

ON SOME NEW HERMITE-HADAMARD TYPE INEQUALITIES  
FOR FUNCTIONS WHOSE  $n$ TH DERIVATIVES ARE  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -  
STRONGLY CONVEX

SEDA KILINÇ YILDIRIM AND HÜSEYİN YILDIRIM

0000-0002-3258-6240 and 0000-0001-8855-9260

ABSTRACT. The aim of this paper we establish some new inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type by using  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  –strongly convex function whose  $n$ th derivatives in absolute value at certain powers. Moreover, we also consider their relevances for other related known results.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the following integral inequalities which are well known in the literature as the Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

$$(1.1) \quad f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}.$$

where  $f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function on the interval  $I$  of real numbers and  $a, b \in I$  with  $a < b$ .

Many authors have studied and generalized the Hermite-Hadamard inequality in several ways via different classes functions. For some recent result related to the Hermite-Hadamard inequality, we refer the interested reader to the papers. [4 – 15]. Convex functions have played an important role in the development of various fields in pure and applied sciences. A significant class of convex functions is strongly convex functions. The strongly convex functions also play an important role in optimization theory and mathematical economics.

Now let's state the definitions necessary for our work.

**Definition 1.1.** [11]A set  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  is invex with respect to a real bifunction  $\eta : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , if

$$(1.2) \quad x, y \in I, \lambda \in [0, 1] \implies y + \lambda\eta(x, y) \in I.$$

---

*Date: Received:* 2021-06-15; *Accepted:* 2021-07-29.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26A51, 26D10, 26D15, 52A01.

*Key words and phrases.* Hermite-Hadamard inequality,  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$  –convex function, Riemann-Liouville fractional integral.

If  $I$  is an invex set with respect to  $\eta$ , then a function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called preinvex , if  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  .

$$(1.3) \quad f(y + \lambda\eta(x, y)) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda) f(y).$$

In 2016,Gordji et al. [11] introduced the concept  $\eta$ -convexity as follows:

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called convex with respect to  $\eta$ -convex, if

$$(1.4) \quad f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq f(y) + t\eta(f(x), f(y))$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$  .

**Definition 1.3.** [24] Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta_1 : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Consider  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\eta_2 : f(I) \times f(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $f$  is said to be  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -convex, if

$$(1.5) \quad f(x + \lambda\eta_1(y, x)) \leq f(x) + \lambda\eta_2(f(y), f(x))$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta_1 : I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Consider  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $\eta_2 : f(I) \times f(I) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The function  $f$  is said to be  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convex, if  $c \geq 0$ ,

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & f(x + \lambda\eta_1(y, x)) \\ & \leq f(x) + \lambda\eta_2(f(y), f(x)) - c\lambda(1 - \lambda)\eta_1(y, x)\eta_2(y, x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  .

**Definition 1.5.** An  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convex function reduces to

*Remark 1.6. (i)* If we choose  $c = 0$  in definition 1.4 we obtain  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -convex function.

*(ii)* If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in definition 1.4 we obtain  $\eta$ -convex function.

*(iii)* If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in f(I)$  in definition 1.4 we obtain preinvex function.

*(iv)* If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in definition 1.4 we obtain classical convex function.

*(v)* If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in definition 1.4 we obtain strongly convex function.

*(vi)* If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in definition 1.4 we obtain  $\eta$ -strongly convex function.

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we establish some new inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard type by using  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convex function whose  $n$ th derivatives in absolute value at certain powers. Moreover, we also consider their relevances for other related known results.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta_1$  such that for all  $x \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Also let  $f : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $n$ -times differentiable functions on

$P$  with  $a < b$ , and  $n \in N^+$ . For any  $a, b \in I^\circ$  with  $\eta_1(b, a) > 0$ , suppose that  $f^n \in L_1[a, a + \eta_1(b, a)]$ . Then for  $\alpha > 0$ , the following equality holds;

