

IDEALIZATION OF PRZEMSKI'S DECOMPOSITION THEOREMS

E. HATIR

Dept. of Math., Selçuk University, Education Faculty, 42090, Konya, TURKEY
e-mail: ehatir@selcuk.edu.tr

(Received Oct. 15, 2001; Revised Dec. 25, 2002; Accepted Dec. 30, 2002)

ABSTRACT

Przemski [5] introduced $D(c,\alpha)$ -set, $D(c,p)$ -set, $D(c,s)$ -set and $D(\alpha,p)$ -set to obtain several decompositions of continuity. In this paper, we extend these sets and obtain new decompositions of continuity via idealization.

Key words and phrases. $D(c,\alpha)$ -set, $D(c,p)$ -set, $D(c,s)$ -set, $D(c,\alpha)$ -continuity, $D(c,p)$ -continuity, $D(c,s)$ -continuity

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In 1993, Przemski [5] introduced $D(c,\alpha)$ -set, $D(c,p)$ -set, $D(c,s)$ -set and $D(\alpha,p)$ -set to obtain several decompositions of continuity. In [4], the authors introduce the notion of α -I-open, semi-I-open and β -I-open sets via ideal. In this paper, we extend Przemski's sets and obtain decompositions of continuity via idealization.

Throughout this paper, for a subset A of a topological space (X,τ) , $Cl(A)$ and $Int(A)$ denote the closure of A and the interior of A , respectively. Let (X,τ) be a topological space and I an ideal of subsets of X . An ideal is defined as a nonempty collection I of subsets of X satisfying the following two conditions: (1) if $A \in I$ and $B \subset A$, then $B \in I$; (2) if $A \in I$ and $B \in I$, then $A \cup B \in I$. An ideal topological space, denoted by (X,τ,I) , is a topological space (X,τ) with an ideal I on X . For a subset $A \subset X$, $A^*(\tau,I) = \{x \in X : U \cap A \notin I \text{ for each neighborhood } U \text{ of } x\}$ is called the local function [1] of A with respect to I and τ . We simply write A^* instead of $A^*(\tau,I)$ in case there is no chance for confusion. X^* is often a proper subset of X . The hypothesis $X = X^*$ [9] is equivalent to the hypothesis $\tau \cap I = \emptyset$ [9]. For every ideal topological space (X,τ,I) , there exists a topology $\tau^*(I)$, finer than τ , generated by the base $\beta(I,\tau) = \{U \setminus I : U \in \tau \text{ and } I \in I\}$. However, $\beta(I,\tau)$ is not always a topology [9]. It is well known that $Cl^*(A) = A \cup A^*$ defines a Kuratowski closure operator for $\tau^*(I)$. In 1992, Janković and Hamlett [3] introduced the notion of I-open sets in ideal

topological spaces. For $A \subset (X, \tau, I)$, A is said to be I -open if $A \subset \text{Int}(A^*)$. In 1999, Dontchev [6] has introduced the notion of pre- I -open which is weaker than I -open. For $A \subset (X, \tau, I)$, A is said to be pre- I -open if $A \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(A))$. Quite recently, Hatir and Noiri [4] have introduced the notion of α - I -open (resp. semi- I -open, β - I -open) as in the following; A subset A of a space (X, τ, I) is said to be α - I -open (resp. semi- I -open, β - I -open) if $A \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A)))$ (resp. $A \subset Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))$, $A \subset Cl(\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)))$).

For a topological space (X, τ) , Przemski [5] defined the following sets;

- a) $D(c, \alpha) = \{B \subset X : \text{Int}(B) = B \cap \text{Int}(Cl(\text{Int}(B)))\}$,
- b) $D(c, p) = \{B \subset X : \text{Int}(B) = B \cap \text{Int}(Cl(B))\}$,
- c) $D(c, s) = \{B \subset X : \text{Int}(B) = B \cap Cl(\text{Int}(B))\}$,
- d) $D(\alpha, p) = \{B \subset X : B \cap \text{Int}(Cl(\text{Int}(B))) = B \cap \text{Int}(Cl(B))\}$,
- e) $D(c, ps) = \{B \subset X : \text{Int}(B) = B \cap Cl(\text{Int}(Cl(B)))\}$ (Dontchev and Przemski [7]).

