Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1 V. 49. pp. 123 - 129 (2000)

SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITIONS AND FEASIBLE DIRECTIONS IN THE AXIAL THREE-INDEX ASSIGMENT PROBLEM

Y. ÇEVEN

Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Depertment of Mathematics, 58140 Sivas-Turkey..

(Received July 17, 2000; Accepted Nov. 8, 2000)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we give some results on the spectral decomposition and generalized inverse of the matrix A which is the coefficient matrix of the axial three-index assignment problem and investigate relations between eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrices AA^{T} and $I-A^{+}A$ where A^{T} is the transpoze and A^{+} is the generalized inverse of A. It has been shown that the feasible directions of the axial three-index assignment problem can be investigated in terms of the eigenvectors of the matrix AA^{T} .

1. INTRODUCTION

Axial three-index assignment problem (AP) is a special case of general linear programming problem. AP is a close relative of the (axial) 3-dimensional transportation problem (TP). TP were first studied by Schell in [11] For further references concerning these problems see [1,4,6,8]. Application of AP was mentioned in [8,9] and also among the early algorithms and heuristics or this problem are those of [8,9].

In this study, we investigate algebraic characterizations of the singular value decompositions in the AP using the paper which was applied to the transportation problem by Bulut in 1991 [4]. It is also shown that the feasible directions of AP can be investigated in terms of the eigenvectors of the matrix AA^{T} and is obtained further results among the eigenvectors of this matrix.

AP can be stated as

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Minimize } \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} c_{ijk} x_{ijk} \\ \text{subject to } \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} \sum\limits_{k=1}^{n} x_{ijk} = 1, \ i = 1, ..., n \end{array}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{ijk} = 1, \ j = 1,...,n$$
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_{ijk} = 1, \ k = 1,...,n$$

where $x_{iik} = 1$ or 0 for all i, j, k=1, ..., n.

This problem can be formulated in the matrix form

$$\operatorname{Min} \{ \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{1}_{3\mathbf{n}}^{\mathrm{T}}, \ \mathbf{x} \ge 0 \}$$

where

 $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}} \\ \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{u}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \\ \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \end{bmatrix}$

 $\mathbf{x}^{T} = [x_{111}, x_{112}, ..., x_{nnn}], \mathbf{c}^{T} = [c_{111}, c_{112}, ..., c_{nnn}]$ and I_n is $n \times n$ identity matrix, l_n is the $1 \times n$ vector whose all entries are 1 and \otimes is the Kronecker product.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND THEOREMS

In this section, we give the basic definitions and theorems without proof.

Definition 2.1. (The Moree-Penrose inverse) The generalized inverse [3,4,5,6] A⁺ of an arbitrary $m \times n$ matrix A, is uniquely determined as that matrix which simultaneously satisfies the following system of four matrix equations:

 $AA^{+}A = A, A^{+}AA^{+} = A^{+}, (AA^{+})^{T} = AA^{+}, (A^{+}A)^{T} = A^{+}A$

Theorem 2.1. [3,4,5] Any $m \times n$ matrix A, of rank r, can be written as

$$A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_i E_i$$
 (2.1)

where $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge ... \ge \lambda_r > 0$ are the singular values of A, i.e., positive square roots of the positive eigenvalues of $A^T A$ and AA^T and the matrices

$$E_i = u_i v_i, i = 1, 2, ..., r$$
 (2.2)

satisfy

$$E_{i}E_{j}^{T} = 0, \ E_{i}^{T}E_{j} = 0, \ 1 \le i \ne j \le r$$

$$E_{i}E_{i}^{T}E_{i} = E_{i}, \quad \forall i$$

$$(2.3)$$

and where

$$AA^{T}\mathbf{u}_{i} = \lambda_{i}\mathbf{u}_{i}, \quad \forall i$$
(2.4)

$$\mathbf{A}^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{i}} = \lambda_{\mathrm{i}}\mathbf{v}_{\mathrm{i}}, \ \forall \mathrm{i}$$

The matrices E_i in Theorem 2.1 are partial isometries [3,4,7] and Eq.(2.1) is the singular value decomposition of the matrix A. Furthermore

$$A^{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} E_i^{T}$$
(2.6)

$$AA^{+} = \sum_{i=1}^{r} u_{i} u_{i}^{T}$$
 and $A^{+}A = \sum_{i=1}^{r} v_{i} v_{i}^{T}$ (2.7)

Theorem 2.2.[4,7] Consider the partitioned matrix A=[B,C] and let $N=(I-BB^+)C$ and $K=(I+C^T(B^+)^TB^+C)^{-1}$ then

$$A^{+} = \begin{bmatrix} B^{+} - B^{+}CKC^{T}(B^{+})^{T}B^{+} \\ N^{+} + KC^{T}(B^{+})^{T}B^{+} \end{bmatrix}$$

if and only if $N^+NC^T(B^+)^TB^+C = 0$.

