## COMMUNICATIONS

## DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE L'UNIVERSITÉ D'ANKARA

Série A: Mathématiques, Physique et Astronomie

TOME 21 A

ANNÉE 1972

On Absolute Equivalence of T-Matrices For (C, r) - Bounded Sequences

by

M. B. ZAMAN

7

Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara Ankara, Turquie

## Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara

Comité de Rédaçtion de la Série A

C. Uluçay, E. Erdik, N. Doğan

Secrétaire de publication

N. Gündüz

La Revue "Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara" est un organe de publication englobant toutes les disciplines scientifiques représentées à la Faculté: Mathématiques pures et appliquées, Astronomie, Physique et Chimie théorique, expérimentale et technique, Géologie, Botanique et Zoologie.

La Revue, à l'exception des tomes I, II, III, comprend trois séries

Série A: Mathématiques, Physique et Astronomie.

Série B: Chimie.

Série C: Sciences naturelles.

En principe, la Revue est réservée aux mémoires originaux des membres de la Faculté. Elle accepte cependant, dans la mesure de la place disponible, les communications des auteurs étrangers. Les langues allemande, anglaise et française sont admises indifféremment. Les articles devront être accompagnés d'un bref sommaire en langue turque.

Adresse: Fen Fakültesi Tebliğler Dergisi, Fen Fakültesi Ankara, Turquie.

# On Absolute Equivalence of T-Matrices For (C, r) - Bounded Sequences

#### M. B. ZAMAN

"On absolute equivalence of T-matrices for (Gr)- bounded sequences"

In this note, the author proved the following main results besides bmmas:-

Theorem 1. The T-matrices A and B are absolutely equivalent for all (C, 1)-bounded sequences iff

(i) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mid \Delta c_{n,k} \mid = 0$$
,

where C = A - B

Corollary. A T- matrix A is absolutely translative for all (C-1)- bounded esequences iff

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \ \ \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\Sigma}} \ k \ \big| \ \Delta^2 \ a_{n,k} \ \big| \ = \ 0.$$

Theorem 2 Let r is a positive integer; then the T-matrices A and B are absolutely equivalent for all (C, r) - bounded sequences iff

(i) 
$$\lim_{\begin{subarray}{c} R\to\infty\end{subarray}} R^r c_{n,k} \,=\,0$$
 for every n, and

(ii) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{j} |\Delta^{j} c_{n,k}| = 0$$
 (j = 1, 2, 3,...., r),

where C = A - B.

Corollary. If r is a positive integer, then a T-matrix A is absolutely translative for all (C, r) - bounded sequences iff

(i) 
$$\lim_{K\to\infty} K^r \Delta a_{n,k} = 0$$
 for every  $n$ , and

(ii) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{j} \mid \Delta^{j+1} a_{n,k} \mid = 0 \ (j=1, 2, 3, ..., r).$$

N. B. The author requests the referee to compare the following if there is any omission in the original MS: - (3.3) on page 5 of MS must be read as (i) of Theorem 1 of this Summary.

(4.1) and (4.2) on page 12 of M S must be read as (i) and (ii) of Theorem 2 of this Summary.

(4.12) and (4.13) on page 15 of M S must be read as (i) and (ii) of corallary of Theorem 2 of this Summary.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Cooke ([1], 105, (5. 4, I)) has proved the following theorem:

Theorem A. A necessary and sufficient condition that T- matrices A and B are absolutely equivalent for all bounded sequences is that

(1.1) 
$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\k=1}}^{\infty} |a_{n,k} - b_{n,k}| = 0$$

Jha ([4], 120) obtained the necessary and sufficient conditions in order that any two infinite matrices are absolutely equivalent for (C,r) – bounded sequence where r being a positive integer.

In this paper, the necessary and sufficient condition for the absolute equivalence of any two T-matrices for all (C, 1) bounded sequences is obtained, and the result is extended for all (C, r) – bounded sequences (r being positive integer).

Finally, necessary and sufficient conditions for the absolute translativity of any T-matrix for the above classes of sequences are found.

