Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1 V. 52. (no.2) pp. 21-27 (2003)

# THE KOLMOGOROV GOODNESS-OF-FIT TEST OF INDEPENDENCE BASED ON COPULAS

#### Bilgehan Güven

Department of Statistics, Middle East Technical University 06531 Ankara, Turkey

(Received: March, 31,2003; Revised: Nov.05, 2003; Accepted: Nov.11, 2003)

## ABSTRACT

We present a method which reduces the Kolmogorov goodness-of-test of independence to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one sample test. The null distribution of test statistic is the same as the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test statistic in this test of independence.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Kolmogorov type test of independence for a pair of random variables has been tackled by many authors. Distribution free tests of independence (Blumm et al. [1], Hoeffding [4]) and the Cramér-von Misses test for independence (De Wet [2], Deheuvels [3]) are the well known examples of Kolmogorov type test. In both the distribution free and the Cramér-von Misses test, the characteristic function of the limiting null distribution of the test statistic is obtained and then the corresponding upper quantiles of it are tabulated.

Saunders and Laud [5] showed that a test statistic in the multidimensional Kolmogorov goodness-of-fit test can be reduced to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one sample statistic. Consequently, the distribution of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic can be used as the exact null distribution of the test statistic in the multidimensional Kolmogorov goodness-of-fit test.

In this article, it is showed that the Distribution free test for independence, which is one of the two-dimensional Kolmogorov goodness-of fit tests, is reduced to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one sample test, as the result of [5]. This reduction holds when the marginal distributions of a pair of continuous random variables are completely known. It provides us to have two things: the exact null distribution and the greatest lower bound (g.l.b.) of power of the test.

## 2. THE TEST

Let a pair of random variables (X, Y) have a bivariate distribution function (d.f.)  $F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho)$  with the fixed marginals  $F_X(x)$  and  $F_Y(y)$ . Then the test of independence is the following

$$H_{0}: F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho) = F_{X}(x)F_{Y}(y),$$
  

$$H_{1}: F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho) \neq F_{X}(x)F_{Y}(y),$$
(1)

where  $F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho)$  is a member of the family of bivariate distribution functions depending on the dependence parameter  $\rho$  such that

$$F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$$

when  $\rho = 0$ . For example, the bivariate normal distribution with correlation coefficient  $\rho$  is a member of such family.

A function  $C(u, v, \rho)$  on  $1^2 = \{(u, v) : 0 \le u, v \le 1\}$  is called a parametrized copula if it satisfies the conditions:  $C(u, v, \rho)$ is increasing in both u and  $v, C(1, v, \rho) = v$  for every  $v \in [0,1]$ and  $C(u,1,\rho) = u$  for every  $u \in [0,1]$ . The functions  $C(u, v, \rho) = \rho \max \{u + v - 1, 0\} + (1 - \rho) \min \{u, v\}$ and  $C(u, v) = uv \exp(-\rho \ln u \ln v)$ , where  $(u, v) \in 1^2$ and  $\rho \in [0,1]$  are the examples of a copula.

For any bivariate d.f.  $F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho)$  of a pair of continuous random variables, Sklar [6] proved that there exists a unique parametrized copula such that

$$F_{X,Y}(x,y,\rho) = C(u,v,\rho)$$

where  $u = F_X(x)$  and  $v = F_Y(y)$ .

Under  $H_0: F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho) = F_X(x)F_Y(y)$ , the copula representation of  $F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho)$  is  $\Pi(u, v) = uv$ .