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx \\ & - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k [f^{(k-1)}(a+\eta_1(b, a)) + (-1)^k f^{(k-1)}(a)]}{2^{(k!)}} \\ & = \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^{n+1}}{2^{(n!)}} \int_0^1 t^n f^{(n)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a)) dt \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By integration by parts, it follows that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^{n+1}}{2^{(n!)}} \int_0^1 t^n f^{(n)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a)) dt \\ & = -\frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2^{(n!)}} f^{(n-1)}(a + \eta_1(b, a)) + \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2^{[(n-1)!]}} \int_0^1 t^{n-1} f^{(n-1)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a)) dt \\ & = -\frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2^{(n!)}} f^{(n-1)}(a + \eta_1(b, a)) - \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^{n-1}}{2^{[(n-1)!]}} f^{(n-2)}(a + \eta_1(b, a)) \\ & + \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^{n-1}}{2^{[(n-2)!]}} \int_0^1 t^{n-2} f^{(n-2)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a)) dt \\ & = -\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^{k+1} f^{(k)}(a + \eta_1(b, a))}{2^{(k!)}} + \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^2}{2} \int_0^1 t f'(a + t\eta_1(b, a)) dt \\ & = -\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k f^{(k-1)}(a + \eta_1(b, a))}{2^{(k!)}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

with the same argument as the above we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^{n+1}}{2^{(n!)}} \int_0^1 (t-1)^n f^{(n)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a)) dt \\ & = -\frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2^{(n!)}} (-1)^n f^{(n-1)}(a) + \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2^{[(n-1)!]}} \int_0^1 (t-1)^{n-1} f^{(n-1)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a)) dt \\ & = -\frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2^{(n!)}} (-1)^n f^{(n-1)}(a) - \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^{n-1}}{2^{[(n-1)!]}} (-1)^n f^{(n-2)}(a) \\ & + \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^{n-1}}{2^{[(n-2)!]}} \int_0^1 (t-1)^{n-2} f^{(n-2)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a)) dt \\ & = -\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k (-1)^k f^{(k-1)}(a)}{2^{(k!)}} + \frac{1}{2} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Adding these two equations leads to Lemma 2.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta_1$  such that for all  $x \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Also let  $f : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $n$ -times differentiable functions on  $P$  with  $a < b$ , and  $n \in N^+$ . For any  $a, b \in I^\circ$  with  $\eta_1(b, a) > 0$ , suppose that  $f^n \in L_1[a, a + \eta_1(b, a)]$ . Then for  $\alpha > 0$ , the following equality holds;

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_{b+\frac{1}{2}\eta_1(a, b)}^{b+\eta_1(a, b)} f(x) dx \\ & - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k [1 + (-1)^k]}{2^{(k!)}} \\ & \times [f^{(k-1)}(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta_1(b, a)) + f^{(k-1)}(b + \frac{1}{2}\eta_1(a, b))] \\ & = \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2^{(n!)}} \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (-t)^n f^{(n)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a)) dt \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^n f^{(n)}(b + t\eta_1(a, b)) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* This follows from integration by parts immediately.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta_1$  such that for all  $x \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Also let  $f : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $n$ -times differentiable functions on  $P$  with  $a < b$ , and  $n \in N^+$  ( $\eta_1, \eta_2$ )-strongly convex function where  $\eta_2$  is an integrable bi function on  $f(I) \times f(I)$  with modulus  $c \geq 0$ . For any  $a, b \in I^\circ$  with  $\eta_1(b, a) > 0$ , suppose that  $f^n \in L_1[a, a + \eta_1(b, a)]$  and  $|f^n|^q$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Then for

$\alpha > 0$ , the following inequality holds;

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.5) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{\eta_1(b,a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b,a)} f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^k [f^{(k-1)}(a+\eta_1(b,a)) + (-1)^k f^{(k-1)}(a)]}{2(k!)} \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \frac{2}{n+1} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & \quad \times \left( \frac{2}{n+1} (|f^n(a)|^q) + \frac{1}{n+1} \eta_2 (|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - \frac{2c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)}{(n+2)(n+3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By using Lemma 1, the power mean inequality and the  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convex function of  $|f^n|^q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad & \left| \frac{1}{\eta_1(b,a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b,a)} f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^k [f^{(k-1)}(a+\eta_1(b,a)) + (-1)^k f^{(k-1)}(a)]}{2(k!)} \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{2(n!)} \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] |f^{(n)}(a+t\eta_1(b,a))| dt \\
 & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] |f^{(n)}(a+t\eta_1(b,a))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & \quad \times \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] [|f^n(a)|^q + t\eta_2 (|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - ct(1-t)\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & = \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & \quad \times \left( (|f^n(a)|^q) \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] dt + \eta_2 (|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) \left( \int_0^1 t [t^n + (1-t)^n] dt \right) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a) \int_0^1 t(1-t) [t^n + (1-t)^n] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & = \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \frac{2}{n+1} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left( \frac{2}{n+1} (|f^n(a)|^q) + \frac{1}{n+1} \eta_2 (|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - \frac{2c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)}{(n+2)(n+3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.7) \quad \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] dt = \frac{2}{n+1}$$

