

---

# Cell Membranes and Free Radical Research

Volume3, Number2, April 2011

---

## [ CONTENTS ]

---

- 171 **Protective effect of different layers of onion extracts (*Allium cepa* L.) on markers of oxidative stress in erythrocytes**  
Nidhi Jaiswal, Syed Ibrahim Rizvi
- 178 **Preventive effect of zinc on nickel-induced oxidative liver injury in rats**  
Samir Djemli, Faouzi Dahdouh, Zine Kechrid
- 186 ***Capparis ovata* modulates ovariectomized induced-oxidative toxicity in brain, kidney and liver of aged mice**  
Mustafa Nazırođlu, Hamide Betül Gün, Őeyma Savař, Őmer Őelik, Ercan Sözbir, Mehmet Okan Őzkaya, Seyit Ali Köse

# Cell Membranes and Free Radical Research

Volume3, Number2, April 2011

ISSN Numbers: 1308-4178 (On-line), 1308-416X

Indexing: Google Scholar, Index Copernicus, Chemical Abstracts, Scopus (Elsevier),  
EBSCOhost Research Database

## EDITOR

### Editor in Chief

Mustafa Nazıroğlu, Department of Biophysics,  
Medical Faculty, Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta,  
Turkey.

Phone: +90 246 211 37 08. Fax:+90 246 237 11 65

E-mail: mustafanaziroglu@sdu.edu.tr

### Managing Editor

A. Cihangir Uğuz, Department of Biophysics, Medical  
Faculty, Suleyman Demirel University, Isparta, Turkey.

E-mail: biophysics@sdu.edu.tr

## EDITORIAL BOARD

### Cell Membranes, Ion Channels and Calcium Signaling

Alexei Tepikin, The Physiological Laboratory, University of  
Liverpool, Liverpool, UK

Andreas Lückhoff, Institute of Physiology, Medical Faculty,  
RWTH-Aachen University, Germany

Andreas Daiber, 2<sup>nd</sup> Medical Clinic, Molecular Cardiology,  
Medical Center of the Johannes Gutenberg University,  
Mainz, Germany

Giorgio Aicardi, Department of Human and General  
Physiology, University of Bologna, Italy.

Gemma A. Figtree, North Shore Heart Research Group  
Kolling Institute of Medical Research University of Sydney  
and Royal North Shore Hospital  
Sydney, AUSTRALIA.

Jose Antonio Pariente, Department of Physiology,  
University of Extremadura, Badajoz, Spain.

James W. Putney, Jr. Laboratory of Signal Transduction,  
NIEHS, NC, USA.

Martyn Mahaut Smith, Department of Cell Physiology and  
Pharmacology, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK.

Stephan M. Huber, Department of Radiation Oncology,  
Eberhard - Karls University Tübingen, Germany

### Enzymatic Antioxidants

Michael Davies, Deputy Director, The Heart Research  
Institute, Sydney, Australia.

Süleyman Kaplan, Department of Histology and  
Embryology, Medical Faculty, Samsun, Turkey

Xingen G. Lei, Molecular Nutrition, Department of Animal  
Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA

Ozcan Erel, Department of Biochemistry, Medical Faculty,  
Yıldırım Beyazıt University.

### Nonenzymatic Antioxidants, Nutrition and Melatonin

Ana B. Rodriguez Moratinos, Department of Physiology,  
University of Extremadura, Badajoz, Spain.

Cem Ekmekcioglu, Department of Physiology, Faculty of  
Medical University of Vienna, Austria.

Peter J. Butterworth, Nutritional Sciences Division, King's  
College London, London, UK

## AIM AND SCOPE

Cell Membranes and Free Radical Research is a print and  
online journal that publishes original research articles,  
reviews and short reviews on the molecular basis of  
biophysical, physiological and pharmacological processes  
that regulate cellular function, and the control or  
alteration of these processes by the action of receptors,  
neurotransmitters, second messengers, cation, anions,  
drugs or disease.

The journal has been publishing three volumes in a year.

Areas of particular interest are four topics. They are;

**A- Ion Channels** (Na<sup>+</sup> - K<sup>+</sup> Channels, Cl<sup>-</sup> channels, Ca<sup>2+</sup>  
channels, ADP-Ribose and metabolism of NAD<sup>+</sup>, Patch-  
Clamp applications)

**B- Oxidative Stress** (Antioxidant vitamins, antioxidant  
enzymes, metabolism of nitric oxide, oxidative stress,  
biophysics, biochemistry and physiology of free oxygen  
radicals)

### C- Interaction Between Oxidative Stress and Ion Channels

(Effects of the oxidative stress on the activation of the  
voltage sensitive cation channels, effect of ADP-Ribose  
and NAD<sup>+</sup> on activation of the cation channels which  
are sensitive to voltage, effect of the oxidative stress on  
activation of the TRP channels)

**D- Gene and Oxidative Stress** (Gene abnormalities.  
Interaction between gene and free radicals. Gene  
anomalies and iron. Role of radiation and cancer on  
gene polymorphism)

## READERSHIP

Biophysics  
Biochemistry  
Biology  
Biomedical Engineering  
Pharmacology  
Physiology  
Genetics  
Cardiology  
Neurology  
Oncology  
Psychiatry  
Neuroscience

## Keywords

Ion channels, cell biochemistry, biophysics, calcium  
signaling, cellular function, cellular physiology,  
metabolism, apoptosis, lipid peroxidation, nitric oxide  
synthase, ageing, antioxidants, neuropathy.

