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## ABSTRACT

Let L denote the operator generated in $\ell_{2}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$ by the difference expression

$$
\ell(y)=\binom{a_{n+1} y_{n+1}^{(2)}+b_{n} y_{n}^{(2)}+p_{n} y_{n}^{(1)}}{a_{n-1} y_{n-1}^{(1)}+b_{n} y_{n}^{(1)}+q_{n} y_{n}^{(2)}}, a_{0}=1, n \in N=\{1,2, \ldots\}
$$

and the boundary condition

$$
y_{0}^{(1)}=0
$$

where $\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right)$ and $\left(q_{n}\right)$ are complex sequences and $a_{n} \neq 0, b_{n} \neq 0$ for all $n \in N$. In this paper we investigate the continuous spectrum, the eigenvalues and the spectral singularities of $L$.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The spectrum of the selfadjoint difference operator and infinite Jacobi matrices have been studied by various authors ( [1], [2], [7] ). But the spectral theory of the non-self- adjoint difference operators has not been treated extensively. Some of the problems of the spectral analysis in this area have been investigated by several authors [6], [10], [12].

The spectrum and the spectral expansion in terms of the principal functions of nonselfadjoint differential operators have been considered by Naimark [13]. He showed the existence of spectral singularities in the continuous spectrum of these operators. The spectral singularities of the quadratic pencil of Schrödinger operators has been
investigated in [3], [4]. In [5] and [11] the effect of the spectral singularities in the spectral expansion in terms of the principal functions has been studied.

Let $\ell_{2}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$ denote the Hilbert space of complex vector-sequences
$y=\binom{y_{n}^{(1)}}{y_{n}^{(2)}}, n \in \mathbf{N}$, with the inner product

$$
(y, u)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(y_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)} \overline{u_{\mathrm{n}}^{(1)}}+y_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)} \overline{u_{\mathrm{n}}^{(2)}}\right) .
$$

In the space $\ell_{2}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$, we consider the operator L generated by the difference expression

$$
\ell(y)=\binom{a_{n+1} y_{n+1}^{(2)}+b_{n} y_{n}^{(2)}+p_{n} y_{n}^{(1)}}{a_{n-1} y_{n-1}^{(1)}+b_{n} y_{n}^{(1)}+q_{n} y_{n}^{(2)}}
$$

and the boundary condition

$$
y_{0}^{(1)}=0,
$$

where $a_{0}=1,\left(a_{n}\right),\left(b_{n}\right),\left(p_{n}\right)$ and $\left(q_{n}\right)$ are complex sequences and $a_{n} \neq 0, b_{n} \neq 0$ for all $n$.

Let $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ denote the operator generated in $\ell_{2}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$ by the difference expression

$$
\ell_{0}(y)=\binom{\Delta y_{n}^{(2)}+p_{n} y_{n}^{(1)}}{-\Delta y_{n-1}^{(1)}+q_{n} y_{n}^{(2)}}
$$

and the boundary condition $y_{0}^{(1)}=0$ where $\Delta$ denotes the forward difference operator defined by $\Delta y_{n}=y_{n+1}-y_{n}$. The operator $L_{0}$ is called the discrete Dirac operator. $L_{0}$ is a particular case of $L$ ( $a_{n} \equiv 1, b_{n} \equiv-1, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ). The spectral analysis of $\mathrm{L}_{0}$ was investigated in [4].

Related with the operator $L$ we will consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{n+1} y_{n+1}^{(2)}+b_{n} y_{n}^{(2)}+p_{n} y_{n}^{(1)}=\lambda y_{n}^{(1)} \\
& a_{n-1} y_{n-1}^{(1)}+b_{n} y_{n}^{(1)}+q_{n} y_{n}^{(2)}=\lambda y_{n}^{(2)}, a_{0}=1, n \in N, \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{0}^{(1)}=0 . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout of this paper we will assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\left(\left|1-a_{n}\right|+\left|1+b_{n}\right|+\left|p_{n}\right|+\left|q_{n}\right|\right)<\infty \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we prove the existence of the Jost solution of the equation (I.1) under the condition (1.3). Moreover using the analytic properties of Jost solution of (1.1) we investigate the continuous spectrum, the eigenvalues and the spectral singularities of L .