$$(2.8) \quad \int_0^1 t [t^n + (1-t)^n] dt = \frac{1}{n+1}$$

and

$$(2.9) \quad \int_0^1 t(1-t) [t^n + (1-t)^n] dt = \frac{2}{(n+2)(n+3)}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

We will give some special cases of Theorem 2.3 which show that our result generalize several results obtained previous works.

*Remark 2.4.* As can be seen from the special elections below, our results are more general.

(i) If we choose  $c = 0$  in Theorem 2.3 the results are we obtain also provided for  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -convex functions, is proved by S. Kermausor et. al. [25].

(ii) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in Theorem 2.3 the results are we obtain also provided for  $\eta$ -convex function.

(iii) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in f(I)$  in Theorem 2.3 the results are we obtain also provided for preinvex function.

(iv) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in Theorem 2.3 the results are we obtain also provided for classical convex function.

(v) If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in *Theorem 2.3* the results are we obtain also provided for strongly convex function.

(vi) If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in *Theorem 2.3* we obtain  $\eta$  - strongly convex function.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta_1$  such that for all  $x \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Also let  $f : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $n$ -times differentiable functions on  $I$  with  $a < b$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$   $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convex function where  $\eta_2$  is an integrable bi function on  $f(I) \times f(I)$  with modulus  $c \geq 0$ . For any  $a, b \in I^\circ$  with  $\eta_1(b, a) > 0$ , suppose that  $f^n \in L_1[a, a + \eta_1(b, a)]$  and  $|f^n|^q$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Then for  $\alpha > 0$ , the following inequality holds;*

$$(2.10) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k [f^{(k-1)}(a+\eta_1(b, a)) + (-1)^k f^{(k-1)}(a)]}{2(k!)} \right| \\ \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n]^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( |f^n(a)|^q + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - \frac{c \eta_1(b, a) \eta_2(b, a)}{6} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

*Proof.* By using Lemma 1, the Hölder's inequality and the  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convexity of  $|f^n|^q$ , we have

$$(2.11) \quad \left| \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k [f^{(k-1)}(a+\eta_1(b, a)) + (-1)^k f^{(k-1)}(a)]}{2(k!)} \right| \\ \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2(n!)} \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] |f^n(a + t\eta_1(b, a))| dt \\ \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n]^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^1 |f^n(a + t\eta_1(b, a))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n]^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( \int_0^1 [ |f^n(a)|^q + t\eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - ct(1-t)\eta_1(b, a)\eta_2(b, a) ] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ = \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n]^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ \times \left( |f^n(a)|^q \int_0^1 1 dt + \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) \int_0^1 t dt - c\eta_1(b, a)\eta_2(b, a) \int_0^1 t(1-t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ = \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n]^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( |f^n(a)|^q + \frac{1}{2} \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - \frac{c \eta_1(b, a) \eta_2(b, a)}{6} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

It can easily be verified that  $t^n + (1-t)^n \leq 1$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ . So, it follows that

$$(2.12) \quad \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n]^p dt \leq \int_0^1 [t^n + (1-t)^n] dt = \frac{2}{n+1}$$

Hence, the desired inequality follows from 2.11 and 2.12. This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We will give some special cases of Theorem 2.5 which show that our result generalize several results obtained previous works.

*Remark 2.6.* As can be seen from the special elections below, our results are more general.

(i) If we choose  $c = 0$  in *Theorem 2.5* the results are we obtain also provided for  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -convex functions, is proved by S. Kermausuor et. al. [25].

