

SOME RESULTS FOR (s, m) -CONVEX FUNCTION IN THE SECOND SENSE

MELTEM SERTBAŞ* AND İLKNUR MİHYAZ**

*DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, KARADENİZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, 61080, TRABZON, TURKEY, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-9606-951X

**INSTITUTE OF NATURAL SCIENCES, KARADENİZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, TRABZON, TURKEY, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8585-7390

ABSTRACT. In this paper, it is given some properties for an (s, m) -convex function defined on $[0, d]$, $d > 0$ in the first sense and the second sense with $m \in (0, 1)$. Also, some integral inequalities are examined for any non positive (s, m) -convex function in the second sense with any measure space.

1. INTRODUCTION

Convex functions, like differentiable functions, have a important role in many fields of pure and applied mathematics. It connects concepts from topology, algebra, geometry and analysis, and is an important tool in optimization, mathematical programming and game theory [3].

In recent years, after Miheşan [14] defined (s, m) -convex functions in the first sense, several investigations have emerged resulting in applications in mathematics, as it can be seen in [1, 2, 12, 4, 5, 10, 7, 6, 8, 9, 13].

Definition 1.1. A function $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called an (s, m) -convex function in the first sense, where $(s, m) \in [0, 1]$ and $d > 0$, if for all $x, y \in [0, d]$ and $t \in [0, 1]$

$$f(tx + m(1-t)y) \leq t^s f(x) + m(1-t^s)f(y).$$

Moreover, Eftekhari [15] introduced (s, m) -convex functions in the second sense in 2014 as follows:

Definition 1.2. $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is called to be an (s, m) -convex in the second sense function for some $(s, m) \in (0, 1)^2$ if

$$f(tx + m(1-t)y) \leq t^s f(x) + m(1-t)^s f(y)$$

for any $x, y \in [0, d]$.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:26D15; Secondaries: 26D10; 39B62 .

Key words and phrases. (s, m) -convex function; Integral inequalities; Measure space.

©2019 Maltepe Journal of Mathematics.

Submitted on December 16th, 2021. Published on March 18th, 2022

Communicated by Hacer SENGUL KANDEMİR, and Canan Hazar GULEC.

Example 1.1. Let $s, m \in (0, 1]$, $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and $f : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = x^p + c$, $c \leq 0$, then f is an (s, m) -convex function in the second sense. Indeed, for all $x, y \in [0, +\infty)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $(s, m) \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(tx + m(1-t)y) &= (tx + m(1-t)y)^p + c \leq ty^p + m^p(1-t)y^p + c \\ &\leq t^s x^p + m(1-t)^s y^p + (t^s + m(1-t)^s)c \\ &\leq t^s f(x) + m(1-t)^s f(y). \end{aligned}$$

We note that if a nonnegative function is convex and starshaped, then it is an (s, m) -convex function in the second sense function for all $(s, m) \in (0, 1]^2$. This function class is an extension of s -convex functions in the second sense that are $(s, 1)$ -convex functions in the second sense [12]. Dragomir and Fitzpatrick proved that a s -convex functions in the second sense f is Riemann integrable if $f(c) = 0$ for any point c in domain of the function f in [17]. Also, when f is Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$ they give the Hermite-Hadamard type inequality for a s -convex functions in the second sense f on $[a, b]$ as the following inequality

$$2^{s-1} f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(a) + f(b)}{s+1}.$$

However, there is not any result for integrability of (s, m) -convex functions in the second sense with $m \in (0, 1)$, and so researchers like [18, 19, 20] have to stipulate integrability.

In this paper, we deal with some properties and some inequalities for (s, m) -convex functions in the second sense with $m \in (0, 1)$.

2. Some Properties

Let's first recall the well known H. Lebesgue Theorem ([21] p.257).

Theorem 2.1 (H. Lebesgue). *Let f be a real-valued increasing function on $[a, b]$. Then the derivative f' exists and is nonnegative in $(a, b) \setminus E$ where E is a null set in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{M}_L, \mu_L)$ contained in (a, b) . Further more f' is \mathfrak{M}_L measurable and μ_L -integrable on $(a, b) \setminus E$ with*

$$\int_{[a,b]} f' d\mu_L \leq f(b) - f(a).$$

Theorem 2.2. *If $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is an (s, m) -convex in the first sense or the second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$, then the derivative f' exists and*

$$sf(x) \leq xf'(x)$$

is hold for all $x \in (a, b) \setminus E$, $[a, b] \subset (0, d]$ where E is a null set in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{M}_L, \mu_L)$ contained in (a, b) .

