

ON APPROXIMATION OF ENTIRE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS IN R^3 WITH INDEX - PAIR (p, q)

D. KUMAR

(Received Sep. 2, 1990; Accepted July 10, 1992)

Department of Mathematics, University of Roorkee, Roorkee-247667 (U.P.), INDIA.

ABSTRACT

The authors have defined approximation error for harmonic functions in D_R , $0 < R < \infty$ the class of all harmonic functions H in R^3 , that are regular in the open ball D_R of radius R centered at the origin and are continuous on the closure \bar{D}_R of D_R . Necessary and sufficient conditions, in terms of the rate of decay of the approximation error $E_n(H, R)$, such that $H \in D_R$ has analytic continuation as an entire harmonic functions having (p, q) -order ρ and (p, q) -type T , have been obtained.

INTRODUCTION

The harmonic functions in R^3 are the solutions of the Laplace equation

$$\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_3^2} = 0. \quad (0.1)$$

A harmonic function H , regular about the origin, can be expanded as

$$H \equiv H(r, \Theta, \varnothing) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sum_{m=0}^n (a_{nm}^{(2)} \text{Cos} m \varnothing + a_{nm}^{(1)} \text{Sin} m \varnothing) P_n^m(\text{Cos} \Theta) \quad (0.2)$$

where $x_1 = r \text{Cos} \Theta$, $x_2 = r \text{Sin} \Theta \text{Cos} \varnothing$, $x_3 = r \text{Sin} \Theta \text{Sin} \varnothing$ and $P_n^m(t)$

are associated Legendre's functions of first kind of degree m and order n . A harmonic polynomial of degree k is a polynomial of degree k in x_1, x_2 and x_3 which satisfies (0.1).

A harmonic function H is said to be regular in $D_R = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3): x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < R^2\}$, $0 < R \leq \infty$, if the series (0.2) converges uniformly on compact subset of D_R . A harmonic function H is called entire if it is regular in D_{∞} ,

The concepts of the index-pair (p, q) , $p \geq q \geq 1$, (p, q) -order and (p, q) -type etc. of an entire function were introduced by Juneja et al. ([4], [5]). Thus if we denote by $\log^{[p]} x$ the quantity $\log \log \dots \log x$, where logarithm is taken p times, then an entire harmonic function H is said to be (p, q) -order ρ if it is of index-pair (p, q) and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, H)}{\log^{[q]} r} = \rho(p, q) \equiv \rho(H), \quad b \leq \rho \leq \infty. \quad (0.3)$$

Here $b = 1$ if $(p, q) = (p, p)$, $p = 2, 3, \dots$ and $b = 0$ otherwise.

The entire harmonic function H having (p, q) -order ρ , $b < \rho < \infty$, is said to be of (p, q) -type T and lower (p, q) -type t if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log^{[p-1]} M(r, H)}{\inf (\log^{[q-1]} r)^\rho} = \begin{matrix} T(p, q) & T(H) \\ t(p, q) & t(H) \end{matrix} \equiv \begin{matrix} T(H) \\ t(H) \end{matrix} \quad (0.4)$$

where $0 \leq t \leq T \leq \infty$, and

$$M(r, H) = \max_{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = r^2} |H(x_1, x_2, x_3)|.$$

Fryant [2] related ρ and T of an entire harmonic function H with the rate of decrease of coefficients $a_{nm}^{(i)}$ in (0.2), $i = 1, 2$. Analogous results for the solutions of (0.1) which depend only on the variables $x = x_1$ and $y = (x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{1/2}$ have been found in Fryant [1] and Gilbert (3, Theorem 4.3.4).