(ii) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in *Theorem 2.5* the results are we obtain also provided for  $\eta$ -convex function.

(iii) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in f(I)$  in *Theorem 2.5* the results are we obtain also provided for preinvex function.

(iv) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in *Theorem 2.5* the results are we obtain also provided for classical convex function.

(v) If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in *Theorem 2.5* the results are we obtain also provided for strongly convex function.

(vi) If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in *Theorem 2.5* we obtain  $\eta$ -strongly convex function.

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta_1$  such that for all  $x \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Also let  $f : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $n$ -times differentiable functions on  $I$  with  $a < b$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$   $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convex function where  $\eta_2$  is an integrable bi function on  $f(I) \times f(I)$  with modulus  $c \geq 0$ . For any  $a, b \in I^\circ$  with  $\eta_1(b, a) > 0$ , suppose that  $f^n \in L_1[a, a + \eta_1(b, a)]$  and  $|f^n|^q$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Then for  $\alpha > 0$ , the following inequality holds;*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_{b+\frac{1}{2}\eta_1(a, b)}^{b+\eta_1(a, b)} f(x) dx \right. \\
 & \left. - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k [1+(-1)^k]}{2^k (k!)} \right. \\
 & \left. \times \left[ f^{(k-1)}\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta_1(b, a)\right) + f^{(k-1)}\left(b + \frac{1}{2}\eta_1(a, b)\right) \right] \right| \\
 (2.13) \quad & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & \times \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} |f^n(a)|^q + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}(n+2)} \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \frac{c\eta_1(b, a)\eta_2(b, a)(n+4)}{2^{n+3}(n+2)(n+3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
 & + \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \\
 & \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} |f^n(b)|^q + \frac{n+3}{2^{n+2}(n+2)(n+1)} \eta_2(|f^n(a)|^q, |f^n(b)|^q) \right. \right. \\
 & \left. \left. - \frac{c\eta_1(b, a)\eta_2(b, a)(n+4)}{2^{n+3}(n+2)(n+3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By using Lemma 2, the Power mean inequality and the  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convexity of  $|f^n|^q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_{b+\frac{1}{2}\eta_1(a, b)}^{b+\eta_1(a, b)} f(x) dx \right. \\
 & \left. - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k [1+(-1)^k]}{2^k (k!)} \left[ f^{(k-1)}\left(a + \frac{1}{2}\eta_1(b, a)\right) + f^{(k-1)}\left(b + \frac{1}{2}\eta_1(a, b)\right) \right] \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^n |f^{(n)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a))| dt + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^n |f^{(n)}(b + t\eta_1(a, b))| dt \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^n dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^n |f^{(n)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^n dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^n |f^{(n)}(b + t\eta_1(a, b))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^n dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^n [|f^n(a)|^q + t\eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - ct(1-t)\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^n dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^n [|f^n(b)|^q + t\eta_2(|f^n(a)|^q, |f^n(b)|^q) - ct(1-t)\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
(2.14) \quad &\leq \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^n dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left( (|f^n(a)|^q \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^n dt) + \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{n+1} dt \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a) \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{n+1} (1-t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^n dt \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left( (|f^n(b)|^q \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^n dt) + \eta_2(|f^n(a)|^q, |f^n(b)|^q) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 t(1-t)^n dt \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 t(1-t)^{n+1} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} |f^n(a)|^q + \frac{1}{2^{n+2}(n+2)} \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)(n+4)}{2^{n+3}(n+2)(n+3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} \right)^{1-\frac{1}{q}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2^{n+1}(n+1)} |f^n(b)|^q + \frac{n+3}{2^{n+2}(n+2)(n+1)} \eta_2(|f^n(a)|^q, |f^n(b)|^q) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - \frac{c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)(n+4)}{2^{n+3}(n+2)(n+3)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We will give some special cases of Theorem 2.7 which show that our result generalize several results obtained previous works.

*Remark 2.8.* As can be seen from the special elections below, our results are more general.

(i) If we choose  $c = 0$  in *Theorem 2.7* the results are we obtain also provided for  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -convex functions, is proved by S. Kermausor et. al. [25].

(ii) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in *Theorem 2.7* the results are we obtain also provided for  $\eta$ -convex function.