Proof. Let $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ be an (s, m) -convex in the first or the second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$. In this case,

$$f(0) \leq mf(0),$$

and so it is obtained $f(0) \leq 0$. Also for all $0 < x \leq y$ we have

$$f(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{y}y + m\left(1 - \frac{x}{y}\right)0\right) \leq \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^s f(y) + m\left(1 - \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^s\right) f(0) \leq \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^s f(y)$$

or

$$f(x) = f\left(\frac{x}{y}y + m\left(1 - \frac{x}{y}\right)0\right) \leq \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^s f(y) + m\left(1 - \frac{x}{y}\right)^s f(0) \leq \left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^s f(y)$$

i.e., $\frac{f(x)}{x^s} \leq \frac{f(y)}{y^s}$, $0 < x \leq y \leq d$. This means that the function $g(x) = \frac{f(x)}{x^s}$ is monotone increasing function on $[a, d]$, $a > 0$. Since the functions $h(x) = x^s$ and $g(x) = \frac{f(x)}{x^s}$ are differentiable, according to H. Lebesgue Theorem we gain that the derivative f' exists and

$$sf(x) \leq xf'(x)$$

is satisfied for all $x \in (a, b) \setminus E$, $(a, b] \subset (0, d]$ where E is a null set in $(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{M}_L, \mu_L)$ contained in (a, b) . \square

Corollary 2.3. *If $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is an (s, m) -convex in the first sense or the second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$, f is Riemann integrable on $[a, d]$, $a > 0$.*

Corollary 2.4. *If $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is a nonnegative (s, m) -convex in the first sense or second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$, then f is continuous at the zero, $f(0) = 0$ and monotone increasing, and so Riemann integrable on $[0, d]$.*

Corollary 2.5. *If $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is a nonnegative (s, m) -convex in the first sense or second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$ and is the derivative of a function on $(0, d)$, then f is continuous on $[0, d)$.*

Proof. This result is taken from the fact that the derivative function has points of discontinuity only if it has points of the second type discontinuity. \square

Theorem 2.6. *Let $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ be a nonnegative (s, m) -convex in the first sense or second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$ and continuous on any subinterval $[0, c]$, $c \leq d$. Then, the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{x^s}$ exists.*

Proof. Suppose that $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ be a nonnegative (s, m) -convex in the first sense or second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$ and continuous on any subinterval $[0, c]$, $0 < c \leq d$. Therefore $g : [0, c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as $g(x) = x^{1-s}f(x)$ is continuous on $[0, c]$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x \in [0, c]$

$$g\left(\frac{1}{n}x\right) = \left(\frac{1}{n}x\right)^{1-s} f\left(\frac{1}{n}x\right) \leq x^{1-s} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{1-s} \left(\frac{1}{n}x\right)^s f(x) = \frac{1}{n}g(x)$$

is satisfied. According to Theorem 6 in [24], $g(x)$ is differentiable at $x = 0$. This means that the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x)}{x^s}$ exists. \square

Theorem 2.7. *If $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is a negative valued (s, m) -convex in the first sense or the second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$, f is a starshaped function on $[0, d]$.*

Proof. Under the assumption of theorem, for all $x \in [0, d]$, $f(x) < 0$. Now, we suppose that the function is not starshaped. From here, there exist two point $x_0 \in [0, d]$ and $t_0 \in (0, 1)$

$$t_0f(x_0) < f(t_0x_0).$$

Because f is an (s, m) -convex in the first sense and second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$,

$$t_0 f(x_0) < f(t_0 x_0) \leq t_0^s f(x_0)$$

is hold. However, since f is a negative valued function, for $t_0 \in (0, 1)$

$$t_0^s < t_0$$

is obtained. This is a contradiction. Therefore, it is gained that the function f is starshaped on $[0, d]$. \square