#### 2. NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS

Write 
$$\Delta d_k = d_k - d_{k+1}$$
, then

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta^{\mathbf{r}} \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{k}} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}=\mathbf{o}}^{\mathbf{r}} (-1)^{\mathbf{p}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{r} \\ \mathbf{p} \end{pmatrix} d_{\mathbf{p}+\mathbf{k}},$$

and

(2.2) 
$$\Delta^{r} (\mathbf{u}_{k} \ \mathbf{w}_{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}}^{\mathbf{r}} {\mathbf{r} \choose \mathbf{v}} \Delta^{r} \ \mathbf{u}_{k} \Delta^{r-\mathbf{v}} \ \mathbf{w}_{k+\mathbf{v}}$$

We use the standard notations

$$egin{array}{lll} {r \over A} & = & (r+1) \; (r+2) \, ... \, (r+v) \; / \, v \, , \, {r \over A} & = \; 1, \\ {r \over S} & = & {\Sigma \over S} & {A \over S_v}. \\ {k \over V} & = & 0 & k-V \end{array}$$

Write 
$$s_k=\stackrel{o}{S_k}$$
 and  $\stackrel{r}{S_k}=\stackrel{r-1}{S_o}+\stackrel{r-1}{S_1}+\dots\stackrel{r-1}{S_k}$   $(r=1,\,2,\,\dots)$ 

Definition 1. If 
$$s_n^r = S_n^r / {n+r \choose r}$$
 is bounded for all n,

we say that  $\{s_k\}$  is (C,r) – bounded . If  $s_n^r \to s$ 

as 
$$n \to \infty$$
,  $\{s_n\}$  is summable – (C,r) to s.

Difinition 2: The matrices  $A=(a_{n,k})$  and  $B=(b_{n,k})$  are said to be absolutely equivalent for a given class of sequences  $\{s_k\}$  whenever

Definition 3. A matrix  $A = (a_{n,k})$  is said to be absolutely translative for a given class of sequences  $\{s_k\}$  whenever

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k} s_k - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k+1} s_k \end{bmatrix} = 0 \text{ (Cooke [1], p. 114)}$$

#### 3. OBSOLUTE EQUIVALENCE FOR (C,1) BOUNDED SEGUENCES

In this section we proceed to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition in order that ant two T-matrices are absolutely equivalent for all (C, 1) – bounded sequences. We need the following lemmas to prove our result.

Lemma 1. If 
$$\sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} {k} \mid \varDelta \ a_{n,k} \mid \leq M$$
 for every  $n$  and

 $\label{eq:ank} \begin{array}{ll} \lim_{k\to\infty} a_{n,k} = \text{ o for every } n, \text{ then } \lim_{k\to\infty} \ k \ a_{n,k} = 0 \text{ for every } n. \end{array}$ 

For proof, put  $r = 1, \sigma = 0$  in Bosanquet's Lemma 7 [2], also Cooke [1], p. 216, p. 218.

Lemma 2. The necessary and sufficient condition that a matrix A transforms all null sequences into null sequences are that

(3.1) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_{n,k} = o$$
 for every fixed  $k$ ,

and

(3.2) 
$$\mathop{\Sigma}\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \mid a_{n,k} \mid \leq M \mbox{ for every } n, \mbox{ where } M \mbox{ is independent}$$
 of  $n.$ 

For proof see Cooke [1], p. 64. (4.1, II) and the remarks in italics concerning the case z = o; Hardy 3 p. 49.

Lemma 3. If the matrix  $C=(c_{n,k})$  is efficient for every (C,r)-bounded sequences (r being positive integer), then it is necessary that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} k^r \ c_{n,k} = o$  for every n (Jha [4], p. 120.).

#### Lemma 4. If

(i) 
$$\begin{array}{c} \propto \\ \Sigma \\ k=1 \end{array}$$
 |  $a_{n,k}$  |  $\leq$  M for every  $n$ ,

(ii) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} a_{n,k} = o \text{ for every fixed } k,$$

(iii) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n,k} \ s_k = o \ \textit{for every bounded}$$

sequence  $\{s_k\}$ , then

$$\lim_{n o \infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\stackrel{\Sigma}{\sum}} \mid a_{n,k} \mid = o$$

This immediately follows from the necessity part of Theorem A. 1. Also see Jha [4] p. 115.