2. $D_I(c, p)$ -set, $D_I(c, \alpha)$ -set, $D_I(c, s)$ -set, $D_I(c, ps)$ -set, $D_I(\alpha, p)$ -set

Now, we can give the following definition via ideal.

Definition 2.1. For an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , we define the following;

- a) $D_I(c, p) = \{A \subset (X, \tau, I) : \text{Int}(A) = A \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(A))\}$,
- b) $D_I(c, \alpha) = \{A \subset (X, \tau, I) : \text{Int}(A) = A \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A)))\}$,
- c) $D_I(c, s) = \{A \subset (X, \tau, I) : \text{Int}(A) = A \cap Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))\}$,
- d) $D_I(c, ps) = \{A \subset (X, \tau, I) : \text{Int}(A) = A \cap Cl(\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)))\}$,
- e) $D_I(\alpha, p) = \{A \subset (X, \tau, I) : A \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))) = A \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(A))\}$.

Proposition 2.1. The following statements hold for an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) ,

- a) Every $D(c, p)$ -set is $D_I(c, p)$ -set,,
- b) Every $D(c, \alpha)$ -set is $D_I(c, \alpha)$ -set,
- c) Every $D(c, s)$ -set is $D_I(c, s)$ -set,
- d) Every $D(c, ps)$ -set is $D_I(c, ps)$ -set.

Proof. a) Let A be a $D(c, p)$ -set. Since $A \subset A^* \cup A = Cl^*(A)$,

$$\text{Int}(A) \subset A \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) = A \cap \text{Int}(A^* \cup A) \subset A \cap \text{Int}(Cl(A) \cup A) = A \cap \text{Int}(Cl(A)) = \text{Int}(A).$$

Therefore, A is $D_I(c, p)$ -set.

b) Let A be a $D(c, \alpha)$ -set. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(A) \subset A \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))) &= A \cap \text{Int}((\text{Int}(A))^* \cup \text{Int}(A)) \subset A \cap \text{Int}(Cl(\text{Int}(A)) \cup \text{Int}(A)) \\ &= A \cap \text{Int}(Cl(\text{Int}(A))) = \text{Int}(A). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that A is $D_I(c, \alpha)$ -set.

c) Let A be a $D(c, s)$ -set. Then

$$\text{Int}(A) \subset A \cap Cl^*(\text{Int}(A)) = A \cap ((\text{Int}(A))^* \cup \text{Int}(A)) \subset A \cap (Cl(\text{Int}(A)) \cup \text{Int}(A)) = A \cap Cl(\text{Int}(A)) = \text{Int}(A).$$

This shows that A is $D_I(c, s)$ -set.

d) Let A be a $D(c,ps)$ -set. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}(A) \subset A \cap \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) &= A \cap \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A^* \cup A)) \subset A \cap \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A) \cup A)) \\ &= A \cap \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))) = \text{Int}(A). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that A is $D_I(c,ps)$ -set.

Remark 2.1. None of them in the Proposition 2.1 is reversible as shown by examples below.

Example 2.1. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, d\}, \{a, b, d\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Take $A = \{a, b\}$. Since $A^* = \{a, b\}^* = \emptyset$ and $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) = \{a\}$, A is $D_I(c,p)$ -set and $D_I(c,ps)$ -set, but not $D(c,p)$ -set and $D(c,ps)$ -set.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, c, d\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}\}$. Put $A = \{b, d\}$. Since $(\text{Int}(A))^* = (\{d\})^* = \emptyset$ and $\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)) = \{d\}$, A is $D_I(c,s)$ -set, but not $D(c,s)$ -set.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{c\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, c\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}\}$. Take $A = \{c, d\}$. Since $(\text{Int}(A))^* = (\{c\})^* = \emptyset$ and $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) = \{c\}$,

A is $D_I(c,\alpha)$ -set, but not $D(c,\alpha)$ -set. Furthermore, A is not $D_I(c,ps)$ -set.

Example 2.4. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{c, d\}\}$. Take $A = \{b, d\}$. Since $(\text{Int}(A))^* = (\{d\})^* = \emptyset$ and $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) = \{d\}$, A is $D_I(c,\alpha)$ -set, but not $D_I(c,p)$ -set.