# Preventive effect of zinc on nickel-induced oxidative liver injury in rats

Samir Djemli, Faouzi Dahdouh, \*Zine Kechrid

Department of Biochemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Badji-Mokhtar University, Annaba, Algeria.

## List of abbreviations

GOT, glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase  
GPT, glutamate pyruvate transaminase  
GSH, reduced glutathione  
GSH-Px, glutathione peroxidase  
ALP, alkaline phosphatase  
CAT, catalase  
MT, metallothionine  
Ni, nickel  
Zn, zinc  
i.p., intraperitoneally

## Corresponding Address\*

Prof. Dr. Zine Kechrid  
Department of Biochemistry,  
Faculty of Sciences,  
Badji-Mokhtar University,  
Annaba, Algeria.  
E-mail: kechridzine@yahoo.fr  
Tel : 00213 07 73 34 51 02  
Fax: 00213 38 87 57 01

## Abstract

The present study was undertaken to evaluate the protective effect of zinc against nickel-induced oxidative liver injury in rats. Male albino rats were randomly divided into four different groups, where the first group was served as a control, whereas the remaining groups were respectively treated with zinc sulphate (227mg zinc/l in drinking water), nickel sulphate (2mg/100g b.w./day, intraperitoneally), and a combination of nickel sulphate and zinc sulphate. The treatment of all groups was lasted for three consecutive weeks. Liver dysfunction parameters represented by glutamate oxaloacetate transaminase (GOT), glutamate pyruvate transaminase (GPT) and alkaline phosphatase (GOT), serum glucose, total protein and albumin levels were estimated. Liver glutathione (GSH), catalase and glutathione peroxidase values were also determined in liver as indicators of oxidative damage. Exposure of rats to nickel caused a significant decrease in body weight and an increase in liver weight compared to the controls. Nickel treatment was also led to high glucose concentration and produced oxidative liver injury characterized by increasing GPT, GOT and ALP activities. Meanwhile nickel administration decreased serum total protein and albumin in the animals. In addition liver glutathione level, catalase and glutathione peroxidase activities were diminished due to high lipid peroxidation. However, the administration of zinc with nickel resulted in a remarkable improvement of the investigated values comparison with rats treated with nickel alone. Liver histological studies have confirmed the changes observed in biochemical parameters and proved the beneficial role of zinc. In conclusion, nickel led to liver dysfunction and hepatic lipid peroxidation in animals, but simultaneous treatment with zinc offers a relative protection against nickel induced oxidative liver injury and lipid peroxidation probably due to its antioxidant properties.

## Keywords

Nickel, zinc, rats, GOT, GPT, ALP, antioxidants.

## Introduction

The rapid development of science, industry, medicine, and agriculture has exposed man and his environment to number of exotic heavy metals. Nickel is the major components of the alloys employed in the plate and screw used for connecting bones in orthopaedic surgery and in the manufacture of artificial organs (Kocijan et al., 2004). However, excessive amounts of this transitional metal ion are toxic. Numerous authors have studied the impact of nickel on health. It can cause dermatitis to certain persons (Accominoti et al., 1998). Particle of nickel may cause some morphological transformations in numerous cellular systems and chromosomal aberrations (Coen et al., 2001). The salts of nickel as particles of nickel can be allergens and carcinogens in man while forming the oxygenated radicals (Lansdown, 1995). This cytotoxicity was investigated in numerous micro-organisms (Wu et al., 1994). Nickel was also found to be responsible on many sexual disorders (Chakroun et al., 2002). After entering the body, nickel penetrates all organs and accumulates primarily in bone, liver, kidney and excreted through bile and urine (Kusal et al., 2007). Liver is the primary target for environmental and occupational toxicity and the major site for detoxification. Nickel induced severe liver and kidney damage by altering several marker enzymes and ascorbate-cholesterol metabolism. One of the harmful effects of nickel action in the body is to induce formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and increase lipid peroxidation in the cells (Sunderman et al., 1985). Free radicals and intermediate products of lipid peroxidation are capable of damaging the integrity and altering the function of biomembrane, which can lead to the development of many pathological processes (Kusal et al., 2007).

