24.33
NDVI 1	$0.75\pm0.02$	0.63 - 0.83	6.44	7.87	67.08	10.87
NDVI 2	$0.67\pm0.02$	0.50 - 0.74	7.36	8.47	75.55	13.18
CT 1	$24.65\pm0.67$	22.47 - 28.37	6.58	8.11	65.83	10.99
CT 2	$28.48\pm0.72$	25.03 - 31.23	5.68	7.18	62.41	9.24
SPAD 1	$44.55\pm1.93$	32.73 - 55.6	10.62	13.01	66.74	17.88
SPAD 2	$40.25\pm1.34$	30.30 - 49.13	9.09	10.77	71.24	15.81

Table 2. Genetic variability parameters for different morpho-physiological traits.

\*DH: Daysto heading (day), DM: Daysto maturity (day), GFD: Grain filling duration (day), PH: Plantheight (cm), PL: Pedunclelength (cm), SL: Spike length (cm), TIL: Tillers per plant, GPS: Grains per spike (%), TGW: 1000-grain weight (g), BY: Biological yield per plant (g), GY: Grain yield per plant (g), HI: Harvest index (%), NDVI1: Normalized difference vegetation index at anthesis, NDVI 2: Normalized difference vegetation index at 15 days after anthesis, CT 1: Canopy temperature at anthesis, CT 2: Canopy temperature at 15 days after anthesis, SPAD 1: Soil plant chlorophyll development at anthesis, SPAD 2: Soil plant chlorophyll development at 15 days after



## 7(1):34-42, 2021

Traits	DH	DM	GFD	РН	PL	SL	TIL	GPS	TGW	BY	HI	GY
$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}^{+}$	1.000	0.630**	-0.402**	-0.307**	-0.431**	-0.077	-0.211*	0.092	-0.097	-0.297**	0.001	-0.274**
DM		1.000	0.544**	-0.068	-0.045	-0.172*	-0.291**	0.002	-0.008	-0.170	-0.029	-0.188*
GFD			1.000	0.239**	0.327**	-0.068	0.066	-0.060	0.134	0.225**	-0.049	0.135
РН				1.000	0.824**	-0.106	0.086	-0.120	0.491**	0.583**	-0.667**	0.088
PL					1.000	0.075	0.003	-0.257**	0.526**	0.537**	-0.282**	0.288**
SL						1.000	-0.118	0.503**	0.160	0.296**	0.046	0.323**
TIL							1.000	-0.102	0.042	0.163	0.143	0.213*
GPS								1.000	0.291**	0.322**	0.217*	0.414**
TGW									1.000	0.784**	0.100	0.719**
BY										1.000	-0.106	0.789**
HI											1.000	0.517**
GY												1.000

Table 3. Genotypic correlation coefficients among different morphological traits in wheat genotypes.

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

\*DH: Daystoheading(day), DM: Daystomaturity(day), GFD: Grainfillingduration(day), PH: Plantheight(cm), PL: Pedunclelength(cm), SL: Spike length (cm), TIL: Tillers per plant, GPS: Grains per spike (%), TGW: 1000-grain weight (g), BY: Biological yield per plant (g), GY: Grain yield per plant (g), HI: Harvest index (%)

Table 4. Genotypic correlation coefficients among different physiological traits and grain yield in wheat genotypes.

Physiological Traits	NDVI 1	NDVI 2	<b>CT 1</b>	CT 2	SPAD 1	SPAD 2	GY
NDVI 1 <sup>+</sup>	1.000	0.698**	-0.07	-0.561**	0.369**	0.427**	0.274**
NDVI 2		1.0001	-0.157	-0.316**	0.514**	0.421**	0.285**
CT 1			1.000	0.214*	-0.265**	-0.221*	-0.200*
CT 2				1.000	-0.478**	-0.418**	-0.364**
SPAD 1					1.000	0.862**	0.467**
SPAD 2						1.000	0.415**

\*, \*\*Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

\*NDVI 1: Normalized difference vegetation index at anthesis, NDVI 2: Normalized difference vegetation index at 15 days after anthesis, CT 1: Canopy temperature at anthesis, CT 2: Canopy temperature at 15 days after anthesis, SPAD 1: Soil plant chlorophyll development at anthesis, SPAD 2: Soil plant chlorophyll development at 15 days after anthesis

	<u> </u>
_ /	

Table 5. Direct (diagonal values) and indirect effects of different morphological traits on grain yield in wheat genotypes.