Let H_R , $0 < R < \infty$, denote the class of all harmonic functions H regular in D_R and continuous on \bar{D}_R , the closure of D_R . For $H \in H_R$, let $E_n(H, R)$, the error in approximating the function H by harmonic polynomials of degree at most n in uniform norm, be defined as

$$E_n(H, R) = \inf_{g \in \pi_n} \|H - g\|_R \quad (0.5)$$

where π_n consist of all harmonic polynomials of degree at most n and

$$\|H - g\|_R = \max_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \bar{D}_R} |H(x_1, x_2, x_3) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|.$$

Let $H \in H_R$ (class of all harmonic functions H in R^3). Kapoor and Nautiyal [6] have proved the following

Theorem. Let $H \in H_R$. Then H has analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function of order ρ ($0 < \rho < \infty$) and type T ($0 < T < \infty$), if and only if,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n (E_n(H, R))^{\rho/n} = e^{\rho} TR^{\rho}.$$

In this paper we have extended above theorem for entire harmonic function of (p, q) -growth. We have also obtained analogous result for lower (p, q) -type of entire harmonic functions. Finally, we have studied the growth of the coefficients of polynomial expansion of entire harmonic function with index-pair (p, q) in terms of approximation error. The following notation is frequently used in the sequel:

Notation:

$$F_{[r]}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^r \exp^{[i]} x; \quad \Delta_{[r]}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^r \log^{[i]} x$$

$$F_{[-r]}(x) = \frac{x}{\Delta_{[r-1]}(x)}, \quad \Delta_{[-r]}(x) = \frac{x}{F_{[r-1]}(x)} \quad ' r = 0, \pm 1,$$

AUXILIARY RESULTS

In this section we give some lemmas that are used in proving Theorems 1 and 2.

Lemma 1.1. Associated Legendre's functions $p_n^m(t)$ satisfy

$$\max_{-1 \leq t \leq 1} |P_n^m(t)| \leq K [(n+m)! / (n-m)!]^{1/2}, \tag{1.1}$$

where K is a constant independent of n and m .

Lemma 1.2. Let $H \in H_R$ be entire and $r' > 1$. Then, for all $r > 2r' R$ and all sufficiently large values of n , we have

$$E_n(H, R) \leq \bar{K} M(r, H) (r' R / r)^{n+1}.$$

Here \bar{K} is a constant.

Lemma 1.3. Let $H \in H_R$. Then, for any $R_* < R$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$R_* \max_{m, i} \left[|a_{nm}^{(i)}| \left(\frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!} \right)^{1/2} \right] \leq K_0 (2n+1) E_{n-1}(H, R),$$

where K_0 is a constant.

The proofs of these results can be found in [6, pp. 1026-27].

Lemma 1.4. Let $H \in H_R$. Then for any $R_* < R$ and $n \geq 1$, there exists an entire function $h(z)$ such that

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n + 1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{Z}{R_*}\right)^n, \text{ and}$$

$$M(r, H) \leq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + KK_0 m(r, h), \text{ where } m(r, h) = \max_{|z| \leq r} |h(z)|.$$

Proof. For $H \in H_R$, using (0.2), Lemma 1.2 and Lemma 1.3, we have

$$|H| \leq \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sum_{m=0}^n (a_{nm}^{(1)} \text{Cos} m \vartheta + a_{nm}^{(1)} \text{Sin} m \vartheta) P_n^m(\text{Cos} \vartheta) \right|,$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} M(r, H) &\leq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + K \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1) r^n \max_{m, i} \left[|a_{nm}^{(i)}| \left(\frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!}\right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &\geq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + KK_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n + 1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{r}{R_*}\right)^n, \end{aligned}$$

for some $R_* < R$. Hence

$$M(r, H) \leq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + KK_0 m(r, h)$$

where

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n + 1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{Z}{R_*}\right)^n.$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E_n(H, R))^{1/n} = 0$, $h(z)$ is an entire function of a single

complex variable z and $m(r, h) = \max_{|z| \leq r} |h(z)|$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1. Let $H \in H_R$. Then H has analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function of (p, q) -order ρ ($b < \rho < \infty$) and (p, q) -type T ($0 \leq T \leq \infty$), such that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]}_n}{[\log^{[q-1]}(E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}]^{\rho-\lambda}} = \frac{T}{M}. \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$M = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\rho e R^\rho} & \text{for } (p, q) = (2, 1), \\ \frac{(\rho-1)^{\rho-1}}{\rho^\rho} & \text{for } (p, q) = (2, 2), \\ 1. & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$A = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } (p, q) = (2, 2), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof: Let $K > T$. By the definition of the (p, q) type of H there exists an $R_2 = R_2(K) > R_1$ such that