Corollary 2.8. *If $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is a negative valued (s, m) -convex in the first sense and second sense function for $m \in (0, 1)$, there exists a point $c \in [0, d]$ such that $f\chi_{[0, c]}$ is a non positive starshaped function on $[0, c]$ and $f\chi_{[c, d]}$ is a nonnegative monotone increasing function on $[c, d]$, where χ_A is the characteristic function of the subset A of \mathbb{R} .*

3. SOME INEQUALITIES

Theorem 3.1. *Let $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ be an (s, m) -convex function in the second sense and Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, $0 \leq a \leq mb \leq b \leq d$. Then*

$$2^{s-1} f\left(m \frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{m}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq m \frac{(b-ma)f(b) + (mb-a)f(a)}{(s+1)(b-a)}.$$

Proof. Because f is an (s, m) -convex function in the second sense, for all $x, y \in [a, b]$ we have

$$f\left(m \frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq m \frac{f(x) + f(y)}{2^s}.$$

If $x = ta + (1-t)b$ and $y = tb + (1-t)a$ are chosen, then we get

$$f\left(m \frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{m}{2^s} (f(ta + (1-t)b) + f(tb + (1-t)a)).$$

We obtain by integrating the last inequality

$$f\left(m \frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{m}{2^{(s-1)}} \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx.$$

Since $a \leq mb$, and $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is an (s, m) -convex function in the second sense

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(y) dy &= \int_a^{mb} f(x) dx + \int_{mb}^b f(x) dx \\ &= (mb-a) \int_0^1 f(ta + m(1-t)b) dt + (b-mb) \int_0^1 f(tb + m(1-t)b) dt \\ &\leq (mb-a) \int_0^1 (t^s f(a) + m(1-t)^s f(b)) dt + (b-mb) \int_0^1 (t^s + m(1-t)^s) f(b) dt \\ &= \frac{(b-ma)f(b) + (mb-a)f(a)}{s+1} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$f\left(m \frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq m \frac{2^{1-s}}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq m 2^{1-s} \frac{(b-ma)f(b) + (mb-a)f(a)}{(s+1)(b-a)}.$$

□

Remark. If we take $m = 1$ and $s = 1$ in Theorem 3.1, then

$$f\left(\frac{a+b}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \frac{f(b) + f(a)}{2}$$

is famous Hermite-Hadamard inequality.

Corollary 3.2. If $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is an (s, m) -convex function in the second sense and the derivative function f' is Riemann integrable on $[a, b]$, $0 \leq a \leq mb \leq b \leq d$, then

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx \leq \min \left\{ \frac{bf(b) - af(a)}{s+1}, \frac{(b-ma)f(b) + (mb-a)f(a)}{s+1} \right\}.$$

Theorem 3.3. Let $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable on $[0, d]$ and $|f'|$ is an (s, m) -convex function in the second sense in $[0, d]$ for $m \in (0, 1)$, then for all $x \in [a, b]$, $[a, b] \subset [0, d]$

$$\left| f(mx) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(y) dy \right| \leq \frac{|f'(b)|}{b-a} \left[\frac{(m(s+1)+1)((mx-a)^2 + (b-mx)^2)}{(s+1)(s+2)} \right].$$

Proof. In this case, we use the equality given by Cerone and Dragomir in [22], and so

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| f(mx) - \frac{1}{b-a} \int_a^b f(y) dy \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(mx-a)^2}{b-a} \int_0^1 t f'(tmx + (1-t)a) dt - \frac{(b-mx)^2}{b-a} \int_0^1 t f'(tmx + (1-t)b) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{(mx-a)^2}{b-a} \int_0^1 t |f'(tmx + (1-t)a)| dt + \frac{(b-mx)^2}{b-a} \int_0^1 t |f'(tmx + (1-t)b)| dt \\ &\leq \frac{(mx-a)^2}{b-a} \int_0^1 t (mt^s + (1-t)^s) |f'(b)| dt + \frac{(b-mx)^2}{b-a} \int_0^1 t (mt^s + (1-t)^s) |f'(b)| dt \\ &= \frac{|f'(b)|}{b-a} \left[\frac{(m(s+1)+1)((mx-a)^2 + (b-mx)^2)}{(s+1)(s+2)} \right] \end{aligned}$$

is obtained. □

Remark. If it is chosen as $m = 1$ in Theorem 3.3, it is obtained the inequality given Alomari et. al. in [23].