Lemma 5. Let  $A=(a_{n,k})$  and  $B=(a_{n,k+1})$  be infinite matrices. If A and B are absolutely equivalent for a givenclass of sequences, then A is absolutely translative for that class of sequences.

The proof obviously follows from Definitions 2 and 3.

Theorem 1. The necessary and sufficient condition that the T- matrices A and B are absolutely equivalent for all  $(C,\ 1)$  – bounded sequences is that

(3.3) 
$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\k=1}}^{\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{k}k\mid \Delta|c_{n,k}\mid=0$$

where C = A - B.

Proof. Put

(3.4) 
$$Z_k = (s_1 + s_2 + s_3 + \ldots + s_k)/k$$
, then

$$(3.5) \quad s_{k} = k Z_{k} - (k-1) Z_{k-1}, (k \ge 1).$$

It is obvious that if  $\{s_k\}$  is any (C, 1) - bounded sequences, then  $\{Z_k\}$ , defined by (3.4), is bounded. Conversely, if  $\{Z_k\}$  is any bounded sequence  $\{s_k\}$ , defined by (3.5), is (C, 1) - bounded. Also

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{k=1}^{p} c_{n,k} \ s_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} c_{n,k} \ \{k \ Z_{k} - (k-1) \ Z_{k-1}\}$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{p} k \ (c_{n,k} - c_{n,k+1}) \ Z_{k} + P \ c_{n,p+1} \ Z_{p}.$$

The condition (3.3) implies that

(3.7) 
$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \mid \varDelta c_{n,k} \mid \leq M \text{ for every } n.$$

Since A and B are T - matrices,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \quad c_{n,k} = \underset{k \to \infty}{\text{Lim}} \quad (a_{n,k} - b_{n,k}) = \text{o for every n}$$

From (3.7), (3.8) and lemma 1, we have

(3.9)  $\lim_{k\to\infty} k c_{n,k} = o$  for every n.

Now (3.8) and (3.9) together imply that

 $(3.10) \lim_{p\to\infty} \ p \ c_{n,p+1} \ Z_p = o \ for \ every \ bounded \ \{Z_p\}.$ 

The condition (3.3) also implies that

(3.11)  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \stackrel{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\Sigma}} k \vartriangle c_{n,k} Z_k = o$  for every bounded

sequence  $\{s_k\}$ .

Letting  $p\rightarrow\infty$  in (3.6) and using (3.10) and (3.11) we get

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \begin{array}{cccc} \infty & \\ \Sigma & c_{n,k} & s_k = o \ for \ every \ (C,l) \ \text{- bounded} \end{array}$ 

sequence  $\{s_k\}$ . Thus the condition is sufficient.

Conversely, if the T- matrices A and B are absolutely equivalent for all (C, 1) - bounded sequence,

$$\lim_{\mathbf{n} o \infty} rac{\sum\limits_{\mathbf{c}_{\mathsf{n},\mathsf{k}}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathsf{n},\mathsf{k}}}{\sum\limits_{\mathsf{k} = 1}} \mathbf{c}_{\mathsf{n},\mathsf{k}} \, \mathbf{s}_{\mathsf{k}} = \mathsf{o} \ \mathsf{for} \ \mathsf{every} \ (\mathsf{C},\mathsf{1}) \mathsf{-} \ \mathsf{bounded}$$

 $sequence \quad \{s_k\} = =>$ 

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty}} \begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \sum c_{n,k} \ \{k\ Z_k - (k-1)\ Z_{k-1}\} \ = \ o \ for \ every$$

bounded sequence  $\{Z_k\}$  ==>

$$(3.12) \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \, \varDelta \, c_{n,k} \, Z_k \, + \, \lim_{p\to\infty} \, p \, c_{n,p+1} \, Z_p \, ] \, = \, o$$
 for every bounded  $\{Z_k\}$ .

Since the T- matrices A and B are absolutely equivalent for all (C, 1) - bounded sequences, the matrix C = A - B suems every (C, 1) - bounded sequence to O.

