A NOTE ON TRIPLED FIXED POINTS AND FIXED POINTS OF 3-ORDER IN PARTIALLY ORDERED METRIC SPACES

ZORAN KADELBURG AND STOJAN RADENOVIĆ

(Communicated by Ishak ALTUN)

ABSTRACT. In this paper, reducing tripled fixed point and fixed point of 3-order results in ordered metric spaces to the respective results for mappings with one variable, some recent results established by Karapinar and Sadarangani [E. Karapinar, K. Sadarangani, Triple fixed point theorems for weak ($\psi - \phi$)-contractions, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., 15, 5 (2013), 844–851], as well as Abbas and Berzig [M. Abbas, M. Berzig, Global attractive results on complete ordered metric spaces for third order difference equations, Intern. J. Anal. 2013, Art. ID 486357] are generalized and improved, with much shorter proofs.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

The metric fixed point theory is very important and useful in Mathematics. It can be applied in various areas, for instance in variational inequalities, optimization, approximation theory, etc.

The fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces play a major role in proving the existence and uniqueness of solutions for some differential and integral equations. One of the most interesting fixed point theorems in ordered metric spaces was investigated by Ran and Reurings [21] who applied their result to linear and nonlinear matrix equations. After that, many authors obtained several interesting results in ordered metric spaces (se, e.g., [11]–[19]).

The notion of a tripled fixed point was introduced and studied by Berinde and Borcut in [5]. Fixed point theory in coupled and tripled cases in ordered metric spaces was studied in [2]-[17].

We start by listing some notation and preliminaries that we shall need to express our results. In this paper (X, d, \preceq) denotes a partially ordered metric space where (X, \preceq) is a partially ordered set and (X, d) is a metric space.

Date: Received: February 7, 2013; Accepted: September 14, 2013.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 54H25, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. Tripled fixed point, fixed point of 3-order, partially ordered metric space.

The authors are thankful to the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of Serbia.

Definition 1.1. [4, 5, 8, 13, 14] Let (X, \preceq) be a partially ordered set and $F: X^3 \rightarrow X$ be a mapping.

- (1) F is said to have the mixed monotone property if F(x, y, z) is non-decreasing in x and z, and non-increasing in y.
- (2) An element $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ is called a tripled fixed point of F if F(x, y, z) = x, F(y, x, y) = y and F(z, y, x) = z.
- (3) [1] An element $(x, y, z) \in X^3$ is a fixed point of 3-order of F if F(x, y, x) = x, F(y, x, y) = y and F(z, y, z) = z.

Definition 1.2. We say that an ordered metric space (X, d, \preceq) is regular if it has the following properties:

(i) if for a non-decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}, d(x_n, x) \to 0$ holds, then $x_n \preceq x$ for all n,

(i) if for a non-increasing sequence $\{y_n\}, d(y_n, y) \to 0$ holds, then $y_n \succeq y$ for all n.

The proof of the following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 1.1. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a partially ordered metric space and let $F : X^3 \to X$. (1) If relation \sqsubseteq is defined on X^3 by

- $Y \sqsubseteq V \Leftrightarrow x \preceq u \land y \succeq v \land z \preceq w, \quad Y = (x, y, z), V = (u, v, w) \in X^3,$ and $D: X^3 \times X^3 \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is given by
- $D(Y,V) = \max\{d(x,u), d(y,v), d(z,w)\}, \quad Y = (x,y,z), V = (u,v,w) \in X^3,$

then (X^3, D, \sqsubseteq) is a partially ordered metric spaces. The space (X^3, D) is complete if and only if (X, d) is complete. Also, the space (X^3, D, \sqsubseteq) is regular if and only if (X, d, \preceq) is such.

(2) If F has the mixed monotone property, then the mapping $T_F: X^3 \to X^3$ given by

$$T_F(Y) = (F(x, y, z), F(y, x, y), F(z, y, x)), \quad Y = (x, y, z) \in X^3$$

is non-decreasing with respect to \sqsubseteq , that is,

$$Y \sqsubseteq V \Rightarrow T_F(Y) \sqsubseteq T_F(V)$$

(3) If F has the mixed monotone property, then the mapping $S_F: X^3 \to X^3$ given by

$$S_F(Y) = (F(x, y, x), F(y, x, y), F(z, y, z)), \quad Y = (x, y, z) \in X^3$$

is also non-decreasing with respect to \sqsubseteq .