$$\frac{\log^{[p-1]} M(r, H)}{(\log^{[q-1]} r)^\rho} \leq K \quad \text{for } r \geq R_2,$$

or

$$M(r, H) \leq \exp^{[p-1]} [K (\log^{[q-1]} r)^\rho]. \quad (2.4)$$

By Lemma 1.3, we have

$$E_n(H, R) \leq \bar{K} M(r, H) \left(\frac{r' R}{r} \right)^{n+1} \quad (2.5)$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 1)$ we proceed on the lines of Kapoor and Nautiyal [6] to get

$$n (E_n(H, R))^\rho / n \geq e_\rho K R^\rho. \quad (2.6)$$

Now for $(p, q) = (2, 2)$, from (2.4) we have

$$M(r, H) \leq \exp [K (\log r)^\rho], \text{ and consequently}$$

$$E_n(H, R) \leq \bar{K} \exp [K (\log r)^\rho] \left(\frac{r' R}{r} \right)^{n+1}$$

Let $N > n_0$ be so large that $\exp \left(\frac{n}{K\rho} \right)^{1/\rho-1} > R_2$, for $n \geq N$.

Choosing

$$r = \exp \left(\frac{n}{K\rho} \right)^{1/\rho-1} \text{ in above inequality, we get}$$

$$E_n(H, R) \leq \frac{\bar{K} \exp \left[\left(\frac{n}{\rho} \right)^{\rho/\rho-1} \frac{1}{K^{1/\rho-1}} \right] (r' R)^{n+1}}{\left\{ \exp \left[\left(\frac{n}{K\rho} \right)^{1/\rho-1} \right] \right\}^{n+1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \log E_n(H, R) &\leq \log \bar{K} + \left(\frac{n}{\rho}\right)^{\rho/\rho-1} \frac{1}{K^{1/\rho-1}} \\
&\quad + (n+1) \log(r' R) - (n+1) \left(\frac{n}{K\rho}\right)^{1/\rho-1} \\
\Rightarrow -\frac{1}{n} \log E_n(H, R) &\geq \left(\frac{n}{K\rho}\right)^{1/\rho-1} - \left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{\rho/\rho-1} \left(\frac{n}{K}\right)^{1/\rho-1} \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{K\rho}\right)^{1/\rho-1} - \log(r' R) - \frac{1}{n} \log(Kr' R) \\
&= \left(\frac{n}{K\rho}\right)^{1/\rho-1} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\rho} + \frac{1}{n}\right] - \frac{1}{n} \log(Kr' R) - \log(r' R). \\
\Rightarrow [\log(E_n(H, R))]^{-1/n} &\geq \frac{n}{K\rho} \left[\left(\frac{\rho-1}{\rho}\right) (1 + o(1))\right]^{\rho-1}
\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n ,

$$\frac{n}{[\log(E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}]^{\rho-1}} \leq K \frac{(\rho)^\rho}{(\rho-1)^{\rho-1}} (1 - o(1)).$$

Proceeding to limits we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{[\log(E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}]^{\rho-1}} \leq \frac{K}{M}. \quad (2.7)$$

For $(p, q) \neq (2, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$, let $N > n_0$ be large such that

$$\exp^{[q-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]}(n/K\rho)}{K} \right]^{1/p} > R_2 \text{ for } n \geq M.$$

Choosing

$$r = \exp^{[q-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]}(n/K\rho)}{K} \right]^{1/p} \text{ in (2.4) and (2.5), we get,}$$

$$E_n(H, R) \leq \frac{K \exp\left(\frac{n}{K\rho}\right) (r' R)^{n+1}}{\left\{ \exp^{[q-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]}(n/K\rho)}{K} \right]^{1/p} \right\}^{n+1}}$$

or

$$\log E_n(H, R) \leq \log \bar{K} + \frac{n}{K\rho} + (n+1) \log(r'R) - (n+1) \exp^{[\rho-2]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]} \left(\frac{n}{K\rho} \right)}{K} \right]^{1/\rho},$$

or

$$(\log E_n(H, R))^{-1/n} \geq \exp^{[q-2]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]}(n/K\rho)}{K} \right]^{1/\rho} [1-o(1)]$$

for sufficiently large values of n ,

$$[\log^{[q-1]}(E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}]^\rho \geq \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]}(n/K\rho)}{K} \right] [1-o(1)]^\rho,$$

or, since $p > 2$,

$$K \geq \frac{\log^{[p-2]} n}{[\log^{[q-1]}(E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}]^\rho} [1-o(1)]^\rho.$$

