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## Highlights

- This paper focuses on single solitary wave and wave generation solutions of the RLW equation.
- An effective algorithm is proposed for numerical solution of the RLW equation.
- High accurate solutions of the RLW equation were obtained.
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#### Abstract

In this paper, high accurate numerical solutions of the regularised long-wave (RLW) equation is going to be obtained by using effective algorithm including finite difference method, differential quadrature and Rubin-Graves type linearization technique. Solitary wave solutions and Maxwellian initial condition based wave generation solutions are obtained successfully. To observe the development of the present algorithm, the present numerical results are compared with many earlier works. The present results are seen as superior among the given ones. The rates of the convergence are also given.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Benjamin et al. [1] developed the mathematical theory of the RLW equation (or also BBM equation) as
$U_{t}+U_{x}+\varepsilon U U_{x}-\mu U_{x x t}=0$.
The RLW equation is an important model for long waves. The RLW equation can not completely integrable. So, RLW equation doesn't have N -soliton solutions but it has stable solitary wave solution [2]. The RLW equation was used to describe surface water waves, acoustic-gravity waves, acoustic waves in anharmonic crystals and hydromagnetic waves in cold plasma [2]. The RLW equation has been solved analytically [38]. Numerical solutions of the RLW equation are obtained by many scientist using various methods. For instance, finite difference method, collocation method, Galerkin method, least squares method, Fourier pseudospectral method, variational iteration method and Adomian decomposition method have been used [9-35].

There are many numerical methods in the literature [36-38]. The main motivation of the present approach is to gather two effective numerical methods and obtain the powerful algorithm. One of the classical numerical method is finite difference method (FDM) and the other numerical method is differential quadrature method (DQM). Bellman et al. [39] suggested DQM for approximating of the differential equations. In the past decades, many different base functions have been used for numerical solutions of the differential equations [40-47].

## 2. METHODOLOGY

DQM can be described as derivative value of any smooth function at grid points by linear combination of all functional values of grid points inside of the solution interval. The simple presentation of the DQM may be given as follows
$\frac{d^{(r)} f\left(x_{i}\right)}{d x^{(r)}}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{i j}^{(r)} f\left(x_{j}\right)$.
By using quartic B -splines [48] for obtaining the first order weighting coefficients
$\frac{d Q_{k}\left(x_{i}\right)}{d x}=\sum_{j=k-1}^{k+2} m_{i j}^{(1)} Q_{k}\left(x_{j}\right), \quad k=-1,0, \ldots, N+1, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, N$
is obtained. After the quartic B-splines are used in Equation (2), an equation system including 3 more unknowns than equations is obtained. In order to create a solvable equation system, the derivative of Equation (2) for the three B -splines $\left\{Q_{-1}, Q_{N}, Q_{N+1}\right\}$ is obtained and used to get solvable equation system. Weighting coefficients of the first grid point $x_{1}$ are determined by solution of equation system
$[K]\left[Y_{1}^{1}\right]=\left[C_{1}^{1}\right]$
where
$K=\left[\begin{array}{cccccccc}8 & 14 & 2 & & & & \\ 1 & 11 & 11 & 1 & & & \\ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & 11 & 11 & 1 \\ & & & & 2 & 14 & 8 \\ & & & & & 30 & 42\end{array}\right]_{(N+3) \times(N+3)}$,
$\left[Y_{1}^{1}\right]=\left[m_{1,-1}^{(1)}, m_{1,0}^{(1)}, m_{1,1}^{(1)}, m_{1,2}^{(1)}, \ldots, m_{1, N}^{(1)}, m_{1, N+1}^{(1)}\right]_{(N+3) \times 1}^{T}$,
and
$\left[C_{1}^{1}\right]=\left[-\frac{7}{h},-\frac{12}{h}, \frac{12}{h}, \frac{4}{h}, 0 \ldots 00\right]_{(N+3) \times 1}^{T}$.
Weighting coefficients for $m_{p j}^{(1)}, j=-1,0, \ldots, N+1$ at mesh points $x_{p}, 2 \leq p \leq N-1$ are obtained by solution of the equation system:
$[K]\left[Y_{p}^{1}\right]=\left[C_{p}^{1}\right]$
where
$\left[Y_{p}^{1}\right]=\left[m_{p,-1}^{(1)}, \ldots, m_{p, p-3}^{(1)}, m_{p, p-2}^{(1)}, m_{p, p-1}^{(1)}, m_{p, p}^{(1)}, m_{p, p+1}^{(1)}, m_{p, p+2}^{(1)}, \ldots, m_{p, N+1}^{(1)}\right]_{(N+3) \times 1}^{T}$,
and
$\left[C_{p}^{1}\right]=\left[0, \ldots, 0,-\frac{4}{h},-\frac{12}{h}, \frac{12}{h}, \frac{4}{h}, 0 \ldots 0\right]_{(N+3) \times 1}^{T}$.
For the last mesh point $x_{N}$ weighting coefficients $m_{N j}^{(1)}, j=-1,0, \ldots, N+1$ are obtained by the solution of the equation system:
$[K]\left[Y_{N}^{1}\right]=\left[C_{N}^{1}\right]$
where
$\left[Y_{N}^{1}\right]=\left[m_{N,-1}^{(1)}, m_{N, 0}^{(1)} \ldots, m_{N, N-3}^{(1)}, m_{N, N-2}^{(1)}, m_{N, N-1}^{(1)}, m_{N, N}^{(1)}, m_{N, N+1}^{(1)}\right]_{(N+3) \times 1}^{T}$,
and
$\left[C_{N}^{1}\right]=\left[0,0, \ldots, 0,-\frac{4}{h},-\frac{12}{h}, \frac{9}{h}, \frac{53}{h}\right]_{(N+3) \times 1}^{T}$.
The same methodology is used to obtain the second order weighting coefficients.

