

Evaluation of vertebral fractures and associated injuries in adults

Erişkinlerdeki vertebra kırıklarının ve eşlik eden yaralanmaların değerlendirilmesi

Erden ERTURER,¹ Mehmet TEZER,² Irfan OZTURK,¹ Unal KUZGUN³

Sisli Etfal Research and Training Hospital, ¹II.Ortopedics and Traumatology Clinic, ³I.Ortopedics and Traumatology Clinic; ²İstanbul Spine Center, Florence Nightingale Hospital

Amaç: Acil servise travma etyolojisiyle başvuran erişkinlerde hasta profilinin belirlenmesi için vertebra kırıkları ve eşlik eden yaralanmalar değerlendirildi.

Çalışma planı: Çalışmada, 1988-2003 yılları arasında vertebra kırığı nedeniyle tedavi edilen 372 hasta (264 erkek, 108 kadın; ort. yaş 30.4; dağılım 18-65) demografik özellikler, travma mekanizması, eşlik eden yaralanmalar, kırık seviyesi ve tipi ile tedavi şekli açısından incelendi. Kırık tipleri Denis sınıflamasına göre sınıflandırıldı.

Sonuçlar: Denis sınıflamasına göre, 212 hastada (%57.0) kompresyon kırığı, 146'sında (%39.3) burst kırığı, sekizinde (%2.2) bel tipi emniyet kemeri kırığı, altısında da (%1.6) kırıklı çıkık saptandı. Olguların 290'ında (%77.9) tek seviyede, 61'inde (%16.4) iki seviyede, 15'inde (%4.0) üç seviyede, altısında da (%1.6) dört seviyede vertebra kırığı vardı. En sık yaralanmanın torakolomber bileşke bölgesinde (275 kırık, %57.2) olduğu görüldü. Kırık nedeni, 211 olguda yüksekten düşme (%56.7), 145 olguda trafik kazası (%39.0), 16 olguda (%4.3) direkt travma idi. Yüz on olguda (%29.6) başka bölgelerde kırık görüldü; en sık rastlananı kalkaneus kırığıydı (35 hasta, %9.4). Ortopedik sorunların dışında 38 olguda (%10.2) diğer organ yaranması ve/veya kafa travması vardı. Hastaların 302'sinde (%81.2) konservatif tedavi, 70'inde (%18.8) cerrahi tedavi uygulanmıştı.

Çıkarımlar: Yüksek enerjili travma sonrasında acil servise başvuran her hastaya vertebra kırığı varmış gibi davranılmalıdır. Vertebra kırığı saptanan olgular başka seviyede kırık ve eşlik edebilecek diğer yaralanmalar açısından ayrıntılı olarak incelenmelidir.

Anahtar sözcükler: Lomber vertebra/yaralanma; omurga kırığı/epidemiyoloji; torasik vertebra/yaralanma. **Objectives:** We evaluated vertebra fractures and associated injuries in adults to determine the profile of patients presenting with a trauma etiology.

Methods: The study included 372 patients (264 men, 108 women; mean age 30.4 years; range 18 to 65 years) who were treated for vertebra fractures from 1988 to 2003. Evaluations included demographic features of patients, trauma mechanisms, fracture levels and types, treatment modalities, and associated injuries. The types of fractures were assessed according to the Denis classification.

Results: The types of fractures were classified as follows: compression fractures (n=212, 57.0%), burst fractures (n=146, 39.3%), seat belt-induced fractures (n=8, 2.2%), and fracture-dislocations (n=6, 1.6%). Involvement was at one level in 290 patients (77.9%), two levels in 61 patients (16.4%), three levels in 15 patients (4.0%), and four levels in six patients (1.6%). The most common localization was the thoracolumbar spine (transition zone) with 275 fractures (57.2%). The causes of fractures were fall from height in 211 patients (56.7%), traffic accidents in 145 patients (39.0%), and direct trauma in 16 patients (4.3%). Associated fractures were detected in 110 patients (29.6%), the most common being calcaneus fractures in 35 patients (9.4%). Apart from orthopedic problems, 38 patients (10.2%) had other organ injuries and/or head trauma. Treatment was conservative in 302 patients (81.2%) and surgical in 70 patients (18.8%).