Definition 2.2. [2.10] Consider the linear programming problem

 $\operatorname{Min} \left\{ \mathbf{c}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}, \ \mathbf{x} \ge 0 \right\}$

where A is $m \times n$ matrix, **b** is an $m \times 1$ vector. Let x_0 be a basic feasible solution. Then a nonzero vector **d** is a feasible direction at x_0 if and only if

Ad=0 and
$$d_i \ge 0$$
, if $x_{0i} = 0$

where x_{0j} is the jth component of x_0 and d_j is the j-th component of **d**.

Corollary 2.3. [2,10] For the linear programming problem $Min \{ c^T x \mid Ax = b, x \ge 0 \}$ the feasible direction at a feasible point x_0 can be investigated in terms of the eigenvectors corresponding to zero eigenvalues of the matrix I-A⁺A.

3. SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITION OF THE MATRIX A AND SOME RESULTS

In this section we obtain some results about spectral decomposition of the $3n \times n^3$ matrix A, of rank 3n-2, given in (1.2).

Using the matrices A and A^{T} , we compute the matrices AA^{T} and $A^{T}A$ as follows

$$AA^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} n^{2}I_{n} & nJ_{n} & nJ_{n} \\ nJ_{n} & n^{2}I_{n} & nJ_{n} \\ nJ_{n} & nJ_{n} & n^{2}I_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.1)

$$A^{T}A = J_{n} \otimes J_{n} \otimes I_{n} + J_{n} \otimes I_{n} \otimes J_{n} + I_{n} \otimes J_{n} \otimes J_{n}$$
(3.2)

where J_n is $n \times n$ matrix whose all entries are 1.

The characteristic equations of the matrices $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{A}^{T}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}^{T}\boldsymbol{A}$ are obtained as follows

$$\det(AA^{T} - \lambda I) = \lambda^{2} (n^{2} - \lambda)^{3n-3} (3n^{2} - \lambda) = 0$$
(3.3)

$$det(A^{T}A - \lambda I) = \lambda^{n^{3} - 3n + 2}(n^{2} - \lambda)^{3n - 3}(3n^{2} - \lambda) = 0$$
(3.4)
Let $\{u_{1}, ..., u_{3n-2}\}$ and $\{v_{1}, ..., v_{3n-2}\}$ the sets of eigenvectors corresponding to nonzero eigenvalues of the matrices AA^{T} and $A^{T}A$, respectively.

Corollary 3.1. The unit eigenvector corresponding to eigenvalue $3n^2$ of AA^T is

$$\mathbf{u_1}^{\mathrm{T}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3n}} \left[\mathbf{l_n} \ \mathbf{l_n} \ \mathbf{l_1} \right]$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u}_1^T = [\mathbf{x}_1^T, \mathbf{y}_1^T, \mathbf{z}_1^T]$. Using $AA^T\mathbf{u}_1 = 3n^2\mathbf{u}_1$, we obtain $J_n\mathbf{x}_1 = n\mathbf{x}_1$, $J_n\mathbf{y}_1 = n\mathbf{y}_1$, $J_n\mathbf{z}_1 = n\mathbf{z}_1$. Hence the proof is easily completed.

Corollary 3.2. The orthonormal eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues n^2 of AA^T are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u_i}^{T} &= [\mathbf{x_i}^{T}, 0^{T}, 0^{T}], \text{ for } i = 2,...,n \\ \mathbf{u_i}^{T} &= [0^{T}, \mathbf{y_i}^{T}, 0^{T}], \text{ for } i = n + 1,..., 2n - 1 \\ \mathbf{u_i}^{T} &= [0^{T}, 0^{T}, \mathbf{z_i}^{T}], \text{ for } i = 2n,..., 3n - 2 \end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{x}_i , \mathbf{y}_i and \mathbf{z}_i , $\forall i$, are the linearly independent solutions of the $J_n \mathbf{x}=0$ and $J_n \mathbf{y}=0$ and $J_n \mathbf{z}=0$ respectively.

