COMMUNICATIONS

DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE L'UNIVERSITÉ D'ANKARA

Série A₁: Mathematique

TOME: 34

ANNÉE: 1985

ON CERTAIN FUNCTIONAL EQUATION HAVING SOLUTION IN THE SPACES $\Gamma_{(p,q)}$ (ρ) AND $\Gamma_{(p,q)}$ (ρ,T) OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

By P.D. SRIVASTAVA

7

Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara Ankara, Turquie

Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara

Comité de Redaction de la Série A₁ C. Uluçay, H. H. Hacısalihoğlu, C. Kart Secrétaire de Publication Ö. Çakar

La Revue "Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara" est un organe de publication englobant toutes les diciplines scientifique représentées à la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara.

La Revue, jusqu'à 1975 à l'exception des tomes I, II, III etait composé de trois séries

Série A: Mathématiques, Physique et Astronomie,

Série B: Chimie,

Série C: Sciences Naturelles.

A partir de 1975 la Revue comprend sept séries:

Série A1: Mathématiques,

Série A2: Physique,

Série A3: Astronomie,

Série B: Chimie,

Série C1: Géologie,

Série C2: Botanique,

Série C3: Zoologie.

A partir de 1983 les séries de C₂ Botanique et C₃ Zoologie on été réunies sous la seule série Biologie C et les numéros de Tome commencerons par le numéro 1.

En principe, la Revue est réservée aux mémories originaux des membres de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara. Elle accepte cependant, dans la mesure de la place disponible les communications des auteurs étrangers. Les langues Allemande, Anglaise et Française seraient acceptées indifféremment. Tout article doit être accompagnés d'un résumé.

Les article soumis pour publications doivent être remis en trois exemplaires dactylographiés et ne pas dépasser 25 pages des Communications, les dessins et figuers portes sur les feulles séparées devant pouvoir être reproduits sans modifications.

Les auteurs reçoivent 25 extrais sans couverture.

l'Adresse : Dergi Yayın Sekreteri, Ankara Üniversitesi, Fen Fakültesi, Beşevler—Ankara TURQUIE

ON CERTAIN FUNCTIONAL EQUATION HAVING SOLUTION IN THE SPACES $\Gamma_{(p,q)}$ (ρ) AND $\Gamma_{(p,q)}$ (ρ,T) OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

P. D. SRIVASTAVA

Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology Kharagpur-721302, India.

(Received July 5, 1984: Accepted June 26, 1985).

ABSTRACT:

Using functional analysis techniques, it is shown that the functional equation

$$f(z+w_i) - \beta f(z) = g(z)$$

always has a solution in the spaces $\Gamma_{(p,q)}$ (ρ) and $\Gamma_{(p,q)}$ (ρ ,T) to which g belongs. It is also shown that these spaces are Montel. The results of this paper generalize the corresponding results of Whittaker [10], Scott [8] and Krishnamurthy [5].

1. Whittaker's [10] classical theorem states that for any entire function g of order ρ there exists an entire function f of the same order such that the equation

(1.1)
$$f(z + w) - f(z) = g(z)$$

is satisfied for all complex number z, where w stands for any fixed non-zero complex number. This results is further improved and extended by Scott [8] to the case of entire functions of order ρ and type T. Later on, Krishnamurthy [5], using functional analysis techniques, generalizes this result for the spaces $\Gamma(\rho,T)$, $\Gamma(\rho)$ and others where $\Gamma(\rho,T)$ denotes the space of all entire functions having growth $\{\rho,T\}$ and $\Gamma(\rho)$ represents the space of all entire functions of order not exceeding ρ . Recently, Juneja and Srivastava [2, 9] studied the spaces of entire functions of (p,q) order ρ as well as of (p,q) growth (p,T), in detail, which generalize the spaces $\Gamma(\rho)$ and $\Gamma(\rho,T)$ studied by Krishnamurthy. It is, therefore, natural to study the functional equation (1.1), in a more general form, in these new spaces. This is the purpose of the present paper which is in continuation of our previous work [2, 9].

2. This section deals with a brief introduction of the spaces $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$ and $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T)$ studied by Juneja and Srivastava [2, 9].