Conclusion: Every patient presenting after a high-energy trauma should be regarded as having a vertebra fracture until proven otherwise. When a vertebra fracture is detected, investigation should be extended for involvement at other levels and associated injuries.

Key words: Lumbar vertebrae/injuries; spinal fractures/epidemiology; thoracic vertebrae/injuries.

Correspondence to: Dr. Erden Ertürer. Serencebey Yolu. Masharpaşa Sok. Doğu Apt. No: 14/11, 34700 Beşiktaş, Istanbul. Phone:+90212 - 236 04 44 Fax: +90212 - 236 10 32 e-mail: erdenerturer@hotmail.com **Received:** 12.04.2004 **Accepted:** 25.08.2005 Vertebral difficulties often constitute difficulties both for physicians and patients. Although developments in the management of vertebral fractures parallel to the technological progress eliminate many problems, vertebral traumas increase each year and pathologies may arise for which no solution has been found in modern medicine.

In our study, we aimed to perform the demographic assessment of patients with vertebral fractures and define the patient profile so that we can determine the priorities in evaluation of emergency room patients.

Patients and methods

In this study, 372 patients (264 male, 108 female; average age 30.4; range 18-65), treated for thoracolumbar vertebral fractures between 1998 and 2003, were investigated for demographic characteristics.

The data were collected retrospectively, searching the patient files in the relevant department protocols. They were found in the hospital archives and evaluated for age, sex, mechanism of trauma, level and type of fracture, and type of treatment. Associated injuries were identified. Types of fractures were classified according to Denis classificatio.^[1]

Fracture level		Number	Percentage
Thoracal	T ₃	4	0.8
	T_4	4	0.8
	T ₅	7	1.5
	T ₆	8	1.7
	T_7	9	1.9
	T ₈	10	2.1
	T9	6	1.3
	T ₁₀	11	2.3
Thoracolumbar	T ₁₁	34	7.1
	T ₁₂	82	17.1
	L ₁	159	33.1
Lumbar	L_2	60	12.5
	L ₃	40	8.3
	L_4	35	7.3
	L_5	12	2.5

Table 1. Distribution of the fractures according to vertebra

Results

According to Denis classification, of 372 patients 212 (57.0%) had compression fractures, 146 (39.3%) had burst fractures, 8 (2.2%) had seat belt fractures, and 6 patients (1.6%) had fracturedislocations. Vertebral fractures were observed at only one level in 271 subjects (58.7%), at two levels in 61 subjects (16.4%), at three levels in 15 subjects (1.6%). When the distribution of the fractures according to vertebrae was studied, the most frequent injuries (275 fractures) were at the thoracolumbar junction (57.2%) (Table 1). The causes of the fractures were high fall in 211 subjects (56.7%), motor vehicle accident in 145 subjects (39.0%), and direct trauma in 16 subjects (4.3%). Associated fractures of other sites were present in 110 subjects (29.6%). The most frequently observed fracture type was calcaneous fracture and 6 subjects had bilateral calcaneous fractures (Table 2).

 Table 2. Orthopedic pathologies associated with vertebral fractures

Pathologies	no. of patients	percentage
Calcaneous fractures	35	9.4
Pelvic Fractures	14	3.8
Ankle fractures	10	2.7
Fractures of the distal end o	f 7	1.9
the radius		
Fractures of the femoral dia	physis 9	2.4
Scaphoid fractures	8	2.2
Fractures of the Tibia	8	2.2
Costal fractures	6	1.6
Metacarpal-phalangeal fract	ures 5	1.3
Scapular fractures	4	1.1
Humerus fractures	3	0.8
Clavicular fractures	3	0.8
Olecranon fractures	2	0.5
Metatars fractures	2	0.5
Tibial plateau fractures	2	0.5
Fractures of the Forearm	2	0.5
Symphysis pubis diastasis	2	0.5
Shoulder dislocation	1	0.3
Patellar fracture	1	0.3
Talus fracture	1	0.3
Radius neck fracture	1	0.3
Elbow dislocation	1	0.3
Knee ligament lesion	1	0.3

