

## $r^m$ - TYPE SOLUTIONS FOR A CLASS OF PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

N. ÖZALP

Ankara University, Faculty of Sciences, Dept. of Mathematics, Beşevler. 06100, Ankara, Turkey

(Received Jan 18, 2000; Accepted July 18, 2000)

### ABSTRACT

Some fundamental solutions of  $r^m$  - type for a class of iterated elliptic equations are given, including Laplace equation and its iterates.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Much of physical problems are solved in spherical or cylindrical domains. That means, most of the time, the solutions are symmetric functions with respect to a point or with respect to an axis. That is why, when investigating the solutions, we see a frequent use of the type of solutions in terms of a variable  $r$  defining a distance to a point. For some of the research have been done for various type of problems, we refer to the references [1 - 5]. Here in this study, we apply the idea to a class of linear partial differential equations of second order and its iterates. The class of equations under consideration is

$$Lu = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{r}{x_i} \right)^p \left[ x_i^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} + \alpha_i x_i \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right] + \lambda u = 0 \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\lambda, \alpha_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$  are real parameters,  $p (> 0)$  is a real constant and  $r$  is defined by

$$r^p = x_1^p + x_2^p + \dots + x_n^p. \quad (1.2)$$

The domain of the operator  $L$  is the set of all real valued functions  $u(x)$  of the class  $C^2(D)$ , where  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  denotes points in  $R^n$  and  $D$  is a regularity domain of  $u$  in  $R^n$ .

When we investigate the solutions of the equation (1.1), in the form of  $u = f(r^m), f \in C^2$ , we arrive at an Euler equation of second order

$$L[f(r^m)] = m^2 v^2 f''(v) + m(m-p+n(p-1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i) v f'(v) + \lambda f(v) = 0,$$

with  $v = r^m$ . Since, the complete solutions of this Euler equation can be derived easily, we conclude from here that, the functions in the form

$$f(r^m) = r^{mc}$$

(and the linear combinations) give solutions to (1.1), where  $c$  is a root of the characteristic equation  $m^2 c^2 + m(-p+n(p-1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i) c + \lambda = 0$ . This result suggests us to investigate the solutions of  $r^m$ -type, which will be the subject of next section.

## 2. $r^m$ -Type Solutions

We first give some properties of the operator  $L$ . By a direct computation, it can be shown that

$$L(r^m) = (m(m+\phi) + \lambda)r^m \quad (2.1)$$

where  $m$  is a real or complex parameter and

$$\phi = -p + n(p-1) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i. \quad (2.2)$$

The proof of the following lemma can be done easily by using induction argument on  $k$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $L$  be given by (1.1). If a function  $u$  has continuous derivatives of any order with respect to the variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , and with respect to the parameter  $m$ , then

$$\frac{\partial^i}{\partial m^i} (L^k u) = L^k \left( \frac{\partial^i}{\partial m^i} u \right) \quad (2.3)$$

where  $i$  is any positive integer and  $L^k$  denotes, as usual, the successive applications of the operator  $L$  onto itself, that is  $L^k u = L(L^{k-1} u)$ , where  $k$  is a positive integer.

Now, we investigate the solutions of  $r^m$ -type of the class of the equations  $L^k u = 0$ . Let us denote the coefficient of  $r^m$  in the equation (2.1) by  $\beta(m)$ , that is, let

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(m) &= m(m+\phi) + \lambda \\ &= m^2 + \phi m + \lambda \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Hence for  $\Delta = \phi^2 - 4\lambda$ , we have three cases:

1. For  $\Delta > 0$ , there are two different real roots  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  of the polynomial  $\beta(m)$ ,
2. For  $\Delta = 0$ , there is a multiple root  $m_1 = m_2 = w$  of the polynomial  $\beta(m)$ , and
3. For  $\Delta < 0$ , there are two conjugate complex roots  $m_1 = w_1 + iw_2$  and  $m_2 = w_1 - iw_2$  of the polynomial  $\beta(m)$ , where

$$m_1 = (-\phi + \sqrt{\Delta})/2, m_2 = (-\phi - \sqrt{\Delta})/2, \tag{2.5}$$

$$w = -\phi/2, w_1 = -\phi/2 \text{ and } w_2 = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-\Delta}$$

