

COMMUNICATIONS

DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES
DE L'UNIVERSITÉ D'ANKARA

Série A₁ : Mathématique

TOME : 34

ANNÉE : 1985

APPROXIMATION BY MODIFIED BERNSTEIN OPERATORS

by

S. UMAR and Q. RAZI

4

Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara
Ankara, Turquie

**Communications de la Faculté des Sciences
de l'Université d'Ankara**

Comite de Redaction de la Serie B
T. Gündüz. C. Tüzün. M. Albaz.
Secrétaire de Publication
Ö. Çakar

La Revue "Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara" est un organe de publication englobant toutes les disciplines scientifiques représentées à la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara.

La Revue, jusqu'à 1975 a l'exception des tomes, I, II, III était composée de trois séries

Série A : Mathématiques, Physique et Astronomie,
Série B : Chimie,
Série C : Sciences Naturelles.

A partir de 1975 la Revue comprend sept séries:

Série A₁ : Mathématiques,
Série A₂ : Physique,
Série A₃ : Astronomie,
Série B : Chimie,
Série C₁ : Géologie,
Série C₂ : Botanique,
Série C₃ : Zoologie.

A partir de 1983 les séries de C₂ Botanique et C₃ Zoologie ont été réunies sous la seule série Biologie C et les numéros de Tome commenceront par le numéro 1.

En principe, la Revue est réservée aux mémoires originaux des membres de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara. Elle accepte cependant, dans la mesure de la place disponible les communications des auteurs étrangers. Les langues Allemande, Anglaise et Française seront acceptées indifféremment. Tout article doit être accompagné d'un résumé.

Les articles soumis pour publications doivent être remis en trois exemplaires dactylographiés et ne pas dépasser 25 pages des Communications, les dessins et figures portés sur les feuilles séparées devant pouvoir être reproduits sans modifications.

Les auteurs reçoivent 25 extraits sans couverture.

l'Adresse : Dergi Yayın Sekreteri
Ankara Üniversitesi,
Fen Fakültesi,
Beşevler-Ankara
TURQUIE

APPROXIMATION BY MODIFIED BERNSTEIN OPERATORS

S. UMAR and Q. RAZI

Department of Mathematics Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh-202001 India.

(Received November 5, 1984; Accepted June 26, 1985)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, our object is to prove analogous theorems concerning the degree of approximation by operators $K_n^{(\beta)}(f, x)$ corresponding to those obtained by Jain and Pethe [1] for the operators $P_n^{(\beta)}(f, x)$.

INTRODUCTION

Various generalizations of the well known Bernstein operator B_n , defined on $C[0,1]$ by the relation

$$(1.1) \quad B_n(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad b_{n,k}(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, \quad k=0,1,\dots,n$$

have recently been found. The Bernstein operator itself and many of its generalizations are built by starting from the identity

$$\sum_{k=0}^n b_{n,k}(x) = 1.$$

(1.2) is the well known binomial distribution. In a sequence of independent trials, let P_k be the probability of a success after k previous successes. If $P_k = x$, it is known that the probability of exactly k successes in n trials is $b_{n,k}(x)$, $k = 0,1,\dots,n$. It is obvious that by taking a more general value for P_{nk} , a corresponding generalization of the ope-

rators B_n will be obtained. Jain and et al [1] gave such generalization of B_n defined by (1.1).

2. Operators: Let $P_k = x + \beta_k$, where β is any real number satisfying the condition $0 < P_k < 1$ for all $k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$. The probability $P_k(n, \beta)$ of exactly k successes in n trials is then given by

$$(2.1) \quad P_k(n, \beta) = \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{x}{\beta} + i \right) \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} (1-x-\beta_r)^n,$$

where $\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{x}{\beta} + i \right)$ is defined as 1, when $k = 0$.

Jain et al [1] defined on $C[a, b]$, $0 \leq a < b < 1$, the sequence of the operators $P_n^{(\beta)}$ by the relation

$$(2.2) \quad P_n^{(\beta)}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(n, \beta) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right),$$

where β may depend on the number n . Since $0 < P_k < 1$, the limiting conditions on β are

$$\beta < (1-x)/n \text{ if } \beta \geq 0 \text{ and } |\beta| < \frac{x}{n}, \text{ if } \beta < 0.$$

It is easily seen that the operator $P_n^{(\beta)}$ approaches that of Bernstein when $\beta \rightarrow 0$, and is actually Bernstein when $\beta = 0$. Indeed when $\beta = 0$, $P_k = x$ and $P_k(n, 0) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}$.