Proof. Let $\mathbf{u}^T = [\mathbf{x}^T, \mathbf{y}^T, \mathbf{z}^T]$. From the equality $AA^T \mathbf{u} = n^2 \mathbf{u}$, we have $J_n \mathbf{x} = 0$, $J_n \mathbf{y} = 0$ and $J_n \mathbf{z} = 0$, and the corollary is trivial.

Corollary 3.3. The eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues $3n^2$ and n^2 of the matrix $A^T A$ defined in (3.2) are as follows, respectively

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \mathbf{1}_n^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_n^{\mathrm{T}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_n^{\mathrm{T}}$$

and

$$v_{i} = \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{1_{n}}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{1_{n}}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{I_{n}}^{T}) \mathbf{x}_{i}, \text{ for } i=2,...,n$$
$$v_{i} = \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{1_{n}}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{I_{n}}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{1_{n}}^{T}) \mathbf{y}_{i} \text{ for } i=n+1,...,2n-1$$
$$v_{i} = \frac{1}{n} (\mathbf{I_{n}}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{1_{n}}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{1_{n}}^{T}) \mathbf{z}_{i} \text{ for } i=2n,...,3n-2$$

where \mathbf{x}_i , \mathbf{y}_i and \mathbf{z}_i are the linearly independent solutions of the eqs. $J_n \mathbf{x} = 0$, $J_n \mathbf{y} = 0$ and $J_n \mathbf{z} = 0$.

Proof. Using the Eq.(2.5) Corollary 3.1 and Corollary 3.2 it can be easily proved.

Corollary 3.4. Let \mathbf{u}_i and \mathbf{v}_i for i=1,...,3n-2, be eigenvectors of AA^T and A^TA respectively. Then

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes (\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}}) \\ \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes (\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{Y} \mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{T}}) \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \\ (\frac{1}{\mathbf{n}} \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} + \mathbf{Z} \mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{T}}) \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{Y} = [\mathbf{y}_{2}, \mathbf{y}_{3}, \dots, \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{n}}] \text{ and } \mathbf{Z} = [\mathbf{z}_{2}, \mathbf{z}_{3}, \dots, \mathbf{z}_{\mathbf{n}}]$$

$$(3.5)$$

Proof. Using the Corollaries 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 and Eq. (2.2) we get

$$\mathbf{E}_{1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3} \mathbf{n}^{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} \\ \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \\ \mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{n}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

where $X = [x_2, x_3, ..., x_n]$,

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{i} &= \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i} \mathbf{x}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{l}_{n} \otimes \mathbf{l}_{n} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n}) \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } i = 2, \dots, n \\ \\ \mathbf{E}_{i} &= \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \mathbf{y}_{i} \mathbf{y}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{l}_{n} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n}) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } i = n + 1, \dots, 2n - 1 \\ \\ \\ \mathbf{E}_{i} &= \frac{1}{n} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \mathbf{z}_{i} \mathbf{z}_{i}^{\mathrm{T}} (\mathbf{I}_{n} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{n}) \end{bmatrix}, \text{ for } i = 2n, \dots, 3n - 2 \end{split}$$

and hence the matrix A can be easily obtained using the Eq. (2.1).

If we compare the matrices given in (1.2) and (3.5) we have

$$\mathbf{X}\mathbf{X}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{Y}\mathbf{Y}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{I}_{\mathrm{n}} - \frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}\mathbf{J}_{\mathrm{n}}.$$

4. THE CHARACTERIZATION OF THE FEASIBLE DIRECTIONS

Using Theorem 2.2, the Moore-Penrose inverse A^+ of A given in (1.2) is obtained in the form

$$A^{+} = \frac{1}{3n^{3}} \left[\mathbf{n}^{T} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T} \otimes (3n\mathbf{I}_{n} - 2\mathbf{J}_{n}) \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T} \otimes (3n\mathbf{I}_{n} - 2\mathbf{J}_{n}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T} (3n\mathbf{I}_{n} - 2\mathbf{J}_{n}) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{n}^{T} \right]$$
(4.1)