Let $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho),d)$ represents the space of all entire functions (including constants) whose index pair does not exceed (p,q) and whose (p,q) order does not exceed ρ if of index pair (p,q), where d is the metric topology defined on $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$ which is generated by the family of norms $\{\|f:p+\delta\|,\delta>0\}$. Any element $f(z)=\sum_{n}a_{n}z^{n}\in\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$ is characterized by the Equation

- $(2.1) \ \lim_{r \to \infty} \ \sup \ \langle (\log^{[p]} M \, (r, \, f)) \, / \, \log^{\,[q]} r \rangle \leq \rho \ or \ equivalently$
- $(2.2) \ |a_n|^{1/n} \exp^{[q-1]} (\log^{[p-2]} \lambda_n)^{1/(\rho+\delta^- A)} \to 0 \ as \ n \to \ \infty \ for \ every \ \delta > 0,$

where
$$A = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } (p,q) = (2,2) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{f}) = \max_{\mathbf{r} \mid \mathbf{r}(\mathbf{z}) \mid}$$

The norm $||f; \rho + \delta||$ on it is defined as

(2.3) ||f; $\rho + \delta$ || = Σ_n | a_n | exp (n exp^[q-2] (log^[p-2] λ_n)^{1/($\rho + \delta^{-A}$)}) where for m = 0, 1, 2, ...

 $\exp^{[m]} x = \exp (\exp^{[m-1]} x), \exp^{[-m]} x = \log^{[m]} x, \log^{[0]} x = x$

and
$$\lambda_n = \left\{ \begin{matrix} N_0 \text{ for } 0 \leq n \leq N_0 \\ n \text{ for } n > N_0 \end{matrix} \right. \; ; \; N_0 = \left[exp^{[p-3]} \; 1 \right] + 1$$

(Note — $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ stands for $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}$ throughout. For the definitions of index

pair, (p,q) order, (p,q) growth etc., see [3, 4]).

Let $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T), d^o)$ represents the space of all entire functions (including constants) which are either of index pair less than (p,q) or are of (p,q) growth $\{\rho,T\}$, where d^o is the metric topology defined on $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T)$ which is generated by the family of norms $\{\|f,\rho,T+\delta\|,\delta>0\}$. Any element $f(z)=\Sigma_n a_n z^n\in\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T)$ is characterized by the equation

$$(2.4) \ \lim_{r \to \infty} \ \sup \ \{ (\log^{[p-1]} \ M \ (r,f) \, / \, (\log^{[q-1]} \ r)^{\rho} \} \ \le \ T \ \ \text{or equivelently}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \left|a_n\right|^{1/n} \exp^{\left[q-1\right]} \left(\frac{M_1}{T+\delta} \log^{\left[p-2\right]} \lambda_n\right)^{1/(\rho-A)} \to 0 \ \text{as} \ n \to \infty \ \text{for every} \\ \delta > 0$$

$$(2.6) \ M_1 \equiv M_1(p,q) = \{ \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p \geq 3 \\ 1/\,e\rho & \text{if } (p,q) = (2,1) \\ \frac{(\rho-1)^{\rho-1}}{\rho^\rho} & \text{if } (p,q) = (2,2) \end{cases}$$

The norm $||f, \rho, T+\delta||$ on it is defined as

$$(2.7) \ \|f, \rho, T + \delta\| = \sum_n |a_n| \exp{(n \exp^{[q-2]} \left(\frac{M_1}{T + \delta} \log^{[p-2]} \! \lambda_n \right)^{1/(\rho - A)}}$$

where λ_n and A are defined as above.

Characterization of continuous linear functionals and the convergence criteria in these spaces have also been obtained [2, 9], In fact, it is shown that

Theorem 2.1 (a) Every continuous linear functional Ψ defined on $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$ is of the form $\Psi(f) = \sum_{n} c_n a_n$, $f(z) \sum_{n} a_n z^n \in \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$ where

$$(2.8) \, \lim_{n \to \infty} \, \sup \, \, \left| c_n \, \right|^{1/n} \, \exp \, \, \left\{ -\exp \, \, \left[^{q-2} \right] \, (log^{[p-2]} \, \, \lambda_n)^{1/\rho - \delta + A} \right\} \, < \, 1$$

for some $\delta > 0$, and conversely.