## 2. JOST SOLUTION OF (1.1)

Theorem 2.1. Let the condition (1.3) hold. If $\lambda=2 \sin \frac{z}{2}$, then the system (1.1) has a unique solution which is analytic in the half-plane $\operatorname{Imz}>0$ and continuous upto the real axis having the representation

$$
f_{0}^{(1)}(z)=\alpha_{0}^{11} e^{i z / 2}\left[1+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K_{0 m}^{11} e^{i m z}\right]-i \alpha_{0}^{11} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K_{0 m}^{12} e^{i m z}
$$

and for $n=1,2, \ldots$.

$$
f(z)=\binom{f_{n}^{(1)}(z)}{f_{n}^{(2)}(z)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\alpha_{n}^{11} & \alpha_{n}^{12}  \tag{2.1}\\
\alpha_{n}^{21} & \alpha_{n}^{22}
\end{array}\right)\left\{E_{2}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} K_{n n} e^{i m z}\right\}\left(\begin{array}{l}
i z / 2 \\
e^{i z} \\
-i
\end{array}\right) e^{i n z}
$$

where

$$
E_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), K_{\mathrm{nm}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{11} & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{12} \\
\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{21} & \mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{nm}}^{22}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Moreover the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|K_{\mathrm{mm}}^{\mathrm{ij}}\right| \leq \operatorname{Co}\left(\mathrm{n}+\left[\left|\frac{\mathrm{m}}{2}\right|\right]\right), \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{j}=1,2, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for all $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{n}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathrm{n})=\sum_{\mathbf{k}=\mathrm{n}}^{\infty}\left(1-\mathrm{a}_{\mathbf{k}}\left|+\left|1+\mathrm{b}_{\mathbf{k}}\right|+\left|\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|+\left|\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}\right|\right)\right. \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left[\left|\frac{m}{2}\right|\right]$ is the integer part of $\frac{m}{2}$ and $C$ is a constant.
Proof. Substituting the function $f(z)$ given by (2.1) in (1.1) and equalizing the corresponding coefficients of $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{inz}}$ we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{\mathrm{n}}^{12}=0, \quad \mathrm{n} \in \mathbf{N} \\
& \alpha_{\mathbf{n}}^{11}=\left\{\prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(-1)^{i-k} b_{k} a_{k-1}\right\}^{-1}, n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\} \\
& \alpha_{n}^{22}=\left\{b_{n} \prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-k+1} b_{k} a_{k-1}\right\}^{-1}, n \in \mathbf{N}  \tag{2.4}\\
& \alpha_{n}^{21}=\alpha_{\mathbf{n}}^{22}\left\{p_{\mathrm{n}}+\prod_{\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{n}+1}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{k}}+\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{k}}\right)\right\}, \boldsymbol{n} \in \mathbf{N}
\end{align*}
$$

and
$K_{\pi t}^{12}=-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(p_{k}+q_{k}\right)$,
$K_{n 1}^{11}=\sum_{k=\mathbf{n}+1}^{\infty}\left(b_{k}^{2}-1\right)+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k}\left(a_{k+1}-1\right)+\sum_{k=\mathbf{n}+1}^{\infty}\left(a_{k}-1\right)+\sum_{k=\mathbf{n}+1}^{\infty}\left(p_{k}+q_{k}\right) K_{k 1}^{12}-\sum_{k=\mathbf{n}+1}^{\infty} p_{k} q_{k}$,
$K_{n 1}^{22}=-1+a_{n+1} a_{n}+K_{n 1}^{12} K_{n 1}^{12}+K_{n 1}^{11}$
$K_{\pi I}^{21}=-\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(q_{k+1}+K_{k 1}^{12}\right)\left\{a_{k+1} a_{k}+q_{k+1}\left(p_{k+1}+q_{k+1}\right)+q_{k+1} K_{k 1}^{12}-K_{k+1,1}^{11}+b_{k+1}^{2}-1\right\}$
$+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_{k} K_{k!}^{22}-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_{k}^{2} p_{k}$,
$K_{n 2}^{12}=a_{n+1} a_{n}\left(p_{n+1}-K_{n+1,1}^{12}\right)+K_{n 1}^{12} K_{n 1}^{11}+K_{n 1}^{12}-K_{n 1}^{21}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{n 2}^{11} & =\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k+1} a_{k}\left\{\left(p_{k}-K_{k+1,1}^{12}\right) K_{k+1,1}^{12}+K_{k+1,1}^{22}\right\}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(b_{k}^{2}-1\right) K_{k 1}^{11} \\
& +\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(1+K_{k 1}^{12}\right) K_{k 2}^{12}-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(q_{k} K_{k 1}^{11}-K_{k 1}^{12}\right)\left(p_{k}-K_{k 1}^{12}\right)-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_{k} K_{k 1}^{12} \\
K_{n 2}^{22} & =a_{n+1} a_{n}\left(p_{n+1}-K_{n+1,1}^{12}\right) K_{\mathbf{n}+1,1}^{12}+a_{n+1} a_{n} K_{n+1,1}^{22}+K_{n 1}^{12} K_{n 2}^{12}-K_{n 1}^{11}+K_{n 2}^{11} \\
K_{n 2}^{21} & =\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} a_{k+1} a_{k}\left\{\left(p_{k+1}-K_{k+1,1}^{12}\right) K_{k+1,1}^{11}+K_{k+1,1}^{21}\right\}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{K_{k 1}^{12} K_{k 2}^{11}+K_{k 2}^{12}-K_{k+1,1}^{21}\right\} \\
& +\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(q_{k} K_{k 2}^{22}-b_{k}^{2} K_{k 2}^{12}\right)+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(q_{k} K_{k 2}^{12}+K_{k 2}^{11}-K_{k 11}^{11}\right)\left(p_{k}-K_{k 11}^{12}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and for $\mathrm{m} \geq 3$,