Example 2.5. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{c\}\}$. Then $A = \{a, b\}$ is $D_I(c,\alpha)$ -set, but not $D_I(c,s)$ -set. Because

$$\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\{b\})) = \{b\},$$

therefore A is $D_I(c,\alpha)$ -set. Since $\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)) = (\{b\})^* = \{a, b\}$, A is not $D_I(c,s)$ -set.

Proposition 2.2. The following statements hold for an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) ,

a) Every $D_I(c,ps)$ -set is $D_I(c,p)$ -set,

b) Every $D_I(c,p)$ -set is $D_I(c,\alpha)$ -set,

c) Every $D_I(c,s)$ -set is $D_I(c,\alpha)$ -set.

Proof. a) Let A be a $D_I(c,ps)$ -set. Since

$$\text{Int}(A) = A \cap \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))) \supset A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) \supset \text{Int}(A),$$

we have $\text{Int}(A) = A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A))$. This shows that A is $D_I(c,p)$ -set.

b) Let A be a $D_I(c,p)$ -set. Since

$$\text{Int}(A) = A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(A)) \supset A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) \supset \text{Int}(A),$$

we have $\text{Int}(A) = A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)))$. This shows that A is $D_I(c,\alpha)$ -set.

c) Let A be a $D_I(c,s)$ -set. Since

$\text{Int}(A) = A \cap \text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)) \supseteq A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) \supseteq \text{Int}(A)$,
 we have $\text{Int}(A) = A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)))$. This shows that A is $D_1(c, \alpha)$ -set.

According to the Examples and Proposition 2.2, we can give the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{c} D_1(c, ps)\text{-set} \Rightarrow D_1(c, p)\text{-set} \Rightarrow D_1(c, \alpha)\text{-set} \\ \uparrow \\ D_1(c, s)\text{-set} \end{array}$$

Remark 2.2. a) Every open set is α -I-open, pre-I-open, semi-I-open and β -I-open, but not conversely (Remark 2.1 in [4]).

b) Every open set is $D_1(c, p)$ -set, $D_1(c, \alpha)$ -set, $D_1(c, s)$ -set and $D_1(c, ps)$ -set.

This fact follows from Proposition 2.1 since every open set is $D(c, \alpha)$ -set, $D(c, p)$ -set, $D(c, s)$ -set and $D(c, ps)$ -set.

Proposition 2.3. For an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , $A \subset X$ and $I = \emptyset$, we have

a) A is $D_1(c, p)$ -set if and only if A is $D(c, p)$,

b) A is $D_1(c, \alpha)$ -set if and only if A is $D(c, \alpha)$ -set,

c) A is $D_1(c, s)$ -set if and only if A is $D(c, s)$ -set,

d) A is $D_1(c, ps)$ -set if and only if A is $D(c, ps)$ -set.

Proof. Sufficiency is shown in Proposition 2.1. For necessity note that in case of the minimal ideal $A^* = \text{Cl}(A)$.

Theorem 2.1. For an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , the following hold;

a) A is an open if and only if it is both α -I-open and $D_1(c, \alpha)$ -set,

b) A is an open if and only if it is both pre-I-open and $D_1(c, p)$ -set,

c) A is an open if and only if it is both semi-I-open and $D_1(c, s)$ -set,

d) A is an open if and only if it is both β -I-open and $D_1(c, ps)$ -set.

Proof. Remark 2.2 gives the necessity.

For the sufficiency of (a); Suppose that A is both α -I-open and $D_1(c, \alpha)$ -set. Then $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)))$ and $\text{Int}(A) = A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A)))$. Since

$$A \subset A \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}^*(\text{Int}(A))) = \text{Int}(A),$$

A is an open set.

(b), (c) and (d) are analogous with the Proof of (a) and are thus omitted.

3. DECOMPOSITIONS OF CONTINUITY

Definition 3.1. A function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be pre-I-continuous [6] (resp. α -I-continuous [4], semi-I-continuous [4], β -I-continuous [4]) if for each open set V of (Y, σ) , the set $f^{-1}(V)$ is pre-I-open (resp. α -I-open, semi-I-open, β -I-open)

Definition 3.2. A function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be $D_1(c, \alpha)$ -continuous (resp. $D_1(c, p)$ -continuous, $D_1(c, s)$ -continuous, $D_1(c, ps)$ -continuous, $D_1(\alpha, p)$ -continuous) if for each open set V of (Y, σ) , the set $f^{-1}(V)$ is a $D_1(c, \alpha)$ -set (resp. $D_1(c, p)$ -set, $D_1(c, s)$ -set, $D_1(c, ps)$ -set, $D_1(\alpha, p)$ -set).