Now, we define a Kantorovitch type polynomial with the help of (2.2) for Lebesgue integrable functions on $[0, 1]$ in L_1 -norm as

$$(2.3) \quad K_n^{(\beta)}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (n+1) \frac{k}{n+1} \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} f(t) dt P_k(n, \beta),$$

where $P_k(n, \beta)$ is defined as in (2.1).

If we put $\beta = 0$ then (2.3) reduces to the operator

$$K_n(f, x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{k}{n+1} \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} f(t) dt.$$

3. Convergence: With regards to the convergence of the sequence of polynomials $K_n^{(\beta)}(f, x)$ for $f(x) \in C[0, 1]$, we state and prove the following:

Theorem (3.1): If $f \in C[0, 1]$ and if $\beta \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that $n\beta \rightarrow 0$, then the sequence $K_n^{(\beta)}(f, x)$ converges uniformly to $f(x)$ on $[a, b]$, where $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$.

We need Lemma 3.1 to establish Theorem 3.1

Lemma (3.1): The following identities hold.

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(n, \beta) = 1,$$

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n k P_k(n, \beta) = nx(1 + \beta_1(n)), \text{ and}$$

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n k^2 P_k(n, \beta) = nx(1 + \beta_1(n)) + n(n-1)x(x + \beta)(1 + \beta_2(n)),$$

where

$$\beta_1(n) = \frac{(1 + \beta)^n - 1}{n\beta} - 1, \text{ and}$$

(3.4)

$$\beta_2(n) = \frac{1 + (1 + 2\beta)^{n-2}(1 + \beta)^n}{n(n-1)\beta^2} - 1$$

Proof: From (2.1) we we have

$$P_k(n, \beta) = \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{X}{\beta} + i \right) X \text{ the coefficient of } \frac{\theta^n}{n}$$

$$\text{in } \beta \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} (1 - X - \beta r)^n \frac{\theta^n}{n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{X}{\beta} + i \right) X \text{ the coefficient of } \frac{\theta^n}{n}$$

$$\text{in } \sum_{r=0}^k (-1)^r \binom{k}{r} e^{(1-X-\beta r)\theta}$$

$$= \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{X}{\beta} + i \right) X \text{ the coefficient of } \frac{\theta^n}{n} \text{ in } e^{(1-X)\theta} (1-e^{-\beta\theta})^k.$$

Hence the probability generating function $P(t)$ of k is given by

$$(3.5) \quad P(t) = \sum_{k=0}^n t^k P_k(n, \beta) \\ = \text{the coefficient of } \frac{\theta^n}{n} \text{ in } e^{(1-X)\theta} [1-t(1-e^{-\beta\theta})]^{-X/\beta}.$$

Therefore, from (3.5) we have

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(n, \beta) = P(1) = \text{the coefficient of } \frac{\theta^n}{n} \text{ in } e^\theta \\ = 1.$$

To prove (3.2), we differentiate (3.5) w.r. to t . On simplifying we get

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{dP(t)}{dt} = \text{the coefficient of } \frac{\theta^n}{n} \text{ in } \frac{X}{\beta} e^{(1-X)\theta} (1-e^{-\beta\theta}) [1-t(1-e^{-\beta\theta})]^{-\frac{X}{\beta}-1}.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n k P_k(n, \beta) = \left[\frac{dP(t)}{dt} \right]_{t=1} = \text{the coefficient of } \frac{\theta^n}{n} \\ \text{in } \frac{X}{\beta} e^\theta (e^{\theta\beta}-1) \\ = \frac{X}{\beta} [(1+\beta)^n-1] \\ = nX (1 + \beta_1(n)),$$

where $\beta_1(n)$ is given by (3.4).

Further, differentiating (3.7) w.r. to t and simplifying, we get

$$\frac{d^2P(t)}{dt^2} = \text{the coefficient of } \frac{t^n}{n} \text{ in}$$

$$\frac{X}{\beta} \left(\frac{X}{\beta} + 1 \right) e^{(1-X)\theta} (1-e^{-\beta\theta})^2 [1-t(1-e^{-\beta\theta})]^{-(X/\beta)-2}$$

Hence

$$(3.8) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n k(k-1)P_k(n,\beta) = \left[\frac{d^2P(t)}{dt^2} \right]_{t=1} = \frac{X}{\beta} \left(\frac{X}{\beta} + 1 \right) [(1+2\beta)^n - 2(1+\beta)^{n+1}].$$

$$= n(n-1) X (X+\beta) \left[1 + \frac{(1+2\beta)^{n-2} (1+\beta)^{n+1}}{n(n-1)\beta^2} - 1 \right]$$

$$= n(n-1) X (X+\beta) [1 + \beta_2 (n)]$$

Adding (3.2) and (3.8), we get (3.3).

