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## SOLIDITY AND SOME SEQUENCE SPACES

K. CHANDRASEKHARA RAO and A. ALFRED GURUSINGH
(Received June 3, 1985; accepted November 6, 1985)

## ABSTRACT:

In this paper we investigate the solidity (normality) of the sequence spaces $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{l}_{\mathrm{A}}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{A}}$ and $\Gamma_{A}$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND NOTATION

We require the following sequence spaces:
c: the space of all convergent sequences.
$m$ : the space of all bounded sequences.
l: the space of all sequences $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|\mathbf{x}_{k}\right| \text { converges. }
$$

$\Gamma$ : the space of all sequences $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|^{1 / \mathrm{k}} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \mathrm{k} \rightarrow \infty
$$

$\omega$ : the space of all sequences.
Let $\mathbf{A}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right),(\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{k}=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots)$ be an infinite matrix. Given a sequence $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ we write formally

$$
y_{n}=A_{n}(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{n k} \cdot x_{k},(n=1,2, \ldots \ldots .)
$$

The sequence $\left\{y_{n}\right\}=\left\{A_{n}(x)\right\}$ will be denoted by $A x$ or $y$. Let $X$ be a sequence space and let $X_{A}$ be the set of all those sequences $x=\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ for which $A x \in X$.

The set of all matrices transforming $X$ into $X$ will be denoted by ( $X, X$ ). We recall the following:

A sequence space X is called solid (or normal) if an only if

$$
m X \subset X
$$

Any matrix in (c, c) is called a conservative matrix.
A conservative matrix which preserves the limit is said to be a Toeplitzmatrix.

## 2. RESULTS

PROPOSITION 1. If $A$ is a conservative matrix, which fails to sum a bounded sequence, then $c_{A}$ is not solid.

Proof.
The constant sequence $e=\{1,1, \ldots \ldots\}$ is in $c_{A}$.
By our hypothesis, there exists a bounded sequence $b$ such that $b \notin c_{A}$. That is $b . e \notin c_{A}$ Therefore, $m . c_{A} \not \& c_{A}$ showing that $c_{A}$ is not solid.

COROLLARY. If A is a Toeplitz matrix, then $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}$ is not solid.
PROPOSITION 2. If $\mathrm{A} \in(l, l)$, then $l_{\mathrm{A}}$ is in general, not solid.
Proof.
Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \cdots
\end{array}\right)
$$

That is $a_{n}, 2_{n-1}=1,(n=1,2, \ldots)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{n}}, 2 \mathrm{n}=1,(\mathbf{n}=1,2, \ldots) \\
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}=0, \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n k}\right|=1 \text { for each fixed } k \text {, showing that } A \in(l, l)
$$

We note that
$\mathrm{x} \in l_{\mathrm{A}}$ if and only if $\sum_{\mathrm{k}==1}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{k}} 1+\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{k}}\right|$ converges.
Take $x=\{1,-1, J,-1, \ldots\}$ so that $x \in l_{A}$.
Take $b=\{1,-1,1,-1, \ldots\}=x$. Then $b$ is in $m$.
Now, $y=b x=\{1,1,1, \ldots\}=c$.
For e, we have $\sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty}\left|\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{k}-1}+\mathrm{y}_{2 \mathrm{k}}\right|=2+2+\ldots .$.
which is a divergent series.
Thus $m . l_{\mathrm{A}} \subset l_{\mathrm{A}}$. Hence, $l_{\mathrm{A}}$ is not solid.
PROPOSITION 3. If $A \in(m, m)$, then $m_{A}$ is in general, not solid.
Proof.
Let $\mathrm{A}=$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
-1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & \ldots \\
\ldots & & \ldots & & \ldots & \ldots
\end{array}\right)
$$

In other words,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{A}=\left(\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{n} k}\right) \text { is defined by } \\
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}-1}=-\mathbf{1},(\mathbf{n}=1,2, \ldots \ldots \ldots) \\
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{n}, 2 \mathrm{n}}=1,(\mathbf{n}=1,2, \ldots \ldots) \\
& \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}}=0, \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{n k}\right|=2 \text { for each fixed } n
$$

Consequently, $\mathbf{A} \in(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{m})$.
Note that $x \in m_{A}$ if and only if
$A x=\left\{-x_{1}+x_{2},-x_{3}+x_{4},-x_{5}+x_{6}, \ldots\right\} \in m$
We take $x=\{1,2,3, \ldots \ldots)$ so that
$A x=\{1,1,1, \ldots\}$ and $x \in m_{A}$.
Take $b=\{-1,1,-1,1, \ldots \ldots\}$ in $m$.
Then $b x=\{-1,1,-3,4, \ldots\}$ and
$\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{bx})=\{3,7,11, \ldots .4 \mathrm{n}-1, \ldots.\} \notin \mathrm{m}$.
Thus $\mathrm{m} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{A}} \notin \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{A}}$.
Hence $m_{A}$ is not a solid space.
It is known [1] that a matrix $A=\left(a_{n k}\right)$ is in $(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ if and only if for each positive integer $q$ there exists $p(q) \geq q$ and a constant $M(p, q)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left|a_{n k}\right| q^{n}}{p^{k}}<M(p, q) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=0,1,2, \ldots \ldots$
Here we take $A=\left(a_{n k}\right),(n, k=0,1,2, \ldots)$
The above characterisation of the class $(\Gamma, \Gamma)$ is equivalent to the following assertion, which we state as a Lemma.

