

Original Research / Özgün Araştırma



An Investigation of the Relationship between Mothers' Traumatic Delivery Perception and Maternal Attachment

Annelerde Algılanan Travmatik Doğum Algısı ile Maternal Bağlanma Arasındaki İlişkinin İncelenmesi

İffet Nur Çalışır¹, Hacer Alan Dikmen^{*2}, Kamile Marakoğlu

ABSTRACT

Aim: To investigate the relationship between mothers' traumatic birth perception and maternal attachment. **Method:** The data for this descriptive and correlational study was collected from 280 mothers of 1- to 6-month old babies who were treated at family health centers between January and March 2020. The study was completed with 280 mothers. The data were collected using a Personal Information Form, the Maternal Attachment Scale (MAS), and the Traumatic Birth Perception Scale (TBPS). Data were evaluated by median, minimum-maximum values, Spearman correlation analysis, and Kruskal-Wallis analysis. **Results:** In our study, the median age of the mothers was 27 (17-41) years and the number of living children was 2 (0-6). Pregnancy was planned in 80.7% of the mothers, 97.1% had their pregnancy follow-ups regularly, 46.1% were attended by a midwife during delivery. We found that 71.8% of the mothers took their babies into their arms and 61.4% started their first breastfeeding in the first 30 minutes postpartum. The mothers' median score for MAS was 104 (74-104), and the median score for TBPS was 69.5 (0-130). In our study, there was no significant relationship between the mothers had a medium perception of traumatic birth. **Conclusion:** In our study, it was found that maternal attachment levels of the mothers were high and their perception of traumatic birth was medium. There was no significant relationship between the maternal attachment level of the mothers and their perception of traumatic birth. Accordingly, in future studies, maternal attachment level and perception of traumatic birth larger sample groups.

Key words: Birth, traumatic birth perception, maternal attachment, midwifery.

ÖZET

Amaç: Bu çalışmada annelerde algılanan travmatik doğum algısı ile maternal bağlanma arasındaki ilişkinin incelenmesi amaçlandı. **Yöntem:** Tanımlayıcı ve ilişki arayıcı türde yapılan çalışmanın verileri, Ocak-Mart 2020 tarihleri arasında aile sağlığı merkezine başvuran doğum sonu dönemde 1-6 aylık bebeği olan 280 anneden toplandı. Veriler Kişisel bilgi formu, Maternal Bağlanma Ölçeği (MBÖ) ve Travmatik Doğum Algısı Ölçeği (TDAÖ) ile toplandı. Veriler ortanca, minimum-maksimum değerleri, Spearman korelasyon analizi ve Kruskal-Wallis analizi ile değerlendirildi. **Bulgular:** Çalışmamızda annelerin yaş ortancası 27 (17-41), yaşayan çocuk sayısı 2 (0-6)'dir. Annelerin %80,7'si gebeliğini planladığını, %97,1'inin gebelik takiplerini düzenli yaptırdığını, %46,1'i doğumunu ebenin yaptırdığını belirtti. Annelerin %71.8'i bebeklerini ilk 30 dakika içinde kucağına almış ve %61.4'ü ilk emzirmeyi başlatmıştı. Annelerin MBÖ puan ortancası 104 (74-104), TDAÖ puan ortancası 69,5 (0-130)'dir. Çalışmamızda annelerin MBÖ ve TDAÖ puan ortancaları arasında korelasyon analiz sonucuna göre anlamlı bir ilişki saptanmadı (r=-0.031, p=0.608). Annelerin TDAÖ'ne göre %34.6'sının "orta" düzeyde travmatik doğum algısına sahip olduğu saptandı. **Sonuç:** Çalışmamızda annelerin maternal bağlanma düzeylerinin "yüksek", travmatik doğum algılarının "orta" düzeyde olduğu saptandı. Annelerin maternal bağlanma düzeyi ve travmatik doğum algıları arasında anlamlı ilişki yoktu. Buna göre gelecek çalışmalarda daha büyük örneklem grupları ile maternal bağlanma düzeyi ve travmatik doğum algısı incelenebilir.

Anahtar kelimeler: Doğum, travmatik doğum algısı, maternal bağlanma, ebelik.

Received / Geliş tarihi: 02.04.2021, Accepted / Kabul tarihi: 19.08.2021

¹Master Student, Department of Midwifery of Health Sciences Institute, Selcuk University, KONYA (ORCID: 0000-0002-0408-5334) ²Assoc. Prof. Dr., Department of Midwifery of Health Sciences Faculty, Selcuk University, KONYA (ORCID: 0000-0001-9617-4897) ³Prof. Dr., Department of Family Medicine of Medicine Faculty, Selcuk University, Selcuklu/KONYA (ORCID: 0000-0001-6510-8010)

*Address for Correspondence / Yazışma Adresi: Department of Midwifery, Faculty of Health Sciences, Selcuk University, 42250, Selcuklu/KONYA-TÜRKİYE,

E-mail: alanhacer@selcuk.edu.tr

Calisir IN, Dikmen HA, Marakoglu K. An Investigation of the Relationship between Mothers' Traumatic Delivery Perception and Maternal Attachment. TJFMPC, 2021;15(4): 715-725.