- (4) The mapping F is continuous if and only if T_F (resp. S_F) is continuous.
- (5) The mapping F has a tripled fixed point (resp. a fixed point of 3-order) if and only if the mapping T_F (resp. S_F) has a fixed point in X^3 .

In what follows, the classes Φ and Ψ of functions are defined by:

 $\phi: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ belongs to Φ if ϕ is non-decreasing and $\lim_{t \to r+} \phi(t) > 0$ for all r > 0 and $\lim_{t \to 0+} \phi(t) = 0$;

- $\psi: [0, +\infty) \to [0, +\infty)$ belongs to Ψ if:
- (i) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if t = 0;
- (ii) ψ is continuous and non-decreasing;
- (iii) $\psi(s+t) = \psi(s) + \psi(t)$ for all $s, t \in [0, +\infty)$.

In [14], Karapinar and Sadarangani proved the following results and formulated as Theorem 7, Corollary 8 and Theorem 9, respectively.

Theorem 1.1. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered metric space. Let $F: X^3 \to X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property. Assume that there exist constants $a, b, c \in [0, 1)$ such that a + 2b + c < 1 with

(1.1)
$$\psi(d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w))) \le \psi(ad(x, u) + bd(y, v) + cd(z, w)) - \varphi(ad(x, u) + bd(y, v) + cd(z, w))$$

for all $x \succeq u, y \preceq v, z \succeq w$, where $\phi \in \Phi, \psi \in \Psi$. Suppose that there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

 $x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } z_0 \leq F(z_0, y_0, x_0).$

Suppose either

(a) F is continuous, or

(b) X is regular.

Then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

F(x, y, z) = x, F(y, x, y) = y and F(z, y, x) = z.

Theorem 1.2. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered metric space. Let $F : X^3 \to X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property on X. Assume that there exist constants $a, b, c \in [0, 1)$ such that a + 2b + c < 1 with

(1.2)
$$d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)) \le ad(x, u) + bd(y, v) + cd(z, w)$$

for all $x \succeq u, y \preceq v, z \succeq w$. Assume also that there exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), \quad y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0, y_0), \quad z_0 \leq F(z_0, y_0, x_0)$$

Suppose either

(a) F is continuous, or

(b) X is regular.

Then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = x, \quad F(y, x, y) = y, \quad F(z, y, x) = z.$$

Remark 1.1. Since condition (1.2) follows from condition (1.1) of Theorem 1.1 (see further Remark 2.1), Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.2 (although it was stated the other way around in [14]). The proof of Theorem 1.2 can be found in [5], with the assumption a + b + c < 1.

Theorem 1.3. In addition to hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, suppose that for all $(x, y, z), (u, v, w) \in X^3$ there exists $(a, b, c) \in X^3$ that is comparable with (x, y, z) and $(u, v, w), w.r.t. \sqsubseteq$. Then F has a unique triple fixed point.

In [1], Abbas and Berzig proved the following results and formulated as Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, respectively.

Theorem 1.4. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered metric space. Let $F: X^3 \to X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property. Suppose that the following conditions hold.

(i) There exists $\lambda \in [0,1)$ with

(1.3)

$$\begin{split} &d(F(x,y,z),F(u,v,w)) \leq \lambda \max\{d(x,u),d(y,v),d(z,w),d(F(x,y,x),x),\\ &d(F(x,y,x),u),d(F(y,x,y),y),d(F(y,x,y),v),d(F(z,y,z),z),d(F(z,y,z),w)\} \end{split}$$

232

for all $(x, y, z) \sqsubseteq (u, v, w)$.

(ii) There exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

(1.4) $x_0 \preceq F(x_0, y_0, x_0), y_0 \succeq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } z_0 \preceq F(z_0, y_0, z_0).$

(iii) (X, d, \preceq) is regular; further, if $x_n \preceq y_n$ for every n then $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n \preceq \lim_{n\to\infty} y_n$.

Then we have the following.