## 3. APPLICATION OF METHOD

One of the significant type of the FDM namely the Crank-Nicolson approximation [49] is applied to the Equation (1)
$\frac{U^{n+1}-U^{n}}{\Delta t}+\frac{U_{x}^{n+1}+U_{x}^{n}}{2}+\varepsilon \frac{\left(U U_{x}\right)^{n+1}+\left(U U_{x}\right)^{n}}{2}-\mu \frac{U_{x x}^{n+1}-U_{x x}^{n+1}}{\Delta t}=0$,
obtained and Equation (3) is arranged as follow,
$2 U^{n+1}+\Delta t\left[U_{x}^{n+1}+\varepsilon\left(U U_{x}\right)^{n+1}\right]-2 \mu U_{x x}^{n+1}=2 U^{n}-\Delta t\left[U_{x}^{n}+\varepsilon\left(U U_{x}\right)^{n}\right]-2 \mu U_{x x}^{n}$.
Rubin and Graves type linearization process [50] is applied to Equation (4) to deal with the nonlinear terms.
$\left(V V_{x}\right)^{n+1}=V^{n+1} V_{x}^{n}+V^{n} V_{x}^{n+1}-V^{n} V_{x}^{n}$,
$\left(V V_{x}\right)^{n}=V^{n} V_{x}^{n}$.
Accordingly, nonlinear terms are dealt with and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 U^{n+1}+\Delta t\left[U_{x}^{n+1}+\varepsilon\left(U^{n} U_{x}^{n+1}+U^{n+1} U_{x}^{n}\right)\right]-2 \mu U_{x x}^{n+1}=2 U^{n}-\Delta t U_{x}^{n}-2 \mu U_{x x}^{n}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

