



New existence results for nonlinear functional hybrid differential equations involving the ψ –Caputo fractional derivative

Ali El Mfadel^a, Said Melliani^a, M'hamed Elomari^a

^aLaboratory of Applied Mathematics and Scientific Computing, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Beni Mellal, Morocco.

Abstract

In this manuscript, we are concerned with the existence result of nonlinear hybrid differential equations involving ψ –Caputo fractional derivatives of an arbitrary order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. By applying Krasnoselskii fixed point theorem and some fractional analysis techniques, we prove our main result. As application, a nontrivial example is given to demonstrate the effectiveness of our theoretical result.

Keywords: ψ –fractional integral ψ –Caputo fractional derivative Carathéodory function.
2010 MSC: 34K37, 26A33, 34A08.

1. Introduction

In recent years, fractional differential equations have emerged as a new branch of applied mathematics, due to the evolution of fractional calculus in various scientific disciplines. This theory has been acknowledged as a powerful tool in a variety of engineering and applied science disciplines such as transport processes, earthquakes, electrochemical processes, wave propagation, signal theory, biology, electromagnetic theory, fluid flow phenomena, thermodynamics, mechanics, geology, astrophysics, economics and control theory (see, for instance [2, 11, 15, 17, 18, 19, 25, 26]). Basic issues related to the different fractional derivatives such as Riemann-Liouville [22], Caputo [4], Hilfer [23], Erdelyi-Kober [24] and Hadamard [3]. Fractional differential equations have been of great interest recently such as functional hybrid fractional differential equations which can be employed in modeling and describing non-homogeneous physical phenomena that

Email addresses: elmfadelali@gmail.com (Ali El Mfadel), s.melliani@usms.ma (Said Melliani), m.elomari@usms.ma (M'hamed Elomari)

take place in their form. The importance of fractional hybrid differential equations lies in the fact that they include various dynamical systems as particular cases. Furthermore, hybrid differential equations arise from a variety of different areas of applied mathematics and physics, in the deflection of a curved beam having a constant or varying cross section and electromagnetic waves or gravity driven flows. Dhage in [14] proved the existence and uniqueness of extremal solutions for hybrid differential equations by using some fundamental differential inequalities and comparison results. We refer the readers to the articles [1, 5, 8, 9, 12, 16, 28, 29] and references therein for many works on this theory.

Motivated by the above works especially [14], we develop the theory of fractional hybrid differential equations involving ψ -Caputo fractional differential operator of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. To be more precise, we establish the existence result of the following nonlinear fractional hybrid differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^{\alpha, \psi} \left(\frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u(t))} \right) = \varphi(t, u(t)), & t \in \Delta = [0, T], \\ u(0) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Where $T > 0$, $\Phi \in C(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ and $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_c(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Our manuscript is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give some basic definitions and properties of ψ -fractional integral and ψ -Caputo fractional derivative which will be used in the rest of our paper. In Section 3, we establish the existence of solutions of the ψ -Caputo fractional hybrid differential equation (1) by using some Lipschitz and Carathéodory conditions. As application, an illustrative example is presented in Section 4 followed by conclusion in Section 5.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some notations, definitions and results on ψ -fractional derivatives and ψ -fractional integrals, see the articles [6, 10, 21] for more details.

Notations

- We denote by $\mathbf{C}_c(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ the Carathéodory class of functions on $\Delta \times \mathbb{R}$.
i.e. $\varphi \in \mathbf{C}_c(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ if and only if

- 1) the map $t \mapsto \varphi(t, u(t))$ is measurable for each $u \in \mathbb{R}$, and
- 2) the map $u \mapsto \varphi(t, u(t))$ is continuous for each $t \in \Delta$.

- We denote by $C(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ the space of continuous real-valued functions defined on Δ provided with the topology of the supremum norm

$$\| u \| = \sup_{t \in \Delta} | u(t) | .$$

- We denote by $L^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ the space of Lebesgue integrable real-valued functions on Δ equipped with the following norm

$$\| u \|_{L^1} = \int_{\Delta} | u(t) | dt.$$

Remark 2.1. Let $u, v \in C(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

Clearly $C(\Delta \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ is a Banach algebra with the norm $\| \cdot \|$ and with the multiplication $(u \times v)(t) = u(t) \times v(t)$.