(a) For every initial point $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in X^3$ such that condition (1.4) holds,

$$x_n = F^n(x_0, y_0, z_0) \to x, y_n = F^n(y_0, x_0, y_0) \to y \text{ and } z_n = F^n(z_0, y_0, z_0) \to z$$

as $n \to \infty$, where x, y, z satisfy

$$x = F(x, y, x), \quad y = F(y, x, y), \quad z = F(z, y, z).$$

If $x_0 \leq y_0$ and $y_0 \leq z_0$ in condition (1.4), then x = y = z and $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ and $\{z_n\}$ converge to the equilibrium of the equation (1.5)

 $x_{n+1} = F(x_n, y_n, x_n), \ y_{n+1} = F(y_n, x_n, y_n), \ z_{n+1} = F(z_n, y_n, z_n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (b) In particular, every solution $\{u_n\}$ of

$$u_{n+1} = F(u_n, u_{n-1}, u_{n-2}), \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

such that $u_0, u_1, u_2 \in [x_0, y_0]$ (or $[z_0, y_0]$), converges to the equilibrium of (1.5). (c) The following estimates hold

$$d(x, F^{n}(x_{0}, y_{0}, x_{0})) \leq \frac{\lambda^{n}}{1 - \lambda} \max\{d(F(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}), x_{0}), d(F(y_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}), y_{0}), \\ d(F(z_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}), z_{0})\}, \\ d(y, F^{n}(x_{0}, y_{0}, x_{0})) \leq \frac{\lambda^{n}}{1 - \lambda} \max\{d(F(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}), x_{0}), d(F(y_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}), y_{0}), \\ d(F(z_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}), z_{0})\}, \\ d(z, F^{n}(x_{0}, y_{0}, x_{0})) \leq \frac{\lambda^{n}}{1 - \lambda} \max\{d(F(x_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}), x_{0}), d(F(y_{0}, x_{0}, y_{0}), y_{0}), \\ d(F(z_{0}, y_{0}, z_{0}), z_{0})\}.$$

Theorem 1.5. In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 1.4, suppose that for every $(x, y, z), (x', y', z') \in X^3$, there exists $(u, v, w) \in X^3$ such that $(x, y, z) \sqsubseteq (u, v, w)$ and $(x', y', z') \sqsubseteq (u, v, w)$. Then we obtain the uniqueness of the fixed point of 3-order.

2. Main results

Our first result is the following theorem which generalizes and improves the above Theorems 1.1, 1.2, that is, Theorem 7 and Corollary 8 from [14].

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered metric space and let $F : X^3 \to X$ has the mixed monotone property. Suppose that the following conditions hold.

(i) There exists $\lambda \in [0,1)$ with

(2.1)

$$\max\{d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)), d(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v)), d(F(z, y, x), F(w, v, u))\} \le \lambda \max\{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\}$$

for all $(x, y, z), (u, v, w) \in X^3$ such that $x \succeq u, y \preceq v, z \succeq w$. (ii) There exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

 $x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0, z_0), y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } z_0 \leq F(z_0, y_0, x_0).$

Suppose that either

(1) F is continuous, or

(2) (X, d, \preceq) is regular.

Then there exist $x, y, z \in X$ such that

$$F(x, y, z) = x$$
, $F(y, x, y) = y$ and $F(z, y, x) = z$.

Proof. According to Lemma 1.1, (1)-(2) the condition (2.1) implies the following contractive condition

$$D(T_F(Y), T_F(V)) \le \lambda D(Y, V),$$

for all $Y, V \in X^3$ with $Y \sqsubseteq V$ or $Y \sqsupseteq V$. Further, the proof follows by ([18], Theorems 2.1 and 2.2) and from Lemma 1.1, (5).

Remark 2.1. It is clear that condition (1.1) implies (2.1). Firstly, (1.1) implies the following

$$\begin{aligned} d(F(x,y,z),F(u,v,w)) &\leq ad(x,u) + bd(y,v) + cd(z,w) \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{d(x,u),d(y,v),d(z,w)\}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\phi \in \Phi$ is non-negative and $\psi \in \Psi$ is non-decreasing, where $\lambda = a + b + c \leq a + 2b + c < 1$. Now, since $y \leq v, x \succeq u$ and $y \leq v$ it follows from (1.1) that

$$\begin{split} d(F(y,x,y),F(v,u,v)) &\leq \lambda \max\{d(y,v),d(x,u),d(y,v)\}\\ &= \lambda \max\{d(x,u),d(y,v)\}\\ &\leq \lambda \max\{d(x,u),d(y,v),d(z,w)\}. \end{split}$$

Further, by a similar argument we get that

$$d(F(z, y, x), F(w, v, u)) \le \lambda \max\{d(z, w), d(y, v), d(x, u)\}$$
$$= \lambda \max\{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\}.$$

Hence, taking the maximum of the left-hand sides, we get (2.1) from (1.1) (or (1.2)). Thus, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, i.e., Theorem 7 and Corollary 8 from [14] are immediate consequences of Theorem 2.1 if $a, b, c \in [0, 1)$ and a + b + c < 1.