Theorem 3.4. If $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is an (s, m) -convex function in the second sense for any $m \in (0, 1)$ then the following inequality is hold

$$f\left(m\sum_{k=1}^n t_k x_k\right) \leq m\sum_{k=1}^n t_k^s f(x_k) \quad (3.1)$$

where $\sum_{k=1}^n t_k \leq 1$, $t_k \in [0, 1]$ and $x_k \in [a, b]$.

Proof. It can be proved by using the mathematical induction method as in [25]. First of all, since $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is an (s, m) -convex function in the second sense with $m \in (0, 1)$ for $n = 1$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x \in [a, b]$

$$f(mtx) = f(mtx + (1-t)0) \leq (1-t)^s f(0) + mt^s f(x) \leq mt^s f(x).$$

Now, for the next step of induction we consider that the equation 3.1 is true for $n - 1$. In this case, if $\sum_{k=1}^n t_k \leq 1$, then $\frac{t_k}{1-t_n} \leq 1$, $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ is hold and

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(m\sum_{k=1}^n t_k x_k\right) &= f\left(m(1-t_n)\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{t_k}{1-t_n} x_k + mt_n x_n\right) \\ &\leq (1-t_n)^s f\left(m\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{t_k}{1-t_n} x_k\right) + mt_n^s f(x_n) \\ &\leq m\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} t_k^s f(x_k) + mt_n^s f(x_n) = m\sum_{k=1}^n t_k^s f(x_k). \end{aligned}$$

This conclusion completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Suppose that (X, Σ, μ) is a finite measure space and $h : X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is a μ -integrable function such that $h(x) \leq \frac{1}{\mu(X)}$ a.e. . If $f : [0, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $d > 0$ is a non positive continuous (s, m) -convex function in the second senses for any $m \in (0, 1)$ and $g : X \rightarrow [0, d]$ is a μ -integrable function, then we have*

$$f\left(m\int_E h(x)g(x)d\mu(x)\right) \leq m\int_E h(x)f(g(x))d\mu(x)$$

for any $E \in \Sigma$.

Proof. Let $I = \bigcup_{k=1}^n I_{n_k}$ be any partition of disjoint intervals I_{n_k} for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because g is an μ -integrable function, the set $E_{n_k} := g^{-1}(I_{n_k}) \cap E$ is in Σ for any set $E \in \Sigma$ and $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_{n_k}$. Choosing any point x_{n_k} in each set E_{n_k} . Since h is a positive valued function and $\int_X h(x)d\mu(x) \leq 1$, the linear combination

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \mu(E_{n_k}) h(x_{n_k}) g(x_{n_k})$$

is in $[0, d]$ for large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Because f is a non positive (s, m) -convex function for any $m \in (0, 1)$ on $[0, d]$, the following inequality is satisfied by using the previous