Definition 2.2. [7] Let $q > 0$, $g \in L^1([\Delta, \mathbb{R}])$ and $\psi \in C^n(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\psi'(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \Delta$. The ψ -Riemann-Liouville fractional integral at order q of the function g is given by

$$I_{0+}^{q,\psi} g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{q-1} g(s) ds. \tag{2}$$

Remark 2.3. Note that if $\psi(t) = t$ and $\psi(t) = \log(t)$, then the equation (2) is reduced to the Riemann-Liouville and Hadamard fractional integrals respectively.

Definition 2.4. [7] Let $q > 0$, $g \in C^{n-1}(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ and $\psi \in C^n(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\psi'(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \Delta$. The ψ -Caputo fractional derivative at order q of the function g is given by

$${}^C D_{0+}^{q,\psi} g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-q)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{n-q-1} g_\psi^{[n]}(s) ds, \tag{3}$$

where

$$g_\psi^{[n]}(s) = \left(\frac{1}{\psi'(s)} \frac{d}{ds} \right)^n g(s) \quad \text{and} \quad n = [q] + 1,$$

and $[q]$ denotes the integer part of the real number q .

Remark 2.5. In particular, note that if $\psi(t) = t$ and $\psi(t) = \log(t)$, then the equation (3) is reduced to the the Caputo fractional derivative and Caputo-Hadamard fractional derivative respectively.

Remark 2.6. If $q \in (0, 1)$, then the equation (3) can be written as follows

$${}^C D_{0+}^{q,\psi} g(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(q)} \int_0^t (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{q-1} g'(s) ds.$$

In another way, we have

$${}^C D_{0+}^{q,\psi} g(t) = I_{0+}^{1-q,\psi} \left(\frac{g'(t)}{\psi'(t)} \right).$$

Proposition 2.7. [7] Let $q > 0$, if $g \in C^{n-1}(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$, then we have

- 1) ${}^C D_{0+}^{q,\psi} I_{0+}^{q,\psi} g(t) = g(t)$.
- 2) $I_{0+}^{q,\psi} {}^C D_{0+}^{q,\psi} g(t) = g(t) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{g_\psi^{[k]}(0)}{k!} (\psi(t) - \psi(0))^k$.
- 3) $I_{0+}^{q,\psi}$ is linear and bounded from $C(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ to $C(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 2.8. [7] Let $t > 0$ and $q, \alpha, \beta \geq 0$, then we have

- 1) $I_{0+}^{q,\psi} (\psi(t) - \psi(0))^{\beta-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta + \alpha)} (\psi(t) - \psi(0))^{\alpha+\beta-1}$.
- 2) ${}^C D_{0+}^{q,\psi} (\psi(t) - \psi(0))^{\beta-1} = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\beta - \alpha)} (\psi(t) - \psi(0))^{\alpha-\beta-1}$.
- 3) ${}^C D_{0+}^{q,\psi} (\psi(t) - \psi(0))^n = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 2.9. (See [13, 27]). Let \mathbf{C} be a non-empty, closed convex and bounded subset of a Banach algebra X and Let $\Lambda : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ and $\mathcal{B} : \mathbf{C} \rightarrow X$ be two operators such that

1. Λ is Lipschitzian with a Lipschitz constant λ ,
2. \mathcal{B} is completely continuous,
3. $u = \Lambda u \mathcal{B} v \Rightarrow u \in \mathbf{C}$ for all $v \in \mathbf{C}$, and
4. $\lambda M < 1$ where $M = \|\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{C})\| = \text{Sup}\{\mathcal{B}(v) \mid v \in \mathbf{C}\}$.

Then the equation $\Lambda u \mathcal{B} u = u$ has a solution in \mathbf{C} .

We assume the following assumptions throughout the rest of our paper.

(H₁) The function $u \mapsto \varphi(t, u)$ is increasing on \mathbb{R} almost every where fort $t \in \Delta$.