obtained. Some notations are used to simplify Equation (5) as follows
$A_{i}^{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{i j}^{(1)} U_{j}^{n}=U_{x_{i}}^{n}, \quad B_{i}^{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{i j}^{(2)} U_{j}^{n}=U_{x x_{i}}^{n}$,
where $A_{i}^{n}$ is the first-order derivative approach of $U$ function related to the $n$-th time level on grid points $x_{i}$ and $B_{i}^{n}$ is the second-order derivative approach of $U$ function at the $n$-th time level on grid points $x_{i}$. We have used those notations (6) in Equation (5) and found out that
$2 U_{i}^{n+1}+\Delta t\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{i j}^{(1)} U_{j}^{n+1}+\varepsilon\left(U_{i}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{i j}^{(1)} U_{j}^{n+1}+A_{i}^{n} U_{i}^{n+1}\right)\right]-2 \mu \sum_{j=1}^{N} m_{i j}^{(2)} U_{j}^{n+1}=S_{i}^{n}$,
where
$S_{i}^{n}=2 U_{i}^{n}-\Delta t A_{i}^{n}-2 \mu B_{i}^{n}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, N$.
Then Equation (7) is classified as below
$\left[2+\Delta t\left(m_{i i}^{(1)}+\varepsilon\left(U_{i}^{n} m_{i i}^{(1)}+A_{i}^{n}\right)\right)-2 m_{i i}^{(2)}\right] U_{i}^{n+1}$
$+\left[\sum_{j=1, i \neq j}^{N}\left[\Delta t\left(m_{i j}^{(1)}+\varepsilon U_{i}^{n} m_{i j}^{(1)}\right)-2 \mu m_{i j}^{(2)}\right] U_{j}^{n+1}\right]=S_{i}^{n}$.
The matrix form of the Equation System (8) has been given as below
$\left[\begin{array}{cccc}K_{1,1} & K_{1,2} & \ldots & K_{1, N} \\ K_{2,1} & K_{2,2} & \ldots & K_{2, N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_{N-1,1} & K_{N-1,2} & \ldots & K_{N-1, N} \\ K_{N, 1} & K_{N, 2} & \ldots & K_{N, N}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}U_{1}^{n+1} \\ U_{2}^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ U_{N-1}^{n+1} \\ U_{N}^{n+1}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}S_{1}^{n} \\ S_{2}^{n} \\ \vdots \\ S_{N-1}^{n} \\ S_{N}^{n}\end{array}\right]$.
Lastly, the system of Equations (9) is arranged by using the boundary conditions. To get the square solvable matrix, the first and last equations are eliminated. So,
$\left[\begin{array}{cccc}K_{2,2} & K_{2,3} & \ldots & K_{2, N-1} \\ K_{3,2} & K_{3,3} & \ldots & K_{3, N-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ K_{N-1,2} & K_{N-1,3} & \ldots & K_{N-1, N-1}\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}U_{2}^{n+1} \\ U_{3}^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ U_{N-1}^{n+1}\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}S_{2}^{n}-K_{2,1} U_{1}^{n+1}-K_{2, N} U_{N}^{n+1} \\ S_{3}^{n}-K_{3,1} U_{1}^{n+1}-K_{3, N} U_{N}^{n+1} \\ \vdots \\ S_{N-1}^{n}-K_{N-1,1} U_{1}^{n+1}-K_{N-1, N} U_{N}^{n+1}\end{array}\right]$,
solvable matrix system is obtained.

### 3.1. Local Truncation Error (LTE)

A new notation is used to display Equation (1) as follows [51]
$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{1}{\mu} u(x, t)\right)=\frac{1}{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}+\varepsilon u(x, t) \frac{\partial u(x, t)}{\partial x}\right)$
and Crank-Nicolson scheme is used to derive
$\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x_{i}, t_{j+1}\right)}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{1}{\mu} u\left(x_{i}, t_{j+1}\right)\right)-\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right)}{\partial x^{2}}-\frac{1}{\mu} u\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right)\right)=\frac{k}{4 \mu h}\left(1+\varepsilon u\left(x_{i}, t_{j+1}\right)\right)$
$\times\left(u\left(x_{i+1}, t_{j+1}\right)-u\left(x_{i-1}, t_{j+1}\right)\right)+\frac{k}{4 \mu h}\left(1+\varepsilon u\left(x_{i}, t_{j}\right)\right)\left(u\left(x_{i+1}, t_{j}\right)-u\left(x_{i-1}, t_{j}\right)\right)+O\left(k^{3}+k h^{2}\right)$.