Let  $\Pi = UV$  be a random variable produced by the transformations  $U = F_X(X)$  and  $V = F_Y(Y)$ . Define the collection of subsets of  $1^2$  by  $A_p = \{(u, v) : uv \le p\}$  and  $0 \le p \le 1$ .  $A_p$  is measurable and  $A_{p1} \subset A_{p2}$  if  $p_1 < p_2$ . Then there exists a unique c(p) for a given p such that  $P(A_{c(p)}) = p$  where  $P(A_{c(p)}) = F_{\Pi}(c(p))$  and  $F_{\Pi}(.)$  is the d.f. of  $\Pi$ . It follows that, for a given p, the critical region can uniquely be determined by  $F_{\Pi}(.)$ , when the transformed observation  $u_i v_i = F_X(x_i)F_Y(y_i)$  is used instead of  $(x_i, y_i)i = 1, 2..., n$ . It should be noted that the transformation  $T: (x_i y_i) \to F_X(x_i)F_Y(y_i)$ 

is not one-to-one, however, as it is explained above, the critical region is uniquely determined.

When continuous random variables X and Y are independent and whose marginal distribution functions  $F_X(x), F_Y(y)$  are completely known, the d.f. of  $\Pi$  is the d.f. of the product of two independent uniform random variables on [0,1] and is given by

$$F_0(w) = w(1 - \ln w), \qquad 0 \le w \le 1.$$
 (2)

Let  $F_{\Pi}(w)$  denote the d.f. of  $\Pi$ . Then, the hypotheses in (1) can be rewritten as:

$$H_{0}: F_{\Pi}(w) = F_{0}(w),$$
  

$$H_{1}: F_{\Pi}(w) \neq F_{0}(w).$$
(3)

Thus, the testing problem in (1) is reduced to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one sample test.

For the hypotheses in (3), the Kolmogorov-Smirnov one sample test statistic  $D_n$ , based on a sample of size n, is given by

$$D_n = \sup_{0 \le w \le 1} |S_n(w) - w(1 - \ln w)|,$$

where

$$S_n(w) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \delta(w - U_i V_i),$$

and  $\delta(t)$  is the d.f. of a point mass at the origin;  $\delta(t) = 0$ , if t < 0 and  $\delta(t) = 1$ , if  $t \ge 0$  and  $u_i = F_X(x_i), v_i = F_Y(y_i)$ .

We reject  $H_0: F_{\Pi}(w) = F_0(w)$ , if  $D_n > d_{n,\alpha}$ .

Numerical values of the percentage point  $d_{n,\alpha}$  of the distribution of

 $D_n$  have been tabulated for selected values of n when  $\alpha = 0.01$  and  $\alpha = 0.05$  and can be found in any book of the statistical tables.

The power P of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for the hypotheses in (3) is:

$$P = P(\sup_{0 \le w \le 1} |S_n(w) - w(1 - \ln w)| > d_{n,\alpha} |H_1), \quad (4)$$

where  $S_n(w)$  and  $d_{n,\alpha}$  are defined before.

## 3. THE BOUND FOR THE POWER OF THE TEST

In this section, the greatest lower bound (g.l.b.) of the power of the test is obtained and tabulated, when  $F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho)$  is a member of the Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern family and it is defined as:

$$F_{X,Y}(x, y, \rho) = F_X(x)F_Y[1+3\rho(1-F_X(x))(1-F_Y(y))],$$
(5)

with  $\rho \in [-1/3, 1/3]$ .

The copula representation of (5) is:  $C(u, v, \rho) = uv[1 + 3\rho(1 - u)(1 - v)] \quad 0 \le u, v \le 1.$  (6)

Lemma : Let the distributions of random variables X and Y be a member of the Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern family. Then the g.l.b. of the power of the test is:

 $P > 1 - P(0.78 - d_{n,\alpha} \le S_n(w) \le 0.78 + d_{n,\alpha}),$  (7) where  $S_n(w) \sim$  Binomial  $(n, 0.78 - 0.181\rho)$ . and  $\rho$  is the dependence parameter of the Farlie-Gumbel-Morgenstern distribution.

**Proof:** The g.l.b. of 
$$P$$
 in (4) is :  
 $P \ge P \left| S_n(w) - w_\Delta (1 - \ln w_\Delta) \right| \ge d_{n,\alpha}$ , (8)

where a point  $W_{\Delta}$  maximizes the function  $\Delta(w)$  defined as:

$$\Delta(w) = \left| F_{\Pi}(w) - w(1 - \ln w) \right|, \tag{9}$$

and  $S_n(w) \sim$  Binomial  $(n, F_{\Pi}(w_{\Delta}))$  (Stuart et al., [7]). Here  $F_{\Pi}(w_{\Delta})$  the d.f. of  $\Pi = UV$ , where (U, V) has the d.f. given in (6) with the standard uniform marginal distribution functions.

