Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1 V. 45. pp. 55-60 (1996)

# ON THE SUBRINGS OF THE RING OF ANALYTIC FUNCTIONS AND CONFORMALLY EQUIVALENCE

EKREM KADIOĞLU\* and MUSTAFA BAYRAKTAR\*\*

\* Department of Mathematics, Atatürk University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, 25240 Erzurum-TURKEY \*\*Department of Mathematics, Uludağ University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Bursa-TURKEY

(Received Nov. 6, 1995; Revised Apr. 12, 1996; Accepted June 13, 1996)

#### ABSTRACT

We consider the discrete sets  $D_i \subset G_i$  of the regions  $G_i$  in the complex plane  $\mathcal{C}$  and study the subrings of the rings of analytic functions  $A(G_i)$  corresponding to these discrete sets  $D_i$  (i=1,2). Furthermore, we prove that the sets of zeros of the functions which map onto each other under the  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism  $\Phi: A(G_1) \rightarrow A(G_2)$ , are also mapped onto each other by a conformal mapping  $\phi: G_2 \rightarrow G_1$ , where  $\Phi(f) = f \circ \phi$ .

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Helmer had shown that finitely generated ideal in the ring of entire functions was a principle ideal [2]. After this work, some other authors have started to work on the rings of analytic functions, and conformal equivalence was characterized algebraically.

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two domains in the complex plane, and let  $A(G_1)$ and  $A(G_2)$  be the rings of analytic functions of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. If these exists a  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism between  $A(G_1)$  and  $A(G_2)$ , then  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ are conformally equivalent [1]. The problem was generalized to open Riemann surfaces  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  [4]. It was shown that two domains  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  in the complex plane were conformally equivalent if the rings  $B(G_1)$ and  $B(G_2)$  of all bounded analytic functions defined on them were algebraically  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphic [3]. When we discuss the rings  $B(G_1)$  (i=1,2), it is always assumed that  $G_i$  is bounded and has the following property: for any  $z \in \partial G_i$ , boundary of  $G_i$ , there exists a function  $f \in B(G_i)$  for which z is an unremovable singularity. It is proved that if there is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism between A(G<sub>1</sub>) and A(G<sub>2</sub>), then the sets G<sub>1</sub> and G<sub>2</sub> are conformally equivalence [5].

**Definition 1.1.** Let S be any non-empty subset of the complex plane  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $f: S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a function. If f is an analytic function in the domain which contains S, f is called an analytic function is S.

Let G be any non-empty subset of  $\mathcal{C}$  and A(G) be the set of single-valued analytic functions on G. The set A(G) is a ring with respect to two binary operations which are defined by (f+g)(z) = f(z)+g(z) and (fg)(z) = f(z)g(z).

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two non-empty subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ . If the mapping  $\varphi$ :  $G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is analytic and bijective, then  $\varphi$  is called a conformal mapping from  $G_1$  to  $G_2$ . In this case,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are called conformally equivalent.

In this work, an analytic function means that it is a single-valued analytic function.

Let  $a \in G$  be an arbitrary but fixed point. The set  $M_a = \{f \in A(G): f(a) = 0\}$  is a maximal ideal which is generated by z-a from A(G).  $M_a$  is called a fixed maximal ideal of A(G), and all other maximal ideals of A(G) are called free maximal ideal.

**Definition 1.3.** Let G be a region (or a set) in the complex plane and  $D \subseteq G$ . If D has no limit point in G, then D is called a discrete subset of G.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\Phi$  be an  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism from  $A(G_1)$  onto  $A(G_2)$ . Then  $\Phi$  induces a mapping  $\varphi: G_2 \rightarrow G_1$ , defined by  $\Phi(f) = f \circ \varphi$ , and  $\varphi$  is a conformal mapping of  $G_2$  onto  $G_1$  [5].

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be two subsets of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\varphi: G_2 \rightarrow G_1$  be a conformal mapping. Then the mapping  $\Phi$  defined by  $\Phi(f) = f \circ \varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism from  $A(G_1)$  onto  $A(G_2)$  [5].

## 2. SUBRINGS OF THE RING A(G)

In this section, subrings of the ring A(G) corresponding to the discrete sets will be investigated.