Zinc is ubiquitous in sub-cellular metabolism and is an essential component of catalytic site(s) of at least one enzyme in every enzyme classification (Coyle et al., 2002). Others have clearly demonstrated the hepatoprotective role of zinc under different toxic conditions (Cabre et al., 1999). Zinc is involved in stabilizing the cell membrane and prevents oxidative destruction caused by free radicals (Bettger and O' Dell, 1981; Ludwig and Chvapil, 1982) at least under certain conditions, may have antioxidant properties (Powell, 2000). It can protect against oxidative damage caused by certain xenobiotics (Fukino et al., 1986). In addition, zinc is also known for inducing methallothionein (MT) synthesis, a protein that is able to bind heavy metals and to scavenge hydroxyl radicals (Cousins and Hempe, 1990). The indications of biological antagonism between nickel and zinc have also

been reported (Kasprzak et al., 1986). Nickel apparently affects zinc metabolism as evidenced by altered urinary excretion patterns (Clary, 1975) and organ distribution (Whanger, 1973). Therefore, the present study was designed to evaluate whether zinc supplementation could have a protective effect against nickel-induced oxidative liver injury in male albino rats.

## Materials and methods

### Chemicals

Zinc sulphate ( $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) and nickel sulphate ( $\text{NiSO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ), 5, 5'dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB) and reduced glutathione were purchased from sigma Chemical Co (St Louis, France) and all other chemicals used in the experiment were of analytical grade.

### Animals

Thirty-two male albino (Wistar) rats of ten weeks of age with a body weight of 180-205 g were obtained from the Pasteur Institute (Algiers, Algeria). Animals were acclimated for two weeks for adaptation under the same laboratory conditions of photoperiod (12h light/12 h dark) with a relative humidity 40% and room temperature of  $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ . Food (Standard diet, supplemented by the ONAB, EL-Harouch, Algeria) and water were available *ad-libitum*.

### Experimental design

Animals were randomly divided into four different groups of eight animals each. One served as normal control. The second group (Zn) was given zinc sulphate  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in drinking water at a dose level 227 mg Zn/l, while the third group (Ni) was intraperitoneally given nickel sulphate ( $\text{NiSO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ ) at a dose of 2mg/100g b. w./day. Finally, the fourth group (Ni + Zn) was treated daily with both zinc sulphate and nickel sulphate as in group two and three. The treatment of all groups was lasted for three consecutive weeks.

The dose of  $\text{NiSO}_4 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and the period of treatment were selected on the basis of previous studies (Kusal et al., 2001), whereas  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  dose was also chosen on the clinical application and on results from previous studies (Sidhu et al., 2004). The experimental procedures were carried out according to the National Institute of Health Guide-lines for Animal Care and approved by the Ethics Committee of our Institution. The treatments of rats continued for a period of three weeks. At the end of the experiment, total body weights were recorded and animals were sacrificed by decapitation without anesthesia to avoid animals stress. At the time of sacrifice, blood was transferred into ice cold centrifuged tubes.

Tubes were then centrifuged for 10 minutes at 3000 rpm and serum was used for glucose, total protein, albumin, GOT, GPT and alkaline phosphatase assays. Livers were removed immediately and one part of the lobe was processed immediately for assaying glutathione and antioxidant enzymes activities. The other lobe was used for light microscopic studies.

## **Analytical methods**

### **Determination of biochemical parameters**

Serum glucose level was estimated with a commercial kit (Spinreact, Spain, ref; 41011) and determined by enzymatic colorimetric method using spectrophotometer (Jenway 6505, Jenway LTD, UK). However, GOT, GPT, alkaline phosphatase activities were determined with commercial kits from Spinreact, Spain, refs: GOT-1001161, GPT-1001171 and ALP-1001131 respectively. Total protein and albumin concentrations were also measured using commercial kits (Spinreact, Spain, refs: total proteins-1001291 and albumin-1001020).

### **Tissue preparation**

One gram of liver was homogenized in 2 ml of buffer solution of phosphate buffer saline 1:2 (w/v; 1 g tissue with 2 ml TBS, pH 7.4), Homogenates were centrifuged at 10000 x g for 15 minutes at 4 °C, and the resultant supernatant was used for the determination of reduced glutathione and protein levels in one hand and the estimation of catalase and GSH-Px activities in the other hand.

### **Estimation of reduced glutathione level (GSH).**

Liver GSH content was estimated using a colorimetric technique, as mentioned by Ellman (1959) modified by Jollow et al (1974), based on the development of yellow colour when DTNB (5, 5' dithiobis-(2-nitrobenzoic acid) is added to compounds containing sulfhydryl groups. In brief, 0.8 ml of liver supernatant was added to 0.3 ml of 0.25 % sulfosalicylic acid, and then tubes were centrifuged at 2500 x g for 15 minutes. Supernatant (0.5 ml) was mixed with 0.025 ml of 0.01 M DTNB and 1 ml phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4). The absorbance at 412 nm was recorded. Finally, total GSH content was expressed as n mol GSH/mg protein.