INTRODUCTION

The most important reason why birth is a very special event is that it has psychological, physiological, and sociological aspects. Therefore, the mother should be evaluated in all aspects at birth. Birth is a milestone in the lives of both parents and the family. Every parent and family can perceive this process differently. This can be perceived as positive in some individuals and negative (i.e., traumatic) in others.¹

Women's perceptions of the childbirth process are influenced by the women's personality traits, expectations, and the meaning she attributes to her birth experience, and it may be different for each woman. Callister investigated the perceptions of birth in mothers of different cultures and reported that women perceived birth positively as courage, an enlivening event, respect for the capacity to give birth, gaining experience, success, establishing a common bond with other mothers, and a spiritual experience that makes you feel closer to God. On the other hand, they also perceived it negatively as intense anxiety and fear.²

Traumatic birth is a woman's perception of "giving birth" as an injury or death threat for the baby or herself.³ The women's feelings are more important in women's perception of birth than the actual events experienced. Although labor is a natural process, it can be regarded by women as an unknown and fearful event.^{3,4} If the women's perception of traumatic birth is not evaluated and treated, it can damage family relationships, reduce breastfeeding, and, in the long term, cause emotional, cognitive, and behavioral disorders in their children. For this reason, it is important to prevent the traumatic birth experience in the protection and improvement of health.³

The effects of the perception of traumatic birth on women can be listed as disappointment about the birth, difficulty in family relationships, avoidance of sexual intercourse, fear of having a child again, guilty feeling that the baby has a negative experience, failure to establish a bond between mother and baby, and problems with breastfeeding.⁵ In this context, the perception of traumatic birth may also affect maternal attachment.

First days after birth are quite difficult for the mother who has to adapt to her newborn baby, deal with postpartum disorders, assume new roles, get accustomed to the new order in the family, and cope with the changes in her body.⁶ Maternal attachment is a unique love relationship that develops between the mother and the baby over time.⁷ In the postpartum period, risk factors affecting maternal attachment are the mother's general poor relationship with her partner, not having social security, the baby's not distinguishing the mother from strangers, the baby's not having a health problem, support from the partner's family for child care, not getting enough social support, having an unwanted pregnancy, and living in a district/village for the longest time in life.^{8,9}

A mother's affectionate and sincere attachment to her baby, i.e., maternal attachment, is one of the most important factors that boost the child's healthy growth and development. The first attachment relationship that the baby experiences is the basis for the attachment relationships that it will experience later.¹⁰ The attachment style of the mother who gives the primary care in infancy is thought to affect romantic relationships, social relations, and healthy maintenance of social life during childhood, adolescence, adulthood, and old age.¹¹ To this end, for the attachment relations to be healthy in the future life of the child, the motherbaby attachment should begin strongly in infancy. Therefore, maternal attachment is important.

Traumatic delivery may cause postpartum depression, posttraumatic stress, and delayed maternal attachment.¹² There is no study in the literature examining the relationship between mothers' perception of traumatic birth and maternal attachment levels. For this reason, this study was carried out to better understand the perception of traumatic birth of mothers of 1- to 6-month old babies and to determine the relationship between this perception and maternal attachment.

Research Questions

- 1. What is the maternal attachment level of the mothers?
- 2. What is the level of mothers' perception of traumatic birth?
- 3. Is there a relationship between mothers' maternal attachment level and their perception of traumatic birth?

METHODS

Study Design

The universe of this descriptive and correlational research was composed of mothers who were presented to nine family health centers in Konya city center between January and May 2020.

Data Collection

Although there are different rates in the literature, the prevalence of traumatic birth varies between 20% and 30%.^{3,13,14} The sample size of the study

was calculated as 265 participants with the G* Power program 3.1 with 0.20 (medium) effect size, statistical power of 90%, and an α error probability level of 0.05.¹⁵ Considering a possible data loss, we planned to take 10% more. During the data collection period, 293 women were interviewed, but 280 people agreed to participate in the study, so the study was completed with 280 women.

Data Collection Tools

The data were collected using the "Personal Information Form" containing personal information; the Maternal Attachment Scale (MAS) to evaluate the mother-infant attachment, and the Traumatic Birth Perception Scale (TBPS) to evaluate the perception of traumatic birth. Mothers who met the inclusion criteria were informed about the study and asked whether they agreed to participate in the study. Verbal consent was obtained from mothers who agreed to participate in the study. It took 15-20 minutes to fill out the forms. Study data were collected in privacy in a special room allocated in family health centers. Care was taken to ensure that the room where the data were collected was warm and bright, and nobody was allowed to enter this room other than the participant and the researcher.

Personal Information Form

We developed the Personal Information Form in line with the literature.^{8,10,12,13,16} The form consists of 38 items in total: 12 items examining sociodemographic characteristics (age, marital status, family type, marriage age, educational status, spouse's educational status, profession, income status); 14 items examining obstetric characteristics (the number of pregnancies, the number of living/dead children and abortus, last birth experience, last pregnancy outcome, the method for the preparation for delivery, method of delivery, education status, source of information); and, 12 items examining the characteristics of the mother and the baby after birth.