(iii) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in f(I)$  in *Theorem 2.7* the results are we obtain also provided for preinvex function.

(iv) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in *Theorem 2.7* the results are we obtain also provided for classical convex function.

(v) If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in *Theorem 2.7* the results are we obtain also provided for strongly convex function.

(vi) If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in *Theorem 2.7* we obtain  $\eta$ -strongly convex function.

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an invex set with respect to  $\eta_1$  such that for all  $x \in I$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Also let  $f : I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $n$ -times differentiable functions on  $I^\circ$  with  $a < b$ , and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$   $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convex function where  $\eta_2$  is an integrable bi function on  $f(I) \times f(I)$  with modulus  $c \geq 0$ . For any  $a, b \in I^\circ$  with

$\eta_1(b, a) > 0$ , suppose that  $f^n \in L_1[a, a + \eta_1(b, a)]$  and  $|f^n|^q$  for  $q \geq 1$ . Then for  $\alpha > 0$ , the following inequality holds;

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_{b+\frac{1}{2}\eta_1(a, b)}^{b+\eta_1(a, b)} f(x) dx \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k [1 + (-1)^k]}{2^k (k!)} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \times \left[ f^{(k-1)} \left( a + \frac{1}{2} \eta_1(b, a) \right) + f^{(k-1)} \left( b + \frac{1}{2} \eta_1(a, b) \right) \right] \right| \\
 (2.15) \quad & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \frac{1}{2^{np}(np+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
 & \quad \times \left[ \left( |f^n(a)|^q + \frac{1}{4} \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - \frac{c\eta_1(b, a)\eta_2(b, a)}{6} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left( |f^n(b)|^q + \frac{3}{4} \eta_2(|f^n(a)|^q, |f^n(b)|^q) - \frac{c\eta_1(b, a)\eta_2(b, a)}{6} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right],
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Again, using Lemma 2, the Hölder’s inequality and the  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ –strongly convexity of  $|f^n|^q$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_a^{a+\eta_1(b, a)} f(x) dx + \frac{1}{\eta_1(b, a)} \int_{b+\frac{1}{2}\eta_1(a, b)}^{b+\eta_1(a, b)} f(x) dx \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^k [1 + (-1)^k]}{2^k (k!)} \left[ f^{(k-1)} \left( a + \frac{1}{2} \eta_1(b, a) \right) + f^{(k-1)} \left( b + \frac{1}{2} \eta_1(a, b) \right) \right] \right| \\
 & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^n |f^{(n)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a))| dt + \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^n |f^{(n)}(b + t\eta_1(a, b))| dt \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^{np} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} |f^{(n)}(a + t\eta_1(b, a))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^{np} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 |f^{(n)}(b + t\eta_1(a, b))|^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \right] \\
 & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^{np} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} [|f^n(a)|^q + t\eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - ct(1-t)\eta_1(b, a)\eta_2(b, a)] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big] \\
 & \quad + \frac{\eta_1(b, a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^{np} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
 & \quad \times \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 [|f^n(b)|^q + t\eta_2(|f^n(a)|^q, |f^n(b)|^q) - ct(1-t)\eta_1(b, a)\eta_2(b, a)] dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \Big]
 \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
(2.16) & \leq \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} (t)^{np} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
& \times \left. \left( |f^n(a)|^q \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} 1 dt + \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t dt - c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a) \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} t(1-t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
& + \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left[ \left( \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 (1-t)^{np} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right. \\
& \times \left. \left( |f^n(b)|^q \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 1 dt + \eta_2(|f^n(a)|^q, |f^n(b)|^q) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 t dt - c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a) \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 t(1-t) dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
& \leq \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left( \frac{1}{2^{np}(np+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} |f^n(a)|^q + \frac{1}{8} \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - \frac{c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)}{12} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
& + \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{(n!)} \left( \frac{1}{2^{np}(np+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \left( \frac{1}{2} |f^n(b)|^q + \frac{3}{8} \eta_2(|f^n(a)|^q, |f^n(b)|^q) - \frac{c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)}{12} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right] \\
& = \frac{\eta_1(b,a)^n}{2(n!)} \left( \frac{1}{2^{np}(np+1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left[ \left( |f^n(a)|^q + \frac{1}{4} \eta_2(|f^n(b)|^q, |f^n(a)|^q) - \frac{c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)}{6} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right. \\
& \left. + \left( |f^n(b)|^q + \frac{3}{4} \eta_2(|f^n(a)|^q, |f^n(b)|^q) - \frac{c\eta_1(b,a)\eta_2(b,a)}{6} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

We will give some special cases of Theorem 2.9 which show that our result generalize several results obtained previous works.