### **Determination of Glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px).**

Glutathione peroxidase (GSH-Px) (E.C. 1.1.1.9) activity was measured by the procedure of Flohe and Gunzler (1984). Supernatant obtained after centrifuging 5 % liver

homogenate at 15000 x g for 10 min followed by 10.000 x g for 30 min at 4 °C was used for GPx assay. 1 ml of reaction mixture was prepared which contained 0.3 ml of phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4). 0.2 ml of GSH (2mM), 0.1 ml of sodium azide (10mM), 0.1 H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (1mM) and 0.3 ml of liver supernatant. After incubation at 37 °C for 15 min, the reaction was terminated by addition of 0.5 ml 5% TCA. Tubes were centrifuged at 1500 x g for 5 min and the supernatant was collected. 0.2 ml of phosphate buffer (0.1 M pH 7.4) and 0.7 ml of DTNB (0.4 mg/ml) were added to 0.1 ml of reaction supernatant. After mixing, absorbance was recorded at 420 nm.

### **Assay of catalase activity**

The activity of catalase was determined according to the method of Aebi (1984). The reaction mixture (1 ml) that contained 0.78 ml of phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.4), 0.2 ml of liver supernatant, and 0.02 ml of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (0.5 M) was prepared. The reaction was started by adding H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and decomposition was monitored by following the decrease in Absorbance at 240 nm for 1 min. The enzyme activity was calculated by using an extinction coefficient of 0.043 mM<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>.

### **Protein determination**

The protein content of tissues samples were measured by the method of Bradford (1976) by using bovine serum albumin as a standard.

### **Histological studies**

For histological examination, livers was dissected and immediately fixed in bouin solution for 24 h, processed by using a graded ethanol series, and then embedded in paraffin. The paraffin sections were cut into 5µm thick slices and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (Haout, 1984) for light microscopic examination. The sections were then viewed and photographed.

### **Statistical analysis**

Data are given as means ± SEM. Statistical significance of the results obtained for various comparisons was estimated by applying one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Student's t-test and the level of significance was set at p < 0.05.

## **Results**

Effect of treatment on body, absolute and relative liver weights

The body, absolute and relative liver weights of rats subjected to different treatments were shown in Table 1.

Zn-treated groups have increased progressively during the study.

Zn-treated group have increased progressively during the study. However, in Ni-treated animals, the results showed obviously significant decrease ( $p < 0.001$ ) in body weight gain as compared to the control group. In addition, a significant increase of Ni-treated group in absolute and relative weights was noticed  $p < 0.001$  and  $p < 0.01$  respectively. However, zinc supplementation reversed these changes.

### Effects of treatments on serum biochemical parameters

Compared to the controls, total protein and albumin levels in Ni-treated animals were significantly reduced ( $p < 0.001$  and  $p < 0.01$ ), but the combination of zinc with nickel produced a recovery in above mentioned biochemical variables (Table 2). In addition, the glucose concentration, GOT, GPT and alkaline phosphatase activities were significantly higher ( $p < 0.001$ ) in nickel group than those of control group, indicating liver damage. However combined treatment of nickel and zinc markedly ameliorated these variations.

**Table 1.** Body weight gain, absolute and relative liver weights of control male rats, treated with zinc (zinc sulphate), nickel (nickel sulphate) and zinc coadministrated with nickel, after 3 weeks of treatment.

Parameters	Experimental groups							
	Control		Zn		Ni		Ni + Zn	
	(n = 8)		(n = 8)		(n = 8)		(n = 8)	
	Mean ± SEM		Mean ± SEM		Mean ± SEM		Mean ± SEM	
Initial body weight (g)	188	2.4	191	3.1	190	3.4	189	3.1
Body weight gain (g)	53.2 <sup>a</sup>	1.2	55.7 <sup>a</sup>	1.7	25.6 <sup>b</sup>	1	37.6 <sup>c</sup>	1.1
Absolute liver weight (g)	10.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.1	10 <sup>a</sup>	0.4	13.5 <sup>b</sup>	0.3	10.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.5
Relative liver weight (g)	2.90 <sup>a</sup>	0.14	2.71 <sup>a</sup>	0.16	3.56 <sup>b</sup>	0.08	2.78 <sup>a</sup>	0.14

a, b, c: values within a horizontal line with different superscript letters were significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ). Values are mean ± SEM, n = number of animals.

**Table 2.** Changes of biochemical parameters of control male rats, treated with zinc (zinc sulphate), nickel (nickel sulphate) and zinc coadministrated with nickel, after 3 weeks of treatment.

Parameters	Experimental groups							
	Control		Zn		Ni		Ni + Zn	
	(n = 8)		(n = 8)		(n = 8)		(n = 8)	
	Mean ± SEM		Mean ± SEM		Mean ± SEM		Mean ± SEM	
Glucose (mg/dl)	124 <sup>a</sup>	2.35	121 <sup>a</sup>	2.66	190 <sup>b</sup>	5.35	189	276
Total protein (g/dl)	8.8 <sup>a</sup>	0.2	8.6 <sup>a</sup>	0.3	6.6 <sup>b</sup>	0.1	8.4 <sup>a</sup>	0.2
Albumin (g/dl)	4.5 <sup>a</sup>	0.3	4.7 <sup>a</sup>	0.2	3.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.2	4.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.1
GOT (U/L)	85 <sup>a</sup>	1.1	83 <sup>a</sup>	0.7	113 <sup>b</sup>	1.6	91 <sup>c</sup>	2.5
GPT (U/L)	39 <sup>a</sup>	1.6	40 <sup>a</sup>	2.7	72 <sup>b</sup>	2.4	60 <sup>c</sup>	3.4
ALP (U/L)	118 <sup>a</sup>	3.8	116 <sup>a</sup>	1.9	198 <sup>b</sup>	5.8	165 <sup>a</sup>	1.8

a, b, c: values within a horizontal line with different superscript letters were significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ). Values are given as mean ± SEM, n = number of animals.