Apart from orthopedic pathologies, 38 subjects (10.2%) presented with injuries of other organs and/or head traumas. We detected head trauma in 22 subjects (5.9%), intraabdominal injuries requiring laparatomy in 18 subjects (4.8%), and urological lesions in 16 subjects (4.3%). Three hundred and two patients (81.2%) were treated conservatively and 70 patients (18.8%) underwent surgery.

Discussion

Vertebral fractures are usually severe injuries caused by high-energy traumas.^[2-6] Vertebral injuries may be due to isolated traumas or multiple traumas. Vertebral colon injuries should always be considered for each patient with a high-energy trauma or multiple traumas and these patients should be treated accordingly until its absence is confirmed.^[5, 6]

Vertebral colon fractures are mainly seen in adolescents and middle-aged adults (15-35). The mean age for vertebral trauma was reported as 31.7 (range; 11-88) in a multi-centered study of Scolisosis Research Society on 1019 vertebral fractures^[5], 32.2 in a study by McAfee et al.^[7], 34.6 in a study by Krompinger et al.^[8], and 35.5 by Özyalçın et al[9]. In our study, the mean age was 30.4 (range, 18-65). Patients above 65 years of age with osteoporosis fractures were not included in compression fractures treated conservatively.

Men are 2-4 times more likely to have these fractures compared to women.^[2,5,7-9] In our study, 108 patients were women (29.0%), 264 were men (71.0%); women-to-men ratio was 0.41.

In a study by Scoliosis Research Society, thoracolumbar junction, i.e. T11-L1 level, was reported to be the most frequently affected site (52%) in spine injuries, followed by lumbar site (L2-L5) with a rate of 32% and thoracal site (T1-T10) with a rate of 16%.^[5] Krompinger et al. reported that most frequently injured sites were thoracolumbar junction (48%), lumbar region (34%) and thoracal region (18%), respectively. Thoracolumbar junction was once more the site most frequently involved in a study by Keen.^[10] Again, it was the level of most frequent fracture involvement in our study (57.2%). It was followed by lumbar region with 147 fractures (30.6%) and thoracal region with 59 fractures (12.3%). The reasons for the frequent injury at the level of thoracolumbar junction were the absence of protective role of rib cage at this site, progession of lumbar lordosis to thoracal kyphosis and the location of facet joints coronally in thoracic region and sagitally in lumbar region, resulting with facet joint maladjustment.^[3,11-13]

In studies on the etiologies of spine traumas, motor vehicle accidents were by far most common among other injury mechanisms. In our study, the most frequently observed reason was high fall (56.7%). In motor vehicle accidents, one of the most frequently occurring reasons of trauma, vertebral fracture and neurological injury risks can be reduced to a great extent simply by wearing the vehicle safety belts. It has been reported that vehicle safety belts were not used in 67% of the motor vehicle accidents resulting in injuries with vertebral fractures. On the other hand, waist-level vehicle safety belts are also closely related with flexion-distraction fractures. Recently, with the decreasing use of these type seat belts, flexion-distraction fractures decreased. With the use of safety belts with shoulder-waist components, anterolateral compression fractures occur in vehicle accidents and the risk of tear involving posterior elements is high.[11,14,15] For this reason, instability is more frequently observed in vertebral fractures due to vehicle accidents, increasing the rate of surgical treatments.

Vertebral fractures are usually due to high-energy traumas and the risk of associated injuries is high.^[2,6,9,13] Their frequency is 43-78%. One half of these associated injuries are composed of skeletal traumas and the other half, of various organ injuries. Visceral injuries mostly occur (15%) associated with fractures of thoracal region. The rate of these injuries raise to 63% in flexion-distraction fractures particularly due to the use of wrist-level safety belts and 34% of these cases involve children.^[5,7,11,14,17] In our study, skeletal and visceral injuries were identified in 158 subjects (42.5%).