## 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The error norms $L_{2}$ and $L_{\infty}$ are calculated to observe the accuracy of the present method, respectively:
$L_{2}=\sqrt{h \sum_{j=1}^{N}\left|U_{j}-u_{j}\right|^{2}}, \quad L_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leq j \leq N}\left|U_{j}-u_{j}\right|$.
Another measurement of the accuracy of the numerical methods are invariants. The three invariants formulae are given by Olver [52] and calculated for RLW equation in the present paper
$I_{1}=\int_{a}^{b} U d x, \quad I_{2}=\int_{a}^{b}\left[U^{2}+\mu\left(U_{x}\right)^{2}\right] d x, \quad I_{3}=\int_{a}^{b}\left[U^{3}+3 U^{2}\right] d x$.
To control the effectiveness of the suggested method numerical values are compared with analytic values that given by Zaki [29]
$I_{1}=\frac{6 C}{k}, \quad I_{2}=\frac{12 C^{2}}{k}+\frac{48 k \mu C^{2}}{5}, \quad I_{3}=\frac{36 C^{2}(4 C+5)}{5 k}$.
To be able to calculate the rate of convergence, following formulae is used
$R O C=\frac{\ln \left(\operatorname{error}\left(N_{2}\right) / \operatorname{error}\left(N_{1}\right)\right)}{\ln \left(\left(N_{1}\right) /\left(N_{2}\right)\right)}$.

### 4.1. Different Applications of the Single Solitary Wave

The single solitary wave for the RLW equation has analytical solution as below
$U(x, t)=3 \operatorname{Csech}^{2}\left(k x-k v t-k x_{0}\right)$,
where
$v=1+\varepsilon C$,
$k=\sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon C}{4 \mu v}}$
The velocity of the single solitary wave is represented by $v$, amplitude of the wave is represented by $3 C$ and the width of the wave is represented by $k$. The position of the wave is initially is $x_{0}$ and by the simulation is run, wave moves to the right direction. The initial condition is obtained from analytical solution (10) by using initial time $t=0$
$U(x, 0)=3 \operatorname{Csech}^{2}\left(k x-k x_{0}\right)$.
The boundary conditions of Equation (1) are the $U( \pm \infty, t)=0$. The present method is checked and compared with earlier works and analytical results by solving the RLW equation for various amplitude values and solution domain values.
1.A. Firstly, the constant parameters $\varepsilon=\mu=1, C=0.1$ and $x_{0}=0$ are chosen over the solution domain $-40 \leq x \leq 60$ to be able to compare with earlier works. A simulation of the single solitary wave has been run until $t=20$. The solitary wave preserved its amplitude, velocity and shape during movement towards right. The peak location and the amplitude of the wave is given in Table 1. The numerical illustration of the single solitary wave is given in Figure 1 with $\Delta t=0.1$ and $\mathrm{N}=91$. As it seen from Table 1 and Figure 1 that the shape of the wave is preserved and the amplitude of the wave changed for miserable values. Error values for the end of the simulation $t=20$ are given in Figure 1. The error norms and the three invariants
are calculated and they are compared with those of 34 various applications [9, 11-27, 29-31] in Table 2. By using the same time increment $\Delta t=0.1$, the present algorithm has the smallest error norms $L_{2}$ and $L_{\infty}$ as $1.3030 \times 10^{-4}$ and $4.730 \times 10^{-5}$, respectively at time $t=20$. The three invariants are calculated analytically [29] as $I_{1}=3.9799497484265, \quad I_{2}=0.810462494225, \quad I_{3}=2.5790074369804$, respectively. The present numerical invariants are in good agreement with those of analytic values. The development of the three invariants during the simulation is plotted in Figure 2. Table 3 shows the calculated values of the rate of convergence and the error norms.