### Effects of treatments on hepatic oxidative stress parameters

Figure 1 shows that, after nickel treatment, the liver glutathione level, catalase and GSH-Px activities were significantly diminished ( $p < 0.001$ ) in nickel experimental comparison with the control group. The simultaneous treatment with zinc partially reversed these changes to near untreated control values.

### Histopathological results


The investigated biochemical alteration could be referred to the liver histological changes. In fact, liver of the control group had a regular histological structure with a characteristic pattern of hexagonal lobules separated by interlobular septa, traversed by portal veins (figure 2 A). In contrast, liver of nickel treated group had weak pathological alteration such as the presence of cellular debris within a central vein and cytological vacuolization (Figure 2 C). In addition, no histological alterations were observed in the liver of Zn-treated group (Figure 2 B) as compared to the control. However the combination group of Ni-Zn induced prominent recovery in the liver histology

such as the reduced cytoplasmic vacuolization and the normal sinusoidal spaces (Figure 2 D).


## Discussion

In this experiment body weight gain of nickel rats group was significantly depressed. This action of nickel may be mediated by alteration in zinc metabolism such as other heavy metals (Kuhnert et al., 1987). In fact heavy metals have been recognized as antimetabolite of zinc (Brozoska and Moniuszko-Jakoniuk, 2000). Disturbances in zinc function and metabolism may have serious consequences for health. This element plays an important role in growth, development and functioning of all living cells (Nishi, 1996). As a result, zinc supply significantly prevented the nickel induced decrease in body weight gain. In this experiment, nickel sulphate group animals showed also high level of glucose. The elevation in serum glucose is a common result of nickel toxicity and is usually linked with inhibition of insulin release from Langerhans'islets (Dormer et al., 1973; Kechrid et al.,

2006) or with a block of glucose utilization by cells even in the presence of elevated concentrations of insulin (Sunderman et al., 1976) or the high glycogen breakdown and new supply of glucose production from other non carbohydrate sources such as proteins (Cartana and Arola, 1992). However there is an amelioration of blood glucose concentration in animals treated with both metals nickel and zinc. It is probably as a result of the glycaemia lowering effect of zinc sulphate by decreasing systemic glucose accumulation, diminishing nickel binding to biomolecules, improving insulin secretion and action (Song et al., 2006) and/or protects the enzymes and ATP involved in glucose metabolism against inactivation by nickel (Nielsen, 1980). In the present study, significantly decrease in the total protein and albumin levels was found. These findings confirm the work of Sidhu et al (2004), when both zinc and nickel were given together in drinking water. The decrease in these two biochemical parameters concentrations of Ni-treated rats might be due to changes in protein synthesis (Dostal et al, 1989;



**Figure 1.** Values of glutathione, catalase and GSH-Px in liver of control and rats treated with zinc (zinc sulphate), nickel (nickel sulphate) and zinc coadministrated with nickel, after 3 weeks of treatment. a, b, c: values with different superscript letters were significantly different ( $p < 0.05$ ). Values are given as mean  $\pm$  SEM for group of 8 animals each.



**Figure 2.** Effect of nickel (nickel sulphate) and zinc (zinc sulphate) coadministrated with nickel on histological damage in the liver. Control (A), treated with Zn (B), Ni (C) and Zn co-administrated with Ni (D). Optic microscopy: sections were stained using the haematoxylin-eosin method (400 x). Arrows:  $\rightarrow$  indicate a presence of cellular debris within a central vein and  $\rightarrow$  indicate cytoplasmic vacuolisation. Zn coadministrated with Ni maintained granular cytoplasm and normal hepatocytes.