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\mathrm{nm}}^{12}= & -\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k+1} a_{k}\left(\left(p_{k+1}-K_{k+1,1}^{12}\right) K_{k+1, m-2}^{11}+K_{k+1, m-2}^{21}\right\} \\
& -\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} K_{k 1}^{12} K_{k, m-1}^{11}-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_{k} K_{k, m-1}^{22}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(b_{k}^{2}-1\right) K_{k, n-1}^{12}  \tag{2.5}\\
& -\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(q_{k} K_{k, m-1}^{12}-K_{k, m-2}^{11}+K_{k, n-1}^{11}\right)\left(p_{k}-K_{k 1}^{12}\right) \\
K_{n m}^{11}= & \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_{k+1} a_{k}\left\{\left(p_{k+1}-K_{k+1,1}^{12}\right) K_{k+1, m-1}^{12}+K_{k+1, m-1}^{22}\right\}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} K_{k 1}^{12} K_{k+m}^{12} \\
& +\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(b_{k}^{2}-1\right) K_{k, m-1}^{11}-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left(q_{k} K_{k, m-1}^{11}+K_{k, m-1}^{12}-K_{k m}^{12}\right)\left(p_{k}-K_{k 1}^{12}\right) \\
& -\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} q_{k} K_{k, m-1}^{21}-\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} K_{k, m-1}^{22} \\
K_{n m}^{22}= & a_{n+1} a_{n}\left(p_{n+1}-K_{n+1,1}^{12}\right) K_{n+1, m-1}^{12}+a_{n+1} a_{n} K_{n+1, m-1}^{22}+K_{n 1}^{12} K_{n m}^{12}-K_{n, m-1}^{11}+K_{n m}^{11}
\end{align*}
$$

$K_{n m}^{21}=a_{n+1} a_{n}\left(p_{n+1}-K_{n+1,1}^{12}\right) K_{n+1, m-1}^{11}+a_{n+1} a_{n} K_{n+1, m-1}^{21}+K_{n 1}^{12} K_{n m}^{11}+K_{n m}^{12}-K_{n, m+1}^{12}$
for $n \in \mathbf{N} \cup\{0\}$.
Since (1.3) holds, we uniquely have $\alpha_{n}^{i j}$ and $K_{m i n}^{i j}$, for $i, j=1,2$, depending on $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{n}}, \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{n}}$ and $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{n}}$. Using (2.5) we can also prove, by induction, that $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{mm}}^{\mathrm{ij}}$ satisfies the inequality (2.2). So the proof is completed.

## 3. THE SPECTRUM OF L

Let us define $P_{0}=\{z \mid z=\eta+i \tau, \tau>0,0 \leq \eta<4 \pi\}$ and $P=P_{0} \cup[0,4 \pi)$ in complex plane and let $\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{L}), \sigma_{\mathrm{d}}(\mathrm{L})$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{L})$ denote the continuous spectrum, discrete spectrum (the set of eigenvalues) and spectral singularities of the operator L , respectively.