(H₂) There exists a constant $k > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi(t, u) - \varphi(t, v)| \leq k |u - v| \quad \text{for all } t \in \Delta \quad \text{and } u, v \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(H₃) There exists a function $g \in L^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$

$$|\Phi(t, u)| \leq g(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in \Delta, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3. Main results

In this section, before we give the existence result of the fractional initial value problem (1), we need to prove the following fundamental lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose that hypothesis (H₁) holds, then the function $u(t) \in C(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ is a solution of the fractional hybrid differential equation (1) if and only if u satisfies the fractional hybrid integrale equation*

$$u(t) = \frac{\Phi(t, u)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds. \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let u be a solution of the problem (1), then we apply the ψ -fractional integral $I_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi}$ on both sides of (1) we have

$$I_{0+}^{q, \psi} {}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \left(\frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u(t))} \right) = I_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \varphi(t, u(t)),$$

from Proposition 2.7 we obtain

$$\frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u(t))} - \frac{u(0)}{\Phi(t, u(0))} = I_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \varphi(t, u(t)),$$

$$\frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u(t))} = \frac{0}{\Phi(t, 0)} + I_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \varphi(t, u(t)),$$

$$\frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u(t))} = 0 + I_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \varphi(t, u(t)),$$

thus

$$u(t) = \frac{\Phi(t, u)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds.$$

Hence equation (4) holds.

Conversely, it is clear that if $u(t)$ satisfies the equation (4), then we divide by $\Phi(t, u)$ and we apply the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative ${}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi}$ to both sides of equation (4) and we use Proposition 2.7, we obtain

$${}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \left(\frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u)} \right) = {}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \right),$$

$${}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \left(\frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u)} \right) = {}^C D_{0+}^{q, \psi} I_{0+}^{q, \psi} \varphi(t, u) = \varphi(t, u),$$

thus

$${}^C D_{0+}^{\alpha, \psi} \left(\frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u(t))} \right) = \varphi(t, u(t)).$$

Finally, we need to verify that the condition $u(0) = 0$ in the equation (1) also holds. For this purpose, we substitute $t = 0$ in (4), we obtain

$$u(0) = \frac{\Phi(0, u)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^0 \psi'(s)(\psi(0) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds = 0.$$

$$u(0) = 0.$$

Since the map $u \mapsto \frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u)}$ is increasing on \mathbb{R} almost everywhere for $t \in \Delta$, then the map $u \mapsto \frac{u(t)}{\Phi(0, u)}$ is injective on \mathbb{R} and $u(0) = 0$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that hypotheses $(H_1) - (H_3)$ hold. Further, if*

$$k \left(\frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha \|g\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) < 1,$$

then the fractional hybrid differential equation (1) has a solution defined on Δ .

Proof. Let $E = C(\Delta, \mathbb{R})$ and let C_b be a subset of the space E defined by

$$C_b = \{u \in E : \|u\| \leq b\}.$$

where

$$b = \frac{\Phi_0(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha \|g\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) - k(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha \|g\|_{L^1}},$$

and

$$\Phi_0 = \sup_{t \in \Delta} \Phi(t, 0).$$

It is easy to see that C_b is a closed, convex and bounded subset of the Banach space E . By using Lemma 3.1, the fractional hybrid differential equation (1) is equivalent to the following nonlinear fractional hybrid integral equation

$$u(t) = \frac{\Phi(t, u)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds. \tag{5}$$

Let $\Lambda : E \rightarrow E$ and $\mathcal{B} : C_b \rightarrow E$ be two operators defined by

$$\Lambda u(t) = \Phi(t, u(t)),$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}u(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds.$$

We can transform the nonlinear fractional hybrid integral equation (5) into the operator equation as

$$\Lambda u(t) \mathcal{B}u(t) = u(t), \quad t \in \Delta. \tag{6}$$

Now, we will show that the operators Λ and \mathcal{B} satisfy all the conditions of Lemma 2.9.

First, we prove that Λ is a Lipschitz operator on E with the Lipschitz constant k .

Let $u, v \in E$, then by hypothesis (H_2)

$$|\Lambda u(t) - \Lambda v(t)| = |\varphi(t, u(t)) - \varphi(t, v(t))| \leq k |u(t) - v(t)| \quad \text{for all } t \in \Delta,$$

Taking supremum over t , we obtain

$$\|\Lambda u - \Lambda v\| \leq k \|u - v\|, \quad \text{for all } u, v \in E.$$

Secondly, we show the operator \mathcal{B} is completely continuous.

