

ON THE HADAMARD PRODUCTS OF GCD AND LCM MATRICES

AHMET ALI ÖÇAL

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Gazi University, Ankara, Turkey.

(Received: July 21,2003; Revised: Oct.27,2003; Accepted: Oct.31,2003)

ABSTRACT

Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers. The matrix (S) having the greatest common divisor (x_i, x_j) of x_i and x_j as its i, j -entry is called the greatest common divisor (GCD) matrix on S . The matrix $[S]$ having the least common multiple $[x_i, x_j]$ of x_i and x_j as its i, j -entry is called the least common multiple (LCM) matrix on S . In this paper we obtain some results related with Hadamard products of GCD and LCM matrices. The set S is factor-closed if it contains every divisor of each of its elements. It is well-known, that if S is factor-closed, then there exist the inverses of the GCD and LCM matrices on S . So we conjecture that if the set S is factor-closed, then $(S) \circ (S)^{-1}$ and $[S] \circ [S]^{-1}$

matrices are doubly stochastic matrices and $\text{tr}((S) \circ (S)^{-1}) = \text{tr}((S)) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers. The matrix (S) having the greatest common divisor (x_i, x_j) of x_i and x_j as its i, j -entry is called the greatest common divisor (GCD) matrix on S . The study of GCD matrices was introduced by Beslin and Ligh [1]. They have shown that every GCD matrix is positive definite.

The matrix $[S]$ having the least common multiple $[x_i, x_j]$ of x_i and x_j as its i, j -entry is called the least common multiple (LCM) matrix on S . Smith [3] also considered the determinant of LCM matrix on a factor-closed set. We note that GCD matrix (S) and LCM matrix $[S]$ are invertible when S is factor-closed set. In this

paper we obtained some results related with determinant, rank, norm and permanent of the Hadamard product of GCD matrix (S) and LCM matrix [S]. Moreover we conjecture that if S is factor-closed, then the Hadamard product of GCD matrix (S) and the inverse of GCD matrix (S) is a doubly stochastic matrix and also the Hadamard product of LCM matrix [S] and the inverse of LCM matrix [S] is a doubly stochastic matrix. Again we conjecture that if S is factor-closed, then

$$\text{tr}((S) \circ (S)^{-1}) = \text{tr}((S)) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i .$$

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 1. The Hadamard product of two matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ and $B = (b_{ij})$ of the same size is just their element-wise product $A \circ B = (a_{ij} b_{ij})$.

Lemma 1. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers. If (S) is the GCD matrix and [S] is the LCM matrix defined on S, then

$$((S) \circ [S])_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_i^2 & \text{if } i = j \\ x_i x_j & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Proof. Consider the set S and if we denote the greatest common divisor of x_i and x_j with (x_i, x_j) and the least common multiple of x_i and x_j with $[x_i, x_j]$, then we have

$$(x_i, x_j)[x_i, x_j] = x_i x_j, \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Therefore by the definition 1, we get the proof.

Remark 1. Clearly (S) \circ [S] matrix is symmetric.

Theorem 1. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers. If (S) is the GCD matrix and [S] is the LCM matrix defined on S, then

$$\det((S) \circ [S]) = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1 and using the properties of determinants we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det((S) \circ [S]) &= \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 & x_1 x_2 & \dots & x_1 x_n \\ x_2 x_1 & x_2^2 & \dots & x_2 x_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_n x_1 & x_n x_2 & \dots & x_n^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) \det \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \\ &= (x_1 x_2 \dots x_n) \cdot 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and thus the proof is complete.

Definition 2. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers. The matrix $1/(S)$ is the $n \times n$ matrix whose i, j -entry is $\frac{1}{(x_i, x_j)}$. We call $1/(S)$ the reciprocal GCD matrix on S .

Corollary 1. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers. If (S) is the GCD matrix and $1/(S)$ is the reciprocal GCD matrix defined on S , then

$$\det((S) \circ 1/(S)) = 0.$$

Proof. Since $(S) \circ 1/(S)$ is the matrix whose all entries are equal to 1, it is easily seen that

$$\det((S) \circ 1/(S)) = 0.$$

Theorem 2. If (S) and $[S]$ are the GCD and LCM matrices defined on $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ the set of distinct positive integers, respectively, then

$$\text{rank}((S)o[S]) = 1.$$

Proof. Firstly by Theorem 1 we say that $\text{rank}((S)o[S]) < n$. If we denote the rows of $(S)o[S]$ with r_i ($i=1,2,\dots,n$), then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} r_1 &= x_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ r_2 &= x_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &\dots \\ r_n &= x_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n). \end{aligned}$$

So we write

$$r_i = \frac{x_1}{x_{i+1}} r_{i+1} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

Therefore r_1 is a multiple of the rows r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n . Hence by the elementary row operations it follows that the number of row which isn't zero of the matrix $(S)o[S]$ is 1 and thus the proof is complete.