Traits	DH	DM	GFD	РН	PL	SL	TIL	GPS	TGW	BY	HI	Correlation with GY
$\mathbf{D}\mathbf{H}^{+}$	0.057	-0.062	-0.015	0.088	-0.096	0.004	-0.003	0.008	-0.001	-0.254	0.000	-0.274**
DM	0.036	-0.098	0.020	0.020	-0.010	0.009	-0.004	0.000	0.000	-0.146	-0.013	-0.188*
GFD	-0.023	-0.054	0.036	-0.069	0.073	0.003	0.001	-0.005	0.001	0.193	-0.023	0.135
РН	-0.017	0.007	0.009	-0.287	0.183	0.005	0.001	-0.010	0.004	0.499	-0.306	0.088
PL	-0.024	0.005	0.012	-0.236	0.222	-0.004	0.000	-0.021	0.005	0.460	-0.130	0.288**
SL	-0.004	0.017	-0.002	0.031	0.017	-0.049	-0.002	0.041	0.001	0.253	0.021	0.323**
TIL	-0.012	0.029	0.002	-0.025	0.001	0.006	0.014	-0.008	0.000	0.140	0.066	0.213*
GPS	0.005	0.000	-0.002	0.034	-0.057	-0.025	-0.001	0.081	0.003	0.276	0.100	0.414**
TGW	-0.006	0.001	0.005	-0.141	0.117	-0.008	0.001	0.024	0.009	0.672	0.046	0.719**
BY	-0.017	0.017	0.008	-0.167	0.119	-0.015	0.002	0.026	0.007	0.856	-0.049	0.789**
HI	0.000	0.003	-0.002	0.191	-0.063	-0.002	0.002	0.018	0.001	-0.091	0.459	0.517**

**Residual factor = 0.0135** 

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

\*DH: Daystoheading(day), DM: Daystomaturity(day), GFD: Grain filling duration(day), PH: Plantheight(cm), PL: Pedunclelength(cm), SL: Spike length (cm), TIL: Tillers per plant, GPS: Grains per spike (%), TGW: 1000-grain weight (g), BY: Biological yield per plant (g), GY: Grain yield per plant (g), HI: Harvest index (%)

Table 6. Direct (diagonal values) and indirect effects of different physiological traits on grain yield in wheat genotypes.

Physiological Traits	NDVI 1	NDVI 2	<b>CT 1</b>	CT 2	SPAD 1	SPAD 2	Correlation with GY
NDVI 1 <sup>+</sup>	0.032	0.016	0.005	0.088	0.119	0.015	0.274**
NDVI 2	0.022	0.023	0.011	0.049	0.165	0.014	0.285**
CT 1	-0.002	-0.004	-0.068	-0.033	-0.085	-0.008	-0.148
CT 2	-0.018	-0.007	-0.015	-0.156	-0.154	-0.014	-0.364**
SPAD 1	0.012	0.012	0.018	0.075	0.322	0.030	0.467**
SPAD 2	0.014	0.010	0.015	0.065	0.277	0.034	0.415**

**Residual factor = 0.7499** 

\*, \*\* Significant at 5% and 1% level, respectively

\*NDVI 1: Normalized difference vegetation index at anthesis, NDVI 2: Normalized difference vegetation index at 15 days after anthesis, CT 1: Canopy temperature at anthesis, CT 2: Canopy temperature at 15 days after anthesis, SPAD 1: Soil plant chlorophyll development at anthesis, SPAD 2: Soil plant chlorophyll development at 15 days after anthesis



#### References

- Al-Jibouri HA, Miller PA and Robinson AF (1958). Genotypic and environmental variances in an upland cotton cross of interspecific origin. J.Agron., 51: 515-518.
- Braun HJ, Atlin G and Payne T (2010). Multi-location testing as a tool to identify plant response to global climate change. Climate Change and Crop Prodn., 1:115-138.
- Burton GW (1952). Quantitative inheritance in grasses. In: Proc. 6<sup>th</sup> Intl. Grassland Cong.,1: 227-283.
- Chandra K, Prasad R, Thakur P, Madhukar K and Prasad L (2017). Heat tolerance in wheat - A key strategy to combat climate change through molecular markers. Intl. J. Current Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 6(3): 662-675.
- Dewey DR and Lu KH (1959). A correlation and pathcoefficient analysis of components of crested wheat grass seed production. Agron. J., 42: 515-517.
- Dey, Anwesha (2020). Rice and wheat production in India: An overtime study on growth and instability. J. Pharmacogn. Phytochem. 9(2): 158-161.
- Fisher RA (1925). Statistical Methods for Research Workers. Oliver and Boyd. Edinburgh.
- Guin K, Sethi SK and Arya RK (2019). Genetic studies on *Triticum timopheevi* based cytoplasmic genetic male sterility (CGMS) system in relation to hybrid seed production in wheat (*T. aestivum*). Ekin J. 5(2):103-110.
- Hanson GH, Robinson HF and Comstock RE (1956). Biometrical studies of yield in segregating population of Korean Lespodzoa. Agron. J.,48: 267-282.
- ICAR-IIWBR (2019). Director's Report of AICRP on Wheat and Barley 2018-19, Ed: G. P. Singh. ICAR-Indian Institute of Wheat and Barley Research, Karnal, Haryana, India. P 72.
- Islam AU, Chhabra AK, Dhanda SS and Peerzada OH (2017). Genetic diversity, heritability and correlation studies for yield and its components in bread wheat under heat stress conditions. IOSR J. Agric. Vet. Sci., 10(5): 71-77.
- Johanson HW, Robinson HF and Comstock RE (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in Soyabean. Agron. J., 47(7): 314-315.
- Halford NG (2009). New insights on the effects of heat stress on crops. J. Exptl. Bot.,60 (15): 4215-4216.