Thus we have

$$A^{+}A = \frac{1}{n^{2}} (J_{n} \otimes J_{n} \otimes I_{n} + J_{n} \otimes I_{n} \otimes J_{n} + I_{n} \otimes J_{n} \otimes I_{n}) - \frac{2}{n^{3}} J_{n} \otimes J_{n} \otimes J_{n}$$
(4.2)

$$I - A^{+}A = I_{n} \otimes I_{n} \otimes I_{n} - \frac{1}{n^{2}} (J_{n} \otimes J_{n} \otimes I_{n} + J_{n} \otimes I_{n} \otimes J_{n} + I_{n} \otimes J_{n} \otimes I_{n}) + \frac{2}{n^{3}} J_{n} \otimes J_{n} \otimes J_{n}$$
(4.3)

and

$$\det(A^{+}A - \lambda I) = \lambda^{n^{3} - 3n + 2} (\lambda - 1)^{3n - 2}$$
(4.4)

Corollary 4.1. The eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues 1 of the matrix $I-A^+A$ are in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_{i} &= \mathbf{x}_{j} \otimes \mathbf{y}_{k} \otimes \mathbf{z}_{t}, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, ..., (n-1)^{3} \\ \mathbf{u}_{i} &= \mathbf{x} \otimes \mathbf{y}_{k} \otimes \mathbf{z}_{t}, & \text{for } i = (n-1)^{3} + 1, ..., (n-1)^{3} + (n-1)^{2} \\ \mathbf{u}_{i} &= \mathbf{x}_{j} \otimes \mathbf{y} \otimes \mathbf{z}_{t}, & \text{for } i = (n-1)^{3} + (n-1)^{2} + 1, ..., (n-1)^{3} + 2(n-1)^{2} \\ \mathbf{u}_{i} &= \mathbf{x}_{j} \otimes \mathbf{y}_{k} \otimes \mathbf{z}, & \text{for } i = (n-1)^{3} + 2(n-1)^{2} + 1, ..., n^{3} - 3n + 2 \end{aligned}$$
(4.5)

where x_j , y_k and z_t , $2 \le j$, $k,t \le n$, are the eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalues 0 of the matrix J_n and x, y and z are the eigenvectors corresponding to eigenvalue n of J_n .

Proof. Since $rank(A^+A) = rank(A) = 3n - 2$ and A^+A has size $n^3 \times n^3$ the Eq. $A^+A=0$ has n^3-3n+2 linearly independent solutions. It can be shown that the linearly independent eigenvectors as in (4.5) satisfy the eq. $A^+Au=0$.

Corollary 4.2. The feasible directions of the problem given in (1.2) can be investigated in terms of the eigenvectors of the matrix J_n .

Proof. Using Theorem 2.3 and Corollary 4.1, it is easily seen.

REFERENCES

- E. BALAS AND M. J. SALTZMAN, An Algorithm for the Three-Index Assignment Problem, Operations Research, Vol. 39, No: (1991), 150-161.
- [2] M.S. BAZARAA C.M. SHELTY, "Nonlinear Programming, Theory and Algorithms", J. Wiley, New York, 1979.
- [3] A.BEN-ISRAEL AND T.N.GREVILLE, "Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications", Mc Graw-Hill, New York, 1974.
- [4] H.BULUT, Algebraic Characterizations of the Singular Value Decompositions in the Transportation Problem., J.Math. Anal. Appl., 154(1991), 13-21.
- [5] H.BULUT, Further Results on the Spectral Decomposition of an incidence Matrix, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 158 (1991), 466-475.

- [6] H. BULUT AND S.A. BULUT, Spectral Decomposition and Generalized Inverses in a Circularization Network Flow Problem, J.Math. Anal. Appl., 174(1993), 390-402.
- [7] S. L. CAMPBELL AND C.D. JR. MEYER, "Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations", Pitman, London, 1979.
- [8] W.P. PIERSKALLA, The Tri-Substitution Method for the Three Dimensional Assignment Problem, CORSJ. 5, 1967, 71-81.
- [9] W.P. PIERSKALLA, The Multidimensional Assignment Problem, Opns. Res., 16(1968), 422-431.
- [10] S.S.RAO, "Optimization Theory and Applications", Wiley-Eastern, 1984.
- [11] E.D. SCHELL, Distribution of a Product by several Properties, In Second Symposium in Linear Programming, DCS/Comptroller HQ.U.S. Air Force, 1955, 615-642.