Maternal Attachment Scale (MAS)

The MAS was developed by Mary E. Muller (1994) to measure attachment by maternal affection. The content validity of the scale was evaluated by linguists, theorists, obstetrics nurses, pediatric nurses, and 12 experts with a newborn.¹⁷ Since the MAS is a self-administered scale, it can be administered only to individuals who are literate and can understand what they read. The scale consists of twenty-six 4-point Likert-type items. Each item includes direct statements, and is scored as: Always (a) = 4 points, Often (b) = 3 points, Sometimes (c) = 2 points, and Never (d) = 1 point.

A general score is obtained from the sum of all items. Higher scores indicate higher maternal attachment. The score range of the scale varies between 26 and 104. The scale does not have a cut-off score. The MAS is administered to mothers with at least a 1-month-old baby. It is not applied to pregnant women and those with a baby younger than 1 month.¹⁰ Permission was obtained to use the scale in this study. The Cronbach alpha coefficient in this study was calculated as 0.89.

Traumatic Birth Perception Scale (TBPS)

The TBPS is a measurement tool developed by Yalnız et al. (2016) to determine the level of women's perception of labor as traumatic. This scale is applied in the following periods to identify the women who perceive labor as traumatic: at 15th-49th day follow-ups, in the preconception period, during prenatal and postpartum follow-ups, or in delivery rooms and obstetric wards.³ Based on the internal consistency analysis, the Cronbach alpha reliability coefficient of the scale was found as 0.89. The scale consists of 13 items and the minimum score of the scale is 0 and the maximum score is 130. Each item has a scoring system from 0 to 10: 0-26 very low, 27-52 low, 53-78 medium, 79-104 high, 105-130 very high traumatic birth perception level.³ Permission was obtained to use the scale in this study. In this study, the Cronbach alpha coefficient was calculated as 0.90.

Ethical Permission

Before the study, ethical permission was obtained from Konya Selcuk University Faculty of Health Sciences Non-Invasive Clinical Research Ethics Committee (2019/14544). Institutional permission for the study was obtained from Konya Provincial (2019/86737044). Health Directorate The permissions to use all the scales in the study were obtained from the researchers who have established the scales' Turkish validity and reliability. The women participating in the study were informed that their personal information would be kept confidential, and then, their verbal consents were obtained.

Data Analysis

SPSS 20.0 was used for statistical analyses of the data. Before the analyses, we checked whether the data was normally distributed or not, and found by the Kolmogorov Smirnov test that the data were not normally distributed. In the analyses, together with descriptive statistics, the Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare two independent groups, the Kruskal Wallis test to compare more than two independent groups, and the Spearman correlation

analysis to compare two quantitative variables. Statistical significance was set at p<0.05.¹⁸

RESULTS

We observed that the median age of the mothers was 27 years (17-41), and the median total number of pregnancies was 2 (1-8). We also observed that 47.9% of the mothers were secondary education graduates, 81.8% were unemployed, 15% of them had 'insufficient' perceived income, and 79.3% lived in a city for the longest time in their life. We found that 61.1% of the mothers had vaginal delivery in the last childbirth, 80.7% had a planned pregnancy, 46.1% of them were attended by a midwife during delivery, and 65.4% of them received information about the labor during their pregnancy (Table 1).

The mothers' median MAS score was 104 (74-104). Their median TBPS score was 69.5 (0-130). Categorical distribution of the mothers' TBPS scores was: 9.3% very low, 19.6% low, 34.6% medium, 24.6% high, and 11.8% very high traumatic birth perception.

In the last childbirth experience, 60% of the mothers stated that the support of the attending midwife/physician and 53.2% stated that the violation of privacy was at the "expected level"; and 36.4% stated fear, 37.9% anxiety, 36.1% pain, and 22.1% postpartum pain was "more than expected" (Table 2).

The median birth weight of the babies in the study was 3207.5 (900-4500) grams and their then-current median weight was 5400 (2000-11570) grams. Infants were on median 3 (1-24) months, 46.4% of babies were girls, 98.6% of the babies were born in the sex the mothers wanted, 28.2% of them took their babies in their arms for the first time at post-partum 31st minute or later, and 38.6% of them breastfed them for the first time after the 31st minute after delivery. We found that 82.1% of the babies were able to distinguish their mothers from strangers, 85.4% did not stay in an incubator, 85.4% did not develop any health problems after birth, and 78.2% of the mothers fed their babies with breastfeeding (Table 3).

Table 1. Mothers' socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics $(n = 280)$

Variables	Median
	(Minimum-Maximum)
Mother's age	27 (17-41)
Duration of marriage (Year)	5 (1-22)
Number of pregnancies	2 (1-8)
Number of living children	2 (0-6)
	n (%)
Family Type	
Nucleus	231(82.5)
Extended	49(17.5)
Education Status	
Primary School	68(24.2)
Secondary School	134(47.9)
University or higher	78(27.9)
Employment Status	
Employed	51(18.2)
Unemployed	229(81.8)
Perception of Income Level	
Income more than expenses	42(15)
Income equals expenses	218(77.9)
Expenses more than income	20(7.1)
Place of residence resided in longest	
City	222(79.3)
District	58(20.7)
Mode of last delivery	
Vaginal	171(61)
Vaginal with intervention	15(5.4)
Cesarean section with general anesthesia Cesarean section	37(13.2)
with epidural-spinal anesthesia	57(20.4)
Planned pregnancy	