Proof of Theorem (3.1): It is easy to see that $K_n^{(\beta)}$ is a linear positive operator. Therefore it is sufficient, by Korovkin's theorem [3], to verify the uniform convergence of $K_n^{(\beta)}(f, x)$ for the test functions $f(t) = 1, t$ and t^2 .

It is clear from the definition (2.3) of $K_n^{(\beta)}$ and (3.6) that

$$(3.9) \quad K_n^{(\beta)}(1, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (n+1) \frac{k}{n+1} \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} dt P_k(n, \beta)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(n, \beta)$$

$$= 1$$

Observe that under the conditions of the theorem

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_1(n) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_2(n).$$

Hence, it is easy to see from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$(3.10) \quad K_n^{(\beta)}(t, x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (n+1) \frac{k}{n+1} \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} t dt P_k(n, \beta)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(n+1)} \sum_{k=0}^n k P_k(n, \beta) + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(n, \beta) \\
&= \frac{1}{n+1} [nx(1 + \beta_1(n))] + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \\
(3.11) \quad K_n^{(\beta)}(t^2, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n (n+1) \frac{k}{n+1} \int_0^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} t^2 dt P_k(n, \beta) \\
&= \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \sum_{k=0}^n K^2 P_k(n, \beta) + \sum_{k=0}^n K P_k(n, \beta) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{k=0}^n P_k(n, \beta) \\
&= \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} [nx(1 + \beta_1(n)) + n(n-1)x(X + \beta)(1 + \beta_2(n))] \\
&\quad + nx(1 + \beta_1(n)) + \frac{1}{3}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the results of (3.9), (3.10) and (3.11) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} K_n^{(\beta)}(t^r, x) = x^r, \quad r = 0, 1, 2.$$

4. Estimate of order of approximation

Theorem (4.1). If $f \in C[a, b]$, $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ and $1 + 2n\beta_1(n) > (n-1)\beta_2(n)$, then

$$(4.1) \quad |f(x) - K_n^{(\beta)}(f, x)| \leq 2w \left(\frac{c}{2dn\sqrt{n}} \right) + o \left(\frac{1}{n} \right)$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad c = 1 + 2\beta_1(n) + (n-1)(1 + \beta_2(n))\beta$$

$$(4.3) \quad d = [1 + 2n\beta_1(n) - (n-1)\beta_2(n)]^{1/2} \text{ and}$$

$w(\delta)$ is the modulus of continuity attached to the function f .

For the proof of the Theorem (4.1) we need the following lemma:
 Lemma (4.1): For $x \in [a,b]$, $0 \leq a < b < 1$ and $1+2n\beta_1(n) > (n-1)\beta_2(n)$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (n+1) \frac{k}{n+1} \int_0^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} P_k(n,\beta) (x-t)^2 dt \leq \frac{c^2}{4nd^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Proof: By linearity of the operator and using (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.4) \quad & (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n+1} \int_0^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} P_k(n,\beta) (x-t)^2 dt \\ &= x^2 K_n^\beta(1,x) - 2x K_n^{(\beta)}(t,x) + K_n^\beta(t,x) \\ &= x^2 - 2x \left[\frac{nx(1+\beta_1(n))}{n+1} + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} \left[nx(1+\beta_1(n)) + n(n-1)x(X+\beta)(1+\beta_2(n)) \right. \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + nx(1+\beta_1(n)) + \frac{1}{3} \right] \\ &\leq X^2 - 2X \left[\frac{nX(1+\beta_1(n))}{n} + \frac{1}{2n} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{n^2} \left[2nx(1+\beta_1(n)) + n(n-1)x(x+\beta) + \frac{1}{3} \right] \\ &= \frac{CX - d^2X^2}{n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Noting the fact that $\max(CX - d^2X^2)$ occurs when $X = \frac{c}{2d^2}$, we get from (4.4) that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (n+1) \frac{k}{n+1} \int_0^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} P_k(n,\beta) (x-t)^2 dt \leq \frac{c^2}{4n d^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