(b) Every continuous linear functional Ψ defined on $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T)$ is of the form $\Psi(f) = \sum\limits_{n} c_{n}a_{n}$, $f(z) = \sum\limits_{n} a_{n}z^{n} \in \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T)$ where

$$(2.9) \ \ \, \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\infty} \ \, \frac{ \, (\log^{\lfloor q-1 \rfloor} |c_n|^{1/n})^{(\rho-A)} }{ \log^{\lfloor p-2 \rfloor} \! \lambda_n} \ \, < \ \, \frac{M_1}{T}, \ \, \text{and conversely.}$$

Theorem 2.2 Convergence in $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho),d)$ and $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T),d^o)$ are equivalent to uniform convergence over compact subset of

$$D_a = \{z: |z| > a\}$$
 relative to the function

$$\exp \left(\begin{array}{c|c} & \frac{|z|}{a} & \frac{\exp[p-2](\log[q-1]t)\rho + \delta}{t} & dt \end{array} \right) \text{ and }$$

$$\exp \left(\begin{array}{c|c} & |z| & \frac{\exp[p-2]((T+\delta)\log[q-1]t)\rho}{t} & dt \end{array} \right) \text{ respectively}$$

for each $\delta > 0$ where a = max (1, exp^[q-2] 1)

Theorem 2.3 Convergence in $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho),d)$ and $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T), d^o)$ are equivalent to the convergence in normed spaces $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho), \| \cdot, \rho + \delta \|)$ and $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T), \| \cdot, \rho, T + \delta \|)$ respectively for each $\delta > 0$.

Now we state few well known results.

Lemma 2.1 [7; pp. 22]: The following two properties of a set E in a topological vector space are equivalent: (a) E is bounded (b) If $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in E and $\{t_n\}$ is a sequence of complex number Ψ such that $t_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, then $t_n x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Lemma 2.2 [7; pp 68]: In a locally convex space X, every weakly bounded set is strongly bounded.

Lemma 2.3 [6; pp. 41]: A subset X_2 of a complete metric space X is relatively compact if and only if X contains finite ε -net for the set X_2 for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

3. In this section, we prove that the spaces $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho),d)$ and $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T),d^o)$ are Montel. First we prove

Theorem 3.1 Let $E \subset \Gamma_{(\rho,q)}(\rho)$ and $f(z) = \Sigma_n \ a_n z^n$ be an arbitrary element in E. Then E is bounded if and only if

- (3.1) the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is bounded, uniformly for all $f \in E$, and
- (3.2) given $\epsilon>0$, whatever may be $f\in E$, for each $\delta>0$, there exists $\mathbf{n}_0(\epsilon,\delta)$ such that

$$|a_n|^{1/n} \exp^{[q-1]} (\log^{[p-2]} \lambda_n)^{1/(\rho+\delta-A)} \leq \varepsilon \text{ for } n \geq n_0.$$

Proof (Sufficient Part) In virtue of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, it is sufficient to show that if $f_p(z) = \sum_n a_n(p) z^n$ is an arbitrary sequence in E and

 $\{t_p\}$ is a sequence of complex number such that $t_p \to 0$, then Ψ $(t_p f_p) \to 0$ as $p \to \infty$ for all continuous linear functional Ψ on $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$. Because of Theorem 2.1 (a), Ψ $(t_p f_p) = \sum\limits_n t_p a_n(p) c_n$ where $\{c_n\}$ satisfied (2.8).

By (2.8), given $\eta>1$ there exitss $n_i(\eta)$ such that for some $\delta=\delta_1$

$$(3.3) \ |c_n|^{1/n} exp \ \{-exp^{\lceil q-2 \rceil} (l_{\partial g}^{\lceil p-2 \rceil} \lambda_n)^{1/(\rho+\delta_1-A)}\} \leq \ \frac{1}{\eta} \ \ \text{for} \ \ n \ \geq \ n_1$$

However, by (3.2), given ε (0 < ε < η) and δ = δ_1 , there exists n_0 (ε , δ_1), independent of p, such that

$$(3.4) \ |a_n^{(p)}|^{1/n} exp^{[q-1]} (llog^{[p-2]} \lambda_n)^{1/(\rho + \delta_1 - A)} \leq \epsilon \ for \ n \ \geq \ n_0.$$

Choose N = max (n_0, n_1) . In virture of Eq. (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), it follows that $\sum_{\substack{n | a_n^{(p)} c_n| \ \text{is bounded, the bound being independent}} \int_{n} |a_n^{(p)} c_n| = 0$ as $p \to \infty$ for every Ψ . So E is bounded.