Table 1. 1.A. The peak locations and the amplitude values for $\Delta t=0.1$ on $-40 \leq x \leq 60$

|  | $C=0.1$ |  | $C=0.03$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| t | Peak Position(x) | Amplitude (U) | Peak Position(x) | Amplitude (U) |
| 0 | 0.00000 | 0.30000 | 0.00000 | 0.09000 |
| 5 | 5.55556 | 0.29999 | 5.00000 | 0.08998 |
| 10 | 11.11111 | 0.29993 | 10.00000 | 0.08994 |
| 15 | 16.66667 | 0.29983 | 15.62500 | 0.08998 |
| 20 | 22.22222 | 0.29968 | 20.62500 | 0.09000 |



Figure 1. 1.A. Single solitary wave movement and maximum error for $C=0.1$ at time $t=20$


Figure 2. 1.A. Behaviour of the three invariants $C=0.1$

Table 2. 1.A. The error norms and the three invariants: $C=0.1, \Delta t=0.1$ on $-40 \leq x \leq 60$

| Method | N | t | $L_{2} \times 10^{3}$ | $L_{\infty} \times 10^{3}$ | $I_{1}$ | $I_{2}$ | $I_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Present | 91 | 0 | - | - | 3.97993 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
|  |  | 5 | 0.04569 | 0.01839 | 3.97993 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
|  |  | 10 | 0.07981 | 0.02967 | 3.97992 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
|  |  | 15 | 0.10623 | 0.03852 | 3.97992 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
|  |  | 20 | 0.13030 | 0.04730 | 3.97987 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
| FDM[9] | 1001 | 20 | 0.55 | 0.21 | 3.97997 | 0.81045 | 2.57901 |
| Coll.[12] | 1001 | 20 | - | 0.308 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll.[13] | 801 | 20 | 0.301 | 0.114 | 3.97996 | 0.81027 | 2.57839 |
| Coll. [14]MQ | 801 | 20 | 0.20691 | 0.07802 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll. [14]IMQ | 801 | 20 | 0.20691 | 0.07802 | 3.97987 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll. [14]IQ | 801 | 20 | 0.20691 | 0.07802 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll. [14]GA | 801 | 20 | 0.20691 | 0.07802 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll. [14]TPS | 801 | 20 | 0.20714 | 0.07815 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll. [15]QBCM1 | 801 | 20 | 0.21519 | 0.08295 | 3.97987 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll. [15]QBCM2 | 801 | 20 | 0.35667 | 0.12968 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll. [15]QBCM3 | 801 | 20 | 0.21519 | 0.08295 | 3.97987 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll. [16] | 129 | 20 | 0.17974 | 0.06799 | 3.97991 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Coll. [17] | 1001 | 20 | 0.53223 | 0.22722 | 3.97803 | 0.80972 | 2.57657 |
| Coll. [18] | 801 | 20 | 0.30 | 0.116 | 3.97988 | 0.81027 | 2.57839 |
| Coll. [19]QBCM1 | 801 | 20 | 0.215 | 0.083 | 3.97995 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
| Coll. [19]QBCM2 | 801 | 20 | 0.357 | 0.129 | 3.97995 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
| Coll. [19]QBCM1 | 1001 | 20 | 0.215 | 0.083 | 3.97996 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
| Coll. [19]QBCM2 | 1001 | 20 | 0.357 | 0.129 | 3.97996 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
| Galerkin [20] | 801 | 20 | 0.219 | 0.086 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
| Galerkin [21] | 501 | 20 | 1.7569 | 0.68432 | 3.9800 | 0.8104 | 2.5792 |
| Galerkin [22] | 801 | 20 | 0.26685 | 0.09146 | 3.97972 | 0.81026 | 2.57873 |
| Galerkin [23] | 1001 | 20 | 0.217 | 0.084 | 3.97989 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
| Galerkin [24]QBGM1 | 801 | 20 | 0.192 | 0.073 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Galerkin [24]QBGM2 | 801 | 20 | 0.355 | 0.128 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Galerkin [24]QBGM1 | 1001 | 20 | 0.192 | 0.073 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Galerkin [24]QBGM2 | 1001 | 20 | 0.355 | 0.129 | 3.97988 | 0.81046 | 2.57900 |
| Galerkin [25] | 801 | 20 | 0.192 | 0.073 | 3.97989 | 0.81046 | 2.57901 |
| Galerkin [25] | 1001 | 20 | 0.192 | 0.074 | 3.97989 | 0.81047 | 2.57901 |
| Galerkin [26] | 801 | 20 | 0.511 | 0.198 | 3.98206 | 0.81116 | 2.58133 |
| Galerkin [27] | 1001 | 20 | 0.220 | 0.086 | 3.97989 | 0.81046 | 2.57902 |
| FDM [11, 27] | 1001 | 20 | 196.1 | 67.35 | 4.41219 | 0.89734 | 2.85361 |
| Bub-Gal. [29] | 801 | 20 | 0.71913 | 0.25398 | 3.97989 | 0.80925 | 2.57501 |
| L-S [30] | 801 | 20 | 4.688 | 1.755 | 3.98203 | 0.80865 | 2.57302 |
| L-S [31] | 801 | 20 | 2.157 | - | 3.99046 | 0.82345 | 2.67399 |