To find  $W_{\Delta}$ , we first obtain  $F_{\Pi}(w_{\Delta})$ . The probability density function of  $\Pi$  is uniquely determined by the inversion integral of the Mellin transform  $M(s_1,s_2)$  of  $\partial^2 C(u,v,\rho)/\partial u \partial v$ . It is given by

## **BİLGEHAN GÜVEN**

$$M(s_{1},s_{2}) = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} u^{s_{1}-1} v^{s_{2}-1} \frac{\partial^{2}C(u,v,\rho)}{\partial u \partial v} du dv$$
$$= \frac{1}{s_{1}s_{2}} + 3\rho \frac{(1-s_{1})(1-s_{2})}{s_{1}s_{2}(s_{1}+1)(s_{2}+1)}.$$
(10)

The variables  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  in (10) are replaced by S since U and V are dependent. The inversion integral of M(s,s) is:

$$F_{\Pi}(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} w^{-s} M(s,s) ds$$
  
=  $-\ln w - 3\rho(\ln w + 4w \ln w - 4w + 4),$ 

where  $0 \le w \le 1$ .

Thus, the d.f. of 
$$\Pi$$
 is:  
 $F_{\Pi}(w) = \int_{0}^{w} f_{\Pi}(t) dt = F_{0}(w) - g(w, \rho),$  (11)

where  $F_0(w)$  is given in (2) and

$$g(w, \rho) = 3\rho(2w^2 \ln w + w \ln w - 3w^2 + 3w).$$
(12)

When a pair of random variables (X, Y) has the Fairle-Gumbel-Morgenstern distribution, the function  $\Delta(w)$  in (9) is equal to  $g(w, \rho)$  in (12), which is maximized at the point  $w_{\Delta} = 0.41553$ . From (11), we get  $F_{\Pi}(w_{\Delta}) = 0.78 - 0.181 \rho$ . (13) Thus, (8) is equal to (7), when  $w_{\Delta} = 0.41553$ .

From (7), the g.l.b. of the power P of the test is tabulated for  $\alpha = 0.05$ , and some given n and p where  $S_n(w)$  is distributed as the binomial distribution with the parameters n and p given in (13). The results are the following table.

Table 1

The g.l.b. of the power of the 0.05-size test depending on n and  $\rho$ 

ρ	<i>n</i> = 3	<i>n</i> = 5	<i>n</i> = 7
-0.3	0.931	0.996	0.999
-0.2	0.917	0.995	0.999
-0.1	0.902	0.993	0.999
0.1	0.870	0.987	0.998
0.2	0.853	0.984	0.998
0.3	0.836	0.979	0.997

## REFERENCES

- Blum, J.R., Kiefer, J. Rosenblatt, M. (1961). Distribution free tests of independence based on the sample distribution function. Ann. Math. Stat. 32, 485-498.
- [2] De Wet, T. (1980) Cramér-von Misses test for independence. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 10, 38-50.
- [3] Deheuvels, P. (1981) An asymptotic decomposition for multivariate distribution free test of independence. Journal of Multivariate Analysis, 11, 102-113.
- [4] Hoeffding, W. (1948). A non-parametric test of independence. Ann. Math. Stat. 19, 546-557.
- [5] Saunders, R., Laud, P. (1980) The multidimensional Kolmogorov goodness-of-fit test. Biometrika 67, 237.
- [6] Sklar, A. (1959). Fonctionas de repartition á n dimensions et leura merges. Inst. Statist.Univ. Paris Pupl. 8, 229-231.
- [7] Stuart, A., Ord, J.K., (1999) Arnold, S. Kendall's Advanced Theory of Statistics, Arnold, London.