Yes	226(80.7)
No	54(19.3)
Perinatal follow-up	
Yes	272(97.1)
No	8(2.9)
Who assisted the birth	
Physician	151(53.9)
Midwife	129(46.1)
Information received during pregnancy	
Yes	183(65.4)
No	97(34.6)
If yes, who provided the information	
Health care professionals	217(77.5)
Family	41(14.6)
Media	22(7.9)
Information was sufficient	
Yes	157(56.1)
No	12(4.3)
Partially	111(39.6)

Table 2. Mothers' characteristics regarding their last childbirth experience (n = 280)

Variables	n (%)
Midwife support	
Less than expected	18(6.4)
At an expected level	168(60)
More than expected	94(33.6)
Physician support	
Less than expected	37(13.2)
At an expected level	168(60)
More than expected	75(26.8)
Fear	
Less than expected	50(17.9)
At an expected level	128(45.7)
More than expected	102(36.4)
Anxiety	
Less than expected	51(18.2)
At an expected level	123(43.9)
More than expected	106(37.9)
Pain	
Less than expected	42(15)
At an expected level	137(48.9)
More than expected	101(36.1)
Post-partum pain	
Less than expected	64(22.9)
At an expected level	154(55)
More than expected	62(22.1)
Violation of privacy	
Less than expected	91(32.5)
At an expected level	149(53.2)
More than expected	40(14.3)

Table 3. Features related to mother-infant relationship in the po	stnatal _I	period ((n = 280)
---	----------------------	----------	-----------

Variables	Median
	(Minimum-Maximum)
Babies' weight at birth (gr)	3207.50 (900-4500)
Babies' then-current birth (gr)	5400 (2000-11570)
Babies age (month)	3 (1-24)
	n (%)
Gender	
Female	130 (46.4)
Male	150 (53.6)
Satisfaction with the baby's gender	
Yes	276 (98.6)
No	4 (1.4)
Holding the baby for the first time	
Within postpartum 30 minutes	201 (71.8)
After postpartum 30 minutes	79 (28.2)
First breastfeeding	
Within postpartum 30 minutes	172 (61.4)
After postpartum 30 minutes	108 (38.6)
Mode of feeding the baby	
Breastfeeding	219 (78.2)
Formula/supplements	15 (5.4)
Breastfeeding + formula	46 (16.4)
Status of baby's recognition of the mother	
Yes	230 (82.1)
No	50 (17.9)
Status of husband's wanting the baby	
Yes	268 (95.7)
No	12 (4.3)
Baby stayed in an incubator	
Yes	41 (14.6)
No	239 (85.4)
Baby has a health problem	
Yes	41 (14.6)
No	239 (85.4)

There was no statistically significant relationship between the median MAS score of the mothers and mothers' age, duration of the marriage, the total number of living children, birth weights and then-current weights of the babies, and the age of the babies ($p \ge 0.05$). A very weak, positive, and statistically significant relationship was found between the maternal attachment level of the mothers and the total number of births (rs=0.11: p<0.05). A statistically insignificant relationship was found between the level of traumatic birth perception of the mothers and maternal age, duration of the marriage, total number of births, total number of living children, babies' birth weights, and their then-current weights ($p \ge 0.05$). There was no statistically significant relationship between maternal attachment level and traumatic birth perception levels of the mothers $(p \ge 0.05)$ (Table 4).

As seen in Table 5 in which maternal attachment and traumatic birth perception levels and socio-demographic and obstetric characteristics are compared, only a statistically significant difference was found between mothers' perception of income status and the MAS score (p = 0.041). There was no statistically significant difference between other variables and the mother's MAS and TBPS scores ($p \ge 0.05$) (Table 5).

There was a statistically significant difference between the baby's ability to distinguish its mother from strangers and the median MAS score (p=0.048). There was a statistically significant difference between the mothers' experience of fear, anxiety, pain, and postpartum pain and the median TBPS score (p<0.001) (Table 6).

Table 4. Evaluation of mothers' some socio-demographic, obstetric, and the number of children and TBPS and MAS scores by correlation analysis (n = 280)

Variables		MAS		TBPS
	r _s	р	r _s	р
Mother's age	0.010	0.865	-0.109	0.068
Duration of marriage	0.048	0.422	0.008	0.900
Total number of births	0.119	0.047	0.017	0.780
Total number of living children	0.088	0.142	0.028	0.641
Baby's birth weight	-0.039	0.519	-0.070	0.242
Baby's then-current weight	0.002	0.974	0.007	0.912
Baby's age	0.029	0.632	0.019	0.754
TBPS	-0.031	0.608		

rs: Spearman's correlation coefficient, MAS: Maternal Attachment Scale, TBPS: Traumatic Birth Perception Scale.