For this purpose, it is enough to prove that the operator \mathcal{B} is continuous and $\mathcal{B}(C_b)$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous.

Let us show that the operator \mathcal{B} is continuous.

Let u_n be a sequence in C_b converging to $u \in C_b$, then by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{B}u_n(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u_n(s)) ds, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{B}u_n(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(s, u_n(s)) ds, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{B}u_n(t) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \mathcal{B}u_n(t) &= \mathcal{B}u(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in \Delta.\end{aligned}$$

Which shows that \mathcal{B} is a continuous operator on C_b .

Next we show that $\mathcal{B}(C_b)$ is a uniformly bounded.

Let $u \in C_b$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{B}u(t)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \right|, \\ |\mathcal{B}u(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t (\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \times |\psi'(s)| \times |\varphi(s, u(s))| ds,\end{aligned}$$

by using (H_3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{B}u(t)| &\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \times |g(s)| ds, \\ |\mathcal{B}u(t)| &\leq \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|g\| \quad \text{for all } t \in \Delta,\end{aligned}$$

taking supremum over t , we obtain

$$\|\mathcal{B}u\| \leq \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|g\| \quad \text{for all } u \in C_b.$$

This shows that \mathcal{B} is uniformly bounded on C_b .

Now, let us also show that $\mathcal{B}(C_b)$ is equicontinuous on Δ .

Let $u \in \mathcal{B}(C_b)$ and $t_1, t_2 \in \Delta$ such that $t_1 < t_2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\mathcal{B}u(t_1) - \mathcal{B}u(t_2)| &= \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} \psi'(s)(\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_2} \psi'(s)(\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \right|, \\ |\mathcal{B}u(t_1) - \mathcal{B}u(t_2)| &\leq \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} \psi'(s)(\psi(t_1) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \right.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} \psi'(s)(\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \\
 & + \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_1} \psi'(s)(\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^{t_2} \psi'(s)(\psi(t_2) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \right|,
 \end{aligned}$$

$$|\mathcal{B}u(t_1) - \mathcal{B}u(t_2)| \leq \frac{\|g\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} (|\psi^\alpha(t_2) - \psi^\alpha(t_1) - (\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1))^\alpha| - (\psi(t_2) - \psi(t_1))^\alpha),$$

since ψ is a continuous function, then we obtain

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t_2} |\mathcal{B}u(t_1) - \mathcal{B}u(t_2)| = 0.$$

Which shows that $\mathcal{B}(C_b)$ is equicontinuous.

Now the set $\mathcal{B}(C_b)$ is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous and by using Arzelà–Ascoli Theorem [20] we deduce that $\mathcal{B}(C_b)$ is compact, which implies that the operator \mathcal{B} is completely continuous.

Now it remains to show that the third assumption in Lemma 2.9 is verified.

Let $u \in E$ and $v \in C_b$ be arbitrary such that $u = \Lambda u \mathcal{B}v$, then by hypothesis (H_2) , we have

$$|u(t)| = |\Lambda u(t)| |\mathcal{B}v(t)| = \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \right|,$$

$$|u(t)| = |\Phi(t, u(t))| \left| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} \varphi(s, u(s)) ds \right|,$$

$$|u(t)| \leq (|\Phi(t, u(t)) - \Phi(t, 0)| + |\Phi(t, 0)|) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} |\varphi(s, u(s))| ds,$$

$$|u(t)| \leq (|ku(t)| + |\Phi_0|) \left(\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^t \psi'(s)(\psi(t) - \psi(s))^{\alpha-1} g(s) ds \right),$$

$$|u(t)| \leq (|ku(t)| + |\Phi_0|) \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|g\|_{L^1},$$

which implies that

$$|u(t)| \leq (|ku(t)|) \frac{\Phi_0(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha \|g\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) - k(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha \|g\|_{L^1}},$$

taking supremum over t , we obtain

$$\|u\| \leq \frac{\Phi_0(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha \|g\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1) - k(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha \|g\|_{L^1}} := b.$$

Since

$$M = \|\mathcal{B}(C_b)\| = \text{Sup}\{\mathcal{B}(u) : u \in C_b\} \leq \frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|g\|_{L^1},$$

then we get

$$\lambda M \leq k \left(\frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \|g\|_{L^1} \right) < 1.$$

Finally, all conditions of Lemma 2.9 are satisfied for the operators Λ and \mathcal{B} . Hence the fractional hybrid differential equation (1) has a solution defined on Δ .