Table 3. 1.A. The error norms and the rate of convergence at $t=20: C=0.1, \Delta t=0.1$ on $-40 \leq x \leq 60$

| N | $L_{2} \times 10^{3}$ | ROC | $L_{\infty} \times 10^{3}$ | ROC |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 101.28714 | - | 26.72919 | - |
| 31 | 30.02020 | 3.12246 | 8.58606 | 2.91583 |
| 51 | 1.85904 | 5.58777 | 0.72326 | 4.96973 |
| 71 | 0.31324 | 5.38256 | 0.09773 | 6.04967 |
| 91 | 0.13030 | 3.53425 | 0.04730 | 2.92408 |

1.B. Secondly, the same parameters with in Application 1.A. are chosen except for $\mathrm{C}=0.03$. The numerical solutions for this situation are obtained by using $\Delta t=0.1$ and $\mathrm{N}=161$. The numerical movement of the solitary wave which has smaller amplitude is given in Figure 3. The solitary wave preserved its amplitude, velocity and shape during movement towards right. The error values for the time $t=20$ are given in Figure 3. The error norms $L_{2}$ and $L_{\infty}$ are computed at time $t=20$ as $5.8878 \times 10^{-4}$ and $2.1794 \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. The peak position and the amplitude of the wave are given in Table 1. The amplitudes are seen almost constant. Table 4 shows a detailed report about the error norms. Some of the earlier results are added to the Table 4 for clear comparison. Undoubtedly it is seen that the present algorithm produced better results than those of the given works. Besides those, the three invariants are calculated. The analytic values of the three invariants are calculated with formulae given by Zaki [29] as $I_{1}=2.1094074997496, I_{2}=$ $0.1273017186257, I_{3}=0.3888059903539$, respectively. The present numerical invariants are in good agreement with those of analytical values. The development of the three invariants during the simulation are plotted in Figure 4.


Figure 3. 1.B. Single solitary wave movement and maximum error for $C=0.03$ at time $t=20$


Figure 4. 1.B. Behaviour of the three invariants $C=0.03$

Table 4. 1.B. The error norms and the three invariants: $C=0.03, \Delta t=0.1$ on $-40 \leq x \leq 60$