Table	5.	Comparison	of	maternal	attachment	and	traumatic	birth	perception	levels	and	socio-
demog	rap	hic and obstet	ric	characteris	stics of mothe	rs (n	= 280)					

Variables	n	MAS	z, KW; p	TBPS	z, KW; p						
		Median	_	Median	_						
		(Minimum-		(Minimum-							
		Maximum)		Maximum)							
Family Type	Family Type										
Nucleus	231	103 (74-104)	z = -0.600	70 (0-130)	<i>z</i> = -0.560						
Extended	49	104 (81-104)	p = 0.548	69 (4-124)	p = 0.575						
Education Status											
Primary	68	104 (78-104)	<i>KW</i> = 5.053	73.5 (7-124)	<i>KW</i> = 1.791						
Secondary	134	104 (80-104)	p = 0.080	69 (4-130)	p = 0.408						
University and higher	78	103 (74-104)	-	68.5 (0-130)	_						
Employment Status											
Employed	51	103 (74-104)	z = -1.346	67 (0-124)	<i>z</i> = -1.928						
Unemployed	229	104 (78-104)	p = 0.178	70 (4-130)	p = 0.054						
Perception of Income	•	•			•						
Income less than expenses	42	104 (78-104)	KW = 6.369	74 (7-124)	KW = 0.837						
Income equals expenses	218	103 (74-104)	p = 0.041	69 (4-130)	p = 0.658						
Income more than expenses ^a	20	101.5 (91-104)	-	70 (0-124)	1						
Place resided longest	•	· · · · ·		• • • ·	·						
Province	222	104 (74-104)	<i>z</i> = -1.356	69 (0-130)	<i>z</i> = -0.816						
District	58	104 (78-104)	p = 0.175	74 (17-120)	p = 0.415						
Mode of last delivery											
Vaginal	171	104 (78-104)	KW = 3.005	69 (0-130)	<i>KW</i> = 3.196						
Vaginal with intervention	15	102 (85-104)	<i>p</i> = 0.391	78 (35-111)	<i>p</i> = 0.362						
Cesarean section with general	37	103 (91-104)		74 (22-124)							
anesthesia											
Cesarean section with epidural-	57	104 (74-104)		68 (11-130)							
spinal anesthesia											
Planned pregnancy											
Yes	226	103 (74-104)	z = -0.260	68.5 (0-130)	<i>z</i> = -1.660						
No	54	104 (78-104)	<i>p</i> = 0.795	77.5 (7-130)	<i>p</i> = 0.097						
Pregnancy follow-up											
Yes	272	104 (74-104)	<i>z</i> = -0.021	69.5 (0-130)	<i>z</i> = -0.585						
No	8	103.5 (91-104)	p = 0.983	66.5 (7-103)	p = 0.559						
Person assisted delivery											
Physician	151	103 (74-104)	<i>z</i> = -1.828	68 (0-130)	<i>z</i> = -0.776						
Midwife	129	104 878-104)	p = 0.067	70 (4-130)	<i>p</i> = 0.438						

Received information during pregnancy							
Yes	183	103 (74-104)	z = -1.504	70 (0-130)	<i>z</i> = -0.634		
No	97	104 (81-104)	p = 0.133	68 (7-124)	<i>p</i> = 0.526		
If yes, where did you get informa	tion						
Health care professional	217	104 (74-104)	KW = 3.770	68 (0-130)	<i>KW</i> = 3.727		
Family	41	103 (78-104)	p = 0.152	77 (30-120)	p = 0.155		
Media	22	102.5 (92-104)		78.5 (10-119)			
Information was sufficient							
Yes	157	103 (80-104)	KW = 2.185	68 (0-130)	KW = 1.035		
No	12	103 (93-104)	p = 0.335	75.5 (17-120)	p = 0.596		
Partially	111	104 (74-104)		70 (7-125)			

z: Mann Whitney U test, KW: Kruskal Wallis H test, MAS: Maternal Attachment Scale, TBPS: Traumatic Birth

Table 6. Comparison of the maternal attachment and traumatic birth perception levels of the mothers

Perception Scale. ^a group whose significance was established by the Bonferroni test.

Variables	n	MAS Median	z, KW; p	TBPS Median	z, KW; p	
		(Minimum- Maximum)		(Minimum- Maximum)		
Midwife support		, in the second s		101uAnnum)		
Less than expected	18	103 (84-104)	<i>KW</i> = 5.961	61.5 (20-117)	<i>KW</i> = 1.006	
As expected	168	103 (74-104)	p = 0.051	71 (7-130)	p = 0.605	
More than expected	94	104 (81-104)		67 (0-130)		
Physician support						
Less than expected	37	104 (74-104)	KW = 0.561	77 (18-120)	<i>KW</i> = 1.787	
As expected	168	103 (80-104)	p = 0.756	70 (7-130)	p = 0.409	
More than expected	75	104 (81-104)		64 (0-130)		
Fear						
Less than expected	50	102 (91-104)	KW = 6.004	40 (0-120)	<i>KW</i> = 27.86	
As expected	128	104 (78-104)	p = 0.050	69 (7-122)	p = 0.000	
More than expected ^a	102	104 (74-104)		80.5(19-130)		
Anxiety						
Less than expected	51	102 (91-104)	<i>KW</i> = 5.095	55 (0-124)	<i>KW</i> = 20.99	
As expected	123	104 (78-104)	p = 0.078	67 (7-122)	p = 0.000	
More than expected ^a	106	104 (74-104)		79 (19-130)		
Pain						
Less than expected	42	103 (81-104)	KW = 0.173	62.5 (10-120)	<i>KW</i> = 15.26	
As expected	137	104 (74-104)	<i>p</i> = 0.917	67 (0-124)	p = 0.000	
More than expected ^a	101	103 (80-104)		78 (20-130)		
Postpartum pain						
Less than expected	64	103 (78-104)	KW = 0.246	57.5 (0-122)	KW = 18.82	
As expected	154	103.5 (74-104)	p = 0.884	69.5 (4-130)	p = 0.000	
More than expected ^a	62	104 (80-104)		88.5 (21-130)		
Violation of privacy						
Less than expected	91	103 (74-104)	<i>KW</i> = 5.981	70 (0-130)	KW = 0.097	
As expected	149	104 (78-104)	p = 0.050	70 (7-130)	<i>p</i> = 0.952	
More than expected	40	104 (81-104)		64 (4-124)		
Baby's gender			.	-		
Female	130	104 (84-104)	<i>z</i> = -1.224	67.5 (0-130)	<i>z</i> = -1.610	
Male	150	103 (74-104)	p = 0.221	71 (4-124)	p = 0.108	
Satisfaction with the baby's gender						