Theorem 2.1. Let

$$A_{D_1}(G_1) = \{f \in A(G_1): f(u) = \text{constant, for all } u \in D_1\}$$

and

$$A_{D_2}(G_2) = \{g \in A(G_2): g(v) = \text{constant, for all } v \in D_2\}$$

where  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are discrete subsets of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , respectively. Let  $\varphi: G_2 \rightarrow G_1$  be a bijective analytic mapping. If  $\varphi(D_2) = D_1$ , then  $\Phi: A_{D_1}(G_1) \rightarrow A_{D_2}(G_2)$ ,  $\Phi(f) = f \circ \varphi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism.

**Proof:** It is easily seen that  $\Phi(f_1f_2) = \Phi(f_1)\Phi(f_2)$  and  $\Phi(f_1+f_2) = \Phi(f_1) + \Phi(f_2)$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is a homomorphism. Since g is an element of  $A_{D_2}(G_2)$ ,  $go\phi^{-1} \in A_{D_1}(G_1)$ . If  $\phi^{-1}(c) \in D_2$ ,  $c \in D_1$ , then  $(go\phi^{-1})(c) = g(\phi^{-1}(c)) = constant$ . Therefore, for all  $g \in A_{D_2}(G_2)$ , there exists  $go\phi^{-1} \in A_{D_1}(G_1)$  such that  $\Phi(go\phi^{-1}) = (go\phi^{-1})o\phi = g$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is onto. On the other hand, since

$$\Phi(f_1) = \Phi(f_2) \Rightarrow f_1 \circ \varphi = f_2 \circ \varphi \Rightarrow f_1 = f_2$$

 $\Phi$  is one to one. It is obvious that the constants are invariant under the isomorphism  $\Phi$ . Hence  $\Phi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism.

The following theorem gives us a relation between the ring A(G) and the subring  $A_{D}(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** If a discrete set D $\subset$ G contains only one element, i.e., D = {a}, then A<sub>D</sub>(G) = A(G).

**Proof:** From definition of  $A_D(G)$ , we have  $A_D(G) \subset A(G)$ . On the other hand, suppose that  $f \in A(G)$ . In this case, since f(a) = constant, f is an element of  $A_D(G)$ . Then  $A(G) \subset A_D(G)$  holds. Therefore,  $A(G) = A_D(G)$ .

By this theorem, we can say that we will be able to work on the subring  $A_D(G)$  instead of the ring A(G). If D has only one element, we can take the set  $A_D(G)$  for A(G).

Corollary 2.3. Let  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  be two discrete subsets of G. If  $D_1 \subset D_2$ 

$$A_{D_1}(G) = \{f \in A(G): f(u) = \text{constant, for all } u \in D_1\}$$

and

$$A_{D_2}(G) = \{g \in A(G): g(v) = \text{constant, for all } v \in D_2\}$$

then  $A_{D_2}(G) \subset A_{D_1}(G)$ .

**Proof:** Let  $f \in A_{D_2}(G)$ . Then for all  $v \in D_2$ ,  $f(v) = \text{constant. Since } D_1 \subset D_2$  and f(u) = constant for all  $u \in D_1$ ,  $f \in A_{D_1}(G)$ . Hence, we have  $A_{D_2}(G) \subset A_{D_1}(G)$ .

Let  $D_f \subset G$  be a set of zeros of  $f \in A(G)$ . Now we can give the following theorem on maximal ideals of  $A_{D_f}(G)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f \in A(G)$  and  $D_f$  be a finite set. Furthermore suppose that

$$A_{D_f}(G) = \{g \in A(G): g(u) = \text{ constant, for all } u \in D_f \}$$

Then

$$\left\{ g(z) \prod_{u \in D_f} (z \cdot u): g \in A(G) \right\}$$

is a maximal ideal of  $A_{D_{\ell}}(G)$ .