(Necessary Part) Suppose E is bounded in $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho), d)$ so for every $\delta > 0$, the norm $\|f, \rho + \delta\|$ is bounded because of the result [7, Theorem 1.37 pp. 26] where $f \in E$. So fixing δ , we have $|a_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n| \exp(n \exp^{[q-2]}(\log^{[p-2]}\lambda_n)^{1/(\rho+\delta^{-A})}) \leq \eta_2$ for all $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in E$. This immediately implies that $\{a_n\}$ is uniformly bounded for all $f \in E$.

Now, suppose (3.2) fails to hold. Then, for a given $\epsilon>0$ and some δ_0 , these exists a sequence $\left\{f_p\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of E,

 $f_p(z)=\sum\limits_n \ a_n^{(p)}\ z^n$ and a corresponding sequence of positive integers $n_1,\,n_2,\,\ldots$ ($n_1< n_2<\,\ldots$) such that

(3.5) $|a_{n_p}^{(p)}|^{1/np} \exp^{[q-1]}(\log^{[p-2]}\lambda_{np})^{1/(\rho+\delta_0^{-\Lambda})} > \epsilon$, $\rho=1,2,...$ clearly (3.6) $p\leq n_p$. Define

$$(3.7) \ c_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } n \neq n_1, \ n_2 \ \dots \\ \\ \frac{p}{|a_n^{(p)}| \ sgn \ (a_n^{(p)})} \ \text{for } \ n = n_p, \ p = 1,2 \ \dots \end{cases}$$

Consider, for $\delta < \delta_0$

 $\lim_{n\to\infty}\sup_{-\varepsilon xp^{\lceil q-1\rceil}(\lceil \log\lceil p-2\rceil\lambda_n)^{1/(\rho+\delta-A)}} \text{ which is zero because of }$

(3.5), (3.6) and (3.7). This implies, because of Theorem 2.1 (a), that Ψ defined by Ψ (f) = $\sum_{n} c_n a_n$ is a continuous linear functional de-

fined on $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$. Choose $t_p=\frac{1}{p}$, so it goes to zero as $p\to\infty$ but $\Psi\left(t_pf_p\right)=\sum\limits_n \ t_p\ c_na_n^{(p)}=t_p\ \sum\limits_n \ c_na_n^{(p)}\geq t_p\ c_{np}\ a_n^{(p)}=1$

does not tend to zero as $p \to \infty$. This implies E is not weakly bounded and so not strongly bounded. Hence a contradiction to the hypothesis completes the proof.

Remark 3.1 The corresponding theorem for the space $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T)$ can be obtained of we replace the condition (3.2) by.

(3.8) Given $\epsilon > 0$, whatever may be $f \in E \subset \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T)$, for each $\delta > 0$, there exists n_0 (ϵ, δ) such that

$$|a_n|^{1/n} \; \exp^{[q-1]} \; \left(\frac{M_1}{T + \delta} \; \log^{[p-2]} \! \lambda_n \right)^{1/(\rho - A)} \; \leq \; \epsilon \; \; \text{for} \; \; n \; \geq \; n_0.$$

The proof runs on the same lines.

Lemma 3.1 (a) Let E be a bounded set in $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho),d)$, then given $\epsilon>0$ there exists, for each $\delta>0$, an n_2 (ϵ,δ) such that for whatever may be $f(z)=\sum\limits_n a_n z^n \in E \subset \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$

$$\left\| \sum\limits_{n=n_{\,2}}^{\,\infty} \,\, a_{n} z^{n}, \,\,\,
ho + \delta \,
ight\| < \epsilon$$

(b) Let E be a bounded set in $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho.T), d^o)$, then given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists, for each $\delta > 0$, an n_2 (ϵ, δ) such that for whatever may be $f(z) = \sum\limits_{n} a_n z^n \in E$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=n\,2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \rho, T + \delta \,
ight\| \, < \, \, \epsilon.$$

The proof follows from Theorem 3.1 so we omit it.

Now, we have main theorem of this section.