Definition 3. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be $n \times n$ matrix over any commutative ring. The permanent of A written $\text{per}(A)$, or simply $\text{Per}A$, is defined by

$$\text{per}(A) = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)},$$

where the summation extends over all one-to-one functions from $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ to $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Now we can state the following result.

Theorem 3. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be an ordered set of distinct positive integers. If (S) and $[S]$ are the GCD and LCM matrices defined on S , respectively, then

$$\text{per}((S) \circ [S]) = n! \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^2.$$

Proof. For the brevity if we take $C = (S) \circ [S]$ and $C = (c_{ij})$ then by the Definition 3, we have

$$\text{per}(C) = \sum_{\sigma} c_{1\sigma(1)} c_{2\sigma(2)} \dots c_{n\sigma(n)}.$$

On the other hand we note that the sequence $(c_{1\sigma(1)}, c_{2\sigma(2)}, \dots, c_{n\sigma(n)})$ is called a diagonal of C , and the product $c_{1\sigma(1)} c_{2\sigma(2)} \dots c_{n\sigma(n)}$ is a diagonal product of C . Thus the permanent of C is the sum of all diagonal products of C . Considering the structure of the matrix $C = (S) \circ [S]$ we get result.

Corollary 2. If (S) is the GCD matrix defined on the set S of distinct positive integers, and $1/(S)$ is the reciprocal matrix of GCD matrix, then

$$\text{per}((S) \circ 1/(S)) = n!$$

Proof. Since $(S) \circ 1/(S)$ is the matrix whose all entries are equal to 1, it is easily seen that

$$\text{per}((S) \circ 1/(S)) = n!$$

Definition 4.(i) The $\| \cdot \|_1$ norm is defined for $A \in M_n$ by

$$\|A\|_1 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|.$$

(ii) The Euclidean norm or $\| \cdot \|_2$ norm is defined for $A \in M_n$ by

$$\|A\|_2 = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

(iii) The ∞ norm is defined for $A \in M_n$ by

$$\|A\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} |a_{ij}|.$$

(iv) The maximum row sum matrix norm is defined $A \in M_n$ by

$$\|A\|_r = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}|.$$

(v) The maximum column sum matrix norm is defined for $A \in M_n$ by

$$\|A\|_c = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n |a_{ij}|.$$

Theorem 4. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be an ordered set of distinct positive integers. If (S) and $[S]$ are the GCD and LCM matrices defined on S , respectively, then the following statements are satisfied:

$$(i) \|(S) \circ [S]\|_1 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2$$

$$(ii) \|(S) \circ [S]\|_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

$$(iii) \|(S) \circ [S]\|_\infty = x_n^2$$

$$(iv) \|(S) \circ [S]\|_r = \|(S) \circ [S]\|_c = x_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right).$$

Proof. If we denote i-th row sum of $(S)o[S]$ with r_{S_i} ($i=1,2,\dots,n$). Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} r_{S_1} &= x_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \\ r_{S_2} &= x_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ r_{S_n} &= x_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(S)o[S]\|_1 &= r_{S_1} + r_{S_2} + \dots + r_{S_n} \\ &= x_1 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) + x_2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) + \dots + x_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \\ &= (x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Again for the brevity let take as $C = (S)o[S]$ and $C = (c_{ij})$. Then we

have

$$\text{tr}(CC^T) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n |c_{ij}|^2 = \|C\|_2^2.$$

On the other hand from the structure of the matrix $(S)o[S]$, it is easily seen that

$$\text{tr}(CC^T) = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n x_i^2 \right)^2.$$

So we obtain

$$\|C\|_2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2.$$

(iii) Since $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is an ordered set of distinct positive integers, without loosing the generality we can assume that $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$.