Now, we are ready to give the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** A fundamental solution for the iterated equation  $L^k u = 0$  can be given by

i. if  $\Delta > 0$ ,

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} [A_j r^{m_1} + B_j r^{m_2}] (\ln r)^j,$$

ii. if  $\Delta = 0$ ,

$$u = r^w \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} C_j (\ln r)^j,$$

or

iii. if  $\Delta < 0$ ,

$$u = r^{w_1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\ln r)^j [D_j \cos(w_2 \ln r) + E_j \sin(w_2 \ln r)],$$

where  $A_j, B_j, C_j, D_j, E_j, F_j$  are arbitrary constants.

**Proof.** By applying the operator  $L$  successively to the equation (2.1), clearly one has

$$L^k(r^m) = \beta^k(m)r^m. \tag{2.6}$$

Now,

i. Let  $\Delta > 0$ . Hence by (2.6),

$$L^k(r^m) = (m - m_1)^k (m - m_2)^k r^m. \tag{2.7}$$

Thus, for  $m = m_1$  and for  $m = m_2$  we have  $L^k(r^{m_1}) = 0$  and  $L^k(r^{m_2}) = 0$ , which means that the functions  $r^{m_1}$  and  $r^{m_2}$  are solutions of the equation  $L^k u = 0$ . Under the derivation of the expression (2.6) with respect to the parameter  $m$ , the left hand side gives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial m} (L^k(r^m)) = L^k \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial m} (r^m) \right) = L^k(r^m \ln r)$$

and the right hand side yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial m} (\beta^k(m)r^m) &= k\beta^{k-1}(m)\beta'(m)r^m + \beta^k(m)r^m \ln r \\ &= \beta^{k-1}(m)(k\beta'(m)r^m + \beta(m)r^m \ln r) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, setting  $\theta_1(m) = k\beta'(m)r^m + \beta(m)r^m \ln r$ , we obtain

$$L^k(r^m \ln r) = \beta^{k-1}(m)\theta_1(m) = (m - m_1)^{k-1}(m - m_2)^{k-1}\theta_1(m) \quad (2.8)$$

and from this we conclude that the functions  $r^{m_1} \ln r$  and  $r^{m_2} \ln r$  are also solutions of the equation  $L^k u = 0$ .

Once again, deriving (2.8) with respect to  $m$  yields

$$L^k(r^m (\ln r)^2) = \beta^{k-2}(m)\theta_2(m) = (m - m_1)^{k-2}(m - m_2)^{k-2}\theta_2(m)$$

where  $\theta_2(m) = (k-1)\beta'(m)\theta_1(m) + \beta(m)\theta_1'(m)$ , which, in turns, gives that the functions  $r^{m_1} (\ln r)^2$  and  $r^{m_2} (\ln r)^2$  are solutions of the equation  $L^k u = 0$ .

Proceeding in this way, by taking the derivative with respect to  $m$ ,  $(k-2)$  times in (2.8), finally, we get

$$L^k(r^m (\ln r)^{k-1}) = m\beta(m)\theta_{k-1}(m)$$

where  $\theta_{k-1}(m) = 2\beta'(m)\theta_{k-2}(m) + \beta(m)\theta_{k-2}'(m)$ . Hence,  $r^{m_1} (\ln r)^{k-1}$  and  $r^{m_2} (\ln r)^{k-1}$  are solutions of the equation  $L^k u = 0$ . Thus, by the principle of superposition, a complete solution of the equation  $L^k u = 0$  can be given by