Remark 2.2. We note now that Theorem 1.3, that is, Theorem 9 of [14] is an immediate consequence of our Theorem 2.1 and ([18], Theorem 2.3).

Theorem 2.1 is more general than Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. The following example illustrates our claim.

Example 2.1. Let $X = \mathbb{R}$ be equipped with the standard metric and order. Consider the mapping $F: X^3 \to X$ given by

$$F(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{3}y,$$

and let $a = \frac{k}{2}$, $b = \frac{k}{4}$, c = 0, $k \in [0, 1)$ (then a + 2b + c = k < 1 holds). Obviously, F has the mixed monotone property. We will check that condition (2.1) of Theorem 2.1 is fulfilled, while condition (1.2) of Theorem 1.2 is not.

Indeed, putting $x = 1 \succeq 0 = y = u = v, z \succeq w$ arbitrary, we get that $d(F(1,0,z), F(0,0,w)) = |\frac{1}{2} - 0| = \frac{1}{2} > \frac{k}{2} = \frac{k}{2} \cdot d(1,0) + \frac{k}{4} \cdot d(0,0) + 0 \cdot d(z,w).$

234

On the other hand, F satisfies (2.1) since

$$\begin{split} d(F(x,y,z),F(u,v,w)) &= |\frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{3}y - (\frac{1}{2}u - \frac{1}{3}v)| = |\frac{1}{2}(x-u) + \frac{1}{3}(v-y)| \\ &\leq \frac{5}{6}\max\{d(x,u),d(y,v),d(z,w)\}, \\ d(F(y,x,y),F(v,u,v)) &= |\frac{1}{2}y - \frac{1}{3}x - (\frac{1}{2}v - \frac{1}{3}u)| = |\frac{1}{2}(y-v) + \frac{1}{3}(u-x)| \\ &\leq \frac{5}{6}\max\{d(x,u),d(y,v),d(z,w)\}, \\ d(F(z,y,x),F(w,v,u)) &= |\frac{1}{2}z - \frac{1}{3}y - (\frac{1}{2}w - \frac{1}{3}v)| = |\frac{1}{2}(z-w) + \frac{1}{3}(v-y)| \\ &\leq \frac{5}{6}\max\{d(x,u),d(y,v),d(z,w)\}, \end{split}$$

which implies that

$$\max\{d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)), d(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v)), d(F(y, x, y), F(v, u, v))\} \le \frac{5}{6} \max\{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w)\}.$$

We conclude that Theorem 2.1 is a proper generalization of Theorem 1.2 (and so of Theorem 1.1).

Our second result is the following theorem which generalizes and improves the above Theorem 1.4(a), that is, Theorem 3(a) from [1].

Theorem 2.2. Let (X, d, \preceq) be a complete partially ordered metric space and let $F: X^3 \to X$ be a mapping having the mixed monotone property. Suppose that the following conditions hold.

(i) There exists $\lambda \in [0,1)$ with

(2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{d(F(x, y, z), F(u, v, w)), d(F(x, y, x), F(u, v, u)), d(F(z, y, z), F(w, v, w))\} \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{d(x, u), d(y, v), d(z, w), d(F(x, y, x), x), d(F(x, y, x), u), d(F(y, x, y), y), \\ d(F(y, x, y), v), d(F(z, y, z), z), d(F(z, y, z), w), d(F(u, v, u), u), d(F(u, v, u), x), \\ d(F(v, u, v), v), d(F(v, u, v), y), d(F(w, v, w), w), d(F(w, v, w), z)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $(x, y, z) \sqsubseteq (u, v, w)$. (ii) There exist $x_0, y_0, z_0 \in X$ such that

(2.3)
$$x_0 \leq F(x_0, y_0, x_0), y_0 \geq F(y_0, x_0, y_0) \text{ and } z_0 \leq F(z_0, y_0, z_0).$$

Suppose either (1) F is continuous, or (2) (X, d, \preceq) is regular. Then F has a fixed point of 3-order.

Proof. Firstly, by Lemma 1.1, (1) and (3), the condition (2.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} &(2.4) \\ &D(S_F(Y), S_F(V)) \\ &\leq \lambda \max\{D(Y, V), D(S_F(Y), Y), D(S_F(V), V), D(Y, S_F(V)), D(S_F(Y), V)\} \end{aligned}$$

for all $Y, V \in X^3$ with $Y \sqsubseteq V$. It follows from (2.3) that there exists $Y_0 \in X^3$ such that $Y_0 \sqsubseteq S_F(Y_0)$. Again, by Lemma 1.1, (1) and (4), all conditions of ([15], Corollary 2) are satisfied. It follows that S_F has a fixed point in X^3 . According to Lemma 1.1, (5), F has a fixed point of 3-order.