| Method | N | t | $L_{2} \times 10^{3}$ | $L_{\infty} \times 10^{3}$ | $I_{1}$ | $I_{2}$ | $I_{3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Present | 161 | 0 | - | - | 2.10716 | 0.12734 | 0.38880 |
|  |  | 5 | 0.50178 | 0.25334 | 2.10694 | 0.12730 | 0.38880 |
|  |  | 10 | 0.58002 | 0.23788 | 2.10655 | 0.12730 | 0.38880 |
|  |  | 15 | 0.58626 | 0.22906 | 2.10594 | 0.12730 | 0.38880 |
|  |  | 20 | 0.58878 | 0.21794 | 2.10436 | 0.12730 | 0.38880 |
| FDM[9] | 1001 | 20 | 0.638 | 0.233 | 2.109 | 0.12730 | 0.38880 |
| FDM[10] $\Delta t=0.2$ | 1001 | 20 | 0.642 | 0.233 | 2.10949 | 0.12730 | 0.38880 |
| Galerkin [28] | 801 | 20 | - | 0.4315 | 2.10458 | 0.12730 | 0.38880 |
| FDM [11, 27] | 1001 | 20 | 14.45 | 3.996 | 2.333 | 0.14081 | 0.43005 |
| Bub-Gal. [29] | 2000 | 20 | 0.70663 | 0.70663 | 2.10238 | 0.12691 | 0.38759 |


| Cos-DQM [32] | 34 | 20 | 0.662 | 0.221 | 2.10352 | 0.12730 | 0.38880 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

1.C. For the third application, the same parameters with in Application 1.B. are chosen except for the solution domain $-80 \leq x \leq 120$. The numerical solutions are obtained by using $\Delta t=0.1$ and $\mathrm{N}=141$. Figure 5 shows the movement of the single solitary wave and error value at time $t=20$. The error norms $L_{2}$ and $L_{\infty}$ are computed at time $t=20$ as $9.04 \times 10^{-6}$ and $2.65 \times 10^{-6}$, respectively. Table 5 displays a detailed information about the error norms and the three invariants. Some of the earlier works are given with the present results in Table 5. Undoubtedly it is seen that the present algorithm produced better results than the given works. The analytic values of the three invariants are calculated with formulae given by Zaki [29] as $I_{1}=2.1094074997496, I_{2}=0.1273017186257, I_{3}=0.3888059903539$, respectively. The present numerical invariants are in good agreement with those of analytic values. The development of the three invariants during the simulation is plotted in Figure 6.


Figure 5. 1.C. Single solitary wave movement and maximum error for $C=0.03$ at time $t=20$


Figure 6. 1.C. Behaviour of the three invariants $C=0.03$

Table 5. 1.C. The error norms and the three invariants: $C=0.03, \Delta t=0.1$ on $-80 \leq x \leq 120$

| Method | N | t | $L_{2} \times 10^{3}$ | $L_{\infty} \times 10^{3}$ | $I_{1}$ | $I_{2}$ | $I_{3}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Present | 141 | 0 | - | - | 2.10941 | 0.12730 | 0.38881 |
|  |  | 5 | 0.00239 | 0.00071 | 2.10941 | 0.12730 | 0.38881 |
|  |  | 10 | 0.00465 | 0.00138 | 2.10941 | 0.12730 | 0.38881 |
|  |  | 15 | 0.00688 | 0.00206 | 2.10940 | 0.12730 | 0.38881 |
|  |  | 20 | 0.00904 | 0.00265 | 2.10940 | 0.12730 | 0.38881 |
| FDM[9] | 801 | 20 | 0.05460 | 0.01647 | - | - | - |
| Coll. [16] | 129 | 20 | 0.01367 | 0.00372 | 2.10940 | 0.12730 | 0.38880 |
| Galerkin [26] | 801 | 20 | 0.106 | 0.041 | - | - | - |
| Bub-Gal. [29] | 800 | 20 | 0.03097 | 0.00936 | 2.10972 | 0.12723 | 0.28887 |
| Bub-Gal. [29] | 1000 | 20 | 0.05222 | 0.01326 | 2.10970 | 0.12734 | 0.38892 |
| Bub-Gal. [29] | 2000 | 20 | 0.02746 | 0.01193 | 2.10938 | 0.12730 | 0.38879 |
| Bub-Gal. [29] | 4000 | 20 | 0.56067 | 0.13688 | 2.10600 | 0.12690 | 0.38750 |
| L-S [30] | 801 | 20 | 0.255 | 0.095 | - | - | - |
| Cos-DQM [32] | 34 | 20 | 0.662 | 0.221 | 2.10941 | 0.12730 | 0.38881 |