with the birth experience and mother-infant relationship characteristics (n = 280)

276	104 (74-104)	z = -0.117	69(0-130)	z = -1.359					
4	103 (98-104)	p = 0.907	89.5 (58-114)	p = 0.176					
Holding the baby for the first time									
201	103 (80-104)	<i>z</i> =-0.789	70 (0-130)	<i>z</i> = -0.127					
		p = 0.430		p = 0.899					
79	104 (74-104)		69 (11-130)						
172	103.5 (80-104)	<i>z</i> = -0.163	68 (0-130)	z = -1.446					
		p = 0.871		p = 0.148					
108	104 (74-104)		70 (4-130)						
219	104 (78-104)	<i>KW</i> = 2.768	69 (0-130)	<i>KW</i> = 1.285					
15	102 (74-104)	p = 0.251	77 (48-124)	p = 0.526					
46	104 (91-104)		72.5 (7-130)						
other									
230	103 (74-104)	<i>z</i> = -1.981	70 (0-130)	z = -0.515					
50	104 (80-104)	<i>p</i> = 0.048	68 (17-124)	p = 0.607					
baby	•	•	•						
268	103.5 (74-104)	z = -0.258	69 (0-130)	z = -1.403					
12	104 (78-104)	p = 0.796	87.5 (40-115)	p = 0.161					
	• • • •	• •		•					
41	104 (81-104)	z = -0.449	63 (7-130)	<i>z</i> =-1.184					
239	104 (74-104)	p = 0.653	70 (0-130)	p = 0.854					
41	104 (74-104)	z = -1.007	63 (7-121)	z = -0.798					
239	103 (78-104)	p = 0.314	70 (0-130)	p = 0.425					
	276 4 ne 201 79 172 108 219 15 46 230 50 baby 268 12 41 239	270 $104 (74-104)$ 4 $103 (98-104)$ ne 201 $103 (80-104)$ 79 $104 (74-104)$ 79 $104 (74-104)$ 108 $104 (74-104)$ 108 $104 (74-104)$ 108 $104 (74-104)$ 108 $104 (74-104)$ 108 $104 (74-104)$ 46 $104 (91-104)$ nother 230 $103 (74-104)$ 268 $103.5 (74-104)$ 50 $104 (78-104)$ 50 $104 (78-104)$ 41 $104 (74-104)$ 239 $103 (78-104)$	276 104 (74-104) $z = -0.117$ 4 103 (98-104) $p = 0.907$ ne 201 103 (80-104) $z = -0.789$ 79 104 (74-104) $z = -0.789$ 9 104 (74-104) $z = -0.789$ 108 104 (74-104) $z = -0.789$ 108 104 (74-104) $z = -0.163$ 15 102 (74-104) $p = 0.871$ 15 102 (74-104) $p = 0.251$ 104 (80-104) $z = -1.981$ $p = 0.048$ $p = 0.048$ baby 268 103.5 (74-104) $z = -0.258$ 12 104 (78-104) $z = -0.258$ $p = 0.796$ 41 104 (81-104) $z = -0.449$ $p = 0.653$ 41 104 (74-104) $z = -0.314$ $z = -1.007$ 239 103 (78-104) $p = 0.314$ $z = -1.007$	276 104 (74-104) $z = -0.117$ 69(0-130) 4 103 (98-104) $p = 0.907$ 89.5 (58-114) ne 201 103 (80-104) $z = -0.789$ 70 (0-130) 79 104 (74-104) $p = 0.430$ 69 (11-130) 172 103.5 (80-104) $z = -0.163$ $p = 0.871$ 68 (0-130) 108 104 (74-104) $p = 0.871$ 70 (4-130) 108 104 (74-104) $p = 0.251$ 77 (48-124) 46 104 (91-104) $p = 0.251$ 77 (48-124) 70 103 (74-104) $p = 0.048$ 68 (17-124) baby 268 103.5 (74-104) $p = 0.796$ 87.5 (40-115) 41 104 (78-104) $p = -0.258$ 69 (0-130) 12 104 (78-104) $p = 0.796$ 87.5 (40-115) 41 104 (74-104) $p = 0.653$ 70 (0-130) 41 104 (74-104) $p = -0.314$ 70 (0-130) 41 104 (74-104) $p = -0.314$ 70 (0-130) 41 104 (74-104) $p = -0.314$ 70 (0-130)					

z: Mann Whitney U test, KW: Kruskal Wallis H test, MAS: Maternal Attachment Scale, TBPS: Traumatic Birth

Perception Scale. ^a Group whose significance was established by the Bonferroni test.