LEMMA. Let $\mathbf{A}=\left(\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{nk}}\right),(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}=0,1,2, \ldots$.$) be an infinite matrix. In$ order that the matrix $A$ is in ( $\Gamma, \Gamma$ ) it is necessary and sufficient that given any $\varepsilon>0$, there is an $M>0$, depending on $\varepsilon$, such that uniformly in $n$ and $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{nk}}=\mathbf{O}\left(\mathrm{s}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{k}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof:
Suppose that (1) holris. Since the terms in the sum on the left are all non negative, each term is less than or equal to the sum, so that (1) implies that uniformly in $n$ and $k$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{nk}} \cdot \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}}=O\left(\mathbf{p}^{\mathrm{k}}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given any $\varepsilon>0$, choose an integer $q$ with $q>1 / \varepsilon$ and then choose $p$ as in (1). Since $q \geq 1 / \varepsilon(3)$ gives us (2) with $M=p$.

Conversely, suppose that (2) holds. Given any positive integer $I$ choose $\varepsilon<1 / \mathrm{q}$, and then choose M as in (2).

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \mid \mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{nk}}: \mathbf{I}^{\mathrm{n}}= & 0\left\{\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{k}} \sum_{\mathrm{n}=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{n}} \cdot \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{n}}\right\} \\
& =0\left(\mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{k}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^{n} \cdot q^{n}$ converges (because $\varepsilon<1 / q$ ), it is equal to a constant. Thus if we take $p \geq M$, then (1) holds, Hence the lemma. PROPOSITION 4. If $A \in(\Gamma, \Gamma)$, then $\Gamma_{A}$ is not necessarily solid.

## Proof.

Take A as in Proposition 3.
Writing $\left\{t_{m}\right\}$ for the transform of $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$, so that

$$
\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}=-\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}+\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}},(\mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots)
$$

we can verify directly that
$\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{1 / \mathrm{n}} \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow\left|\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{1 / \mathrm{n}} \rightarrow 0(\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty)$
For, if $n<1$, then $|\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b}|^{n}<|\mathbf{a}|^{n}+|\mathbf{b}|^{n}$
so that
$\left|\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{1 / \mathrm{n}}<\left|\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}\right|^{1 / \mathrm{n}}+\left|\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right|^{1 / \mathrm{n}}$
since $\left|x_{n}\right|^{1 / n} \rightarrow 0(n \rightarrow \infty)$, we have $\left|x_{n}\right|<1$
for sufficiently large $n$. Supposing that $n$ is large enough for $\left|\mathbf{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}-1}\right|<1,\left|\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right|<1$, $\left|\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{1 / \mathrm{n}}<\left|\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}-\mathbf{l}\right|^{1 / 2 \mathrm{n}-1}+\left|\mathrm{x}_{2 \mathrm{n}}\right|^{1 / 2 \mathrm{n}}$

Hence, if $\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{1 / \mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$, then $\left|\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}\right|^{1 / \mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{0}(\mathrm{n} \rightarrow \infty)$
It is now trivial that $\{1,1,1, \ldots\}$ belongs to $\Gamma_{A}$ but $\{-1,1,-1,1, \ldots\}$ does not. Here we have $b=\{-1,1,-1,1, \ldots\}$ in $m$.
So, $\Gamma_{\mathrm{A}}$ is not solid.

## 3. REMARK

These results suggest some problems for consideration, which seem to be much harder. Take, for example, Proposition 2. Supposing that $A \in(l, l)$, then $l_{A}$ is not necessarily solid. But it may be solid. If $\mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{A}}$
$=l$ (as happens, for example, when $\mathbf{A}$ is the identity transformation, though it will happen in some other cases as well), then $l_{\mathrm{A}}$ solid.
Again, suppose that $l_{\mathrm{A}}=\omega$.
It is easily seen that this will occur if an only if there is some $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}$ such that
$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{nk}}=0$ for $\mathrm{k}>\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{o}}$ and all n ;
and for each fixed $k \leq k_{0}$, we have $\left\{a_{n k}\right\} \in l$
In this case also $l_{\mathrm{A}}$ is solid.
It seems that there is a plausible conjecture that these are the only cases. In other words we have the following conjecture.

CONJECTURE 1. Let $\mathrm{A} \in(l, l)$. Then $l_{\mathrm{A}}$ is solid if and only if either $l_{\mathrm{A}}=\boldsymbol{l}$ or $l_{\mathrm{A}}=\omega$.

Analogous remarks apply to other propositions. There is, however one difference. In the case in which A gives the identity transformation, $l_{\mathrm{A}}=l$, which is solid. But $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{A}}=\mathrm{c}$, which is not solid. So, the corresponding conjecture in the case of Proposition 1 would be the following.

CONJECTURE 2. Let $A \in(c, c)$. Then $c_{A}$ is solid if and only if $c_{\mathrm{A}}=\omega$.

## ADDENTUM.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Take } A=\left(a_{n k}\right),(n, k=1,2,3, \ldots) \text { as } \\
& \left.\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{nn}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}} \quad \\
\mathbf{a}_{\mathrm{nk}}=0 \quad \text { if } \mathrm{k}=\mathbf{n}
\end{array}\right\} \quad(\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}=1,2,3, \ldots) .
\end{aligned}
$$

'Then certainly $\mathbf{A} \in(l, l)$. Also

$$
l_{\mathrm{A}}=\left\{\mathrm{x}=\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right): \quad \sum_{\mathrm{k}=1}^{\infty} \quad \frac{\left|\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|}{\mathrm{k}} \text { converges }\right\} .
$$

so that $l_{\mathrm{A}}$ is solid. However, $l_{\mathrm{A}} \neq l$
(take $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{k}}$ for $\mathrm{k}=1,2,3, \ldots$ ). and $l_{\mathrm{A}} \neq \mathrm{w}$ (take $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{k}}=1$ for $k=1,2,3, \ldots)$ So the first conjecture false.

We thank Professor Brian Kuttner for his interest in this work.
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