Proceeding to limits we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]} n}{[\log^{[q-1]}(E_n(H, R))^{-2/n}]^\rho} \leq K. \tag{2.8}$$

Since (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8) are valid for every $K > T$, therefore it follows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]} n}{[\log^{[q-1]}(E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}]^{\rho-A}} \leq \frac{T}{M}. \tag{2.9}$$

To prove reverse inequality, using Lemma 1.4, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]} n}{[\log^{[q-1]}(E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}]^{\rho-A}} \geq \frac{T}{M}. \tag{2.10}$$

(2.9) and (2.10) taking together prove the theorem i.e., the result (2.1).

Theorem 2.2. Let $H \in H_R$. Then, H has analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function of (p, q) -order ρ ($b < \rho \rightarrow \infty$) and lower (p, q) -type t ($0 \leq t \leq \infty$) such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]n}}{[\log^{[q-1]} (E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}]^{\rho-A}} = \frac{t}{M}, \quad (2.11)$$

where A and M have their usual meaning.

Proof. Let

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-2]n}}{[\log^{[q-1]} (E_n(H, R))^{-2/n}]^{\rho-A}} = J.$$

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $n \geq n_0$ such that

$$\frac{\log^{[p-2]n}}{[\log^{[q-1]} (E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}]^{\rho-A}} \geq J - \varepsilon,$$

or

$$E_n(H, R) \geq \left\{ \exp^{[q-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]n}}{J - \varepsilon} \right]^{1/\rho-A} \right\}^{-n} \quad (2.12)$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 1)$,

$$E_n(H, R) \geq \left(\frac{n}{J - \varepsilon} \right)^{-n/\rho},$$

or

$$\bar{K}M(r, H) \left(\frac{r' R}{r} \right)^{n+1} \geq \left(\frac{n}{J - \varepsilon} \right)^{-n/\rho},$$

or

$$\log M(r, H) \geq -n/\rho \log \left(\frac{n}{J - \varepsilon} \right) + (n+1) \log (r/r' R) - \log \bar{K}.$$

Choosing

$$r = \left(\frac{ne (r' R)^\rho}{J - \varepsilon} \right)^{1/\rho}; \text{ we get}$$

$$\log M(r, H) \geq -\frac{n}{\rho} \log \left(\frac{n}{J - \varepsilon} \right) + \frac{(n+1)}{\rho} \left[\log \left(\frac{n}{J - \varepsilon} \right) + \right.$$

$$\left. \log e (r' R)^\rho \right] - (n+1) \log (r' R) - \log \bar{K}.$$

$$= \frac{n}{\rho} + \frac{1}{\rho} \left[\log \left(\frac{ne}{J - \varepsilon} \right) \right] - \log \bar{K}$$

$$= \frac{n}{\rho} [1 + o(1)] - o(1), \text{ for sufficiently large } n.$$

$$= \frac{(r/r')^\rho (J-\varepsilon)}{\rho e R^\rho} [1 + o(1)] - o(1),$$

$$\frac{\log M(r, H)}{r^\rho} \geq \frac{(J-\varepsilon)}{\rho e R^\rho} \frac{(r/r')^\rho}{r^\rho} [1 + o(1)].$$

Proceeding to limit, as $r \rightarrow \infty$, we get since $r' > 1$ is arbitrary,

$$t \geq M.J. \tag{2.13}$$

Again for $(p, q) = (2, 2)$, from (2.12) we have

$$E_n(H, R) \geq \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{n}{J-\varepsilon} \right)^{1/\rho-1} \right\}^{-n},$$

$$\bar{K}M(r, H) \left(\frac{r' R}{r} \right)^{n+1} \geq \left\{ \exp \left(\frac{n}{J-\varepsilon} \right)^{1/\rho-1} \right\}^{-n}$$

$$\log M(r, H) \geq -(n)^\rho/\rho-1 \left(\frac{1}{J-\varepsilon} \right)^{1/\rho-1} + (n+1) \log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) - \log \bar{K}.$$