Theorem 2.2 The spaces $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho), d)$ and $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T), d^o)$ are Montel spaces. In other words, they are barrelled spaces in which every bounded set is relatively compact.

Proof. Since these spaces are Frechet so are barrelled. It is now remained to show that every bounded set E in these spaces is relatively compact. But by Lemma 2,3, it is enough to show that these spaces contain, for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$, a finite ε -net for the subset E of the space in question.

For this, assume E is a bounded subset of the space in question and α be a metric on E. Let $f = \sum_{n} a_n c_n \in E$ where $e_n(z) = z^n$ for $n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n c_n \in E$

0,1,2...., Define
$$S=\{f_1=\sum\limits_{n=0}^{n_{o-1}} a_ne_n \text{ such that } \alpha \ \left(\sum\limits_{n=n_o}^{\infty} a_ne_n,0\right)<\epsilon/2\}.$$

This is possible because of the Lemma 3.1 and the Theorem 2.3. Clearly S is finite dimensional set with bases $e_0, e_1, ..., e_{no}-1$ and also bounded. So S is compact. Therefore there exists an $\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ net in S which is obviously an ε -net for the whole of E, because, if $f = \sum_{n} a_n e_n \in E$

and $f_1=\sum\limits_{n=0}^{no-1}\ a_ne_n\in S$ then for some g in the $\ \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ net for S, we have

$$\begin{array}{l} \alpha(f_1-g,0)<\epsilon/2. \ \ So\\ \\ \alpha(f-g,0)\leq\alpha(f-f_1,0)+\alpha(f_1-g,0)<\epsilon. \end{array}$$

This completes the proof.

4. In this section we give few lemmas which are used in the final section. First ve have

Lemma 4.1 If B is a continuous linear endomorphism of any one of the spaces $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho), d)$ and $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T), d^o)$, then $U = B - \beta I$, where β is any nonzero complex number and I is the identity transformation, maps bounded closed sets onto closed sets.

Proof. Let K denote any one of the space under consideration and suppose E is a bounded closed set in K. For $f_n \in E$, $n=1,2,3\ldots$, let $\lim_{n\to\infty} U(f_n) = g_0$. Since B is continuous and the spaces in question are Montel so it maps bounded set $\{f_n\}$ into a relatively compact set $\{B(f_n)\}$. Hence there must exist a subsequence $\{B(f_{ni})\}$, say, which converges to an element $h_0 \in K$ (say). Since β $f_{ni} = B(f_{ni}) - U(f_{ni})$, it follows that

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lim_{i\to^\infty}\ f_{ni}\ =\ \frac{1}{\beta}\ (h_o-g_o)\ \in E\ as\ E\ is\ closed.\ Thus\ U\ \left(\frac{h_o-g_o}{\lambda}\right)=\\ \lim_{i\to^\infty}\ U(f_{ni})=g_o.\ Hence\ the\ lemma. \end{array}$$

Using Lemma 4.1, we can easily prove the following Lemma on the same lines as adopted in [1, Theorem 5, pp, 489].

Lemma 4.2 The operator $U = B - \mu I$, where B, β and I have the same meaning as in Lemma 4.1, has a closed range and so is an onto mapping whenever the range is also dense in the space in question.

Lemma 4.3 Let \varnothing_1 and Ψ_1 be two positive indefinitely increasing functions such that $\varnothing_1(x)/\Psi_1(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \infty$, then for m=1,2,...; $(\exp^{[m]}\varnothing_1(x) - \exp^{[m]}\Psi_1(x)) \to -\infty$ as $x \to \infty$. The proof is straight forward, hence omitted.

5. (Throughout this section, let K stands for any one of the spaces $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho),d)$ and $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T),d^o)$).

In this section, we consider the functional equation (5.1) $f(z+w_1) - \beta f(z) = g(z)$

where w_1 and β are any nonzero complex numbers and the entire function $g \in K$.

For $f \in K$, define

(5.2)
$$(B_1(f))(z) = f(z + w_1), z \in C.$$

Obviously, B_1 is linear. By equations (2.1) and (2.4), it follows that B_1 is an endomorphism of K.

We now establish

Theorem 5.1 The operator B_1 defined by (5.2) is continuous in the topology of K.