Thus Lemma 3  $\Longrightarrow$  (3. 9). Therefore (3.9) and (3.8) togethet  $\Longrightarrow$  (3.10). Now it follows from (3.10) and (3.12) that

Now we observe that all null sequences are bounded sequences; hence if  $F=(f_{n,k})$  transforms all bounded sequences into null sequences, it must be so far all null sequences. Therefore, from Lemma 2

(3.14) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{n,k} = o \text{ for every fixed } k,$$

and

Thus it follows from (3. 14), (3. 15), (3. 13) and lemma 4 that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\mid f_{n,k}\mid=o$$

i.e.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \Sigma \\ k \mid \Delta \ c_{n,k} \mid = o, \end{array}$$

hence the condition is necessary.

Example: If a T - matrix A is defined by

$$a_{n,k}\,=\,\frac{1}{n}\,\,(1\,\,\leq\,k\,\,\leq\,n),\,=\,o\,\,(k\,>\,n).$$

and another T- matrix B is defined by

$$\begin{array}{lll} b_{n,k} = & \frac{1}{n+1} \; (1 \; \leq \; k \; \leq \; n), \; = \; o \; k \; > \; n. \\ \\ \text{Let C} = & A - B, \; \text{then} \\ & \overset{\sim}{\Sigma} \; k \; | \; \varDelta \; c_{n,k} \; | \; = \; \; \overset{\sim}{\Sigma} \; k \; | \; (a_{n,k} - b_{n,k}) \; + \; (a_{n,k+1} - b_{n,k+1}) \; | \\ & = \; \; \overset{\sim}{k=1} \; k \; | \; (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1}) - (b_{n,k} - b_{n,k+1}) \; | \end{array}$$

$$= n \mid \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \mid = n \frac{1}{n(n+1)} = \frac{1}{n+1}$$

Thus there are T – matrices A and B which satisfy the condition (3.3).

Corollary: The necessary and sufficient condition that a T-matrix A is absolutely translative for all (C,1) – bounded sequences is that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \begin{array}{c} \infty \\ \Sigma \\ k \mid \varDelta^{_2} \ a_{n,k} \mid = \ o. \end{array}$$

The result follows at once from Theorem 1 if we put  $a_{n,k}$  for  $c_{n,k}$  and use Lemma 5.

### 4. ABSOLUTE EQUIVALENCE FOR (C,r) BOUNDED SEQUENCES

In this section we obtain the necessary and sufficient conditions in order that the T-matrix is absolutely equivalent for all (C, r) - bounded sequences where r is a positive integer. We need the following lemmas.

Lemma 6. If r is a positive integer,  $\{s_n\}$  is  $(c,\,r)$  – bounded if and only if,  $\{Z_n\}$  is  $(C,\,r\text{-}1)$  – bounded where  $Z_n=s_1+s_2+...+s_n)/n.$ 

This is essentially lemma I of Bosanquet [2].

Lemma 7. If  $\{s_n\}$  is (C, r) – bounded where r is a positive integer, then  $s_n = O(n^r)$  (Jha [4] p. 117).

Lemma 8. If 
$$f_{n,k} = k(a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1})$$
, then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\sum\limits_{k=1}^\infty k^j \mid \varDelta^j a_{n,k}\mid = o \ (j=r-1, \ r) \ \text{implies that}}{k=1}$$

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \begin{array}{c} \infty\\ \Sigma & k^{r-1} \mid \varDelta^{r-1} \ f_{n,k}\mid = \ o \ \textit{where} \ r \textit{ is a positive integer} \\ n\to\infty & k=1 \end{array}$ 

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} \varDelta^{r-1} \ f_{n,k} &= \varDelta^{r-1} \ (k \ \varDelta \ a_{n,k}) \\ &= \sum_{v=o}^{r-1} \binom{r-l}{v} \varDelta^{v} k \ \varDelta^{r-v} \ an_{n,k+v} \\ &= k \ \varDelta^{r} \ a_{n,k} - (r-l) \ \varDelta^{r-1} \ a_{n,k+1} \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$k^{r-1} \ \varDelta^{r-1} \ f_{n,k} \ = \ k^r \ \varDelta^r \ a_{n,k} \ - \ (r\!-\!1) \ k^{r-1} \ \varDelta^{r-1} \ a_{n,k+1},$$
 and hence