- Kant S, Lamba RAS, Arya RK and Panwar IS (2014). Effect of terminal heat stress on stability of yield and quality parameters in bread wheat in southwest Haryana. J. Wheat Res. 6(1):64-73.
- Kant S, Lamba RAS, Panwar IS and Arya RK (2011). Variability and inter-relationship among yield and quality parameters in bread wheat. J. Wheat Res.3(2):50-55.
- Khanal D, Thapa D, Dhakal K, Kandel B and Pandey M (2020). Correlation and path coefficient analysis of elite spring wheat lines developed for high temperature tolerance. Environ. Ecosystem Sci.,4(2): 56-59.
- Kumar M, Sharma RK, Singh GP and Kala YK (2018). Diversity and association analysis in bread wheat under terminal heat stress condition. J. Wheat Res., 9(2): 132-136.
- Lopes MS and Reynolds MP (2012). Stay-green in spring wheat can be determined by spectral reflectance measurements (normalized difference vegetation index) independently from phenology. J. Exptl. Bot., 63(10): 3789-3798.
- Madry W, Studnicki M, Rozbicki J, Golba J, Gozdowski D, Pecio A and Oleksy A (2015). Ontogenetic-based sequential path analysis of grain yield and its related traits in several winter wheat cultivars. Acta Agric.Scandinavica, Section B-Soil and Plant Science, 65(7): 605-618.
- Mansouri A, Oudjehih B, Benbelkacem A, Fellahi ZA and Bouzerzour H (2018). Variation and relationships among agronomic traits in durum wheat [*Triticum turgidum* (L.) Thell. ssp. *turgidum* conv. *durum* (Desf.) MacKey] under South Mediterranean growth conditions: Stepwise and path analyses. Intl. J. Agron.10.1155/2018/8191749.
- Mohammadi M, Karimizadeh R, Sabaghnia N and Shefazadeh MK (2012). Effective application of canopy temperature for wheat genotypes screening under different water availability in warm environments. Bulgarian J. Agril. Sci., 18(6): 934-941.
- Mohanty S, Mukherjee S, Mukhopadhyaya SK and Dash AP (2016). Genetic variability, correlation and path analysis of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes under terminal heat stress. Intl. J. Bio-Resource Stress Manag., 7(6): 1232-1238.

- Nawaz A, Farooq M, Cheema SA and Wahid A (2013). Differential response of wheat cultivars to terminal heat stress. Intl. J. Agric. Bio.,15: 1354-1358.
- Neeru, Panwar IS and Singh V (2017). Genetic parameters of variability and path analysis in wheat under timely and late sown conditions. Intl. J. Current Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 6(7): 1914-1923.
- Panse VG and Sukhatme PV (1967). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Parihar R, Agrawal AP, Burman M and Minz MG (2018). Relationship between grain yield and other yield attributing characters in wheat under terminal heat stress. J. Pharmacog. Phytochem., 7(1): 2114-2117.
- Preeti, Panwar IS, Arya RK and Divya Phought. (2016a). Effect of environment on yield accumulation in wheat cultivars under Haryana conditions International Journal of Farm Sciences 6(3): 1-4.
- Preeti, Panwar IS and Arya RK (2016b). Effects of changing environment on wheat dry matter yield. Forage Res.42 (1): 56-61
- Rathwa H, Pansuriya A, Patel J and Jalu R (2018).
  Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in durum wheat (*Triticum durum* Desf.).
  Intl. J. Current Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 7(1): 1208-1215.

- Sangwan S, Ram K, Rani P and Munjal R (2018). Effect of terminal high temperature on chlorophyll content and normalized difference vegetation index in recombinant inbred lines of bread wheat. Intl. J. Current Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 7(6): 1174-1183.
- Sareen S, Bhusal N, Kumar M, Bhati PK, Munjal R, Kumari J, Kumar S and Sarial AK (2020). Molecular genetic diversity analysis for heat tolerance of indigenous and exotic wheat genotypes. J. Plant Biochem. Biotech., 29(1): 15-23.
- Sharma D, Jaiswal J, Singh N, Chauhan A and Gahtyari N C (2018). Developing a selection criterion for terminal heat tolerance in bread wheat based on various morpho-physiological traits. Intl. J. Current Microbiol. Appl. Sci., 7(7): 2716-2726.
- Suresh, Bishnoi OP and Behl RK (2018). Use of heat susceptibility index and heat response index as a measure of heat tolerance in wheat and triticale. Ekin J. Crop Breed. Genet., 4(2): 39-44.
- USDA (2017). World Agricultural Production. Washington, DC, USA: United States Department of Agriculture Foreign Agricultural Service.
- Veeresha BA and Naik VR (2016). Analysis of genetic variability parameters for morphophysiological, yield and quality traits under heat stress condition in bread wheat. Intl. J. Agric. Sci.,8 (10): 1119-1121.