Choosing

$$\frac{r}{r' R} = \exp \{ (\rho/\rho-1) (n/J-\varepsilon)^{1/\rho-1} \},$$

we get

$$\log M(r, H) \geq - \frac{(n)^\rho/\rho-1}{(J-\varepsilon)} + (n+1) \left[\frac{\rho}{\rho-1} \left(\frac{n}{J-\varepsilon} \right)^{1/\rho-1} \right] - \log \bar{K}.$$

$$= \frac{n^\rho/\rho-1}{(J-\varepsilon)^{1/\rho-1}} \frac{1}{\rho-1} [1 + o(1)] - o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$= (J-\varepsilon) \frac{(\rho-1)^{\rho-1}}{\rho^\rho} \cdot (\log(r/r' R))^\rho [1 + o(1)] - o(1)$$

$$\frac{\log M(r, H)}{(\log r)^\rho} \geq \frac{(\rho-1)^{\rho-1}}{\rho^\rho} (J-\varepsilon) \frac{(\log(r/r' R))^\rho}{(\log r)^\rho} [1 + o(1)] - o(1).$$

Proceeding to limits we get,

$$t \geq M.J. \tag{2.14}$$

Now for $(p, q) \neq (2, 1)$ and (2.2). From (2.12) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\bar{K}M(r, H) \left(\frac{r'R}{r}\right)^{n+1} \geq \left\{ \exp^{[q-1]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]n}}{J-\varepsilon} \right]^{1/\rho} \right\}^{-n}$$

or

$$\log M(r, H) \geq -n \exp^{[q-2]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]n}}{J-\varepsilon} \right]^{1/\rho} + (n+1) \log \left(\frac{r}{r'R} \right)$$

$$- \log \bar{K}.$$

Choosing

$$\frac{r}{r'R} = \exp \left\{ 1 + \exp^{[q-2]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]n}}{J-\varepsilon} \right]^{1/\rho} \right\}. \text{ We get}$$

$$\log M(r, H) \geq n+1 + \exp^{[q-2]} \left[\frac{\log^{[p-2]n}}{J-\varepsilon} \right]^{1/\rho} - \log \bar{K}$$

$$= \exp^{[p-2]} \left\{ (J-\varepsilon) \left(\log^{[q-1]} \left(\frac{r}{er'R} \right) \right)^\rho \right\} [1+o(1)] - o(1).$$

$$\frac{\log^{[p-1]} M(r, H)}{(\log^{[q-1]} r)^\rho} \geq (J-\varepsilon) \frac{\left(\log^{[q-1]} \left(\frac{r}{er'R} \right) \right)^\rho}{(\log^{[q-1]} r)^\rho}$$

$$[1 + o(1)] - o(1)$$

Proceeding to limits we get

$$t \geq J. \quad (2.15)$$

Combining the results (2.13), (2.14) and (2.15) we get

$$\frac{t}{M} \geq J. \quad (2.16)$$

To prove reverse inequality, using Lemma 1.4, we get

$$\frac{t}{M} \leq J. \quad (2.17)$$

(2.16), (2.17) taking together prove the theorem i.e., the result (2.11).

REFERENCES

1. A.J. FRYANT., Growth and complete sequences of generalized axisymmetric potentials. *J. Approx. Theory*, 19 (1977), 361-370.
2. A.J. FRYANT., Growth of entire harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^3 . *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 66 (1978), 599-605.
3. R.P. GILBERT., *Function Theoretic Methods in Partial Differential Equations*. Academic Press, New York, (1969).
4. O.P. JUNEJA., G.P. KAPOOR and S.K. BAJPAI., On the (p, q) -order and lower (p, q) -order of an entire function. *J. reine. Angew. Math.* 282 (1976), 53-57.
5. O.P. JUNEJA., G.P. KAPOOR and S.K. BAJPAI., On the (p, q) -type and lower (p, q) -type of an entire function. *J. reine Angew. Math.* 290 (1977), 180-190.
6. G.P. KAPOOR and A. NAUTIYAL., Approximation of entire harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^3 . *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 13 (1982), 1024-1030.