Kusal et al., 2000). The liver is the target organ of heavy metals toxicity and its cells spell out hepatic enzymes into blood, which are commonly used as biochemical indicator index of hepatocellular damage. In the present investigation nickel intoxication caused a significant increase in the activities of GOT, GPT and alkaline phosphatase, probably due to hepatocyte membrane damage resulting in increased release and leakage out of these enzymes from the liver cytosol into the blood stream which gives an indication on the hepatotoxic effect of this metal. These results are consistent with previous findings realized by some research groups who had found an association between nickel toxicity and the increased oxidative stress of rats (Novelli et al., 1998). Consequently, biochemical perturbations seem to be correlated with the liver histological alteration such as the presence of cellular debris within a central vein and a cytoplasmic vacuolization. Previous histological studies on liver have documented Ni-induced changes characterized by dilated sinusoids, vacuolization and the appearance of hepatic cells with distorted nuclei (Rabbani-Chadegani et al., 2011). The combination treatment of zinc improved the histological alteration induced by nickel, which could be attributed to the antiradicals/antioxidant and metal-chelating efficacy of this element. In addition, these findings are in good agreement with those obtained by other studies which postulated the beneficial role of zinc on histological and enzymatic changes of rats (Dhawan and Goel, 1992). These reports emphasized the hepatoprotective efficacy of zinc under  $CCl_4$  induced liver injury, as zinc treatment helped in the maintaining the homeostasis through regulation of protein synthesis. Thus the supplementation of zinc had protected liver function from nickel intoxication as indicated by the significant restoration of serum total protein, albumin, GOT, GPT and alkaline phosphatase. The diminution of glutathione level in nickel rats may be as a result of oxidative stress, which has been occurred, in nickel toxicity. In other words the reduced of antioxidant production was due to the increased oxygen metabolites and the elevated free radicals, which cause a decrease in the activity of the antioxidant defense system (Gstraunthaler et al., 1983; Iscan et al., 2002) and several pathways have been proposed to show the depletion of GSH level in heavy metals toxicity. Firstly, the sulfhydryl group of cysteine moiety of glutathione has a high affinity of metals, forming thermo-dynamically stable mercaptide complexes with several metals (Aposhian, 1989). Secondly, GSH may be oxidized due to the interaction with the free radicals induced by nickel. These complexes are inert which can

be excreted via the bile, and therefore GSH level could be consumed during Ni detoxification (Manna et al., 2008). In addition the decreased activity of hepatic CAT and GSH-Px in nickel treated animals, suggests that there is an interaction between the accumulated free radicals and the active amino acids of this enzymes (Kusal et al., 2001). In Group III (nickel sulphate + zinc sulphate), the significant improvement of the glutathione level was noticed when compared with that of Group II. The observed normalization of GSH levels and GSH-Px and catalase activities following zinc treatment could be because of its property to induce metallothionein (S-rich protein) as a free radical scavenger, or its indirect action in reducing the levels and accumulation of oxygen reactive species (Seagrave et al., 1983; Cousins and Hempe, 1990).

## Conclusion

In conclusion, this study demonstrates exposure to nickel provoked oxidative liver injury by inducing lipid peroxidation, which was led to depletion of liver reduced glutathione, reduction in antioxidant enzyme activities and biochemical parameters variations of rats. However, zinc treatment could protect liver against nickel toxicity by increasing GSH level and the activities of antioxidant enzymes and ameliorated some biochemical parameters and approached them closer to their normal values.

## Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the research project N° F01120070054 funded by the Ministry of Higher Education, Algeria. The authors should thank members of *Algiers Pasteur Institute* for providing rats.

## References

- Accominoti M, Chamouard C, Cogoluenhes V, Chambrier C, Michallet M, Gordiani B. 1998. Energy expenditure during allogeneic and autologous bone marrow transplantation. *Clin Nutr* 17: 253-257.
- Aebi H. 1984. Catalase in vitro. In: Packer, L. Editor, (2nd) *Methods in Enzymology* Vol. 105, Academic Press, Orlando, FL, pp 121-126.
- Aposhian HV. 1989. Biochemical toxicology of arsenic. *Rev. Biochem. Toxicol* 10: 265-299.
- Bettger WJ, O' Dell BL. 1981. A critical physiological role of zinc in the structure and function of biomembranes. *Life Sci* 28: 1425-1438
- Bradford M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantities of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein -dye binding. *Anal Biochem* 72: 248-254.
- Brzoska MM, Moniuszko-Jakoniuk J. 2000. Interaction between cadmium and zinc in the organism. *Food Chem Toxicol* 39: 967-980.