*Remark 2.10.* As can be seen from the special elections below, our results are more general.

(i) If we choose  $c = 0$  in *Theorem 2.9* the results are we obtain also provided for  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -convex functions, is proved by S. Kermausuor et. al. [25].

(ii) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in *Theorem 2.9* the results are we obtain also provided for  $\eta$ -convex function.

(iii) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in f(I)$  in *Theorem 2.9* the results are we obtain also provided for preinvex function.

(iv) If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in *Theorem 2.9* the results are we obtain also provided for classical convex function.

(v) If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = \eta_2(x, y) = x - y$  in *Theorem 2.9* the results are we obtain also provided for strongly convex function.

(vi) If we choose  $\eta_1(x, y) = x - y$  for all  $x, y \in I$  in *Theorem 2.9* we obtain  $\eta$ -strongly convex function.

### 3. CONCLUSION

In this study, we present some inequalities for  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -strongly convex functions involving whose  $n$ th derivatives in absolute value at certain powers. It is also shown that the results proved here are the strong generalization of some already published ones. It is an interesting and new problem that the forthcoming researchers can use the techniques of this study and obtain similar inequalities for different kinds of strongly convexity in their future work.

### 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editors of Journal of Universal Mathematics.

**Funding**

The author(s) declared that has no received any financial support for the research, authorship or publication of this study.

**The Declaration of Conflict of Interest/ Common Interest**

The author(s) declared that no conflict of interest or common interest

**The Declaration of Ethics Committee Approval**

This study does not be necessary ethical committee permission or any special permission.

**The Declaration of Research and Publication Ethics**

The author(s) declared that they comply with the scientific, ethical, and citation rules of Journal of Universal Mathematics in all processes of the study and that they do not make any falsification on the data collected. Besides, the author(s) declared that Journal of Universal Mathematics and its editorial board have no responsibility for any ethical violations that may be encountered and this study has not been evaluated in any academic publication environment other than Journal of Universal Mathematics.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abbaszadeh, and A. Ebadian (2018). Nonlinear integrals and Hadamard-type inequalities, *Soft Computing*, 22 (9)2843–2849 (2018).
- [2] M. Alomari and M. Darus, On the Hadamard's inequality for log-convex functions on the coordinates, *J. Ineq. Appl.* 2009 13 pp. , Article ID 283147, (2009).
- [3] M. Alomari, M. Darus and S. S. Dragomir, New inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type for functions whose second derivatives absolute values are quasi-convex, *Tamkang. J. Math.* 41(4) 353–359 (2010).
- [4] M. Alomari, M. Darus and U.S. Kirmaci, Refinements of Hadamard-type inequalities for quasi-convex functions with applications to trapezoidal formula and to special means, *Comp. Math. Appl.* 59 225–232 (2010).
- [5] M. U. Awan, M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor and F. Safdar, On strongly generalized convex functions, *Filomat* 31(18) 5783–5790 (2017).
- [6] M. K. Bakula, M. E. Ozdemir and J. Pecaric, Hadamard type inequalities for  $m$ -convex and  $(\alpha, m)$ -convex, *J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math.* 9 Article 96 (2008).
- [7] R.-F. Bai, F. Qi and B.-Y. Xi, Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities for the  $m$ - and  $(\alpha, m)$ -Logarithmically convex functions, *Filomat* 27(1), 1–7. Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities 12 (2021) No.1, 179-187,(2013).
- [8] L. Chun and F. Qi, Integral inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type for functions whose 3rd derivatives are  $s$ -convex, *Appl. Math.* 3, 1680–1685 (2012).
- [9] S. S. Dragomir, Two mappings in connection to Hadamard's inequalities, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 167 49–56 (1992) .
- [10] S. S. Dragomir and R. P. Agarwal, Two inequalities for differentiable mappings and their applications to special means of real numbers and to trapezoidal formula, *Appl. Math. Lett.* 11(5) 91–95 (1998).
- [11] M. E. Gordji, M. R. Delavar and S. S. Dragomir, Some inequalities related to  $\eta$ -convex functions, *RGMIA*, v 18, Article No. 8, (2015).
- [12] M. E. Gordji, M. R. Delavar and M. De La Sen, On  $\phi$ -convex functions, *J. Math. Ineq.* 10(1) 173–183 (2016).
- [13] M. E. Gordji, S. S. Dragomir and M. R. Delavar, An inequality related to  $\eta$ -convex functions (II), *Int. J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl.* 6(2) 27–33 (2015).