DISCUSSION

In the present study, we found that, in the last childbirth experience, 60% of the mothers stated that the support of the attending midwife/physician and 53.2% stated that the violation of privacy was at the "expected level"; and 36.4% stated fear, 37.9% anxiety, 36.1% pain, and 22.1% postpartum pain was "more than expected" (Table 2). Similar to our results, Bay (2019) found that 56.4% of the mothers stated that the midwife support in the last labor and 46.7% stated that the violation of privacy was at the "expected level", and 30.9% stated that fear, 31.1%. anxiety, 31.8% pain, and 27.5% postpartum pain was "more than expected".¹⁶ Accordingly, it can be deduced that "respect for privacy" and "midwife and physician support" were not sufficient during childbirth, and fear of birth, anxiety and pain were moderate. Midwives and physicians should support more expectant mothers psychologically and physically and be more sensitive about privacy during the labor process. In addition, expectant mothers should be allowed to express their fears and concerns about labor. Nonpharmacological practices such as breathing, massage, position change, and movement, especially from the latent phase, should be taught to expectant mothers to increase their comfort during the labor process and to reduce their pain.

The maternal attachment level of the mothers was "high" (101.51 ± 4.69) (Table 4). This finding answers our first research question. Kavlak and Sirin (2009) reported that the mean MAS score of the mothers with a 1-month-old baby was 94.87 \pm 6.04, and the mean MAS score of the mothers with a 4-month-old baby was 95.85 ± 6.29 .¹⁰ Alan and Ege (2013) found the mean MAS score of the mothers as 96.53 ± 9.25 .⁸ In our study, mothers' maternal attachment levels were higher than the literature. The difference can be related to the number of samples. The sample size in our study was higher than those of the other two studies, and the levels of maternal attachment may have changed as more mothers were reached. In our study, no statistically significant relationship was found between maternal attachment level and maternal age, duration of the marriage, number of living children, babies' birth weight and thencurrent weights, and babies' age (p≥0.05). Similar

to our study, Kavlak and Şirin (2009) found that maternal attachment level was not correlated with maternal age and duration of the marriage.¹⁰ Alan and Ege (2013) found a very weak, negative, and statistically insignificant relationship between maternal age and the duration of the marriage.⁸ With new studies, the factors affecting mothers' maternal attachment levels need to be identified.

We found that maternal attachment levels increased as the number of births increased (Table 4). Şen (2007) found that maternal attachment weakens as the number of children increases, and maternal attachment gets stronger as the duration of the birth interval increases.¹⁹ On the other hand, Alan and Ege (2013) found that as the number of births and children increased, maternal attachment level decreased.⁸ Unlike the literature, our study shows that there is a positive relationship between the number of births and maternal attachment. It is thought that this outcome is related to the majority of the pregnancies that were wanted by mothers (80.7%) and their spouses (95.7%) in our study.

Traumatic birth perception of the mothers in the present study was at a medium level (69.10 \pm 28.31). This finding answers our second research question. Similar to our study, Bay (2019) found mothers' perception of traumatic birth at a medium level.¹⁶ Şahin (2020) also found the traumatic birth perception of expectant mothers at a medium level.²⁰ According to the results of studies conducted in different provinces of Turkey, we can say that the traumatic birth perception of mothers in Turkish society is at a medium level.

There was no statistically significant relationship between the maternal attachment levels of the mothers and their perception of traumatic birth ($p \ge 0.05$) (Table 4). This finding answers our third research question. The reason why there was no relationship between the maternal attachment levels of the mothers and the perception of traumatic birth may be that the majority of mothers and their spouses wanted the last pregnancy and the maternal attachment levels were high. According to this result, even if the maternal attachment level of mothers is high, the traumatic events they experience do not affect the mother-infant relationship. This result is a valuable finding. Midwives and other health professionals should evaluate the attachment levels of expectant mothers starting from the pregnancy period to develop a good mother-baby attachment, which is very important for the physical and psychological development and health of babies, and attention should be paid to the mother-infant relationship in postpartum follow-ups. In addition, it is recommended to examine maternal attachment level and traumatic birth perception with larger sample groups in future studies.

As seen in Table 5, in which maternal attachment and traumatic birth perception levels of the mothers are compared with their sociodemographic and obstetric characteristics, a statistically significant difference was found only between the mothers' perception of income status and maternal attachment level (p=0.041). No statistically significant difference was found between other variables and the maternal attachment and traumatic birth perception levels of the mothers $(p \ge 0.05)$ (Table 5). Surprisingly, mothers with low-income perceptions have higher attachment levels. There is a statistically significant difference between the baby's ability to distinguish its mother from strangers and the maternal attachment level (p<0.048) (Table 6). Similar to our study, Alan and Ege (2013) also found a significant difference between the baby's ability to distinguish its mother from strangers and maternal attachment.8 The baby's ability in differentiating its mother from other individuals can make mothers feel special and increase their level of attachment.