- Cabre M, Ferre N, Folch J, Paternain JL, Hernandez M, delCastillo D, Joven J, Camps J. 1999. Inhibition of hepatic cell nuclear DNA fragmentation by zinc in carbon tetrachloride-treated rats. *J Hepatol* 31: 228–234.
- Cartana J, Arola L. 1992. Nickel-induced hyperglycemia: the role of insulin and glucagons. *Toxicol* 71: 181-92.
- Chakroun H, Hfaïdh N, Makni-Ayadi F, Guermazi F, Kammoun A, Elfeki A. 2002. Nickel and fertility in the rat. *Sexolo* 12: 1-4.
- Clary JJ. 1975. Nickel Chloride induced metabolic changes in rat and guinea pig. *Toxicol. Appl Pharmacol* 31: 55–65.
- Coen N, Mothersill C, Kadhim M, Wright EG. 2001. Heavy metals of relevance to human health induce genomic instability. *Pathol* 195: 293-299.
- Cousins R J, Hempe J M. 1990. Zinc. In *Present Knowledge in Nutrition*, Brown M. L, Ed, Washington. pp 251-260.
- Coyle P, Philcox JC, Carey LC, Rofo AM. 2002. Metallothionein: the multipurpose protein. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 59: 627–647.
- Dhawan D, Goel A, Gautam CS. 1992. Effects of zinc intake on liver enzymes in toxicity carbon tetrachloride induced liver injury. *Med Sci Res* 20: 55–56.
- Dormer RL, Kerbey AL, McPherson M, Manley S, Ashcroft SJH, Schofield JG, Randle PJ. 1973. The effect of nickel on secretory systems; Studies on the release of amylase, insulin and growth hormone. *Biochem* 140: 135-40.
- Dostal LA, Hopfer SM, Lin S M, Sunderman FW. 1989. Effects of nickel chloride on lactating rats and their suckling pups, and the transfer of nickel through rat milk. *Toxicol Applied Pharmacol* 101(2): 220-231.
- Ellman GL. Tissue sulfhydryl groups. *Arch Biochem Bioph* 82: 70-77.
- Flohe L, Gunzler WA. 1984. Assays of glutathione peroxidase in: Packer L, Ed, *Methods in Enzymology*. Orlando, Florida, USA. Academic Press, pp 115–121.
- Fukino H, Hirai M, Hsueh YM, Moriyasu S, Yamane Y. 1986. Mechanism of protection by zinc against mercuric chloride toxicity in rats: effects of zinc and mercury on glutathione metabolism. *Toxicol Environ Health* 19: 75–89.
- Gstraunthaler G, Pfaller W, Kotanko P. 1983. Glutathione depletion and in vitro lipid peroxidation in mercury or malate induced acute renal failure. *Biochem Pharmacol* 32: 2969-2972.
- Haoult R. *Techniques d'histopathologie et de cytopathologie*. Ed Maloigne 1984 ; 19-21: 225-227.
- Jollow DJ, Mitchell JR, Zampaglione Z, Gillerre JR. 1974. Bromobenzene induced liver necrosis, protective role of glutathione and evidence for 3, 4-bromobenzene oxide as the hepatotoxic metabolites. *Pharmacol* 11: 151-157.
- Iscan M, Ada A, Coban T, Kapucuoglu N, Aydin A, Isimer A. 2002. Combined effects of cadmium and nickel on testicular xenobiotic metabolizing enzymes in rats. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 89: 177-190.
- Kasprzak KS, Waalkes MP, Poirier LA. 1986. Antagonism by essential divalent metals and amino acids of nickel (II)—DNA binding in vitro. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 82: 336–343.
- Kechriz D, Dahdouh F, Djabar RM, Bouzerna N. 2006. Combined effect of water contamination with cobalt and nickel on metabolism of albino (Wistar) rats. *Environ Health Sci Eng* 3 (1): 65-69.
- Kocijan A, Milosev I, Pihlar, B. 2004. Cobalt-based alloys for orthopaedic applications studied by electrochemical and XPS analysis. *Mater Sic Mater Med* 15: 643-650.
- Kuhnert BR, Kuhnert PM, Debanne S, Williams TG. 1987. The relationship between cadmium, zinc and birth weight in pregnant women who smoke. *Am Obstet Gynecol* 157: 1247-1251.
- Kusal KD, Shakuntala D. 2000. Effect of nickel on testicular nucleic acid concentrations of rats on protein restriction. *Biol Trace Elem Res* 72(2): 175-180.
- Kusal KD, Swastika ND, Shakuntala D. 2001. The influence of ascorbic acid on Nickel-induced hepatic lipid peroxidation in rats. *Basic Clin Physiol Pharmacol* 12 (3): 187-195.
- Kusal KD, Amrita DG, Salim AD, Ashok MP, Swastika ND, Jeevan GA. 2007. Protective role of L-ascorbic acid on antioxidant defense system in erythrocytes of albino rats exposed to nickel sulphate. *Biometa* 20: 177–184.
- Lansdown AB. 1995. Physiological and toxicological changes in the skin resulting from the action and interaction of metal ions. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 25: 397-462.
- Ludwig JC, Chvapil M. 1982. Mechanisms of action of metal ions on hepatocytes. In: Sorenson JRJ, Ed. *Inflammatory diseases and copper*. Clifton NJ, New Jersey: Humana Press, pp565-580.
- Manna P, Sinha M, Sill PC. 2008. Arsenic-induced oxidative myocardial injury: protective role of arjunolic acid. *Arch Toxicol* 82: 137-149.
- Nishi Y. 1996. Zinc and growth. *Am Coll Nutr* 15:340-344.
- Novelli ELB, Hernandez RT, Novelli Filho JLVB, Barbosa LL. 1998. Differential/combined effect of water contamination with cadmium and nickel on tissues of rats. *Environ Pollu* 103: 295-300.
- Powell SR. 2000. The antioxidant properties of zinc. *Nutr* 130: 1447–1454.
- Prasad AS. 1983. Clinical, biochemical and nutritional spectrum of zinc deficiency in human subjects: an update. *Nutr Rev* 41: 197–208.
- Rabbani-Chadegani A, Fani N, Abdossamadi S, Nosrat Shahmir N. 2001. Toxic effects of lead and nickel nitrate on rat liver chromatin component. *Bioch Mol Toxicol* 25:127–134.
- Seagrave J, Tobey RA, Hilderbrand CE. 1983. Zinc effects on glutathione metabolism. Relationship to zinc induced protection from alkylating agents. *Biochem Pharmacol* 32: 13017–3021.
- Sidhu P, Garg ML, Dhawan DK. 2004. Protective role of zinc in nickel induced hepatotoxicity in rats. *Chemico-Biological Intra* 150:199-209.
- Song Y, Hes K, Levitan EB, Manson JE, Liu S. 2006. Effects of oral magnesium supplementation on glycaemic control in Type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized double-blind controlled trials. *Diab Med* 23:1050–1056.
- Sunderman Jr, Kasprzak KS, Horak E, Gittitz P, Onkelinx C. 1976. Effect of triethylenetetramine upon the metabolism and toxicity of <sup>63</sup>NiCl<sub>2</sub> in rats. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 38:177-188.
- Sunderman FW, Marzouk A, Hopfer SM, Zaharia O, Reid MC. 1985. Increased lipid peroxidation in tissues of nickel chloride-treated rat. *Annal Clin Lab Sci* 15(3): 229-236.