- [14] S. Kermausuor and E. R. Nwaeze, Some new inequalities involving the Katugampola fractional integrals for strongly  $\eta$ -convex functions, *Tbil. Math. J.*, 12(1) 117–130 (2019).
- [15] S. Kermausuor, E. R. Nwaeze and A. M. Tameru, New integral inequalities via the Katugampola fractional integrals for functions whose second derivatives are strongly  $\eta$ -convex, *Mathematics*, 7(2) , Art. 183 (2019).
- [16] U. S. Kirmaci, M. K. Bakula, M. E. Ozdemir and J. Pecaric, Hadamard-type inequalities for  $s$ -convex functions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 193(1) , pp 26–35 (2007).
- [17] E. R. Nwaeze, S. Kermausuor, Certain results associated with the strongly  $\eta$ -convex function with modulus  $\mu \geq 0$ , *Acta Math. Univ. Comenian.* 89(1), pp 61–74 (2020).
- [18] E. R. Nwaeze, S. Kermausuor and A. M. Tameru, Some new k-Riemann–Liouville Fractional integral inequalities associated with the strongly  $\eta$ -quasiconvex functions with modulus  $\mu \geq 0$ , *J. Inequal. Appl.* 2018:139 (2018).
- [19] E. R. Nwaeze and D. F. M. Torres, Novel results on the Hermite–Hadamard kind inequality for  $\eta$ -convex functions by means of the  $(k, r)$ -fractional integral operators. In: Silvestru Sever Dragomir, Praveen Agarwal, Mohamed Jleli and Bessem Samet (eds.) *Advances in Mathematical Inequalities and Applications (AMIA). Trends in Mathematics.* Birkhauser, Singapore, 311–321, (2018).
- [20] M. Z. Sarikaya, E. Set, H. Yaldiz and N. Basak, Hermite–Hadamard’s inequalities for fractional integrals and related fractional inequalities, *Math. Comput. Model.* 57(9–10) , pp. 2403–2407 (2013).
- [21] B. -Y. Xi and F. Qi, Some integral inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities for convex functions with applications to means, *J. Funct. Space Appl.* pp. 14, (2012).
- [22] J. Zhang, Z.-L. Pei and F. Qi, Integral inequalities of Simpson’s type for strongly extended  $(s; m)$ -convex functions, *J. Comput. Anal. Appl.* 26(3), 499–508, (2019).
- [23] M.U. Awan, Noor, M.A.; Noor, K.I.; Safdar, F. On strongly generalized convex functions. *Filomat*, 31, pp. 5783–5790, (2017).
- [24] S. Mehmood, F:Zafar and N. Yasmin, New Hermite-Hadamard-Fejer type inequalities for  $(\eta_1, \eta_2)$ -convex functions via fractional calculus, *ScienceAsia*46, pp. 102-108, (2020).
- [25] S. Kermausuor and E.R. Nwaeze , A note on some new Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities for functions whose nth derivatives are strongly  $\eta$ -convex, *(IJNAA)* (2021).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, UNIVERSITY OF KAHRAMANMARAŞ  
 SÜTÇÜ İMAM, 46000, KAHRAMANMARAŞ, TURKEY  
*Email address:* sedakilincmath@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND ARTS, UNIVERSITY OF KAHRAMANMARAŞ  
 SÜTÇÜ İMAM, 46000, KAHRAMANMARAŞ, TURKEY  
*Email address:* hyildir@ksu.edu.tr