235

Remark 2.3. Note that condition (1.3) of Theorem 1.3 implies condition (2.2) of the previous theorem. Hence, Theorem 2.2 is more general than Theorem 1.3(a), i.e., Theorem 3(a) of [1].

Indeed, the right-hand side of (2.2) is greater than the right-hand side of (1.3). When x, y, z (resp. u, v, w) in (1.3) are replaced by x, y, x (resp. u, v, u) and then by z, y, z (resp. w, v, w), and taking the maximum of both sides, the condition (2.2) is obtained. This is possible since $(x, y, x) \sqsubseteq (u, v, u)$ and $(z, y, z) \sqsubseteq (w, v, w)$ if $(x, y, z) \sqsubseteq (u, v, w)$).

By a similar example as Example 2.1, it can be shown that this generalization is proper.

References

- Abbas, M. and Berzig, M., Global attractivity results on complete ordered metric spaces for third order difference equations, *Intern. J. Anal.* 2013 (2013), Article ID 486357.
- [2] Aydi, H., Karapinar, E. and Postolache, M., Tripled coincidence point theorems for φcontractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012:44 (2012).
- [3] Aydi, H., Karapinar, E. and Samet, B., Remarks on some recent fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012:76 (2012).
- [4] Amini-Harandi, A., Coupled and tripled fixed point theory in partially ordered metric spaces with applications to initial value problem, Math. Comput. Modelling 57 (2013), 2343–2348.
- [5] Berinde, V. and Borcut, M., Tripled fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 74 (2011), 4889–4897.
- [6] Berzig, M. and Samet, B., An extension of coupled fixed point's concept in higher dimension and applications, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 63 (2012), 1319–1334.
- [7] Bhaskar, T.G. and Lakshmikantham, V., Fixed point theorems in partially ordered cone metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Anal.* 65 (2006), 825–832.
- [8] Borcut, M., Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, Appl. Math. Comput. 218 (2012), 7339–7346.
- [9] Borcut, M. and Berinde, V., Tripled coincidence theorems for contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2012), 5929–5936.
- [10] Čirić, Lj., A generalization of Banach contraction principle, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), 267–273.
- [11] Choudhury, B.S., Karapinar, E. and Kundu, A., Tripled coincidence point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 2012 (2012), Article ID 329298, 14 pages.
- [12] Choudhury, B.S. and Kundu, A., A coupled coincidence point result in partially ordered metric spaces for compatible mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.* 8 (2010) 2524–2531.
- [13] Dorić, D., Kadelburg, Z. and Radenović, S., Coupled fixed point results for mappings without mixed monotone property, Appl. Math. Lett. 25 (2012) 1803–1808.
- [14] Karapinar, E. and Sadarangani, K., Triple fixed point theorems for weak (ψ-φ)-contractions, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 15 (2013), no. 5, 844–851.
- [15] Golubović, Z., Kadelburg, Z. and Radenović, S., Common fixed points of ordered gquasicontractions and weak contractions in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2012:20 (2012).
- [16] Golubović, Z., Kadelburg, Z. and Radenović, S., Coupled coincidence points of mappings in ordered partial metric spaces, *Abstract Appl. Anal.* 2012 (2012), Article ID 192581, 18 pages.
- [17] Jleli, M., Ćojbašić Rajić, V., Samet, B. and Vetro, C., Fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and applications to nonlinear beam equations, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 12 (2012) 175–192.
- [18] Nieto, J.J. and Lopez, R.R., Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, Order 22 (2005), 223–239.
- [19] Nieto, J.J. and Lopez, R.R., Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations, *Acta Math. Sinica, English Series* 23 (2007), 2205–2212.

- [20] Radenović, S., Kadelburg, Z., Jandrlić, D. and Jandrlić, A., Some results on weak contraction maps, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 38 (2012), 625–645.
- [21] Ran, A.C.M. and Reurings, M.C.B., A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (2004), 1435–1443.

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Beograd, Serbia

E-mail address: kadelbur@matf.bg.ac.rs

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Kraljice Marije 16, 11120 Beograd, Serbia

E-mail address: radens@beotel.net