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \overset{\infty}{\underset{\mathcal{L}}{\mathcal{L}}} k^r \mid \varDelta^{r-1} f_{n,k} \mid & \leq \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\mathcal{L}}} k^r \mid \varDelta^r a_{n,k} \mid + (r-1) \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\mathcal{L}}} k^{r-1} \mid \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k+1} \mid \\ & \leq \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\mathcal{L}}} k^r \mid \varDelta^r a_{n,k} \mid + (r-1) \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\mathcal{L}}} k^{r-1} \mid \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} \\ & & = 1 \end{array}$$

Finally, we get

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty}}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^r \mid \varDelta^{r-1} f_{n,k}\mid = o.$$

Lemma 9. If  $f_{n,k} = k (a_{n,k} - a_{n,k+1})$ ,

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\k=1}} \frac{\infty}{\sum k^{r-1}} |\varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k}| = o \text{ and } \lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\k=1}} \frac{\infty}{\sum k^r} |\varDelta^{r-1} f_{n,k}| = o$$

together imply that 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}k^r\,|\,\varDelta^r\,\,a_{n,k}\,|=o,\,\text{where }r\,\,\text{is}$$

a positive integer.

Proof. Proceed as in Lemma 8, we get

$$\begin{array}{lll} k^{r-1} \ \varDelta^{r-1} \ f_{n,k} = k^r \ \varDelta^r \ a_{n\,k} - (r\!-\!1) \ k^{r-1} \ \varDelta^{r-1} \ a_{n,k+1} \\ \\ or, \ k^r \ \varDelta^r \ a_{n,k} \ = \ k^{r-1} \ \varDelta^{r-1} \ f_{n,k} + (r\!-\!1) \ k^{r-1} \ \varDelta^{r-1} \ a_{n,k+1} \end{array}$$

Hence

$$\begin{array}{c} \overset{\infty}{\underset{\mathcal{E}}{\sum}} \, k^r \, | \, \varDelta^r \, a_{n,k} \, | \, \overset{\infty}{\leq} \, \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} \, f_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} | \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k+1} | \\ & < \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} \, f_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, k^{r-1} \, | \, \varDelta^{r-1} a_{n,k} | \\ & \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k} \, | \, + \, (r\!-\!\!1) \, \overset{\bullet}{\underset{k=1}{\sum}} \, a_{n,k$$

Consequently

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\k=1}}^{\infty} \frac{k^r}{k^r} \mid \Delta^r | a_{n,k} \mid = o.$$

Theorem 2. If r is a positive integer, the neessary and sufficient conditions that the T-matrices A and B are absolutely equivalent for all (C, r) – bounded sequences are that

(4.1) 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} k^r c_{n,k} = o \text{ for every } n, \text{ and }$$

(4.2) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{j} \mid \Delta^{j} c_{n,k} \mid = o \ (j = 1,2,3, \ldots, r)$$
where  $C = A - B$ .

Proof. Let us first prove the sufficient part. We shall use induction on r. Put r = 1 in (4.1) and (4.2), and proceed as in The-

orem 1, we at once obtain our theorem for r=1. Suppose that the theorem is true for r=t-1; then we shall prove that the theorem is true for r=t.

Put

$$(4.3) \qquad Z_k \, = \, (s_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \, + \, s_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, + \, s_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \, + \, \ldots \, + \, s_k) \, \ / \, \, k, \text{ so that}$$

(4.4) 
$$s_k = k Z_k - (k-1) Z_k (k \gg 1).$$

It follows from Lemma 6 that  $s_k$  is (C,t) – bounded if, and only if,  $\{Z_k\}$  is (C,t-1) – bounded and then by Lemma 7,  $Z_k=0$   $(k^{t-1})$ . Also we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \overset{p}{\Sigma} c_{n,k} \ s_k = \ \overset{p}{\Sigma} \ c_{n,k} \ \{k \ Z_k - (k-1) \ Z_{k-1}\} \\ = \ \overset{p}{\sum} \ k(c_{n,k} - c_{n,k+1}) \ Z_k + \ p \ c_{n,p+1} \ Z_p \end{array}$$

Therefore

$$(4.5) \sum_{k=1}^{p} c_{n,k} s_{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{p} f_{n,k} z_{k} + p c_{n,p+1} z_{p},$$

where  $f_{n,k} = k (c_{n,k} - c_{n,k+1})$ .