By $PIO(X)$ (resp. $\alpha IO(X)$), we denote the family of all pre-I-open (resp. α -I-open) sets of a space (X, τ, I) .

Theorem 3.1. $PIO(X) \cap D_1(\alpha, p) = \alpha IO(X)$.

Proof. Let $B \in PIO(X)$ and $B \in D_1(\alpha, p)$. Then $B \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(B))$ and $B \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(B)) = B \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(B)))$. Since $B \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(B))$, we have $B \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(B)) \cap B = B \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(B))) \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(B)))$, that is, B is an α -I-open.

Conversely, Let B be an α -I-open and since $B \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(B))) \subset \text{Int}(Cl^*(B))$, B is pre-I-open. Moreover, $B \subset B \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(B))) \subset B \cap \text{Int}(Cl^*(B)) \subset B$, that is, $B \in D_1(\alpha, p)$ -set.

It is known that α -continuous functions into a regular space are continuous [8]. Since every α -I-open set is an α -open set, the following corollary follows,

Corollary 3.1. If (Y, σ) is a regular space, $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is continuous if and only if it is both pre-I-continuous and $D_1(\alpha, p)$ -continuous.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 3.1 and since every α -I-open set is an α -open [4].

From Theorem 2.1 we can give the following theorem,

Theorem 3.2. For an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , the following statement are equivalent

- a) f is continuous,
- b) f is α -I-continuous and $D_1(c, \alpha)$ -continuous,
- c) f is pre-I-continuous and $D_1(c, p)$ -continuous,
- d) f is semi-I-continuous and $D_1(c, s)$ -continuous,
- e) f is β -I-continuous and $D_1(c, ps)$ -continuous.

Recall the following definition in [4].

Definition 3.3. A subset A of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is called

- a) t-I-set if $\text{Int}(Cl^*(A)) = \text{Int}(A)$,
- b) α^* -I-set if $\text{Int}(Cl^*(\text{Int}(A))) = \text{Int}(A)$,
- c) S-I-set if $Cl^*(\text{Int}(A)) = \text{Int}(A)$.

Note that every t -I-set is $D_I(c,p)$ -set, every S-I-set is $D_I(c,s)$ -set and every α^* -I-set is $D_I(c,\alpha)$ -set, but not conversely, see the following example.

Example 3.1. Let $X=\{a,b,c,d\}$, $\tau=\{\emptyset, X, \{b\}, \{d\}, \{b,d\}, \{a,b\}, \{c,d\}, \{b,c,d\}, \{a,b,d\}\}$ and $I=\{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a,c\}\}$. Then $A=\{b,c\}$ is $D_I(c,p)$ -set, $D_I(c,s)$ -set and $D_I(c,\alpha)$ -set, but it is not t -I-set, S-I-set and α^* -I-set.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Hayashi, Topologies defined by local properties, *Math. Ann.*, 156(1964), 205-215.
- [2] P. Samuels, A topology formed from a given topological spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.*, 10 (2) (1975), 409-416.
- [3] D. Janković and T.R. Hamlett, Compatible extensions of ideals, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, (7), 6-B(1992), 453-465.
- [4] E. Hatir and T. Noiri, On decompositions of continuity via idealization, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 96(4)(2002), 341-349.
- [5] M. Przemski, A decomposition of continuity and α -continuity, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 61 (1-2) (1993), 93-98.
- [6] J. Dontchev, On pre-I-open sets and a decomposition of I-continuity, *Banyan Math. J.*, 2(1996).
- [7] J. Dontchev and M. Przemski, On the various decompositions of continuous and some weakly continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, 71 (1-2) (1996), 109-120.
- [8] T. Noiri, On α -continuous functions, *Casopis Pest. Mat.*, 109 (1984), 118-126.
- [9] R. Vaidyanathaswamy, The localization theory in set-topology, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci.*, 20(1945), 51-61.