**Proof.** It is ealisy shown that  $J = \{g(z) \prod_{u \in D_f} (z \cdot u): g \in A(G)\}$  is an ideal of  $A_{D_f}(G)$ . Now, we will show that this ideal is maximal. Let us consider the mapping  $\psi_u$ :  $A_{D_f}(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ ,  $\psi_u(g) = g(u)$ ,  $u \in D_f$ . It is clear that the mapping  $\psi_u$  is a homomorphism. There exists  $h = f^n + c \in A_{D_f}(G)$  such that  $\psi_u(h) = h(u) = c$ ,  $(c \in \mathcal{C})$ , hence  $\psi_u$  is onto. At the same time

$$\operatorname{Ker} \Psi_{u} = \{ h \in A_{D_{f}}(G) : \Psi_{u}(h) = h(u) = 0 \}.$$

Since h(u)=0 for all  $u \in D_f$  and  $h \in A_{D_f}(G)$ , we get  $h(z)=g(z) \prod_{u \in D_f} (z-u)$ , where  $g \in A(G)$ . Hence, Kenut = I. According to the first isomorphism

where  $g \in A(G)$ . Hence  $Ker \psi_u = J$ . According to the first isomorphism theorem

$$A_{D_f}(G)/J \cong \mathcal{O}.$$

Hence, J is a maximal ideal.

 $M_a = \{g \in A(G): g(a) = 0\}$  is a maximal ideal of A(G), where  $a \in G$ . In Theorem 2.4, taking a discrete set instead of a, this result is generalized for maximal ideals.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $D_{f_1}$  and  $D_{f_2}$  be sets of zeros of  $f_1 \in A(G_1)$  and  $f_2 \in A(G_2)$ , respectively. Moreover, suppose that the mapping  $\Phi: A(G_1) \rightarrow A(G_2)$  defined by  $\Phi(f) = f \circ \phi$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism. If  $\Phi(f_1) = f_2$ , then  $\phi(D_{f_2}) = D_{f_1}$ .

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.4,  $G_2$  and  $G_1$  are conformally equivalent, i.e., there exists a mapping  $\varphi$ :  $G_2 \rightarrow G_1$  which is analytic and bijective. From the hypothesis  $f_2 = f_1 \circ \varphi$ . If  $a \in D_{f_2}$ , then

$$0 = f_2(a) = (f_1 \circ \phi)(a) = f_1(\phi(a)).$$

Thus,  $\varphi(a) \in D_{f_1}$ . Since a is an arbitrary element of  $D_{f_2}$ , we have that  $\varphi(D_{f_2}) \subset D_{f_1}$ . On the other hand, if  $d \in D_{f_1}$ , then there exists  $c \in G_2$  such that  $\varphi(c) = d$ . Hence

$$0 = f_1(d) = f_1(\varphi(c)) = (f_1 \circ \varphi)(c) = f_2(c)$$

and  $c \in D_{f_2}$ . Thus  $D_{f_1} \subset \phi(D_{f_2})$ . Then the result follows.

We can give the following theorem as a corollary of Theorem 2.1 and 2.5.

**Theorem 2.6.** If F:  $A(G_1) \rightarrow A(G_2)$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -isomorphism and  $\Phi(f_1) = f_2$ , then

$$A_{D_{f_1}}(G_1) \cong A_{D_{f_2}}(G_2).$$

**Proof.** From the hypothesis and Theorem 2.4,  $G_2$  and  $G_1$  are conformally equivalent, i.e., there exists a mapping  $\varphi: G_2 \rightarrow G_1$  which is analytic and bijective. According to Theorem 2.5.  $\varphi(D_{f_2}) = D_{f_1}$ . From Theorem 2.1, we have

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{D}_{f_1}}(\mathbf{G}_1) \cong \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{D}_{f_2}}(\mathbf{G}_2).$$

### REFERENCES

- [1] BERS, L., On rings of analytic functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1948), 311-315.
- [2] HELMER, O., Divisibility properties of integral functions, Duke Math. J., 6 (1940), 345-356.
- [3] KAKUTANI, S., Rings of analytic functions, Proc. Michigan Conference on Functions of a Complex Variable. (1955), 71-74.
- [4] RUDIN, W., An algebraic characterization of conformal equivalence, Bul. Amer. Math. Soc. 61 (1955), 543.
- [5] SU, L.P., Rings of analytic functions on any subset of the complex plane, Pacific J. Math. 42 (1972), 535-538.