□

4. An illustrative example

In this section we give a nontrivial example to illustrate our main result. Consider the following hybrid fractional differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} {}^C D_{0^+}^{\frac{2}{3}, t^2} \left(\frac{u(t)}{\Phi(t, u(t))} \right) = \varphi(t, u(t)), & t \in \Delta = [0, 1], \\ u(0) = 0. \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{2}{3}$, $T = 1$, $\psi(t) = t^2$, $\varphi(t, u(t)) = \frac{t^2}{12} \sin(u(t))$ and

$$\Phi(t, u(t)) = \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} \left(\frac{|u(t)|}{1 + |u(t)|} \right).$$

It is clear that the assumption (H_1) is satisfied.

To prove the assumption (H_2) , let $t \in \Delta$ and $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(t, u(t)) - \Phi(t, v(t))| &= \left| \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} \left(\frac{|u(t)|}{1 + |u(t)|} \right) - \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} \left(\frac{|v(t)|}{1 + |v(t)|} \right) \right|, \\ |\Phi(t, u(t)) - \Phi(t, v(t))| &\leq \left| \frac{e^{-t}}{9 + e^t} \right| \left| \left(\frac{|u(t)|}{1 + |u(t)|} \right) - \left(\frac{|v(t)|}{1 + |v(t)|} \right) \right|, \\ |\Phi(t, u(t)) - \Phi(t, v(t))| &\leq \frac{1}{10} \left| \frac{u(t) - v(t)}{(1 + |u(t)|)(1 + |v(t)|)} \right|, \\ |\Phi(t, u(t)) - \Phi(t, v(t))| &\leq \frac{1}{10} |u(t) - v(t)|, \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the assumption (H_2) in holds true with $k = \frac{1}{10}$.

It remains to verify the assumption (H_3) . Let $t \in \Delta$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(t, u(t))| &= \left| \frac{t^2}{12} \sin(u(t)) \right|, \\ |\varphi(t, u(t))| &\leq \frac{t^2}{12} |\sin(u(t))|, \\ |\varphi(t, u(t))| &\leq \frac{t^2}{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Wich implies that the assumption (H_3) is verified with $g(t) = \frac{t^2}{12}$.

Moreover, we have

$$k \left(\frac{(\psi(T) - \psi(0))^\alpha \|g\|_{L^1}}{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} \right) = \frac{1}{10} \times \left(\frac{(1^2 - 0^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \frac{1}{36}}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{3})} \right) = \frac{1}{360} \times \frac{1}{\Gamma(\frac{5}{3})} = 0.31 \times 10^{-3} < 1.$$

Finally, all the conditions of Theorem 3.2 are satisfied, thus the fractional hybrid problem (7) has a solution defined on $[0, 1]$.

5. Conclusion

In the current paper, we gave the definition of solutions for fractional hybrid initial value problem using the ψ -Caputo fractional derivative of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. In addition, we discussed the existence of at least one solution for this problem by using some Lipschitz and Carathéodory conditions. Finally, a nontrivial example is presented to illustrate the applicability of our obtained result.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to the editor and to referees for their very helpful suggestions and many kind comments.