The condition (4.1) implies that

(4.6) 
$$\lim_{p\to\infty} p \ c_{n,p+1} \ z_p = o, \text{ when } z_k = O \ (k^{t-1}),$$

since 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} c_{n,k} = o$$
 for every n, and

(4.7) 
$$\lim_{k\to\infty} k f_{n,k} = o \text{ for every } n.$$

By lemma 8, with r = t, (4.2) implies that

(4.8) 
$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty\\k=1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{t-1} \mid \Delta^{t-1} f_{n,k} \mid = 0.$$

Letting  $p \to \infty$  in (4.5) and using (4.6) we get

We have already supposed that the theorem is true for r=t-1 and the matrix  $F=(f_{n,k})$  satisfies the condition (4.7) and (4.8); then the right-hand side of (4.9) is O i. e.

$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty}} \stackrel{\infty}{\Sigma} f_{n,k} \ z_k = o.$$

sequence. Thus the conditions are sufficient.

Therefore  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} c_{n,k} \ s_k = o \ \text{for every (C, t) - bounded}$ 

If A and B are absolutely equivalent for all (C, r) - bounded sequence, the the matrix C sums all (C, r) - bounded sequences to O. Hence the necessity of the condition (4.1) follows from Lemma 3.

We observe, from Lemma 6, that  $\{s_k\}$  is (c, t) – bounded whenever  $(z_k)$  is (c, t-1)- bounded.

Transition from (4.5) and (4.9) is justified in this case also because (4.5) is an identity,  $z_k=O\left(k^{t-1}\right)$  and (4.1) holds. Therefore

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \overset{\infty}{\underset{k=1}{\Sigma}} c_{n,k} \ s_k = o \ for \ every \ (C, \ t) \ - \ bounded \ \{s_k\}$$
 implies, by (4.9). that

(4.10) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_{n,k} z_k = o$$
 for every (c, t-1) - bounded  $\{z_k\}$ 

By the supposition that the theorem is true for r = t-1, then it follows from (4. 10) that

(4.11) 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}k^{t-1}\mid \Delta^{t-1}f_{n,k}\mid = 0$$

is a necessary condition.

Again every (C, t-1) - bounded sequence is (C, t) - bounded, then the necessity of (4.2) for j=1,2,3... t-1 assumed. Threfore, from Lemma 9, the necessity of the condition (4.2) follows for r=t.

Remark: The condition (4.1) does not follow from (4.2). Of course, the condition (4.2) implies that

but  $\mathop{\Sigma}\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} k^r \mid \varDelta^r \; c_{n,k} \mid \ \leq M$  for every n, which together with

$$c_{n,k} \rightarrow o$$
 as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  implies that

$$c_{n,k} = o (k^{-\sigma^{-1}}), \ \sigma = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, r-1.$$

Cooke [1], 218, also see Bosanquet [2], Lemma 7.

Corollary: If r is a positive integer, the necessary and sufficient conditions that a T - matrix A is absolutely translative for all (C,r) - bounded sequences are that

$$(4.12) \qquad \lim_{k\to\infty} \ k^r \ a_{n,k} = o \ \textit{for every } n,$$

and

(4.13) 
$$\lim_{\substack{n\to\infty}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{j} \mid \Delta^{j+1} a_{n,k} \mid = o \ (j = 1,2,3,...,r)$$

Lemma 5 and Theorem 2 give the corollary.

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Cooke, R. G.: "Infinite matrices and sequence spaces" (Macmillan 1950)
- 2 Bosanquet, L. S.,: Note on convergence and summability factor, Jour. Lond. Math. Soc., 20, (1945), 39-48.
- 3 Hardy, G. H.: "Divergent series" (Oxford 1945)
- 4 Jha, D. K., : On absolute equivalence of general infinite matrices, Simon stevin, Wis-en Natuurkundig Tijdschrift 35 Jaargang, Aflevering III (Februari) 1962).

Department of Mathematics Koshi College, Khagaria Monghyr (India)

#### Prix de l'abonnement annuel

Turquie: 15 TL; Étranger: 30 TL.

Prix de ce numéro : 5 TL (pour la vente en Turquie).

Prière de s'adresse1 pour l'abonnement à : Fen Fakültesi

Dekanlığı Ankara, Turquie.