Theorem 3.1. If the condition (1.3) holds, then $\sigma_{c}(L)=[-2,2]$.
Proof. Let $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ denote the operators generated in $\ell_{2}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}^{2}\right)$ by the difference expressions

$$
\ell_{1}(y)=\binom{y_{n+1}^{(2)}-y_{n}^{(2)}}{y_{n-1}^{(1)}-y_{n}^{(1)}}
$$

and

$$
\ell_{2}(y)=\binom{\left(1-a_{n+1}\right) y_{n+1}^{(2)}+\left(1+b_{n}\right) y_{n}^{(2)}+p_{n} y_{n}^{(1)}}{\left(1-a_{n-1}\right) y_{n-1}^{(1)}+\left(1+b_{n}\right) y_{n}^{(1)}+q_{n} y_{n}^{(2)}}
$$

respectively. It is evident that, $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{L}_{1}+\mathrm{L}_{2}$ and

$$
\mathrm{L}_{1}=\mathrm{L}_{1}^{*}, \sigma\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)=\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\mathrm{~L}_{1}\right)=[-2,2]
$$

where $\sigma\left(L_{1}\right)$ denotes the spectrum of $L_{1}$. Under the condition (1.3), $L_{2}$ is a compact operator in $\ell_{2}\left(\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{C}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$. So Weyl-von Neumann Theorem ([9], p.13) gives the result.

Theorem 3.2. If (1.3) holds, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{p}(L)=\left\{\lambda \left\lvert\, \lambda=2 \sin \frac{z}{2}\right., z \in P_{0}, \beta(z)=0\right\} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{~L})=\left\{\lambda \left\lvert\, \lambda=2 \sin \frac{\mathrm{z}}{2}\right., \mathrm{z} \in(0,4 \pi) \backslash\{2 \pi\}, \beta(z)=0\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta(z)=f_{0}^{(1)}(z) e^{-\frac{i z}{2}}$.
Proof. Using Theorem 2.1, we get that the function $f_{0}^{(1)}(\mathrm{z})$ satisfies the following asimptotic equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{0}^{(1)}(\mathrm{z})=\alpha_{0}^{11} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\mathrm{iz}}{2}}[1+\mathrm{o}(1)], \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{P}_{0},|\mathrm{z}| \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.3) and the definition of eigenvalues of $L$ we obtain

$$
\sigma_{p}(\mathrm{~L})=\left\{\lambda \left\lvert\, \lambda=2 \sin \frac{z}{2}\right., \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{P}_{0}, \mathrm{f}_{0}^{(\mathrm{l})}(\mathrm{z})=0\right\}
$$

Since the operator $L$ is bounded under the hypothesis $\infty \notin \sigma_{p}(L)$. Consequently we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{p}(\mathrm{~L}) & =\left\{\lambda \left\lvert\, \lambda=2 \sin \frac{\mathrm{z}}{2}\right., \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{P}_{0}, \mathrm{f}_{0}^{(1)}(\mathrm{z})=0\right\} \backslash\{\infty\} \\
& =\left\{\lambda \left\lvert\, \lambda=2 \sin \frac{\mathrm{z}}{2}\right., \mathrm{z} \in \mathrm{P}_{0}, \beta(\mathrm{z})=0\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider the set

$$
M=\left\{\lambda \left\lvert\, \lambda=2 \sin \frac{z}{2}\right., z \in(0,4 \pi) \backslash\{2 \pi\}, \quad \beta(z)=0\right\}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\mathrm{M} \not \subset \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{~L}), \mathrm{M} \subset[-2,2]=\sigma_{\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{~L})
$$

Therefore, by the definition of spectral singularities we find that

$$
\mathrm{M}=\sigma_{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{~L})
$$

So the proof is completed.
Theorem 3.3. If the condition (1.3) holds, then $\sigma_{d}(L)$ is bounded, is no more than countable and its limit points can lie only in [-2,2].

Proof. From (2.1) and (2.2) we find that $\beta(z)$ is analytic in $\operatorname{Im} z>0$, continuous in $\operatorname{Im} z \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta(z)=\alpha_{0}^{11}[1+o(1)], z \in P_{0},|z| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (3.1), (3.4) and uniqueness theorem of analytic functions we have the proof ([8]).

Theorem 3.4. Under the condition (1.3), $\sigma_{s s}(L) \subset[-2,2], \sigma_{s s}(L)$ is closed and its linear Lebesgue measure is zero.

Proof. From (3.3), it is clear that $\sigma_{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{L}) \subset[-2,2]$.
On the other hand since $\beta(z)$ is continuous on $[0,4 \pi), \sigma_{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{L})$ is closed.
If the linear Lebesgue measure of $\sigma_{\mathrm{ss}}(\mathrm{L})$ could be positive, it had to be that $\beta(z) \equiv 0$, which is analytic in $\mathrm{P}_{0}$. Since $\beta(\mathrm{z}) \neq 0$ on P , it is impossible ([8]).
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