While head trauma, rib and sternum fractures frequently accompany the thoracal vertebra fractures, associated calcaneous and pilon tibia fractures often coexist in high falls.^[5,6,16] In our study, the most common associated injury was calcaneous fracture, six of which were bilateral (n=35, 9.4%). We think that calcaneous fracture was the most common injury among other associated lesions just because the traumas due to high falls occurred more.

In summary, regarding age and sex, middle-aged men and regarding type of fracture, compression type fractures predominated our study. High fall was one fracture mechanisms. number among Throcolumbar transition site was the most effected level. Eighty two subjects (22.0%) had multilevel vertebral fractures, 110 subjects (29.6%) had associated fractures at other sites and 38 of them (10.2%)had other organ injuries and/or head trauma. The results of our study show that, each patient applying to emergency room with high-energy trauma should be treated as if he/she has vertebral fracture until its absence is confirmed using routine bilateral vertebral radiographies. We recommend careful physical and radiographic examination of patients with vertebral fractures for the potential of associated secondary injuries.

References

- 1. Denis F. The three column spine and its significance in the classification of acute thoracolumbar spinal injuries. Spine 1983;8:817-31.
- Denis F, Armstrong GW, Searls K, Matta L. Acute thoracolumbar burst fractures in the absence of neurologic deficit. A comparison between operative and nonoperative treatment. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1984;(189):142-9.
- Acaroğlu E, Surat A. Torakolomber vertebra kırıklarında tanı, değerlendirme ve tedavi. Hacettepe Ortopedi Dergisi 1991;1:151-7.
- 4. Agus H, Arac S, Us R, Ozturk H. Conservative treatment in

fractures of the vertebra. [Article in Turkish] 1988;22;98-100.

- 5. Gertzbein SD. Scoliosis Research Society. Multicenter spine fracture study. Spine 1992;17:528-40.
- Hebert JS, Burnham RS. The effect of polytrauma in persons with traumatic spine injury. A prospective database of spine fractures. Spine 2000;25:55-60.
- McAfee PC, Yuan HA, Lasda NA. The unstable burst fracture. Spine 1982;7:365-73.
- Krompinger WJ, Fredrickson BE, Mino DE, Yuan HA. Conservative treatment of fractures of the thoracic and lumbar spine. Orthop Clin North Am 1986;17:161-70.
- Ozyalcin H, Aktuglu K, Sesli E. Traumatic thoracolumbar fractures. [Article in Turkish] Acta Orthop Traumatol Turc 1989;23:200-3.
- 10. Keene JS. Radiographic evaluation of thoracolumbar fractures. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1984;(189):58-64.
- 11. Gertzbein SD, Court-Brown CM. Flexion-distraction injuries of the lumbar spine. Mechanisms of injury and classification. Clin Orthop Relat Res 1988;(227):52-60.
- 12. Keene JS, Goletz TH, Lilleas F, Alter AJ, Sackett JF. Diagnosis of vertebral fractures. A comparison of conventional radiography, conventional tomography, and computed axial tomography. J Bone Joint Surg [Am] 1982;64:586-94.
- Mumford J, Weinstein JN, Spratt KF, Goel VK. Thoracolumbar burst fractures. The clinical efficacy and outcome of nonoperative management. Spine 1993;18:955-70.
- Reid AB, Letts RM, Black GB. Pediatric Chance fractures: association with intra-abdominal injuries and seatbelt use. J Trauma 1990;30:384-91.
- Anderson PA, Rivara FP, Maier RV, Drake C. The epidemiology of seatbelt-associated injuries. J Trauma 1991;31:60-7.
- 16. Saifuddin A, Noordeen H, Taylor BA, Bayley I. The role of imaging in the diagnosis and management of thoracolumbar burst fractures: current concepts and a review of the literature. Skeletal Radiol 1996;25:603-13.
- 17. Weinstein JN, Collalto P, Lehmann TR. Thoracolumbar "burst" fractures treated conservatively: a long-term followup. Spine 1988;13:33-8.