References

- [1] M.S. Abdo, S.K. Panchal, and H.A. Wahash, Ulam-Hyers-Mittag-Leffler stability for a ψ -Hilfer problem with fractional order and infinite delay. *Results in Applied Mathematics*. **7** (2020) 100115.
- [2] R.P. Agarwal, Y. Zhou, J. Wang and X. Luo, Fractional functional differential equations with causal operators in Banach spaces, *Mathematical and Compututer Modelling*. **54** (2011) 1440–1452.
- [3] R.P. Agarwal, S.K. Ntouyas, B. Ahmad and A.K. Alzahrani, Hadamard-type fractional functional differential equations and inclusions with retarded and advanced arguments, *Advances in Difference Equations*. **1** (2016) 1–15.
- [4] R.P. Agarwal, S. Hristova and D. O'Regan, A survey of Lyapunov functions, stability and impulsive Caputo fractional differential equations, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **19** (2016) 290–318.
- [5] M.R. Ammi, E. El Kinani, and D.F. Torres. Existence and uniqueness of solutions to functional integro-differential fractional equations. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*. **103** (2012) 1–9.
- [6] R. Almeida, Caputo fractional derivative of a function with respect to another function, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul.* **44** (2017) 460–481.
- [7] R. Almeida, A.B. Malinowska, and M.T.T. Monteiro, Fractional differential equations with a Caputo derivative with respect to a kernel function and their applications, *Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences*. **41**(1) (2018) 336–352.
- [8] D. Baleanu, H. Jafari, H. Khan and S.J Johnston, Results for mild solution of fractional coupled hybrid boundary value problems, *Open Math*. **13** (2015) 601–608.
- [9] D. Baleanu, H. Khan, H. Jafari and R.A. Alipour, On existence results for solutions of a coupled system of hybrid boundary value problems with hybrid conditions, *Advances in Difference Equations* . **1**(2015) 1–14.
- [10] A. Belarbi, M. Benchohra, A. Ouahab, Uniqueness results for fractional functional differential equations with infinite delay in Frechet spaces, *Appl. Anal.* **58** (2006) 1459–1470.
- [11] M. Caputo, Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent, *International Journal of Geographical Information Science*. **13**(5)(1967) 529–539.
- [12] B.C. Dhage, On α -condensing mappings in Banach algebras, *Math. Student* **63**(1994)146–152.
- [13] B.C. Dhage, On a fixed point theorem in Banach algebras with applications, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **18**(2005) 273–280.
- [14] B.C. Dhage and V. Lakshmikantham, Basic results on hybrid differential equations, *Nonlinear Anal. Hybrid* **4**(2010) 414–424.
- [15] K. Diethelm, *The Analysis of Fractional Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.
- [16] A. El Mfadel, S. Melliani and M. Elomari, Existence results for nonlocal Cauchy problem of nonlinear ψ -Caputo type fractional differential equations via topological degree methods, *Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Application*, **6**(2) (2022)270–279.
- [17] A. El Mfadel, S. Melliani and M. Elomari, On the Existence and Uniqueness Results for Fuzzy Linear and Semilinear Fractional Evolution Equations Involving Caputo Fractional Derivative, *Journal of Function Spaces*, (2021).
- [18] A. El Mfadel, S. Melliani and M. Elomari, A Note on the Stability Analysis of Fuzzy Nonlinear Fractional Differential Equations Involving the Caputo Fractional Derivative, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, (2021).
- [19] A. El Mfadel, S. Melliani and M. Elomari, Notes on Local and Nonlocal Intuitionistic Fuzzy Fractional Boundary Value Problems with Caputo Fractional Derivatives, *Journal of Mathematics*, (2021).
- [20] J. W. Green, and F. A. Valentine, On the arzela-ascoli theorem, *Mathematics Magazine*, **34**(1961)199–202.
- [21] T.L. Guo and M. Jiang, Impulsive fractional functional differential equations, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **64** (2012)3414–3424.
- [22] A.A. Kilbas, H.M. Srivastava and J.J. Trujillo, *Theory and Applications of Fractional Differential Equations*. North-Holland Mathematical studies 204. Ed van Mill. Amsterdam. 2006). Elsevier Science B.V. Amsterdam, 2006.
- [23] R. Hilfer, *Applications of Fractional Calculus in Physics* .Singapore. 2000.
- [24] Y. Luchko and J.J. Trujillo, Caputo-type modification of the Erdelyi-Kober fractional derivative, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **10**(2007) 249–267.
- [25] F. Mainardi, *Fractals and Fractional Calculus Continuum Mechanics*, Springer Verlag.1997.
- [26] I. Podlubny, *Fractional Differential Equations*, Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Academic Press. New York. 1999.
- [27] D.R. Smart, *Fixed Point Theorems*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, London-New York, (1974).
- [28] H.A. Wahash, S.K. Panchal and M.S. Abdo, Existence and stability of a nonlinear fractional differential equation involving a ψ -Caputo operator., *Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Application*, **4**(4) (2020) 266–278.
- [29] Y. Zhao, S. Sun, Z. Han, and Q. Li, Theory of fractional hybrid differential equations. *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, **62** (3)(2011)1312–1324.