In our study, the traumatic delivery perceptions of the mothers who had "below the expected level" of fear, anxiety, pain, and postpartum pain were significantly lower than those with "expected level" or "more than expected level" of fear (Table 6). Similar to our results, Bay (2019) found a significant difference between mothers' perception of traumatic delivery and mothers' experiences of fear, anxiety, pain, and postpartum pain (p<0.05).¹⁶ Midwives and other health professionals involved in the birth process should be aware of the mothers' fears and concerns about the birth and should provide information and counseling from starting with the prenatal period to comfort the mother. In addition, they should evaluate mothers' pain in the labor and postpartum period and include necessary interventions to reduce pain in care practices.

Limitations

Study results are limited to the sample group and cannot be generalized to the general public. Another limitation is that illiterate mothers were not included in the study. **CONCLUSION**

In our study, it was found that maternal attachment levels of the mothers were at a high level and their perception of traumatic birth was at a medium level. There was no significant relationship between maternal attachment level and traumatic birth perceptions of the mothers. Accordingly, the maternal attachment level and perception of a traumatic birth can be examined with larger sample groups in future studies. In addition, other variables related to the maternal attachment level can be compared.

Declaration of Interest Statement

The authors explained that they have not received financial support, fund or grants from other institutions for the investigation, authorship and/or publication of this material.

REFERENCES

- İsbir GG, İnci F. Travmatik doğum ve hemşirelik yaklaşımları. KASHED 2014;1(1):29-40.
- 2. Callister LC. Making meaning: women's birth narratives. JOGNN 2004;33(4):508-518.
- Yalnız H, Canan F, Genç RE, Kuloğlu MM ve Geçici Ö. Travmatik doğum algısı ölçeğinin geliştirilmesi. Türk Tıp Dergisi 2016;8(3):81-88.
- 4. Serçekuş P, Okumuş H. Fears associated with childbirth among nulliparous women in Turkey. Midwifery 2009;25(2):155-162.
- Güdücü N, Kayan BÖ, İşçi H, Başgül Yiğiter A, Dünder İ. Sezaryenle ve normal doğum yapan kadınlarda postpartum posttravmatik stres bozukluğu. JOPP Dergi 2013;5(3):114-117.
- Taşkn L. Doğum ve Kadın Sağlığı Hemşireliği.
 9. Baskı. Ankara: Sistem Ofset 2009; 451-460.
- Bilgin Z, Alpar ŞE. The relationship between maternal attachment perception of women's maternal role. Sağlık Bilimleri ve Meslekleri Dergisi 2018;5(1):6-15.
- Alan H, Ege E. The influence of social support on maternal-infant attachment in Turkish society. Anadolu Hemşirelik ve Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi 2013;16(4):234-240.
- Kınık E, Özcan H. Maternal Bağlanmayı Etkileyen Faktörler ve Primiparlarda Maternal Bağlanma Durumu. J Health Pro Res 2020;2(1):47-53.

- Kavlak O, Şirin A. Maternal bağlanma ölçeğinin Türk toplumuna uyarlanması. JHS 2009;6(1):188-202.
- 11. Nacar EH, Gökkaya F. Bağlanma ve maternal bağlanma konusunda bir derleme. Kıbrıs Türk Psikiyatri ve Psikoloji Dergisi 2019;1(1):50-56.
- 12. Uçar T, Gölbaşı Z. Nedenleri ve sonuçlarıyla doğum korkusu. İnönü Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi 2015;4(2):54-58.
- Garthus-Niegel S, Von Soest T, Knoph C, Simonsen TB, Torgersen L, ve Eberhard-Gran M. The influence of women's preferences and actual mode of delivery on post-traumatic stress symptoms following childbirth. A population-based, longitudinal study. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth 2014;14(1).
- 14. Soet JE, Brack GA, ve Dilorio C. Prevalence and predictors of women's experience of psychological trauma during childbirth. Birth 2003;30(1),36–46.
- 15. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Buchner A ve ark. G Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods 2007;39:175-191.
- 16. Bay F. Kadinlarda travmatik doğum algısı ve postpartum depresyon ile ilişkisi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Eskişehir Osmangazi Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitütsü Ebelik Anabilim Dalı, Eskişehir; 2019. p. 45.
- 17. Muller ME. A questionnaire to measure mother- to- infant attachment, J Nurs Meas 1994;2(2):129-141.
- Alpar R. Uygulamalı Istatistik ve Geçerlik-Güvenirlik.
 Baskı. Ankara: Detay Yayincilik; 2010. p. 130-134.
- Şen S. Anneanne-anne-bebek bağlılığının incelenmesi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Ege Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Doğum ve Kadın Hatalıkları Hemşireliği, İzmir; 2007. p. 72-74.
- Şahin M. Gebelerde travmatik doğum algısı ve anne bağlanması ilişkisi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Ordu Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Hemşirelik Anabilim Dalı, Ordu; 2020. p. 30-32.