

OPEN-SOURCE AND ROYALTY-FREE IMAGES FOR INSTRUCTION: Compfight and Wyllo

Kevin YEE, Ph.D.
Assistant Director,
Faculty Center for Teaching and Learning
University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, USA

Jace HARGIS, Ph.D.
College Director,
Higher Colleges of Technology United Arab Emirates (UAE)

As student audiences become ever more sophisticated, they yearn for increasing amounts of visual stimulation alongside the traditional text-based approach of content delivery. The first step in a sequence of learning and memory events is for the learner to attend to a viable stimulus (Gagne, 1973; Keele, 1973; & Bransford, 1979). Following successful attention to viable stimuli, the Information Processing Theory (Atkinson & Shiffrin, 1971) holds that the learner relates new knowledge to existing information in the short term memory.

If the information is determined to be of subsequent value, the learner transfers the information into the long-term memory, where knowledge is permanently stored. Following this logic, it seems apparent that significant effort should be expended to make sure that the first step -viable stimuli- is provided to the viewer. Students already want stimulation to be ever more visual in nature, and if the predictions of Martin Van der Werf and Grant Sabatier (2009) come true, students in the near future will expect an educational menu from which they can select, assemble, and remix their academic brew of choice; a choice, one assumes, to be guided at least partly by the visual attractiveness of the material.

At a minimum, teachers and college instructors should consider becoming versed in embedding imagery into their digital texts and presentations, ranging from HTML-based modules to PowerPoint presentations used directly in class.

Many educators search Google Images by default to locate pictures for such purposes, but it is not clear that even such educational uses are protected under the Fair Use doctrine in the United States and many other countries' copyright law. To be safe, we should be using images that have been uploaded and shared under the Creative Commons license. Such works have been deemed by their creators as explicitly safe from copyright issues to use in educational contexts, provided that certain conventions are followed. Typically, these conventions include citing the creator's name or username, the name of the Creative Commons license, and sometimes providing a link back to the web-link where the work was originally uploaded (Creative Commons, 2010). Thus, a typical Creative Commons attribution might read like this: "Image from flickr user [ucumari](#), shared under the Creative Commons license." The attribution might come as a visible caption to an image, or as ALT text if displayed on an HTML page.

While Google Images does many functions extremely well, this search Web site does not offer a way on its main page to restrict searches only to Creative Commons licenses. There is a setting on the Advanced Search page for "reuse" licenses (not precisely the same concept as Creative Commons), provided users remember to check this before performing any search. Before restricting the setting, a search for the term "tiger" yielded 48 million results. After restricting Google Images just to "reuse" results, only 14,000 webpages were identified for the term "tiger".

Relatively new web sites such as www.compfight.com and www.wylio.com make the searching of Creative Commons images simpler. However, the trade-off is that both search only the smaller archives of flickr.com images rather than the larger Google database. Still, a Creative Commons search for "tiger" at compfight.com generated 52,000 results, easily surpassing the "safe for reuse" results at Google Images. Compfight.com is ideal for users seeking to download images for use in educational slideshows provided to or shown in class. At times, the quality may be lower in resolution than we would find ideal. However, as educators, we may need to focus on the priority of merely using the graphic as a visual reinforcement, regardless of quality, and ensure that the visual increases the opportunity for attention.

Wylio.com performs much the same service as compfight.com, but with one additional component in the form of packaging the results for HTML pages. Using the same search term, wylio.com finds 55,000 results for "tiger" in the flickr database--a number that should be, but for some reason is not, identical to the compfight.com results. Wylio.com requires a one-time free registration and login for each user. Once signed in, users can click the search results to open a window for configuring the image. It can be centered, aligned to one side or the other (with text wrapping on it), or the size can be adjusted. When finished, users click the button labeled "Get the Code" at the top, and a textbox opens with a pre-made embed code that can be dropped without any editing into an HTML page or document.

This code takes care of not only aligning and adjusting the image, but more importantly, also creating the caption and providing the attribution links as required by the Creative Commons license. To download the images, users must upgrade to the paid Pro account, but this will be unnecessary for HTML pages that can simply link to the existing image hosted on flickr.

This approach renders the entire process of image acquisition simple for the user, so they can focus on the content.

Most importantly, these sites offer the educator the ability to enhance the visual identity of their presentations significantly, and does so within the copyright law of most countries.

REFERENCES

Atkinson, R. C. & Shiffrin, R. M. (1971). The control of short-term memory. *Scientific American*, 225, 82-90.

Bransford, J. D. (1979). *Human cognition: Learning, understanding, and remembering*. Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing Company.

Creative Commons (2010). Definition of license and use retrieved on June 12, 2010 from <http://creativecommons.org>.

Gagne, R. M. (1973). *The conditions of learning*. New York, NY: Hold, Rinehard and Winston.

Keele, S. (1973). *Attention and human performance*. Palisades, CA: Goodyear.

Van der Werf, M., & Sabatier, G. (2009). *The college of 2020: Students*. Chronicle Research Services.

BIODATA and CONTACT ADDRESSES of AUTHORS



Dr. Kevin YEE has published extensively in the field of faculty development and his disciplinary research field of German Literature. His present position is Assistant Director of the Faculty Center for Teaching and Learning at the University of Central Florida. His undergraduate and graduate degrees are in German Literature, and he has worked in faculty development since 2004.

Assistant Director, Kevin Yee, PhD
Faculty Center for Teaching and Learning
University of Central Florida
PO Box 160066, Orlando FL 32816
Phone: (407) 823-3544 or (407) 823-3544
Email: kevinjee@mail.ucf.edu



Dr. Jace HARGIS Dr. Jace Hargis is currently a College Director at the Higher Colleges of Technology in the United Arab Emirates. Previously, he enjoyed assisting faculty as an Assistant Provost at the University of the Pacific, CA. He has authored a textbook, an anthology and has published over fifty academic articles as well as offered over one hundred national and international academic presentations. His undergraduate and graduate degrees are in the chemical sciences and he has earned a Ph.D. from the University of Florida in Science Education. His research agenda is in the addresses the theoretical aspects of how people learn with the use of emerging instructional technologies.

Associate Professor Jace HARGIS, PhD
College Director, Higher Colleges of Technology United Arab Emirates
Email: jhargis@pacific.edu

REFERENCES

Caldwell, J.E. (2007). [Clickers in the large classroom: Current research and best-practice tips](#). *Life Sciences Education*, 6(1), 9-20.

MacArthur, J. R., & Jones, L. L. (2008). [A review of literature reports of clickers applicable to college chemistry classrooms](#). *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 9, 187-195.

Simpson, V., & Oliver, M. (2007). [Electronic voting systems for lectures then and now: A comparison of research and practice](#). *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 23(2), 187-208.