Considering the definition of ∞ norm we find

$$\|(S) \circ [S]\|_{\infty} = x_n^2.$$

(iv) Clearly since $(S) \circ [S]$ is symmetric, we have

$$\|(S) \circ [S]\|_r = \|(S) \circ [S]\|_c.$$

On the other hand we can assume that $x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_n$. Therefore by the definition maximum row sum matrix norm (or maximum column sum matrix norm) we have

$$\|(S) \circ [S]\|_r = \|(S) \circ [S]\|_c = x_n \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right).$$

Thus the Theorem 4 is completely proved.

Definition 5. A set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of positive integers is said to be factor-closed (FC) is whenever x_i is in S and d divides x_i , then d is in S .

The above definition is due to J.J. Malone.

Remark 2. We note that if the set $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of distinct positive integers is not factor-closed, then an LCM matrix may not be invertible. But the GCD matrix (S) defined on any set S of distinct positive integers is always invertible.

Theorem 5. [2] Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers. If S is factor-closed, then the inverse of the GCD matrix (S) defined on S is the matrix $(S)^{-1} = (t_{ij})$, where

$$t_{ij} = \sum_{\substack{x_i | x_k \\ x_j | x_k}} \frac{1}{\varphi(x_k)} \mu(x_k / x_i) \mu(x_k / x_j),$$

$\varphi(\cdot)$ is Euler's totient function and $\mu(\cdot)$ denotes Moebius function.

Now we present the following.

Conjecture 1. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers and $(S)^{-1}$ be the inverse of the GCD matrix (S) defined on S . If S is factor-closed, then $(S) \circ (S)^{-1}$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, i.e.,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ (x_i, x_j) \sum_{\substack{x_i | x_k \\ x_j | x_k}} \frac{1}{\varphi(x_k)} \mu(x_k / x_i) \mu(x_k / x_j) \right\} = 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ (x_i, x_j) \sum_{\substack{x_i | x_k \\ x_j | x_k}} \frac{1}{\varphi(x_k)} \mu(x_k / x_i) \mu(x_k / x_j) \right\} = 1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Theorem 6. [2] Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers. If S is factor-closed, then the inverse of the LCM matrix $[S]$ defined on S is the matrix

, where

$$b_{ij} = \frac{1}{x_i x_j} \sum_{\substack{x_i | x_k \\ x_j | x_k}} \frac{1}{g(x_k)} \mu(x_k / x_i) \mu(x_k / x_j),$$

and g is defined for each positive integer m by

$$g(m) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{d|m} d \mu(d).$$

Conjecture 2. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers and $[S]^{-1}$ be the inverse of the LCM matrix $[S]$ defined on S . If S is factor-closed, then $[S] \circ [S]^{-1}$ is a doubly stochastic matrix, i.e.,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ \frac{[x_i, x_j]}{x_i x_j} \sum_{\substack{x_i | x_k \\ x_j | x_k}} \frac{1}{g(x_k)} \mu(x_k / x_i) \mu(x_k / x_j) \right\} = 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{[x_i, x_j]}{x_i x_j} \sum_{\substack{x_i | x_k \\ x_j | x_k}} \frac{1}{g(x_k)} \mu(x_k / x_i) \mu(x_k / x_j) \right\} = 1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

Conjecture 3. Let $S = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be a set of distinct positive integers and $(S)^{-1}$ be the inverse of the GCD matrix (S) defined on S . If S is factor-closed, then

$$\text{tr}((S) \circ (S)^{-1}) = \text{tr}((S)) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i,$$

i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ x_i \sum_{x_j | x_k} \frac{1}{\varphi(x_k)} \mu^2(x_k / x_j) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i .$$

Remark 3. The Conjecture 3 is not true for $[S]o[S]^{-1}$ matrix, i.e.,

$$\text{tr}([S]o[S]^{-1}) \neq \text{tr}([S]) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i .$$

For example, if $S = \{1, 2, 4\}$ (we note that the set S is factor-closed), then we have the following:

$$[S] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, [S]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \frac{-3}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{-1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$[S]o[S]^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & -3 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus since $\text{tr}([S]o[S]^{-1}) = -5$ and $\text{tr}([S]) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 7$, it follows that

$$\text{tr}([S]o[S]^{-1}) \neq \text{tr}([S]).$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S.Beslin and S.Ligh, Greatest Common Divisor Matrices, *Linear Algebra and Its Appl.*, 118:69-76 (1989)
- [2] K.Bourque and S.Light, On GCD and LCM Matrices, *Linear Algebra and Its Appl.*, 174:65-74 (1992)
- [3] H.J.S.Smith, On the Value of a Certain Arithmetical Determinant, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 7:208-212 (1875-1876)