- Whanger PD. 1973. Effects of dietary Nickel on enzyme activities and mineral contents in rats. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 25:323-333.
- Wu LF, Navarro C, Pina KQ, Mandrand MA. 1994. Antagonistic effect of nickel on the fermentative growth of *Escherichia coli* K-12 and comparison of nickel and cobalt toxicity on the aerobic and anaerobic growth. *Environ Health Perspect* 3:297-300.

# Cell Membranes and Free Radical Research

## SUBSCRIPTION ORDER FORM

I would like to subscribe to **Cell Membranes and Free Radical Research**  
( ISSN Numbers : 1308-4178 (online) 1308-416X ). All prices in Turkish Liras  
2012, Vols. (4 issues)

### Intitutional Rates:

Print Only: ₺ 30 per issue + postage ₺100 per year

Credit Card \_\_\_\_\_ Expiration Date \_\_\_\_\_

Print Name \_\_\_\_\_ Signature \_\_\_\_\_

Receiving Address

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Department/Title \_\_\_\_\_

Street \_\_\_\_\_

City \_\_\_\_\_ State/Province \_\_\_\_\_ Post/Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_ Country \_\_\_\_\_

Tel \_\_\_\_\_ Fax \_\_\_\_\_ E-mail \_\_\_\_\_

**Billing Address**  Check here if same as above

Name \_\_\_\_\_

Department/Title \_\_\_\_\_

Street \_\_\_\_\_

Cell Membranes and Free Radical Research

## LIBRARY ROUTING CARD

To (Librarian) : \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

From: \_\_\_\_\_ Department \_\_\_\_\_

I have reviewed **Cell Membranes and Free Radical Research**

( ISSN Numbers : 1308-4178 (online) 1308-416X ) and suggest that you subscription with:

2012, Vols. (4 issues) All prices in Turkish Liras.

### Intitutional Rates:

Print Only: ₺ 30 + postage ₺100 per year

Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi, Isparta / TURKEY

Tel:+ 90 246 211 37 08 Fax:+ 90 246 237 11 65 EMAIL: mustafanaziroglu@sdu.edu.tr

# COPYRIGHT FORM

## Cell Membranes and Free Radical Research

Date \_\_\_\_\_ Contributor Name \_\_\_\_\_

Contributer Address \_\_\_\_\_

Manuscript Number (if Know) \_\_\_\_\_

Re: Manuscript entitled \_\_\_\_\_

"For publication in Cell Membranes and Free Radical Research published by Society of Cell Membranes and Free Oxygen Radicals "

### Submission of a manuscript implies:

- that the work described has not been published before (except in the form of an abstract or as part of a published lecture, review or thesis);
- that it is not under consideration for publication elsewhere;
- that its publication has been approved by all co-authors, if any, as well as by the responsible authorities at the institute where the work has been carried out;
- that, if and when the manuscript is accepted for publication, the authors agree to automatic transfer of the copyright to this publisher;
- that the manuscript will not be published elsewhere in any language without the consent of the copyright holders;
- that written permission of the copyright holder is obtained by the authors for material used from other copyrighted sources, and that any costs associated with obtaining this permission are the authors' responsibility. Copyright notice: The contributor and the company/employer agree that all copies the final published version of the contribution or any part thereof distributed or posted by them in print or electronic format as permitted herein will include the notice of copyright as stipulated in the journal and a full citation to the journal as published by Cell Membranes and Free radical Society, Isparta, Turkey.

### CHECK ONE BOX

Contributor owned work: Contributor's signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Type or print name and title \_\_\_\_\_  
Co-Contributor's signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Type or print name and title \_\_\_\_\_

Company-Institution owned work \_\_\_\_\_  
Company-Institution (Employer for here) \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized signature \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

U.S. Government work \_\_\_\_\_

U.K. Government work \_\_\_\_\_

Other Government work \_\_\_\_\_