Proof of Theorem (4.1): By using the properties of modulus of continuity, viz.,

$$|f(x') - f(x'')| \leq w(|x' - x''|),$$

and

$$w(\lambda\delta) \leq (\lambda+1) w(\delta), \lambda > 0,$$

and by making use of Cauchy's inequality, it can be easily deduced that

$$(4.5) \quad |f(x) - K_n^{(\beta)}(f, x)| \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \left[(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\binom{k+1}{n+1}}{\binom{k}{n+1}} P_k(n, \beta) (x-t)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} w(\delta)$$

Using Lemma (4.4) we get

$$(4.6) \quad |f(x) - K_n^{(\beta)}(f, x)| \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \frac{c}{2d\sqrt{n}} \right\} w(\delta) + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Choosing $\delta = \frac{c}{2d\sqrt{n}}$ in (4.6), we get (4.1).

Remark. We have noted earlier that when $\beta = 0$, $K_n^{(\beta)}$ reduced to the modified Bernstein operator defined by Kantorovitch [2]. Choosing

$$\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \text{ and noting the fact that } c \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } d \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } \beta \rightarrow 0 \text{ (4.1)}$$

reduces, when $\beta \rightarrow 0$ to

$$|f(x) - K_n(f, x)| \leq \frac{3}{2} w\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

which agrees with the result proved by Popouiciu [5].

Theorem (4.2). Let $f \in C' [0, 1]$. If $1 + 2n\beta_1(n) > (n-1)\beta_2(n)$ and $\beta \geq 0$, the following inequality holds

$$(4.7) \quad |f(x) - K_n^\beta(f, x)| < \frac{c}{d\sqrt{n}} w_1\left(\frac{c}{2d\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

where c and d are respectively as defined in (4.32) and (4.3) and $w_1(\delta)$ is the modulus of continuity of f' .

Proof: We prove the theorem for $f'(X) \geq 0$. It can be proved similarly when $f'(x) < 0$. By mean value theorem of differential calculus, we have

$$f(X) - f(t) = (x-t) f'(\xi),$$

where $\xi = \xi_{n,k}(x)$ is an interior point of the interval determined by x and t . Since $\beta \geq 0$ it can be easily deduced that

$$(4.8) \quad f(X) - f(t) \leq (x-t) |f'(\xi) - f'(x)| + |X(1 + \beta_1(n)) - t| f'(x).$$

Multiplying both sides of (4.7) by $(n+1) P_k(n, \beta)$, integrating between the limits $\frac{k}{n+1}$ and $\frac{k+1}{n+1}$, summing over k , using (3.2) and the properties of the modulus of continuity, (4.8) reduces to

$$(4.9) \quad |f(X) - K_n^{(\beta)}(f, x)| \leq \left\{ \left[\sum_{k=0}^n (n+1) \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} P_k(n, \beta) (x-t)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{\delta} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (n+1) \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} P_k(n, \beta) (X-t)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\} \omega_1(\delta),$$

where δ is a positive number not depending on k . Finally making use of Lemma (4.1) in (4.9) and choosing

$$\delta = \frac{c}{2d\sqrt{n}}$$

we get (4.7).

Remark. As in the remark of Theorem 5.1, in the special case when

$\beta = 0$, we choose $\delta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$ reducing (4.7) to the inequality:

$$|f(x) - K_n^{(0)}(f, x)| \leq \frac{3}{4} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \omega_1\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right),$$

which is in agreement with the one proved earlier by Lorentz [4] for the Bernstein operator.

REFERENCES

- 1- JAIN, G.C. and PETHE, S: On the Generalizations of Bernstein and Szasz-Mirakyon operators. Nanta Math. 10(2) (1977), 185-193.
- 2- KANTOROVITCH, L.A., "Sur certains developments suivant les polynomes de la forme de S. Bernstein, I., II". C.R. Acad. Sci., USSR 20 (1930), 563-568, 595-600.
- 3- KOROVKIN, P.P., Linear Operators and Approximation Theory, Delhi, 1960, Hindustan Publishing Corp.
- 4- LORENTZ, G. G., Bernstein Polynomials, Toronto, Univ. of Toronto Press, 1953.
- 5- POPOVICIU, T., Sur l' approximation des fonctions convexes d' order superieur, Mathematica, 1935, 10, 49-54.
- 6- RUTHERFORD, R.S.Q., On a Contagion Distribution, Ann. Nath.M Statist. XXV, 1954, 703-713.