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (A_j r^{m_1} + B_j r^{m_2})(\ln r)^j.$$

ii. Let  $\Delta = 0$ . Hence by (2.6),

$$L^k(r^m) = (m - w)^{2k} r^m$$

where  $w = m_1 = m_2$  is the multiple root of  $\beta(m)$ . It is obvious from (2.9) that  $r^w$  is a solution of  $L^k u = 0$ . In addition, by taking the derivative with respect to  $m$  successively, and using the idea of the preceding proof we conclude that the functions  $r^w (\ln r)^j$ ,  $(j = 1, 2, \dots, 2k-1)$  are also solutions of  $L^k u = 0$ . Hence by the principle of superposition the function

$$u = r^w \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} C_j (\ln r)^j$$

gives a complete solution.

iii. Let  $\Delta < 0$ . By the case (i), we know that the functions  $r^{m_1} (\ln r)^j$ , and  $r^{m_2} (\ln r)^j$ ,  $(j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1)$  are complex valued solutions of  $L^k u = 0$ . Now, to select out the real valued solutions from those, we remember the Euler formula

$$r^m = r^{w_1} r^{\pm iw_2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= r^{w_1} e^{\pm i w_2 \ln r} \\
 &= r^{w_1} [\cos(w_2 \ln r) \pm i \sin(w_2 \ln r)]
 \end{aligned}$$

From here, we can select real valued solutions for  $L^k u = 0$  as

$$[r^{w_1} \cos(w_2 \ln r)](\ln r)^j, [r^{w_1} \sin(w_2 \ln r)](\ln r)^j, (j = 0, 1, \dots, k-1).$$

Thus, the linear combination

$$u = r^{w_1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\ln r)^j (D_j \cos(w_2 \ln r) + E_j \sin(w_2 \ln r))$$

gives a complete solution of  $L^k u = 0$ .

In one dimensional case, the equation (1.1) becomes an Euler (equidimensional) equation of second order and hence  $r^m$  type solutions are replaced by  $x^m$  type solutions, as were expected. For if  $n=1$ , then  $r = x_1$  and letting  $x_1 = x$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ , the equation (1.1) is replaced by the Euler equation

$$Eu = x^2 \frac{d^2 u}{dx^2} + \alpha x \frac{du}{dx} + \lambda u = 0$$

and hence for  $\beta(m) = m^2 + (\alpha - 1)m + \lambda$ , we have  $\Delta = (\alpha - 1)^2 - 4\lambda$ ,

$$m_1 = (1 - \alpha + \sqrt{\Delta})/2, \quad m_2 = (1 - \alpha - \sqrt{\Delta})/2, \quad w = (1 - \alpha)/2 = w_1 \text{ and } w_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-\Delta}.$$

Thus we can give the following result for the solutions of the iterated Euler equation.

**Theorem 2.** The general solution of the iterated equation  $E^k u = 0$  can be given by

i. if  $\Delta > 0$ ,

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (A_j x^{m_1} + B_j x^{m_2})(\ln x)^j,$$

ii. if  $\Delta = 0$ ,

$$u = x^w \sum_{j=0}^{2k-1} C_j (\ln x)^j,$$

or

iii. if  $\Delta < 0$ ,

$$u = x^{w_1} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (\ln x)^j (D_j \cos(w_2 \ln x) + E_j \sin(w_2 \ln x)),$$

where  $A_j, B_j, C_j, D_j, E_j, F_j$  are arbitrary constants.

**REFERENCES**

- [1] ALTIN, A.: Solutions of Type  $r^m$  for a class of Singular Equations, *Inter. J. Math. And Math. Sci.* Vol5, Number 3 (1982) 613-619.
- [2] ALTIN, A.: Some expansion formulas for a class of singular partial differential equations, *Proc. Am. Mat. Soc.*, Vol. 85, #1, (1982), 42-46.
- [3] ALTIN A.: Radial type solutions for a class of third order equations and their iterates, *Math. Slovaca*, 49 (1999), No. 2, 183-187.
- [4] WEINSTEIN, A.: On a class of partial differential equations of even order, *Ann. Mat. Pura. Appl.* (4) 39 (1955), 245-254.
- [5] WEINSTEIN, A.: Singular partial differential equations and their applications, *Proc. Sympos. Univ. of Maryland* (1961), 29-49.