theorem

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(m \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(E_{n_k}) h(x_{n_k}) g(x_{n_k})\right) &\leq m \sum_{k=1}^n \mu^s(E_{n_k}) h^s(x_{n_k}) f(g(x_{n_k})) \\ &\leq m \sum_{k=1}^n \mu(E_{n_k}) h(x_{n_k}) f(g(x_{n_k})) \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem is completed under the continuity assumption of the function f . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M.K Bakula, M.E. Özdemir and J. Pečarić, *Hadamard type inequalities for m -convex and (α, m) -convex functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **9**, 4 (2008), Article ID 96.
- [2] M.K. Bakula, J. Pečarić and M. Ribičić, *Companion inequalities to Jensen's for inequality for m -convex and (α, m) -convex functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math., **7**, 5 (2006), Article ID 194.
- [3] J.M. Borwein and Jon D. Vanderwerff, *Convex functions: constructions, characterizations and counterexamples*, Vol. 172. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2010.
- [4] M. Kunt and İ. İşcan, *Hermite- Hadamard type inequalities for harmonically (α, m) -convex functions by using fractional integrals*, Konuralp J. Math., **5**, 1 (2017), 201–213.
- [5] M.A. Latif, S.S. Dragomir and E. Momoniat, *On Hermite-Hadamard type integral inequalities for n -times differentiable m - and (α, m) -logarithmically convex functions*, Filomat, **30**, 11 (2016), 3101–3114.
- [6] M.E. Özdemir, E. Set and M.Z. Sarikaya, *Some new Hadamard type inequalities for coordinated m -convex and (α, m) -convex functions*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **40**, 2 (2011), 219–229.
- [7] M. E. Özdemir, H. Kavurmaci and E. Set, *Ostrowski's Type Inequalities for (α, m) -Convex Functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., **50** (2010), 371–378.
- [8] E. Set, M.E. Özdemir, M.Z. Sarikaya and F. Karakoç, *Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for (α, m) -convex functions via fractional integrals*, Moroccan J. Pure Appl. Anal., **3** 1 (2017), 15–21.
- [9] E. Set, M. Sardari, M.E. Özdemir and J. Roojin, *On generalization of the Hadamard inequality for (α, m) -convex functions*, Kyungpook Math. J., **52** (2012), 307–317.
- [10] S. Özcan, *Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for m -convex and (α, m) -convex functions*, J. Inequal. Appl., **175** (2020), 1–10.
- [11] M. Klaričić Bakula, J. Pečarić and M. Ribičić, *Hadamard type inequalities for m -convex and $(s; m)$ -convex functions*, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. **5** (4) (2008), Art 96.
- [12] M. Bracamonte, J. Gim Zénez and M.J. Vivas-Cortez, *Hermite-Hadamard-Fejer type inequalities for strongly (s, m) -convex functions with modulus c in second sense*, Appl. Math. Inf. Sci., **10**, 6 (2016), 2045–2053.
- [13] Z. Yang, Y. Li and T. Du, *A generalization of Simpson type inequality via differentiable functions using (s, m) -convex functions*, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math., **35** (2015), 327–338.
- [14] V. G. Miheşan, *A generalization of the convexity*, Seminar on Functional Equations, Approx. and Convex., Cluj-Napoca (Romania), 1993.
- [15] N. Eftekhari, *Some remarks on $(s; m)$ -convexity in the second sense*, J. Math. Inequal., **8** 2 (2014), 489–495.
- [16] W.W. Breckner, *Stetigkeitsaussagen für eine Klasse verallgemeinerter konvexer funktionen in topologischen linearen Raumen*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.), **23** (1978), 13–20.
- [17] S.S. Dragomir and S. Fitzpatrick, *The Hadamard's inequality for s -convex functions in the second sense*, Demonstr. Math., **32**, 4 (1999), 687–696.
- [18] T. Lian, W. Tang and R. Zhou, *Fractional Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for (s, m) -convex or s -concave functions*, J. Inequal. Appl., **240** (2018).
- [19] M. Vivas-Cortez, *Fejer type inequalities for (s, m) -convex functions in second sense* Appl Math Inform Sci, **10** (2016), 1–8.
- [20] M. Vivas-Cortez, *Ostrowski and Jensen-type inequalities via (s, m) -convex functions in the second sense*, Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex., **26** (2020), 287–302.

- [21] J. Yeh, *Real Analysis*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., USA, 2006.
- [22] P. Cerone and S.S. Dragomir, *Ostrowski type inequalities for functions whose derivatives satisfy certain convexity assumptions*, Demonstratio Math., **37**, 2 (2004), 299–308.
- [23] M. Alomari, M. Darus, S.S. Dragomir and P. Cerone, *Ostrowski type inequalities for functions whose derivatives are s -convex in the second sense*, Appl. Math. Lett., **23** (2010) 1071–1076.
- [24] A.M. Bruckner and E. Ostrow, *Some function classes related to the class of convex functions*, Pacific J. Math., **12** (1962), 1203–1215.
- [25] Z. Pavić and M. A. Ardiç, *The most important inequalities of m -convex functions*, Turk J Math., **41** (2017), 625–635.

MELTEM SERTBAŞ,

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCES, KARADENİZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY,
61080, TRABZON, TURKEY, ORCID ID: 0000-0001-9606-951X

Email address: m.erolsertbas@gmail.com

İLKNUR MİHYAZ,

INSTITUTE OF NATURAL SCIENCES ,KARADENİZ TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, TRABZON, TURKEY, OR-
CID ID: 0000-0002-8585-7390

Email address: mihyazilknur123@gmail.com