### 4.2. Wave Generation

The second test problem is wave generation which is based on Maxwellian initial condition problem of the RLW equation which has initial condition as below,
$U(x, 0)=e^{\left(-(x-7)^{2}\right)}$.
To be able to generate the waves with Maxwellian initial condition fixed value of the $\varepsilon=1$ and different values of the parameter $\mu$ is chosen. All of the applications are studied over the fixed domain $0 \leq x \leq 50$.
2.A. For the first application of the wave generation for value of $\mu=0.04$ is chosen. The numerical solutions are obtained by using $\Delta t=0.05$ and $N=321$ up to time $t=25$. The three invariants are given in Table 6. They are observed almost constant. The development of the three invariants during the simulation are plotted in Figure 7. The generation of the wave for $\mu=0.04$ is illustrated in Figure 8. At the final of the run, at time $t=25$ behind the leading wave, a tail is seen.

Table 6. 2. The three invariants of the wave generation for various values of $\mu$

| $\mu$ | $\Delta t$ | N | t | $I_{1}$ | $I_{2}$ | $I_{3}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.04 | 0.05 | 321 | 0 | 1.77245 | 1.30345 | 4.78327 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 1.77245 | 1.30402 | 4.78612 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 1.77245 | 1.30403 | 4.78617 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 1.77245 | 1.30402 | 4.78616 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 1.77245 | 1.30402 | 4.78616 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 1.77245 | 1.30402 | 4.78616 |
|  | 0.05 | 321 | 0 | 1.77245 | 1.26585 | 4.78327 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 1.77249 | 1.27674 | 4.82553 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 1.77214 | 1.27492 | 4.81834 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 1.77252 | 1.27190 | 4.80890 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 1.77240 | 1.26889 | 4.79943 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 1.77199 | 1.26609 | 4.79002 |
|  | 0.01 | 1201 | 0 | 1.77245 | 1.25457 | 4.78327 |
|  |  |  | 5 | 1.77245 | 1.25971 | 4.80468 |
|  |  |  | 10 | 1.77236 | 1.25827 | 4.79955 |
|  |  |  | 15 | 1.77240 | 1.25649 | 4.79347 |
|  |  |  | 20 | 1.77236 | 1.25482 | 4.78736 |
|  |  |  | 25 | 1.77227 | 1.25331 | 4.78122 |



Figure 7. 2.A, 2.B, 2.C. The invariants of the wave generation


Figure 8. 2.A. The generation of the waves: $\mu=0.04$
2.B. For the second application of the wave generation for value of $\mu=0.01$ is chosen. The numerical solutions are obtained by using $\Delta t=0.05$ and $N=321$ up to time $t=25$. The three invariants are given in Table 6. They are observed almost constant. The development of the three invariants during the simulation are plotted in Figure 7. The generation of the wave for $\mu=0.01$ is illustrated in Figure 9. At the final of the run, at time $t=25$ three waves are generated.


Figure 9. 2.B. The generation of the waves: $\mu=0.01$
2.C. For the second application of the wave generation for value of $\mu=0.001$ is chosen. The numerical solutions are obtained by using $\Delta t=0.01$ and $N=1201$ up to time $t=25$. The three invariants are given in Table 6. They are observed almost constant. The development of the three invariants during the simulation is given in Figure 7. The generation of the wave for $\mu=0.001$ is illustrated in Figure 10. At the final of the run, at time $t=25$ nine waves are generated.


Figure 10. 2.C. The generation of the waves: $\mu=0.001$

## 5. CONCLUSION

In this work, an effective algorithm is used to obtain numerical solutions of the RLW equation. Three fundamental components of the algorithm are differential quadrature method, finite difference method and Rubin-Graves type linearizing technique. Solitary wave solution and wave generation solutions are obtained successfully. Two error norms and the three invariants are calculated and compared with other numerical results and analytical results. The rates of the convergence are calculated and reported. The present algorithm considerably improved the numerical solution of the RLW equation and may be useful for future investigations.
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