Proof. (For the space $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$): Let $f_n \to 0$ in $(\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho),d)$ Then, by Theorem 2.2

$$(5.3) |f_n(z+w_1)| \exp \left\{ - \int_a^{|z+w_1|} \frac{\exp^{[p-2]} (\log^{[q-1]} t)^{\rho+\delta}}{t} dt \right\} \to 0$$

as $n\to\infty$ uniformly in $D_a,$ for each $\delta>0.$ To show that B_1 is continuous, we have to prove that

$$(5.4) ||f_n(z+w_1)|| \exp\left\{-\left|\int_{-a}^{|z|} \frac{\exp^{[p-2](\log[q-1]t)\beta+\delta'}}{t} \; dt \; \right\} \to o \; as$$

 $n \to \infty$ uniformly in D_a , for each $\delta' > 0$. Thus, in order that (5.3) may imply (5.4), we need only to show that for each $\delta < \delta'$

$$(5.5) I_{0} \equiv \exp \left\{ \int_{a}^{|z+w_{1}|} \frac{\exp^{[p-2]}(\log^{[q-1]}t)^{\rho+\delta}}{t} dt - \int_{a}^{|z|} \frac{\exp^{[p-2]}(\log^{[q-1]}t)^{\rho+\delta'}}{t} dt \right\}$$

is bounded uniformly in Da. Clearly,

$$I_0 \leq \exp \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} \left\{ \left\{ z \right\} + \left\{ w_1 \right\} \right\} \end{array} \right. \frac{\exp \left[p - 2 \right] \left(\log \left[q - 1 \right] t \right) \rho^{+\delta}}{t} \right. \right. dt - \left. J_1 \right\} \\ \end{array} \right.$$

where
$$J_1 \equiv \int\limits_{a}^{-|z|} \frac{\exp^{[p-2]}(\log^{[q-1]}t)^{\rho+\delta'}}{t} \ dt.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} I_0 & \leq \exp_{|\mathbf{a}-||\mathbf{w}_1||} \int_{-\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{p}}^{|\mathbf{z}|} \frac{\exp^{[p-2]}(\log^{[q-1]}(t+|\mathbf{w}_1|))^{\rho+\delta}}{t} \ dt - \ J_1 \Big\} \\ & = \exp_{|\mathbf{a}-||\mathbf{w}_1||} \int_{-\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{a}} \frac{\exp^{[p-2]}(\log^{[q-1]}(t+|\mathbf{w}_1|))^{\rho+\delta}}{t} \ dt \\ & + \ _{\mathbf{a}} \int_{-\mathbf{z}}^{|\mathbf{z}|} \left[\frac{\exp^{[p-2]}(\log^{[q-1]}(t+|\mathbf{w}_1|))^{\rho+\delta}}{t} - \frac{\exp^{[p-2]}(\log^{[q-1]}t)^{\rho+\delta'}}{t} \right] \ dt \Big\}. \end{split}$$

Or

$$(5.6) \ I_o \, \leq \, \exp \, \left\{ \, \eta \, + \, \, _a \! \int^{\, |z|_{ar}} \, \frac{\, J^o{}_1(t)}{t} \, \, dt \, \right\},$$

where η being a constant and

$$\begin{array}{ll} J_1^o(t) \; \equiv \; \{\exp^{[p-2]}(\log^{[q-1]}(t+\left|w_1\right|))^{\rho+\delta} - \exp^{[p-2]}(\log^{[q-1]}t)^{\rho+\delta'}\} \\ \text{Let} \; \varnothing_1(r) \; = \; (\log^{[q-1]}(r+\left|w_1\right|))^{\rho+\delta} \; \text{ and} \end{array}$$

$$\Psi_1(\mathbf{r}) = (\log^{\lfloor q-1 \rfloor} \mathbf{r}) \rho^+ \delta'. \text{ Clearly } \frac{\emptyset_1(\mathbf{r})}{\Psi_1(\mathbf{r})} \to 0$$

as $r \to \infty$, so by Lemma 4.3, $J_1^0(r) \to -\infty$ as $r \to \infty$. Hence, howsoever large η_1 (>0) may be, there exists r_0 such that for $r \ge r_0$, $J_1^0(r) \le -\eta_1$. Therefore, by (5.6)

$$\begin{split} I_0 & \leq \exp \left\{ \eta + \eta_2 - \eta_1 \right. \left. \int_{r_0}^r \left. \frac{dt}{t} \right\}, \;\; \eta_2 \; = \; constant \\ & = \left. \exp \left\{ \eta + \eta_2 - |\eta_1| \log \left. \frac{r}{r_0} \right. \right\} = 0 \; (1), \; uniformly \; in \;\; D_a. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

The proof of Theorem 5.1 for $\Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho,T)$ is similar and hence omitted.

Next we have

Lemma 5.1 Let U_1 , defined by $U_1 = B_1 - \beta I$, be an operator from K to K. Then the range of U_1 is dense in K.

Proof. Since $\left\{ e_n \right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $e_n(z)=z^n$, is a basis in K so any element $f\in K$

can be expressed as $f = \sum_{n} a_n e_n$. Now

$$(U_1(e_n))(z) = (z+w_1)^n - \beta \ z^n = \alpha_n \ (say).$$

The elements e_0 , e_1 , e_2 can all be represented as finite linear combinations of $\{\alpha_n\}$ and so every element $f \in K$ can be uniquely written as

$$f = \sum\limits_{n} \ a_{n}' \ \alpha_{n}. \ So \ f = \sum\limits_{n} \ a'_{n} \ U_{1}(e_{n}) \ = \ \lim\limits_{p \to \infty} \ U_{1} \left(\sum\limits_{n=0}^{p} \ a'_{n}e_{n}\right) \ which$$

shows that U1(K) is dense in K.

Finally, we have

Theorem 5.2 For every g ∈ K, there exists an f ∈ K satisfying

$$f(z+w_1) - \beta f(z) = g(z)$$

where w_1 and β are any nonzero complex numbers.

Proof. Theorem 5.1, Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 4.2 give that the mapping $U_1 = B_1 - \beta I$ is onto. So for every $g \in K$ there exists f in K such that

$$\begin{split} U_{1}(f) &= g \Rightarrow ((B_{1} \text{$--\beta$} I)f) \; (z) = g(z) \; \text{ for every } z \in C \\ &\Rightarrow f \; (z + w_{1}) \; --\beta \; f(z) = g(z). \end{split}$$

Hence the thecrem.

Remarks 5.1 It is clear that if the entire function g in (5.1) is of (p q) -growth $\{\rho,T\}$ then the solution f of Equation (5.1) must also be of (p,q) growth (ρ,T) . Similar remarks applies if $g \in \Gamma_{(p,q)}(\rho)$.

For p=2 and q=1 the functional Equation (5.1) has been established by Krishnamurthy [5]. Also for $\beta=1$, $\gamma=2$ and $\gamma=1$ we get results of Whittaker [10] and Scott [8].

REFERENCES

- DUNFORD, N. AND SCHWARTZ, J.T.: Linear Operator I Interscience Publ. Inc. New York, 1957.
- [2] JUNEJA, O.P. AND SRIVASTAVA, P.D.: On the space of entire functions of (p, q) order p, comment, Math. Univ. St. Pauli 27-1, 1978, pp. 71-79.
- [3] JUNEJA, O.P., KAPOOR, G.P. AND BAJPAI, S.K.: On the (p, q)-order and lower (p, q) order of an entire function, J. Reine Angew. Math. 282 (1976), pp. 53—67.
- [4] ———: On the (p, q) type and lower (p, q)-type of an entire function, ibid, 290 (1977, pp. 180—190.
- [5] KRISHNAMURTHY, V.: On the continuous endomorphisms in the spaces of classes of entire functions. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India, Sect. A. 26 (1960), 642—655.
- [6] NAIMARK, M.A.: Normed rings, P. Noordhoff N.V., Gottingen, the Netherlands, 1963.
- [7] RUDIN, W.: Functional Analysis, Tata MacGrawHill Pub. Co. New Delhi, 1960.
- [8] SCOTT, S.: On the asymptotic periods of integral functions, Proc. Cambridge Philos, Soc. 31, (1935), pp. 543—554.
- [9] SRIVASTAVA, P.D. AND JUNEJA, O.P.: On the space of a class of entire functions, Communicated.
- [10] WHITTAKER, J.M.: Interpolatory function theory, Camb. Math. Tract., No. 33 (1935).